


# **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System 1977**



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration**

**National Criminal Justice  
Information and Statistics Service  
SD-EE No. 12**

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Bureau of the Census**

**State and Local Government  
Special Studies  
No. 89**

## National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service Reports

Single copies are available at no charge from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, Md. 20850. Multiple copies are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

**Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1971-76** (annual) NCJ-45685

**Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System 1976** (annual) NCJ-44588

### National Prisoner Statistics:

#### Capital Punishment (annual)

1977 Advance Report NCJ-46855

1976 (final report) NCJ-43311

#### Prisoners in State and Federal Institutions (annual)

December 31, 1977 Advance Report NCJ 46321

December 31, 1976 (final report) NCJ 43310

**Census of State Correctional Facilities, 1974** Advance Report NCJ-25642

**Survey of Inmates of State Correctional Facilities, 1974** Advance Report, NCJ-34267

**Census of Prisoners in State Correctional Facilities, 1973** NCJ-34729

**The Nation's Jails.** A report on the census of jails from the 1972 Survey of Inmates of Local Jails NCJ-19067

**Survey of Inmates of Local Jails 1972.** Advance Report NCJ 13313

### Victimization Surveys:

#### Criminal Victimization in the United States (annual)

A Comparison of 1975 and 1976 Findings NCJ-44132

A Comparison of 1974 and 1975 Findings NCJ-39548

A Comparison of 1973 and 1974 Findings NCJ-34391

1975 (final report) NCJ-44593

1974 NCJ-39467

1973 NCJ-34732

#### Criminal Victimization Surveys in

Boston NCJ 34818

Buffalo NCJ-34820

Cincinnati NCJ-34819

Houston NCJ-34821

Miami NCJ-34822

Milwaukee NCJ 34823

Minneapolis NCJ 34824

New Orleans NCJ 34825

Oakland NCJ 34826

Pittsburgh NCJ 34827

San Diego NCJ 34828

San Francisco NCJ-34829

Washington, D.C. NCJ-34830

(final report 13 vols.)

#### Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities

(summary report 1 vol.) NCJ 18471

#### Public Attitudes About Crime

Boston NCJ-46235

Buffalo NCJ-46236

Cincinnati NCJ 46237

Houston NCJ 46238

Miami NCJ-46239

Milwaukee NCJ-46240

Minneapolis NCJ 46241

New Orleans NCJ 46242

Oakland NCJ 46243

Pittsburgh NCJ 46244

San Diego NCJ 46245

San Francisco NCJ 46246

Washington, D.C. NCJ 46247

(final report 13 vols.)

**Criminal Victimization Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia.** A Comparison of 1972 and 1974 Findings NCJ-36360

**Criminal Victimization Surveys in the Nation's Five Largest Cities.** National Crime Panel Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia 1972 NCJ-16909

**Criminal Victimization Surveys in Eight American Cities.** A Comparison of 1971/72 and 1974/75 Findings-- National Crime Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis NCJ-38361

**Crime in Eight American Cities.** National Crime Panel Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis--Advance Report 1971/72 NCJ 13899

**Crimes and Victims: A Report on the Dayton-San Jose Pilot Survey of Victimization** NCJ-013314

### Applications of the National Crime Survey Victimization and Attitude Data:

**Public Opinion About Crime: The Attitudes of Victims and Nonvictims in Selected Cities** NCJ 41336

**Local Victim Surveys: A Review of the Issues** NCJ 39973

**The Police and Public Opinion: An Analysis of Victimization and Attitude Data from 13 American Cities** 42018

**An Introduction to the National Crime Survey** NCJ 43732

**Compensating Victims of Violent Crime: Potential Costs and Coverage of a National Program** NCJ 34192

### Children in Custody:

**Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census**

Advance Report 1975 census NCJ 343570

Advance Report 1974 census NCJ 340820

Final Report 1975 census NCJ 344777

Final Report 1974 census NCJ 341940

**Myths and Realities About Crime: A Nonbiased Appraisal of Selected Information from the National Prisoner Statistics Program and the National Crime Survey** NCJ 346249

### National Survey of Court Organization

1977 Supplement to State Judicial Systems NCJ 140022

1975 Supplement to State Judicial Systems NCJ 139434

1971 (full report) NCJ 11427

### Criminal Justice Agencies in Region

1 Conn. Maine Mass. N.H. R.I. Vt. NCJ 17940

2 N.J. N.Y. NCJ 17931

3 Del. D.C. Md. Pa. Va. W. Va. NCJ 17932

4 Ala. Ga. Fla. Ky. Miss. N.C. S.C. Tenn. Tex. NCJ 17933

5 Ill. Ind. Mich. Minn. Ohio Wis. NCJ 17934

6 Ark. La. N. Mex. Okla. Tex. NCJ 17935

7 Iowa Kans. Mo. Nebr. NCJ 17936

8 Colo. Mont. N. Dak. S. Dak. Utah Wyo. NCJ 17937

9 Ariz. Calif. Hawaii N.H. NCJ 17938

10 Alaska Idaho Oreg. Wash. NCJ 17939

### State Court Caseload Statistics

The State of the Art NCJ 46734

Advance Annual Report 1975 NCJ

**State and Local Probation and Parole Systems** NCJ 41335

**State and Local Prosecution and Civil Attorney Systems** NCJ 41334

**Dictionary of Criminal Justice Data Terminology: Terms and Definitions Proposed for Interstate and National Data Collection and Exchange** NCJ 36747

**Program Plan for Statistics, 1977-81** NCJ 17813

### Utilization of Criminal Justice Statistics Project:

**Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics 1977** (annual) NCJ 40021

**Public Opinion Regarding Crime, Criminal Justice, and Related Topics** NCJ 17419

**New Directions in Processing of Juvenile Offenders: The Denver Model** NCJ 17420

**Who Gets Detained? An Empirical Analysis of the Pre-Adjudicatory Detention of Juveniles in Denver** NCJ 17337

**Juvenile Dispositions: Social and Legal Factors Related to the Processing of Denver Delinquency Cases** NCJ 17338

**Offender-Based Transaction Statistics: New Directions in Data Collection and Reporting** NCJ 17615

**Sentencing of California Felony Offenders** NCJ 17604

**The Judicial Processing of Assault and Burglary Offenders in Selected California Counties** NCJ 17634

**Pre-Adjudicatory Detention in Three Juvenile Courts** NCJ 17370

**Delinquency Dispositions: An Empirical Analysis of Process and Decisions in Three Juvenile Courts** NCJ 17334

**The Patterns and Distribution of Assault Incident Characteristics Among Social Areas** NCJ 40025

**Patterns of Robbery Characteristics and Their Occurrence Among Social Areas** NCJ 40026

### Crime-Specific Analysis

**The Characteristics of Burglary Incidents** NCJ 12043

**An Empirical Examination of Burglary Offenders**

Characteristics NCJ 43431

**An Empirical Examination of Burglary Offenders and**

**Offense Characteristics** NCJ 42426

**Sources of National Criminal Justice Statistics: An Annotated Bibliography** NCJ 45006

**Federal Criminal Sentencing: Perspectives of Analysis and a Blueprint for Research** NCJ 43683

**Variations in Federal Criminal Sentences: A Statistical Assessment at the National Level** NCJ 43684

**Federal Sentencing Patterns: A Study of Geographical Variations** NCJ 33685

**Predicting Sentences in Federal Courts: The Feasibility of a National Sentencing Policy** NCJ 33686

# **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System 1977**

Issued May 1979

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Griffin B. Bell,  
Attorney General

Law Enforcement Assistance Administration  
James M. H. Gregg,  
Acting Administrator

National Criminal Justice  
Information and Statistics Service  
SD-EE No. 12

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Juanita M. Kreps, Secretary  
Courtenay M. Slater, Chief Economist

Bureau of the Census  
Director (Vacant)

State and Local Government  
Special Studies, No. 89

**LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE  
ADMINISTRATION**

**James M. H. Gregg**  
Acting Administrator

**Paul K. Wormeli**  
Deputy Administrator

**Harry Bratt**, Assistant Administrator  
National Criminal Justice Information  
and Statistics Service

**Benjamin H. Renshaw**, Director  
Statistics Division

**BUREAU OF THE CENSUS**

**Director**  
(Vacant)

**Deputy Director**  
(Vacant)

**Shirley Kallek**  
Associate Director for  
Economic Fields

**Sherman Landau**, Chief  
Governments Division

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The Bureau of the Census is indebted to the many Federal, State, and local government officials who provided the information and gave other assistance for the preparation of this report.

In the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, general supervision was provided by **Charles R. Kindermann**, with project direction by **Sue A. Lindgren** and editorial supervision by **Marilyn Marbrook**, all of the Statistics Division. In the Bureau of the Census, Governments Division, general supervision was provided by **Alan R. Jones** and **Diana M. Cull**. Significant contributions were made by **Bernice J. Brigham**, **Victoria E. Campbell**, **Ora E. Dorsey**, **Dennis E. Gosier**, **Janie M. Hutchison**, **Purnell E. Johnson**, **Mario F. Plasencia**, **Joyce M. Stanford**, and **Joan B. Taylor**; and Operations units under the supervision of **Ulvey S. Harris** and **Howard S. Sales**. Preparation of publication copy was supervised by **Corrine Davis**. In the Field Division, general coordination was provided by **E. Wilson Burdorff**; and in Data Preparation Division by **Betty L. Russ**.

**SUGGESTED CITATION**

U.S. Department of Justice, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and U.S. Bureau of the Census, **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System: 1977** U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 1979.

---

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U S Government Printing Office  
Washington, D.C. 20402

Stock Number 027-000-00789-9



### **IMPORTANT**

We have provided a User Evaluation Questionnaire at the end of this publication. It will assist us in improving future reports if you will complete and return it at your earliest convenience. The questionnaire is a self-mailing form and requires no postage.

## TABLE FINDING GUIDE

### Subjects by Type of Government and Table Designation

This guide lists all subjects covered in this report, but does not indicate all the detail shown in some tables, e.g. percent distributions, capital outlay, employment by full-time and full-time equivalent, etc. Expenditure data are for FY 1977 and employment and payroll data for October 1977. Numbers or letters in bold type refer to tables or figures showing only aggregate data for the designated type of government or SMSA's. All other numbers and letters relate to data for individual governments.

Subject	Total U.S.	Federal government	State governments	Local governments			
				Total, county, municipal	17 large SMSA's	333 large counties	395 large cities
<b>CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM</b>							
Direct expenditure: FY '71, '72, '73, '74, '75, '76	A	A	A	A			
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,8	2,6,8		15,17	20,22
Total expenditure from own sources (variable pass-through)			1	1			
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J 7	J,12	16	21
Number of employees: FY '71, '72, '73, '74, '75, '76	B	B	B	B			
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D,F,3, 9	D,G,H, 3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	E,3	E,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3, 10	14	19	24
<b>POLICE PROTECTION<sup>1</sup></b>							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,25	2,6,25		15,29	20,30
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,I,J, 7	J,12	16	21
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D,F,3, 9,27	D,G,H, 3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10 28	G,H,3,10 28	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 5	Figure 5	Figure 5			
<b>JUDICIAL</b>							
Total expenditure: direct and inter-governmental	2	2,4	2,6,31	2,6,29		15,35	20,38
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7,33	C,I,J 7	J,12	16,36	21
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D,F,3, 9,34	D,G,H, 3,9	13	18,37	23
Payroll	3	E,3,5	F,3,10, 34	G,H,3, 10	14	19,37	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 5	Figure 5	Figure 5			
Direct expenditure, employment and payroll, by type of court and miscellaneous			33,34			36,37	

Subject	Total U.S.	Federal government	State governments	Local governments			
				Total, county, municipal	17 large SMSA's	333 large counties	395 large cities
<b>LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION</b>							
Total expenditure, direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2,6, 39, 40	2,6, 39		15,41	20,42
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,1,J, 7	J,12	16	21
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D,F,3, 9	D,G,H, 3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	3	F,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3, 10	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 5	Figure 5	Figure 5			
<b>PUBLIC DEFENSE</b>							
Total expenditure, direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2,6, 43	2,6, 43		15,43	20,46
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,1,J, 7	J,12	16	21
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D,F,3, 9	D,G,H, 3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	3	F,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3,10	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 5	Figure 5	Figure 5			
<b>CORRECTIONS</b>							
Total expenditure, direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2,6, 47	2,6, 47		15,55	20,58
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7,53	C,1,J, 7	J,12	16,56	21,59
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D,F,3, 9,50,54	D,G,H, 3,50,54	13	18,57	23,60
Payroll	3	F,3,5	F,3,10, 54	G,H,3, 10	14	19,57	24,60
Average monthly salaries		Figure 5	Figure 5	Figure 5			
Direct expenditure, employment and payroll for correctional institutions, by type, and for administration, probation/parole/pardon, and miscellaneous			50,51 53,54	50,51		56,57	59,60
<b>OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE</b>							
Total expenditure, direct and intergovernmental	2	2,4	2,6,61	2,6,61		15,	20
Direct expenditure only	C	C	C,7	C,1,J, 7	J,12	16	21
Number of employees	D,3	D,E,3, 5	D,F,3, 9	D,G,H, 3,9	13	18	23
Payroll	E,3	F,3,5	F,3,10	G,H,3, 10	14	19	24
Average monthly salaries		Figure 5	Figure 5	Figure 5			

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit Tables A and B in Appendix 1 present expenditure and employment data for large special police forces which are part of independent school districts or special districts. These data are not included in other police protection data in this report. Exhibit Tables C and D in Appendix 1 present expenditure and employment data for special police forces which are part of State and local colleges and universities. These data are included in other police protection data in this report.

# CONTENTS

	Page
Selected Findings . . . . .	xi
Introduction. . . . .	1
<b>Table</b>	
A. Total criminal justice direct expenditure and percent change by level of government, fiscal years 1971 to 1977. . . . .	1
B. Total full-time equivalent criminal justice employees and percent change, by level of government, October 1971 to October 1977 . . . . .	3
C. Percent distribution of total direct expenditure for the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, fiscal year 1977. . . . .	3
D. Percent distribution of full-time equivalent employment in the criminal justice system by activity and level of government, October 1977 . . . . .	4
E. Percent distribution of Federal criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1977. . . . .	5
F. Percent distribution of State criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1977. . . . .	6
G. Percent distribution of county criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1977. . . . .	7
H. Percent distribution of municipal criminal justice full-time equivalent employment and payroll, by activity, October 1977. . . . .	7
I. Criminal justice system direct expenditure and employment of independent cities and consolidated city-county governments, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	9
J. Total criminal justice direct expenditure of all local governments and local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, fiscal year 1977. . . . .	9
K. Relative standard errors of local government totals of criminal justice expenditure. . . . .	11

## GRAPHIC SUMMARY

### Figures

1. Per capita direct expenditure for criminal justice activities of State and local governments, for State areas, fiscal year 1977. . . . .	14
2. Change in total criminal justice expenditure of State and local governments, by region, 1976 to 1977. . . . .	15
3. Change in total criminal justice expenditure of State and local governments, by State areas, by region, 1976 to 1977. . . . .	16
4. Change in total direct criminal justice expenditure by function and by type of government, 1976 to 1977. . . . .	18
5. Average monthly salaries for criminal justice employees on a full-time equivalent basis by activity and type of government, October 1977 . . . . .	19
6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure by level of government, fiscal year 1977. . . . .	20
7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, by level of government, October 1977. . . . .	21
8. Federal government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1977. . . . .	22
9. Federal government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1977. . . . .	23
10. State government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	24
11. State government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1977 . . . . .	25
12. Local government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1977. . . . .	26
13. Local government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1977 . . . . .	27
14. County government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1977. . . . .	28
15. County government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1977. . . . .	29
16. Municipal government criminal justice system total expenditure, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	30
17. Municipal government criminal justice system full-time equivalent employment, October 1977 . . . . .	31
18. Per capita criminal justice expenditure of the 17 largest SMSA's, fiscal year 1977. . . . .	32

# CONTENTS—Continued

## Section I. VARIABLE PASS-THROUGH DATA

### Table

1. Percent distribution of total criminal justice expenditure from own sources of State and local governments, by State, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .

## Section II. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM SUMMARY DATA

### Figure

19. Percent distribution of total criminal justice direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .

### Table

2. Percent distribution of expenditure for the criminal justice system, by level of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
3. Percent distribution of employment and payrolls for the criminal justice system, by level of government, October 1977 . . . . .
4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government, October 1977 . . . . .
6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1977 . . . . .
10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1977 . . . . .
11. Total criminal justice system expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
13. Criminal justice system employment of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1977 . . . . .
14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1977 . . . . .
15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
17. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county governments, October 1977 . . . . .
19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1977 . . . . .
20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .
23. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 395 large city governments, October 1977 . . . . .
24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977 . . . . .

## Section III. POLICE PROTECTION

### Figure

20. Percent distribution of police protection direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .

## CONTENTS—Continued

Table	Page
25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	196
26. Total police protection expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	201
27. Police protection sworn and nonsworn employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977 . . . . .	202
28. Police protection payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977 . . . . .	207
29. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	212
30. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	218

### Section IV. JUDICIAL

21. Percent distribution of judicial direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	226
---	-----

Table	Page
31. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	227
32. Total judicial expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	232
33. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of State governments, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	233
34. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1977 . . . . .	234
35. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	236
36. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	242
37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1977 . . . . .	248
38. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	258

### Section V. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION

22. Percent distribution of legal services direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	266
---	-----

Table	Page
39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	267
40. Total legal services and prosecution expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	272
41. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	273
42. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	279

### Section VI. PUBLIC DEFENSE

23. Percent distribution of public defense direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	287
---	-----

Table	Page
43. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	288
44. Total public defense expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	293
45. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	294
46. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 . . . . .	300

# CONTENTS—Continued

Page

## Section VII. CORRECTIONS

### Figure

24. Percent distribution of corrections direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977. . . . . 308

### Table

47. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . . 309
48. Correctional institution expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977. . . . 314
49. Probation, pardon and parole expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977. . . . . 319
50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977 . . . . . 324
51. Detail of corrections payroll and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977. . . . . 334
52. Total corrections expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977. . . . . 339
53. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of State governments, fiscal year 1977. . . . . 340
54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1977 . . . . . 341
55. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977. . . . . 344
56. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977. . . . 350
57. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1977 . . . . . 356
58. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977. . . . . 368
59. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977 . . . . . 375
60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments, October 1977. . . . . 378

## Section VIII. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE

### Figure

25. Percent distribution of other criminal justice direct expenditure by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977. . . 393

### Table

61. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 . . . . 394
- Appendix 1: Exhibit Tables A, B, C, and D . . . . . 399
- Appendix 2: Definitions of Terms . . . . . 427
- Appendix 3: Survey Forms. . . . . 433





## SELECTED FINDINGS

### General

In fiscal year 1977 total expenditure for criminal justice purposes by all governments was close to \$22 billion (\$21.6 billion), an increase of 10 percent over fiscal year 1976 but less than the 14 percent increase recorded from 1975 to 1976 (table A). For the month of October 1977, criminal justice full-time equivalent employment was 1,131,780 (table B), a nearly 5 percent increase over 1976.

Local governments (counties and municipalities) accounted for 60 percent of all direct criminal justice expenditure; State governments for 27 percent; and the Federal Government for the remaining 13 percent (figure 6). Nearly the same distribution applies to employment, with almost two-thirds (65 percent) of the total criminal justice full-time equivalent employment at the local level (figure 7).

On a sector basis, about \$12 billion, or 55 percent of total criminal justice expenditure, was allocated for police protection; and another \$5 billion, or 23 percent, was spent for corrections. The remaining 22 percent was distributed as follows: \$2.6 billion or 12 percent for judicial activities, \$1.2 billion or 6 percent for legal services and prosecution, \$0.4 billion or 2 percent for public defense, and \$0.5 billion or 2 percent for other criminal justice activities.

The proportion of the six criminal justice activities or sectors accounted for by each level of government varies substantially, as shown by text tables C and D. Other sharp variations in the pattern of criminal justice expenditure occurred by region and by State, as indicated in figures 2 and 3 in the Graphic Summary.

On a per capita basis, direct expenditure of State and local governments for criminal justice activities was \$87 in 1977, a 9 percent increase over the \$80 in 1976. Local governments spent \$60 per capita, or 69 percent of the total State and local government per capita expenditure (figure 1).

Direct expenditure for police protection of municipalities (up \$444 million) and corrections expenditure of State governments (up \$372 million) together accounted for over 43 percent of the total increase in direct expenditure for all governments.

### Federal Government

Federal criminal justice expenditure increased \$280 million or 8 percent to \$3.6 billion in FY 1977. Nearly one half (\$1.8 billion) was spent by Federal agencies in the "police protection" sector (figure 8).

The second largest block of Federal criminal justice expenditure, \$876 million or 24 percent of the total, was expended in the "other criminal justice" category. However, this amount represented an 8 percent or \$72 million decline from fiscal year 1976. The decline was primarily the result of

a \$62 million drop in Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA) grants, which, at \$774 million, constitute 88 percent of all "other criminal justice" expenditure. LEAA alone accounted for 4 percent of all government expenditure for criminal justice.

The public defense sector accounted for the largest percentage increase, 35 percent or \$36.7 million.

Agencies in the police protection sector reported 71,433 or 71 percent of the 100,088 Federal full-time equivalent employment.

The Federal Government pays the highest average monthly salary in every criminal justice category (figure 5). However, State and county average monthly salaries rose 9 and 10 percent, respectively, compared to a 6 percent increase at the Federal level.

### State governments

State governments spent \$6.7 billion or 4 percent of their total 1977 general expenditure of \$166.0 billion on criminal justice activities (table 6). This represents an increase of \$709 million, or 12 percent.

The corrections sector accounted for the largest portion of State criminal justice expenditure, \$3 billion or 44 percent (figure 10).

The balance of State criminal justice expenditure was distributed as follows: police protection, 29 percent; judicial, 11 percent; legal services and prosecution, 5 percent; public defense, 1 percent; and "other criminal justice", 9 percent (figure 10).

The legal services and prosecution sector at the State level showed the largest percentage increase, up 24 percent.

A slight decline in State "other criminal justice" expenditure of 0.2 percent follows several years of decreased growth rates and reflects a drop from \$482 million to \$471 million in State intergovernmental payments to local governments due largely to lower LEAA block grant allocations.

Each criminal justice sector at the State level showed full-time equivalent employment increases, ranging from a 3 percent increase in the number of police protection employees to a 36 percent increase in judicial personnel (due largely to court system reorganizations involving a State takeover of some local courts).

### Counties

County governments spent a total of \$5.1 billion for criminal justice, up \$478 million or 10 percent over FY 1976 and about half of the percent increase of the prior year. Nearly one-third (32 percent) was expended for police protection; 27 percent for

corrections; 25 percent for judicial; 9 percent for legal services and prosecution; 4 percent for "other criminal justice", and 3 percent for public defense (figure 14).

County full-time equivalent employment was 287,760 in October 1977, an increase of 6 percent over October 1976 (figure 15).

The 333 counties with a 1975 population of 100,000 or more accounted for slightly more than three-fourths (\$3 billion) of the total county criminal justice expenditure and 72 percent of all county full-time equivalent employment for criminal justice (tables 15-19).

#### Municipalities

Municipal governments (cities, towns, and townships) outspent county governments on criminal justice activities by almost \$4 billion, but the difference can be attributed mainly to the police protection sector, where municipal governments outspent counties by more than a 4 to 1 margin (figure 16).

Municipal full-time equivalent employment for criminal justice was 445,361 in October 1977, a 2 percent increase over

the October 1976 level. The employment level in police protection, accounting for 86 percent of all municipal criminal justice personnel, accounted for nearly all of the increase (figure 17).

The 395 city governments with a 1975 population of 50,000 or more contain 53 percent of the municipal population but account for 67 percent of all municipal criminal justice expenditure and 62 percent of all municipal full-time equivalent employment (tables 20-24).

#### Local governments in the largest SMSA's

The county and municipal governments in the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas, containing 30 percent of the United States population, expended \$6.0 billion for criminal justice activities — almost half (46 percent) of the Nation's total local criminal justice direct expenditure (table 12).

The local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's accounted for 295,830 full-time equivalent employment or 40 percent of the total full-time equivalent local government employment in criminal justice (table 13).

# INTRODUCTION

This publication is the eleventh in a series of annual reports that present public expenditure and employment data on criminal justice activities in the United States. In this report, expenditure data cover the fiscal year (FY) 1977, and employment data are for the month of October 1977. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for a discussion of the variation in some fiscal year ending dates.

As in previous years, specific data are supplied for the Federal Government, each of the 50 State governments, and the aggregate local level of government within each State. Survey coverage was designed to produce reliable estimates for each State of the percent of total State and local law enforcement expenditure funded and expended by units of general local government. These estimates, shown in table 1, are necessary for compliance with the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended. Data are published separately for the aggregate county governments and the aggregate municipal governments (cities, towns, and townships) within each State, for each of the 333 counties with a 1975 population of 100,000 or more, for the 395 cities with a 1975 population of 50,000 or more, and for the 17 standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) with a 1975 population of 2,000,000 or more.<sup>1</sup>

## Historical overview

Although this annual series of reports began in fiscal year 1967, caution should be exercised in comparing data in the FY 1971 and later volumes with data in earlier volumes. Beginning with FY 1971, the survey was greatly expanded. The survey panel of governmental units was increased by a third, and the number of units for which data are compiled in the field by specially trained agents was more than doubled. Data collection further benefited from a growing familiarity with the criminal justice systems of the various States and of the individual units within the States. Data from the FY 1971 through FY 1977 annual volumes are essentially comparable; these data were collected in each year from the same panel of governments and were edited and processed in a uniform fashion. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for more detail.

Table A shows the steady rise in expenditure for criminal justice activities at all levels of government over the 7 years. Full-time equivalent criminal justice employment also increased at all levels of government but at a much slower rate than expenditure (see table B). A report entitled Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1971-1976, which summarizes data from the annual publications, is available. The next trends report, covering

fiscal years 1971 through 1977, is being prepared for release in the spring of 1979.

## Organization of this report

In this introductory text, Federal, State, and local government criminal justice expenditure and employment data are discussed and compared with 1976 data. Accompanying tables and charts are followed by a description of survey methodology, data sources, and limitations.

Following the introductory text are the main tables, which are organized into three major parts. Section I presents "variable pass-through" data (table 1), with an accompanying explanation of this unique concept. Section II presents summary data for the criminal justice system at the Federal, State, and local government levels and for selected SMSA's and individual governments, showing the interrelationship of the various sectors of the system (tables 2-24). Sections III-VIII cover each of the six "sectors" of the criminal justice system individually, providing where possible a further breakdown of data on particular criminal justice activities (tables 25-61). Charts showing percent distribution of criminal justice expenditure by State and type of government appear at the beginning of Sections II-VIII (see figures 19-25).

**Table A. Total Criminal Justice Direct Expenditure and Percent Change by Level of Government, Fiscal Years 1971 to 1977**

Year	Total	Federal	State	Local
Amount (millions of dollars)				
1971.....	10,517	1,215	2,681	6,621
1972.....	11,732	1,502	2,948	7,281
1973.....	13,007	1,651	3,304	8,052
1974.....	14,851	1,859	3,900	9,092
1975.....	17,249	2,188	4,612	10,449
1976.....	19,681	2,450	5,204	12,027
1977.....	21,574	2,779	5,812	12,983
Percent increase or decrease (-)				
1971 to 1972..	11.6	23.6	10.0	10.0
1972 to 1973..	10.9	9.9	12.1	10.6
1973 to 1974..	14.2	12.6	18.0	12.9
1974 to 1975..	16.1	17.7	18.3	14.9
1975 to 1976..	14.1	12.0	12.8	15.1
1976 to 1977..	9.6	13.4	11.7	7.9
1971 to 1977..	105.1	128.7	116.8	96.1

Note: Because of rounding, detail does not always add to total.

<sup>1</sup> Once an SMSA, county or city is selected for individual presentation on the basis of its population, the unit continues to be shown individually even though its population may later drop below the established criteria. This is to retain comparability in the presentation of individual unit data from year to year.

Tables A and B of appendix 1 show expenditure and employment data for special police force activities in selected school districts and special districts not included in the summary totals for general local governments. Tables C and D of appendix 1 show expenditure and employment data for campus police forces of State and local governments—data which are included in the summary tables. Refer to the Survey Methodology section of the text for a discussion of the differences in general local governments and independent school districts and special districts in the presentation of data in this report. A new appendix table, table E, shows the amount of block grants and categorical grants awarded to each State by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in FY 1977.

Immediately preceding this introduction, a table-finding guide has been provided for quick reference to the subjects covered. The guide cross-references all subjects contained in this report pertaining to criminal justice activities by all governments combined and each level of government—Federal, State, and local. Local governments are further broken down into counties and municipalities.

Definitions for concepts, categories, and terms used in this report are contained in appendix 2. Two concepts that recur frequently, however, are worth noting here. Total expenditure is comprised of direct and intergovernmental expenditure of a government or level of government for criminal justice activities. In most expenditure tables, certain totals must be adjusted to exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. For example, money paid by a State government to a county government within that State is reported by the State government as an intergovernmental expenditure and by the county government as a direct expenditure when the money is spent. Therefore, in order to arrive at a combined State-local government total that does not duplicate these amounts, intergovernmental expenditure amounts are deducted from the State-local total, since those amounts are also reflected in the direct expenditures of the recipient governments. The same is true of intergovernmental payments between counties and municipalities within the same State. Full-time equivalent employment is a statistical measure that represents the total number of employees (full- and part-time), discounted by applying average full-time earning rates. It is calculated by dividing the total payroll (full-time plus part-time) by the full-time payroll and multiplying the resultant quotient by the number of full-time employees.

It is also important to note that in this report the judicial, legal services and prosecution, and public defense categories include expenditure and employment data for both criminal and civil justice activities because available source documents for many governments do not segregate these activities for courts or agencies that handle both. Nor are the State and local governmental officials who provide the survey data able to make this break reliably and consistently. Therefore, in the absence of a consistent and reliable basis for proration, both criminal and civil activities are included for these categories to preserve uniformity in the collection and presentation of data.

Appendix 3 exhibits the mail questionnaires used in the 1977 survey, followed by a user evaluation questionnaire soliciting comments from readers.

#### General, fiscal year 1977

Total expenditure for criminal justice purposes in FY 1977 by all levels of government was \$21.6 billion. This is an increase of \$1.9 billion or 10 percent over FY 1976 but less than the 14 percent increase recorded in FY 1976 (table A).

Over half, \$12 billion, of total criminal justice expenditure was for police protection, and close to one-fourth, \$5 billion, was for corrections. The balance was distributed as follows: \$2.6 billion or 12 percent for judicial activities, \$1.2 billion or 6 percent for prosecution and civil legal services, \$0.4 or 2 percent for public defense, and \$0.5 billion or 2 percent for other criminal justice activities. All six criminal justice functions showed increases in 1977 over 1976: Public defense expenditure led with a 22 percent increase, followed by legal services/prosecution (up 17 percent), corrections (up 13 percent), other criminal justice (up 10 percent), judicial (up 9 percent), and police protection (up 8 percent).

In October 1977, there were 1,131,780 criminal justice employees on a full-time equivalent basis. This was 51,888 more than in October 1976, the highest rate of growth since October 1974, as seen in table B.

Figure 1 presents State-by-State per capita expenditure for criminal justice activities of State and of local governments in Fiscal Year 1977. The per capita data were computed on the basis of provisional population estimates as of July 1, 1977.

On a per capita basis, direct expenditure of all State and local governments for criminal justice activities was \$87 in 1977, an 8.8 percent increase over the \$80 recorded in 1976. Local governments spent \$60 per capita, or 69 percent of the total State and local government per capita expenditure. However, in 7 States (Alaska, Delaware, New Mexico, North Carolina, Rhode Island, Vermont, and West Virginia) per capita criminal justice expenditure of the State government exceeded that of its local governmental units. The District of Columbia per capita expenditure of \$268 was the highest amount recorded in 1977, although this was 7.3 percent lower than the \$289 per capita in 1976. Among the States, Alaska at \$217 led the Nation, followed by Nevada (\$162), New York (\$130), and California (\$125).

The total expenditure increase of State and local governments from FY 1976 to FY 1977 varied sharply by region, as shown in Figure 2 in the graphic summary. The South led all regions with an increase of \$495.2 million or 11.0 percent, followed closely by the West, up \$430.7 million or 10.9 percent, and the North Central region up \$373.0 million or 9.1 percent. The Northeast region had only about half of the increase of that of the South, with a total expenditure increase of \$266.1 million or 5.6 percent.

Year-to-year change in expenditure of State and local governments also varied dramatically from State to State (Figure 3). California led all State areas with the largest increase in absolute

pent—\$231.2 million or 9.2 percent. The three next dollar gains were made in Michigan (\$90.8 million or 12.2 percent), Texas (\$79.9 million or 11.2 percent), and Florida (\$74.0 million or 8.9 percent). In terms of percent increase, Wyoming led with an increase of 48.8 percent; however, represented a relatively small dollar increase of \$1.1 million. Oklahoma was next, with a 32.8 percent increase representing an additional outlay of \$44.2 million.

State governments continued to spend more than the Federal Government and State governments combined for all criminal justice activities.

Figure 6 in the graphic summary shows that local governments accounted for 60 percent of all direct criminal justice expenditure; State governments contributed 27 percent, the Federal Government 13 percent. However, when activity is examined separately, the proportion accounted for by the different levels of government varied throughout the criminal justice system.

As seen in table C, five of the activities—police protection, judicial, legal services and prosecution, public defense, and “other criminal justice” were supported mainly by local governments. State governments contributed the largest amount for corrections.

Figure 4 shows the change in direct expenditure by type of government and by function from FY 1976 to FY 1977. The two largest increases in direct expenditure occurred in police protection of municipalities (up \$444 million) and in corrections expenditure of State governments (up \$372 million). These two increases alone accounted for over 43 percent of the total increase in direct expenditure for all governments between FY 1976 and FY 1977. The “other criminal justice” sector of municipalities registered the largest year-to-year percent increase (37.0 percent or \$25.5 million), followed closely by the Federal public defense sector (up 35.4 percent or \$36.7 million) and the Federal judicial sector (up 32.0 percent or \$70.2 million).

**Table B. Total Full-time Equivalent Criminal Justice Employees and Percent Change, by Level of Government, October 1971 to October 1977**

Payroll period	Total	Federal	State	Local
Number of employees				
October 1971.....	861,776	77,523	205,859	578,394
October 1972.....	898,305	85,222	216,603	596,480
October 1973.....	945,309	87,139	232,299	625,871
October 1974.....	1,011,205	93,755	252,588	664,862
October 1975.....	1,050,503	96,136	263,208	691,159
October 1976.....	1,079,892	99,553	272,488	707,851
October 1977.....	1,131,780	100,088	298,571	733,121
Percent increase or decrease (-)				
October 1971 to October 1972.....	4.2	9.9	5.2	3.1
October 1972 to October 1973.....	5.2	2.2	7.2	4.9
October 1973 to October 1974.....	7.0	7.6	8.7	6.2
October 1974 to October 1975.....	3.9	2.5	4.2	4.0
October 1975 to October 1976.....	2.8	3.6	3.5	2.4
October 1976 to October 1977.....	4.8	0.5	9.6	3.6
October 1971 to October 1977.....	31.3	29.1	45.0	26.8

**Table C. Percent Distribution of Total Direct Expenditure for the Criminal Justice System by Activity and Level of Government, Fiscal Year 1977**

Level of government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal.....	12.9	14.9	11.0	15.2	34.8	6.1	19.5
State.....	26.9	15.2	24.6	24.2	19.4	57.7	27.6
Local.....	60.2	69.9	64.4	60.6	45.8	36.2	52.9

Relationships similar to those found for expenditure among the various levels of government also apply to employment. Figure 7 shows that almost two-thirds of the total full-time equivalent criminal justice employment of all governments was at the local level. As seen in table D, the proportion of people employed by the three levels of government in each sector generally approximated the proportion of expenditures made in the sector. Exceptions to this pattern are seen in the public defense and "other criminal justice" sectors. The Federal Government accounted for 35 percent of the total expenditure for public defense but only 3 percent of the employees. This is because the Federal Government makes more extensive use of court-appointed counsel systems, whereby fees are paid to private counsel to defend indigent clients accused of crimes, than do State and local governments, which more frequently hire employees directly to provide public defense services. It should also be noted that 85 percent of Federal public defense expenditure was accounted for by the Legal Services Corporation for counseling indigent persons in purely civil matters. Other Federal public defense programs service clients involved in criminal matters or mixed criminal and civil matters. Local governments accounted for 53 percent of "other criminal justice" expenditure but only 42 percent of the employees, while State governments contributed 28 percent of the expenditure and 41 percent of the employees. This is due in part to the effect of large capital expenditures in this category at the local government level for construction of multipurpose criminal justice buildings. Moreover, this residual category has smaller expenditure and employment totals and small changes have a greater proportionate impact.

#### Federal Government (tables 4 and 5)

The Federal Government expended a total of \$3.6 billion on criminal justice activities in fiscal year 1977. This represents slightly over 1 percent of the total 1977 Federal expenditure of \$314 billion. The \$3.6 billion amounts to a \$280 million, or 8 percent increase, over FY 1976. The increase in Federal criminal justice direct expenditure (which includes current operating expenses and capital outlay but excludes Federal grants to State and local governments) was even greater at 13 percent.

The police protection sector accounted for the largest portion of the total dollar increase: \$156 million or 10 percent.

However, the public defense sector accounted for the largest percentage increase, 35 percent or \$36.7 million, reflecting a 41 percent increase in the expenditures of the Legal Services Corporation referred to above.

Following closely in amount of increase were Federal judicial expenditures, rising 32 percent. This reflects increases of \$53.5 million by the U.S. District Courts, \$6 million by the U.S. Marshals Service, and \$5 million by the Federal Judicial Center.

Increases also occurred in legal services, up 24 percent, and in corrections, up 18 percent. For the first time in recent years "other criminal justice" expenditure experienced a decline, dropping \$72 million or 8 percent. This is primarily the result of a decrease in intergovernmental expenditure. Law Enforcement Assistance Administration grants (comprising nearly all of Federal intergovernmental outlays for criminal justice,) decreased from \$836 million in FY 1976 to \$774 million in FY 1977. Of the \$62 million drop, \$58 million occurred in Federal payments to State governments.

Figure 8 shows that, as in previous years, the largest share of Federal criminal justice money was expended for police protection activities, including those agencies that enforce Federal law and those that provide security and police services to Federal property and buildings. Of the \$3.6 billion in total Federal criminal justice expenditure, about one half (\$1.8 billion) was for activities in the police protection sector, including those of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (\$464 million), the Bureau of Customs (\$341 million), the Drug Enforcement Administration (\$158 million), and the Postal Inspection Service (\$114 million). About one-fourth of all Federal criminal justice expenditure was reported for activities classified in the "other criminal justice" sector. The other four sectors accounted for the remaining 26 percent of Federal criminal justice expenditure.

Full-time equivalent Federal employment in criminal justice activities increased slightly (0.5 percent) from October 1976 to October 1977. There were just over 100,000 full-time equivalent employees in October 1977, including 71,433 (71 percent) in the police protection sector; 11,760 (12 percent) in the corrections sector; 6,930 (7 percent) in the legal services and prosecution sector; and 8,165 (8 percent) in the judicial sector (see figure 9). Public defense and "other criminal justice" to-

**Table D. Percent Distribution of Full-Time Equivalent Employment in the Criminal Justice System by Activity and Level of Government, October 1977.**

Level of government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Federal.....	8.8	11.1	5.4	10.8	2.8	4.6	17.1
State.....	26.4	14.5	24.8	23.6	39.2	57.1	40.9
Local.....	64.8	74.4	69.8	65.6	58.0	38.3	42.0

gether accounted for only 1,800 full-time equivalent employees (2 percent) although these two sectors accounted for 28 percent of the total Federal criminal justice expenditure. As previously mentioned, the greatest portion of public defense expenditure was for court-appointed counsel, who are not considered regular public employees, and the greatest portion of the "other criminal justice" expenditure was in the form of intergovernmental grants.

Federal criminal justice employees drew an October 1977 payroll of \$170.0 million; each sector's percentage of the total payroll was generally proportionate to the percentage of full-time equivalent employment (see table E). There is a slight disparity in some sectors because of a concentration of higher paid employees. For example, the judicial sector had 8 percent of the employees but received 9 percent of the payroll. As shown in figure 5, the Federal Government has the highest average monthly salaries in every criminal justice sector. An average monthly salary of \$2,035 for public defense employees is followed by average salaries of \$1,882 and \$1,869 in the judicial and legal services/prosecution sectors respectively.

#### State governments (tables 6-11)

The total general expenditure of State governments in FY 1977 was \$166 billion, of which \$6.7 billion or 4 percent was expended on criminal justice activities, about the same proportion as in past years. This represents an increase of \$709 million or 12 percent in criminal justice expenditure from the previous fiscal year, slightly less than the 13 percent increase from 1975 to 1976.

The increase in expenditure by State governments was reflected in all criminal justice sectors except "other criminal justice." The legal services and prosecution sector at the State level showed the largest percentage increase, up 24 percent. Corrections expenditure, increasing by \$385 million or 15 percent, accounted for more than half of the overall dollar increase.

The slight overall decline of 0.4 percent in total State "other criminal justice" expenditure from \$612 million in FY 1976 to \$610 million in FY 1977 follows several years of decreased rates of growth. The decrease occurred in State intergovernmental payments to local governments, which dropped from \$482 million to \$471 million, reflecting the decline in the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration block grants, which States redistribute to local governments.

Police protection and judicial expenditure of State governments both showed decreased rates of growth from the previous year. Police protection expenditure increased 10 percent compared with 13 percent in FY 1976; and judicial expenditure rose 12 percent, down from the 18 percent increase in the prior year. Public defense expenditure rose 15 percent—nearly double the 8 percent rate of increase of a year ago.

As in past years, the corrections sector accounted for the largest portion of State criminal justice expenditure (\$3 billion or 44 percent). These expenditures included the operation of State prison systems and, in many States, the operation of the probation and parole systems as well. The balance of State criminal justice expenditure was distributed as follows: Police protection, \$2 billion, or 29 percent; judicial, \$736 million or 11 percent; legal services and prosecution, \$315 million, or 5 percent; public defense, \$90 million, or 1 percent; and "other criminal justice", \$610 million, or 9 percent (see figure 10).

Full time equivalent employment of State criminal justice agencies increased 10 percent to 298,571. Each criminal justice sector showed increases in employment, ranging from a 3 percent increase in the number of police protection employees to a 36 percent increase in judicial personnel (due largely to court system reorganizations involving a State takeover of some local courts). Payroll increased 19 percent between October 1976 and October 1977. The 298,571 full-time equivalent employees (10 percent of the total 2.9 million full-time equivalent employees on State payrolls) received \$370 million in salaries, wages, or other compensation in the month of

**Table E. Percent Distribution of Federal Criminal Justice Full-Time Equivalent Employment and Payroll, by Activity, October 1977**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1977 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1977 payroll
Total.....	100,088	\$170,004	100.0	100.0
Police protection.....	71,433	122,380	71.4	72.0
Judicial.....	8,165	15,363	8.2	9.0
Legal services.....	6,930	12,954	6.9	7.6
Public defense.....	230	468	0.2	0.3
Corrections.....	11,760	16,279	11.7	9.6
Other criminal justice.....	1,570	2,560	1.6	1.5

October 1977. The percentage of full-time equivalent employment in each sector was generally proportionate to the percentage of total expenditure in each sector with the exception of "other criminal justice" (see figures 10 and 11).

The October 1977 payroll of \$370 million was divided among the six criminal justice sectors roughly in proportion to the full-time equivalent employment in the sector (see table F). For those sectors characterized by higher-paid employees, namely judicial, legal services/prosecution, public defense, and "other criminal justice" (see figure 5), the sector's share of payroll of course exceeded the share of employment.

**Local governments: Counties and municipalities (tables 6-10 and 14-24)**

Total expenditure for criminal justice by local governments in fiscal year 1977 was \$13 billion, a \$959 million or 8 percent increase from FY 1976—about \$600 million or 7 percent less than the increase in the prior year. As in past years, close to two-thirds of this expenditure occurred in the police protection sector (\$8 billion or 64 percent). The judicial sector and the corrections sector accounted for 13 and 14 percent of expenditure respectively, while the other three sectors accounted for relatively smaller percents (see figure 12).

Local full-time equivalent employment was also distributed among the six sectors roughly in the same proportions as total expenditure (see figures 12 and 13). Two slight exceptions to this generalization are the public defense sector, where payments are frequently made to private court-appointed counsel who are not direct employees of the government, and the "other criminal justice" sector, where expenditures are frequently grant funds of private agencies associated with criminal justice activities or are used for construction of multi-purpose criminal justice facilities. In both instances, large expenditures may be made without the governments involved directly employing the workers.

Analyzing local criminal justice expenditure in total, however, tends to obscure important differences between the county level of government and the municipal level. Municipal governments (cities, towns, and townships) outspent county governments on criminal justice by almost \$3 billion overall, but the difference can be attributed almost exclusively to the police sector, where the municipal governments outspent the county governments by more than 4 to 1. Municipal governments spent \$6.7 billion (or 84 percent of all their criminal justice expenditure) on police protection, more than county governments spent on all six sectors altogether. However, county governments spent substantially more than municipal governments in the other five sectors. No one sector dominates county criminal justice expenditure as police protection does municipal expenditure (see figures 14 and 16).

County criminal justice expenditure for all activities increased by 10 percent over FY 1976, about half the increase from FY 1975 to FY 1976 and the lowest increase in recent years. The greatest individual sector increase occurred in public defense, \$26.3 million or 20 percent which, however, represents a decline from the prior year increase of 27 percent. All other sectors registered substantial declines in their rates of increase from the prior year: police protection, from 15 to 9 percent; judicial, from 19 to 8 percent; legal services and prosecution, from 24 to 16 percent; corrections, from 16 to 10 percent; and "other criminal justice," from 134 to 15 percent. The drop in "other criminal justice" expenditure at the county level partly reflects a reduction in capital outlay expenditure from \$436 million in FY 1976 to \$424 million in FY 1977. This contrast markedly with the 1975-76 increase of \$154 million in county capital outlay for the construction of multifunctional criminal justice buildings, such as police-jail or police-court facilities.

At the municipal level, the largest proportionate increase year-to-year was in the "other criminal justice" sector (3 percent)—the only sector in which the rate of increase did not

**Table F. Percent Distribution of State Criminal Justice Full-Time Equivalent Employment and Payroll, by Activity, October 1977**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1977 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1977 payroll
Total.....	1298,571	\$370,396	100.0	100.0
Police protection.....	93,674	116,294	31.4	31.4
Judicial.....	37,337	58,566	12.5	15.8
Legal services.....	15,068	23,147	5.1	6.3
Public defense.....	3,175	4,376	1.1	1.2
Corrections.....	145,552	163,079	48.8	44.0
Other criminal justice.....	3,766	4,934	1.3	1.3

<sup>1</sup>Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.



decline in relation to FY 1976. In the other sectors, changes ranged from a 4 percent decline in municipal judicial activities to a 12 percent increase in the legal services and prosecution sector.

At both the county and municipal levels, employment and payrolls were distributed throughout the various sectors in nearly the same percentages as expenditure (see tables G and H). There were 287,760 full-time equivalent county criminal justice employees in October 1977 drawing a monthly payroll of \$327 million. This was an increase of 15,219 or 6 percent over October 1976. The police protection sector showed the greatest increase in the number of full-time equivalent employees, 4,818 or 5 percent. As at the State level, in those sectors with a concentration of higher-paid employees such as legal services and public defense (see figure 5), the percentage of total payroll exceeded the percentage of total employees. County average

monthly pay for police protection, rising 17 percent, exceeded the municipal average for the first time, \$1,267 to \$1,266. There were 445,361 full-time equivalent municipal criminal justice employees in October 1977 with a monthly payroll of \$559 million. This was an increase of only 2 percent compared with October 1976 full-time equivalent employment. Nearly all of the 10,011 increase occurred in police protection, which rose by 9,048, in marked contrast to the increase of less than 100 from FY 1975 to FY 1976. In the remaining municipal sectors, small declines in judicial and "other criminal justice" were offset by small gains in legal services and prosecution, public defense and corrections. Among the sectors with a significant number of employees, the legal services and corrections sectors paid the highest average salaries. Except in the police protection and public defense sectors, municipal average monthly salaries exceeded those at the county level.

**Table G. Percent Distribution of County Criminal Justice Full-Time Equivalent Employment and Payroll, by Activity, October 1977**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1977 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1977 payroll
Total.....	287,760	<sup>1</sup> \$327,053	100.0	<sup>1</sup> 100.0
Police protection.....	96,635	122,434	33.6	37.4
Judicial.....	78,851	77,411	27.4	23.7
Legal services.....	27,842	35,553	9.7	10.9
Public defense.....	4,441	7,058	1.5	2.2
Corrections.....	77,682	82,087	27.0	25.1
Other criminal justice.....	2,309	2,511	0.8	0.8

<sup>1</sup>Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

**Table H. Percent Distribution of Municipal Criminal Justice Full-time Equivalent Employment and Payroll, by Activity, October 1977**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	Full-time equivalent employees	October 1977 payroll	Percent distribution	
			Full-time equivalent employment	October 1977 payroll
Total.....	445,361	\$559,347	<sup>1</sup> 100.0	<sup>1</sup> 100.0
Police protection.....	383,273	485,040	86.1	86.7
Judicial.....	26,193	27,577	5.8	4.9
Legal services.....	14,062	18,080	3.1	3.2
Public defense.....	258	317	0.1	0.1
Corrections.....	20,014	26,479	4.4	4.7
Other criminal justice.....	1,561	1,854	0.4	0.3

<sup>1</sup>Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

Separate data are presented in tables 15-19 for the 333 county governments with a population of 100,000 or more. Over one-half (58 percent) of the total 1975 United States population lives in the 333 largest counties, and these counties accounted for slightly more than three-fourths (\$4 billion) of the total expenditure for criminal justice activities at the county level. These same counties accounted for 72 percent of all county-level full-time equivalent criminal justice employment (206,269) and paid 79 percent of the total county criminal justice payroll for October 1977. These figures of course, reflect the greater need for criminal justice services and the higher salaries in densely populated areas.

Separate data are presented in tables 20-24 for the 395 city governments with a population of 50,000 or more. Total criminal justice expenditure by the 395 large city governments amounted to \$5 billion, and full-time equivalent criminal justice employment was 275,687. These 395 cities have slightly more than one-half (53 percent) of the municipal population and accounted for slightly more than two-thirds of the municipal criminal justice expenditure, 67 percent. These same 395 cities employed 62 percent of all municipal full-time equivalent employees working in the criminal justice system and paid them 67 percent of the total municipal criminal justice payroll. Again, as with the large counties, the proportion of payroll exceeded the proportion of employees, reflecting the generally higher salaries in the large metropolitan areas.

The distribution of expenditure and employment throughout the various criminal justice sectors in the 333 counties and the 395 cities reflects the distribution for counties and cities generally.

It should be noted that in the individual city tables, certain cities displayed are either independent, being wholly outside any county area, or operate wholly or in part as a consolidated city-county. In general, these cities are more similar to large counties than to other large cities in the scope of their criminal justice responsibilities; that is, in addition to police protection, which is the primary criminal justice function in most cities, these cities also operate extensive judicial and corrections systems and may have significant public defense expenditures. The independent cities are: Anchorage, Alaska; Washington, D.C.; Baltimore, Md.; St. Louis, Mo.; Columbus, Ga.; Carson City, Nev.; and the following cities in Virginia: Alexandria, Chesapeake, Hampton, Lynchburg, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Richmond, Roanoke, Virginia Beach, and 31 others, 20 of which are included in the survey sample and are reflected in table I. Data are not available for eight cities not included in the survey sample and three cities which did not respond. The consolidated city-county governments are: San Francisco, Calif.; Denver, Colo.; Jacksonville, Fla.; Honolulu, Hawaii; Indianapolis, Ind.; Lexington, Ky.; Baton Rouge, La.; New Orleans, La.; Boston, Mass.; New York City, N.Y.; Philadelphia, Pa.; Nashville-Davidson, Tenn.; and three others that are not displayed in the individual city tables because they had populations of less than 50,000. They are Juneau, Alaska; Sitka, Alaska; and Nantucket, Mass. All 15 however, are displayed in table I to show their effect on total municipal direct expenditure and employment for all criminal justice functions.

Because New York City's criminal justice direct expenditure accounted for 48 percent of the combined total of independent cities and consolidated city-counties, these units' share of total municipal direct expenditure is less dramatic when New York City is removed, dropping from 29 percent to 15 percent. Similarly, New York City accounts for 42 percent of the total criminal justice employment for this group, and when it is removed, the share of total municipal employment is reduced from 24 percent to 14 percent.

#### Local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's (tables 12, 13, and 14)

Data are presented on criminal justice expenditure, employment, and payroll of all governments in the 17 largest standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSA's) and within the individual SMSA for each of its component county areas. The county area data include aggregate figures for the county government and for all municipalities within that county. In a few instances, data for individual cities are listed separately in the SMSA tables because the city is either the only government within a county area, e.g., consolidated city-county governments such as Philadelphia or New York City, or the city is organizationally independent and within no recognized county boundary, e.g., St. Louis, Mo., or Baltimore, Md. The State Economic Area (SEA) rather than the SMSA is shown for Boston because the SMSA's in New England are defined in terms of cities and towns rather than counties, a deviation from the general SMSA concept. The SEA, on the other hand, is made up of counties.

As seen in table 12, the 17 largest SMSA's expended \$6.0 billion for criminal justice activities in FY 1977. Almost half (46 percent) of the Nation's total local criminal justice direct expenditure was made by governments in these areas, where 30 percent of the estimated 1975 United States population resides. On a sector-by-sector basis, governments in these SMSA's accounted for 46 percent of all local government direct expenditure for police protection; 43 percent of local expenditure for judicial activities; 45 percent of local expenditure for legal services and prosecution activities; 49 percent of local expenditure for public defense activities; 47 percent of all local expenditure for corrections activities; and 47 percent of local expenditure for "other criminal justice" activities (see table J).

The local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's employed 295,830 full-time equivalent personnel in criminal justice, or 40 percent of the total number of local government full-time equivalent employees working in criminal justice. The percentage of all local government employees working in each criminal justice sector in these SMSA's was police protection, 40 percent; judicial, 40 percent; legal services and prosecution, 40 percent; public defense, 43 percent; corrections, 42 percent and "other criminal justice," 40 percent.

On a per capita basis, the 17 largest SMSA's spent \$91 in 1977. Police protection accounted for \$58 or 64 percent of the 17 largest SMSA's total criminal justice expenditure. Total per capita criminal justice expenditure varied from \$42 for the Pittsburgh SMSA to \$139 for the New York, New York-New Jersey SMSA. Police protection expenditure as a percentage of total SMSA expenditure ranged from 50 percent for San Francisco-Oakland to 77 for Chicago (figure 18).

**Table I. Criminal Justice System Direct Expenditure and Employment of Independent Cities and Consolidated City-County Governments, Fiscal Year 1977**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government	Estimated population July 1, 1975	Total criminal justice system		State and type of governments	Estimated population July 1, 1975	Total criminal justice system	
		Direct expenditures	Total employees			Direct expenditures	Total employees
United States:							
Local governments, total <sup>1</sup> .....	(X)	\$12,982,693	785,872	Kentucky: Lexington-Fayette.....	186,048	10,701	697
Counties, total.....	189,776,000	5,067,611	306,411	Louisiana: Baton Rouge-East Baton Rouge.....	310,922	16,673	1,600
Municipalities, total <sup>1</sup> .....	170,157,000	7,915,082	479,461	New Orleans-Orleans.....	559,770	50,278	3,627
Independent cities and consolidated city-county governments....	19,314,556	2,322,657	116,004	Maryland: Baltimore.....	637,114	89,241	4,999
Alaska:				Massachusetts: Boston-Suffolk.....	722,794	105,943	4,528
Anchorage.....	161,018	8,488	227	Nantucket.....	5,660	404	33
Juneau.....	16,749	1,852	37	Missouri: St. Louis.....	960,451	60,186	4,036
Sitka.....	6,073	410	22	Nevada: Carson City.....	24,928	1,079	78
California:				New York: New York-Bronx, Kings, New York, Queens, Richmond.....	7,481,613	1,112,698	48,676
San Francisco.....	664,520	88,748	4,432	Pennsylvania: Philadelphia.....	1,815,808	269,210	15,668
Colorado:				Tennessee: Nashville-Davidson.....	447,865	29,040	1,934
Denver.....	484,531	55,597	2,364	Virginia: Independent cities (30) <sup>2</sup> ..	1,907,267	111,741	6,733
District of Columbia.....	711,518	184,640	8,649				
Florida:							
Jacksonville-Duval.....	562,282	31,943	2,066				
Georgia:							
Columbus-Muscogee.....	160,103	8,059	651				
Hawaii:							
Honolulu.....	705,381	37,654	1,971				
Indiana:							
Indianapolis-Marion.....	782,141	48,072	2,976				

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Expenditure and employment data for independent cities and consolidated city-county governments are included.

<sup>2</sup>There are 41 independent cities in Virginia; however, 8 of these cities are not included in the survey sample; and three did not respond.

**Table J. Total Criminal Justice Direct Expenditure of All Local Governments and Local Governments in the 17 Largest SMSA's, Fiscal Year 1977**

(Millions of dollars)

Local government	Total	Police protection	Judicial	Legal services	Public defense	Corrections	Other criminal justice
All local governments.....	\$12,983	\$8,300	\$1,698	\$743	\$185	\$1,788	\$269
Local governments in 17 largest SMSA's.....	5,963	3,847	731	331	91	838	126
Percent of all local governments <sup>1</sup> .....	45.9	46.4	43.1	44.6	49.2	46.9	46.8

<sup>1</sup>Percentages based on unrounded figures shown in tables 7 and 12.

## SURVEY METHODOLOGY-SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA

### General

Survey coverage and collection methods are described in detail below, and the definitions applied in the collection of data are presented in appendix 2.

As in the previous editions of this annual series, several tables show comparisons of criminal justice expenditure and full-time equivalent employment with the total general expenditure and full-time equivalent employment for all functions of the particular government or level of government. Prior to the FY 1971 report, local government total expenditure and full-time equivalent employment included data for independent school districts and special districts as well as for general local governments, i.e., counties, municipalities, and townships.

The "variable pass-through" provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control Act of 1970, however, required that the concept of "local" government be confined to units of general local government only in determining the ratio of State-to-local government criminal justice expenditure. Beginning with the FY 1971 report, therefore, the same concept was applied consistently throughout the publication to reflect the intent of the law, and the total general expenditure and total full-time equivalent employment figures shown for the "local" level of government in these comparisons include data for units of general local government only and do not include data for independent school districts or special districts. This change may result in significant differences from the percentages shown in years prior to FY 1971 in those States where education is largely the responsibility of independent school districts, or where there are large special districts. Criminal justice expenditure and employment data in this series, however, have always been for units of general local government only; i.e., no data on the criminal justice activities of independent school districts or special districts have ever been included. As in previous reports, however, appendix 1 presents separate data for those independent school districts and special districts having significant special police expenditure and employment. In addition, appendix 1 includes separate data for campus police of colleges and universities that are dependent agencies of State and local general governments—data that are also included in the summary figures for State and local governments.

### Survey coverage

The survey through which basic figures for this report were collected covered the Federal Government, all State governments, and a representative sample of local governments within each State. Data were collected for all county governments (regardless of size), for all municipalities (and townships in the New England and Middle Atlantic States) having a 1970 population of 10,000 or more, and for a sample of the remaining municipalities and townships with less than 10,000 population, selected according to the relative size of their annual expenditures as reported in the 1972 Census of Governments. The

survey panel therefore included the Federal Government, the 50 State governments, and 9,044 local governments (the 3,042 county governments, 4,305 municipalities, and 1,697 townships).

### The survey period

Federal Government data are shown for FY 1977, which began on October 1, 1976 and ended September 30, 1977. (Data for the transitional quarter from July 1, 1976 to September 30, 1976 were displayed separately in Table 4A of the 1976 publication of criminal justice expenditure and employment). The State expenditure data presented in this report cover the fiscal year ending June 30, 1977 for all States except four whose fiscal years ended as follows: New York, March 31, 1977; Texas, August 31, 1977; and Alabama, and Michigan, September 30, 1977. However, there are some State agencies that operate on a different fiscal year basis from the rest of the State government. In such instances, figures shown are for the agency's fiscal year that ended within the State's regular fiscal year.

For local governments, the 1977 fiscal years reported are those that closed between July 1, 1976 and June 30, 1977. Most municipalities and counties ended their fiscal years on December 31, 1976 or June 30, 1977. The fiscal year reported for Washington, D.C. ended on September 30, 1977.

Employment data shown for Federal, State, and local governments are for October 1977.

### Data collection

The survey was accomplished using two methods of data collection: Field compilation and mail canvass. Trained field representatives compiled expenditure and employment data from the governments' own records for the 50 States, the 333 largest counties, the 395 largest cities, and selected smaller units. The compilation work was done between July 1977 and June 1978 in accordance with the definitions presented in appendix 2. All other units in the sample were canvassed by mail for expenditure and employment data, over a 5-month period beginning in January 1978 and ending in May 1978. Response for field-compiled units was 100 percent. The response from mail canvass units was 92 percent. The mail canvass questionnaires are exhibited in appendix 3.

The field survey efforts and mail canvass were supplemented by reference to a variety of published government documents such as budgets, financial statements, and audit reports. In some cases, such sources were the basis for breakdowns of totals into more detailed employment and expenditure figures.

The expenditure and employment data for the Federal Government were collected through a special mail survey, with telephone followup, of Federal criminal justice agencies, in accordance with definitions used for State and local governments (see appendix 2). For reports prior to 1971, expenditure data were derived from the Budget of the United States Government, and employment figures were obtained from the U.S. Civil Service Commission.

### Data limitations

The sample selected to develop local government figures is one of all possible samples of the same size that could have been chosen using the same sampling design. Estimates derived from these different samples would differ from each other and also from a complete census using the same data collection procedures. This variation among all possible estimates is sampling error. Because all State and county governments were included in the survey, State and county figures are not subject to sampling error.

The local government sample was designed to produce an estimate for each State of the portion of total criminal justice expenditure made by local governments with a relative sampling error of less than one-half of 1 percent. For the fiscal year 1977 data, the errors were less than one-half of 1 percent in all but 13 States; however, in all States, the errors were less than three-quarters of 1 percent, at the 95 percent confidence level.

The relative sampling error of the State-by-State estimates of local government criminal justice expenditure has been calculated at the two-thirds confidence level and found to be within 3 percent of the estimated totals for all States and less than 2 percent for 28 States. The results of the computations of standard errors for local government totals on a relative standard error basis are summarized in table K.

Because State government figures are not subject to sampling variation, the State-local aggregates shown for individual States are relatively more reliable than the local government estimates they include.

The data are also subject to the inaccuracies in classification, response, and processing that would occur if a complete census had been conducted under the same conditions as the sample survey. Every effort was made to keep such errors to a minimum through care in examining, editing, and tabulating the data submitted by government officials. Followup procedures were used extensively to clarify inadequate and inconsistent survey returns.

Readers should be generally cautious in comparing governments, because other differences in functional responsibilities from State-to-State and government-to-government can also affect the comparability of expenditure and employment data. For example, some State governments directly administer certain activities that elsewhere are undertaken by local governments, with or without fiscal aid, and the same variation in the division of responsibilities exists for counties and cities.

The available source documents did not consistently provide full itemization of expenditure or employment for the sector subcategories presented in this report. As a result, sector breakdowns may be incomplete for particular governmental units.

Because of rounding, the detail data in some tables may not add precisely to the totals shown.

### Changes in survey methodology

As discussed earlier, this series of annual reports dates back to FY 1967. The survey originated in the Bureau of the Census as a special in-house study in which police protection, judicial, and corrections data were extracted for selected large governments from data compiled in the Bureau's regular annual finance and employment sample surveys. In the FY 1969 survey, prosecution and public defense were added to the original three criminal justice categories, coverage was extended to the entire regular annual survey sample of counties and municipalities, and a mail canvass questionnaire designed specifically to elicit criminal justice data was used for all but the largest governments which were canvassed by field agents. These changes must be taken into account in making year-to-year comparisons from FY 1967 through FY 1970.

In the FY 1970 report, individual unit data were published for 128 large counties (as compared with 55 counties in earlier reports) and for 158 large cities (compared with 48 cities in earlier reports). The Federal expenditure data presented in all reports through FY 1970 were extracted from the Budget of the United States Government, and the employment data

**Table K. Relative Standard Errors of Local Government Totals of Criminal Justice Expenditure**

0.5 or less	0.5 - 1.0	1.0 - 2.0	2.0 - 3.0
California	Alaska	Arizona	Alabama
Connecticut	Maryland	Delaware	Arkansas
Hawaii	New York	Florida	Colorado
Nevada	Rhode Island	Georgia	Iowa
		Idaho	Minnesota
		Illinois	Mississippi
		Indiana	Missouri
		Kansas	Nebraska
		Kentucky	New Hampshire
		Louisiana	New Jersey
		Maine	North Carolina
		Massachusetts	North Dakota
		Michigan	Ohio
		Montana	Oklahoma
		New Mexico	Oregon
		South Dakota	Pennsylvania
		Tennessee	South Carolina
		Texas	Utah
		Virginia	Vermont
		Washington	West Virginia
			Wisconsin
			Wyoming

were provided by the U.S. Civil Service Commission. Since FY 1971 Federal data have been collected by a special mail canvass.

For the FY 1971 survey, a new and enlarged panel of local governments was drawn specifically for the criminal justice expenditure and employment survey, including all county governments and a larger sample of municipalities and townships, to produce more reliable estimates for use by LEAA in the allocation of block grant funds between State and local governments. The data presented in reports since FY 1971 are therefore essentially comparable, because they are derived from the same sample using the same methods of data collection and processing. The only significant variations occurred in classification of certain expenditure items discussed in detail in the following section.

#### Changes in classification

In the FY 1971 report, police protection expenditure included amounts expended for traffic safety and related traffic engineering. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, these expenditures were excluded from the police protection figures. Also, data for special police forces administered by general-purpose governments (such as airport police, park police, housing police, etc.) were included in the police protection figures if the force employed 10 or more full-time sworn officers with general arrest powers. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, data for these forces were included regardless of size.

In the FY 1971 report, corrections expenditure figures covered a number of drug and alcohol abuse facilities and programs administered by assorted agencies, departments, and private organizations receiving public funding. Beginning with the FY 1972 report, these institutions and programs were included only if administered by a corrections agency of the criminal justice system.

In many States, statutes either require or permit local governments to supplement the salary of State-paid judges of major trial courts. In the FY 1971 report, an attempt was made to count these judges and their total payroll only at the State level. However, this effort was not uniformly successful. Therefore, beginning with the FY 1972 report, judges actually receiving a check from both the State and local governments were counted as part-time employees at both levels.

#### AVAILABILITY OF DATA

Copies of the annual and trends reports are available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402; U.S. Department of Commerce District Offices; and the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, Box 6000, Rockville, Md. 20850.

In addition to this publication, data are also available on magnetic tape and computer printout. For details, contact the Statistics Division, National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, Washington, D.C. 20531.

---

## GRAPHIC SUMMARY

FIGURE 1

**Per Capita Direct Expenditure for Criminal Justice  
Activities of State and Local Governments, for  
State Areas, Fiscal Year 1977**






FIGURE 2.

**Change in Total Criminal Justice  
Expenditure of State and Local  
Governments, by Region, 1976 to 1977**





FIGURE 3

Change in Total Criminal Justice Expenditure of  
State and Local Governments, by State Areas, by  
Region, 1976 to 1977



\*Decrease of 0.5 percent or \$3.9 million

\*\*Decrease of 4.8 percent or \$2.0 million

FIGURE 3

**Change in Total Criminal Justice Expenditure  
of State and Local Governments, by State Areas,  
by Region, 1976 to 1977 — Continued**




FIGURE 4.

Change in Total Direct Criminal Justice  
Expenditure by Function and by Type of  
Government, 1976 to 1977






FIGURE 5

Average Monthly Salaries for Criminal Justice Employees on a Full-Time Equivalent Basis by Activity and Type of Government, October 1977




\*Decrease of 4.3 percent

\*\*Decrease of 2.5 percent

FIGURE 6.

**Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System  
Direct Expenditure by Level of Government,  
Fiscal Year 1977**



\* For each level of government, this graph depicts direct expenditure only and excludes intergovernmental expenditure to avoid duplication, since payments from one level of government to another are reflected in the direct expenditures of the recipient level of government. On the other hand, figures 8, 10, 12, 14 and 16 depict total expenditure, including both direct and intergovernmental expenditure, of individual levels and types of governments. See text and Appendix 2: Definitions of terms.

FIGURE 7.

Percent Distribution of Criminal Justice System  
Full-Time Equivalent Employment by Level of  
Government, October 1977





FIGURE 8.

**Federal Government Criminal Justice System  
Total Expenditure, Fiscal Year 1977**

\*Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.



FIGURE 9.

**Federal Government Criminal Justice System  
Full-Time Equivalent Employment, October  
1977**






FIGURE 10.

**State Government Criminal Justice System  
Total Expenditure, Fiscal Year 1977**

\*Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 11.

**State Government Criminal Justice System  
Full-Time Equivalent Employment, October  
1977**



\*Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 12.


**Local Government Criminal Justice System  
Total Expenditure, Fiscal Year 1977**

FIGURE 13.

**Local Government Criminal Justice System  
Full-Time Equivalent Employment, October  
1977**





FIGURE 14.

**County Government Criminal Justice System  
Total Expenditure, Fiscal Year 1977**

\*Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.

FIGURE 15.

**County Government Criminal Justice System  
Full-Time Equivalent Employment, October  
1977**





FIGURE 16.

**Municipal Government Criminal Justice  
System Total Expenditure, Fiscal Year 1977**

\*Because of rounding, detail does not add to total.



FIGURE 17.

**Municipal Government Criminal Justice System  
Full-Time Equivalent Employment, October  
1977**





FIGURE 18.

Per Capita Criminal Justice Expenditure  
of the 17 Largest SMSA's, Fiscal Year  
1977\*

Police Protection  
All Other Functions



\*Computed from 1975 population estimates

## Section I. VARIABLE PASS-THROUGH DATA

The data in this table were developed to comply with the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended, which requires that the block grants made by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration to each State be allocated between the State and local governments according to the ratio of State-to-local law enforcement expenditure from their own revenue sources.

The concept of "expenditure from own sources" specified in the law and used in the data collection means that the expenditure figures from which the State-to-local ratio was developed do not include amounts expended from revenue received from other governments. For example, State or local government expenditure from sales or property tax revenue are included but not amounts expended from intergovernmental revenue, such as Federal grant monies. (It should be noted that this is the only table in this publication based on this concept. All other expenditure tables reflect expenditure from all sources.)

Specifically for local government, any expenditure from revenue received from another local government, or received directly from the Federal Government or from the State government must be excluded. Likewise for State governments, expenditure from revenue received from local governments or from the Federal Government must be excluded.

The following items were deducted from total criminal justice expenditure of local governments to arrive at local government expenditure from own revenue sources: Revenue received directly from the Federal Government for criminal justice purposes, State payments to local governments for criminal justice purposes, and payments from local government(s) to other local government(s) for criminal justice purposes. The same procedure was followed to arrive at State government expenditure from own revenue sources. Criminal justice revenue received directly from the Federal Government and local criminal justice payments to the State government were deducted from the total State expenditure for criminal justice. This procedure assumes that all intergovernmental payments received by a government will be expended during the same fiscal year they were received. While this may not be the case in a particular year, any discrepancy will cancel out over time.

**Table 1. Percent distribution of total criminal justice expenditure from own sources of State and local governments, by State, fiscal year 1977**  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item	Expenditure from own sources			Percent distribution	
	Total State-local <sup>1</sup>	State	Local <sup>1</sup>	State	Local
UNITED STATES, TOTAL . . .	16 340 156	5 611 155	10 729 001	34.3	65.7
ALABAMA . . . . .	140 894	46 110	94 784	32.7	67.3
ALASKA . . . . .	81 734	63 264	18 470	77.4	22.6
ARIZONA . . . . .	225 572	65 723	159 849	29.1	70.9
ARKANSAS . . . . .	72 494	34 732	37 762	47.9	52.1
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	2 547 326	681 176	1 866 150	26.7	73.3
COLORADO . . . . .	236 376	91 042	145 334	38.5	61.5
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	204 820	105 525	99 295	51.5	48.5
DELAWARE . . . . .	49 713	40 263	9 450	81.0	19.0
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	173 963	-	173 963	-	100.0
FLORIDA . . . . .	742 776	289 313	453 463	39.0	61.0
GEORGIA . . . . .	318 141	128 479	189 662	40.4	59.6
HAWAII . . . . .	69 438	28 952	40 486	41.7	58.3
IDAHO . . . . .	47 600	20 755	26 845	43.6	56.4
ILLINOIS . . . . .	921 084	234 167	686 917	25.4	74.6
INDIANA . . . . .	266 822	86 931	179 891	32.6	67.4
IOWA . . . . .	142 772	49 189	93 583	34.5	65.5
KANSAS . . . . .	146 082	67 718	78 364	46.4	53.6
KENTUCKY . . . . .	181 496	98 012	83 484	54.0	46.0
LOUISIANA . . . . .	278 663	107 415	171 248	38.5	61.5
MAINE . . . . .	45 085	24 065	21 020	53.4	46.6
MARYLAND . . . . .	382 783	238 297	144 486	62.3	37.7
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	474 957	130 782	344 175	27.5	72.5
MICHIGAN . . . . .	732 111	220 706	511 405	30.1	69.9
MINNESOTA . . . . .	238 830	81 053	157 777	33.9	66.1
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	95 532	39 932	55 600	41.8	58.2
MISSOURI . . . . .	282 805	79 850	202 955	28.2	71.8
MONTANA . . . . .	44 650	18 573	26 077	41.6	58.4
NEBRASKA . . . . .	87 043	37 204	49 839	42.7	57.3
NEVADA . . . . .	90 065	30 739	59 326	34.1	65.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	42 213	13 522	28 691	32.0	68.0
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	655 017	183 307	471 710	28.0	72.0
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	67 906	40 078	27 828	59.0	41.0
NEW YORK . . . . .	2 001 344	556 260	1 445 084	27.8	72.2
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	305 141	185 067	120 074	60.6	39.4
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	28 100	9 722	18 378	34.6	65.4
OHIO . . . . .	633 043	178 403	454 640	28.2	71.8
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	153 719	74 086	79 633	48.2	51.8
OREGON . . . . .	180 339	75 027	105 312	41.6	58.4
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	758 389	261 628	496 761	34.5	65.5
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	54 807	33 108	21 699	60.4	39.6
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	131 049	65 049	66 000	49.6	50.4
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	33 458	15 947	17 511	47.7	52.3
TENNESSEE . . . . .	237 717	95 816	141 901	40.3	59.7
TEXAS . . . . .	676 388	204 470	471 918	30.2	69.8
UTAH . . . . .	65 006	30 765	34 241	47.3	52.7
VERMONT . . . . .	25 709	18 835	6 874	73.3	26.7
VIRGINIA . . . . .	338 774	182 370	156 404	53.8	46.2
WASHINGTON . . . . .	247 269	102 793	144 476	41.6	58.4
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	72 947	40 344	32 603	55.3	44.7
WISCONSIN . . . . .	275 675	90 086	185 589	32.7	67.3
WYOMING . . . . .	34 519	14 505	20 014	42.0	58.0

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.


<sup>1</sup>Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

## **Section II. CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM SUMMARY DATA**

FIGURE 19

Percent Distribution of Total Criminal Justice Direct Expenditure by State and Type of Government, Fiscal Year 1977

States  
Counties  
Municipalities



**Table 2. Percent distribution of expenditure for the criminal justice system, by level of government, fiscal year 1977**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity <sup>1</sup>	Amount				Percent distribution		
	All governments <sup>1</sup>	Federal Government <sup>2</sup>	State governments	Local governments <sup>1</sup>	Federal Government	State governments	Local governments
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM <sup>3</sup>	21 573 756	3 601 647	6 689 474	13 027 249	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	21 573 756	2 778 710	5 812 353	12 982 693	12.9	26.9	60.2
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(X)	822 937	877 121	164 728	(X)	(X)	(X)
POLICE PROTECTION <sup>3</sup>	11 864 875	1 771 922	1 963 975	8 304 366	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	11 864 875	1 765 420	1 799 725	8 299 730	14.9	15.2	69.9
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(X)	6 502	164 250	80 908	(X)	(X)	(X)
JUDICIAL <sup>3</sup>	2 638 251	289 626	735 829	1 707 783	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	2 638 251	289 626	650 559	1 698 066	11.0	24.6	64.4
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(X)	-	85 270	22 583	(X)	(X)	(X)
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION <sup>3</sup>	1 225 344	185 604	314 472	745 585	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	1 225 344	185 604	296 758	742 982	15.2	24.2	60.6
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(X)	-	17 714	3 354	(X)	(X)	(X)
PUBLIC DEFENSE <sup>3</sup>	403 754	140 452	90 393	185 151	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	403 754	140 452	78 365	184 937	34.8	19.4	45.8
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(X)	-	12 028	1 243	(X)	(X)	(X)
CORRECTIONS <sup>3</sup>	4 934 067	338 400	2 974 890	1 614 539	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	4 934 067	298 718	2 847 020	1 788 329	6.1	57.7	36.2
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(X)	39 682	127 870	53 338	(X)	(X)	(X)
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE <sup>3</sup>	507 465	875 643	609 915	269 825	(X)	(X)	(X)
DIRECT EXPENDITURE	507 465	98 890	139 926	268 649	19.5	27.6	52.9
INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	(X)	776 753	469 989	3 302	(X)	(X)	(X)

- Represents zero or rounds to zero

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variation, see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>Federal Government data are for the fiscal period beginning October 1, 1976 and ending September 30, 1977.

<sup>3</sup>The total line for each sector, and for the total Criminal Justice System, excludes duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount. The intergovernmental expenditure lines are totaled for the same reason.

**Table 3. Percent distribution of employment and payrolls for the criminal justice system, by level of government, October 1977**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Activity	All governments <sup>1</sup>	Federal Government	State governments <sup>2</sup>	Local governments <sup>1</sup>	Percent distribution		
					Federal Government	State governments	Local governments
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	1 197 777	101 547	310 358	785 872	8.5	25.9	65.6
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	1 100 098	99 479	292 772	707 847	9.1	26.6	64.3
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	1 131 780	100 088	298 571	733 121	8.8	26.4	64.8
OCTOBER PAYROLL	1 426 801	170 004	370 396	886 401	11.9	26.0	62.1
POLICE PROTECTION:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	685 881	72 455	101 068	512 358	10.6	14.7	74.7
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	632 928	71 130	92 065	469 735	11.2	14.6	74.2
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	645 015	71 433	93 674	479 908	11.1	14.5	74.4
OCTOBER PAYROLL	846 147	122 380	116 294	607 473	14.5	13.7	71.8
JUDICIAL:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	162 900	8 216	38 910	115 774	5.0	23.9	71.1
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	140 737	8 131	34 937	97 669	5.8	24.8	69.4
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	150 546	8 165	37 337	105 044	5.4	24.8	69.8
OCTOBER PAYROLL	178 918	15 363	58 566	104 989	8.6	32.7	58.7
LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	68 327	7 147	15 588	45 596	10.5	22.8	66.7
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	59 302	6 864	14 627	37 811	11.6	24.7	63.8
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	63 902	6 930	15 068	41 904	10.8	23.6	65.6
OCTOBER PAYROLL	89 734	12 954	23 147	53 633	14.4	25.8	59.8
PUBLIC DEFENSE:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	8 462	230	3 245	4 987	2.7	38.4	58.9
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	7 411	230	3 005	4 176	3.1	40.5	56.4
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	8 104	230	3 175	4 699	2.8	39.2	58.0
OCTOBER PAYROLL	12 219	468	4 376	7 375	3.8	35.8	60.4
CORRECTIONS:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	262 585	11 875	147 695	103 015	4.5	56.3	39.2
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	250 732	11 626	144 420	94 686	4.6	57.6	37.8
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	255 008	11 760	145 552	97 696	4.6	57.1	38.3
OCTOBER PAYROLL	287 924	16 279	163 079	108 566	5.7	56.6	37.7
OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE:							
TOTAL EMPLOYEES	9 622	1 624	3 856	4 142	16.9	40.1	43
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	8 988	1 498	3 718	3 772	16.6	41.4	42
FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES	9 206	1 570	3 766	3 870	17.1	40.9	"
OCTOBER PAYROLL	11 839	2 560	4 934	4 365	21.6	41.6	"

<sup>1</sup>Local governments data are estimates subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

**Table 4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government,  
by character and object, fiscal year 1977**

(Thousands of dollars)

Item <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT GENERAL EXPENDITURE, ALL FUNCTIONS . . . .	314 049 000	241 004 000	211 007 000	29 997 000	73 045 000	53 938 000	19 107 000
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM . . . .	3 601 647	2 778 710	2 629 450	149 260	822 937	707 838	115 099
<b>POLICE PROTECTION, TOTAL . . . . .</b>	<b>1 771 922</b>	<b>1 765 420</b>	<b>1 685 850</b>	<b>79 570</b>	<b>6 502</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>6 502</b>
THE CONGRESS:							
U.S. CAPITOL POLICE . . . . .	21 345	20 093	19 459	634	1 252	-	1 252
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS POLICE FORCE . . . .	1 517	1 517	1 517	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES							
POLICE FORCE . . . . .	1 098	1 098	1 043	55	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE:							
U.S. FOREST SERVICE:							
COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM .	4 376	430	430	-	3 946	-	3 946
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:							
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE:							
U.S. PARK POLICE . . . . .	13 676	13 676	13 176	500	-	-	-
U.S. PARK RANGERS . . . . .	19 607	19 607	19 557	50	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION . . . .	158 224	158 224	151 336	6 888	-	-	-
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION . . . .	463 751	463 751	441 302	22 449	-	-	-
IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE:							
U.S. BORDER PATROL . . . . .	64 488	64 488	59 763	4 725	-	-	-
INVESTIGATION DIVISION . . . . .	33 067	33 067	31 772	1 295	-	-	-
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE . . . . .	13 815	13 815	13 602	213	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION:							
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION:							
AIRPORT POLICE . . . . .	3 143	3 134	3 086	48	9	-	9
U.S. COAST GUARD . . . . .	63 965	63 965	44 093	19 872	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY:							
BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND							
FIREARMS . . . . .	118 443	118 443	109 488	8 955	-	-	-
FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER	11 739	11 663	7 486	4 177	76	-	76
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE:							
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION . . . . .	97 589	97 589	96 247	1 342	-	-	-
INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION . . . . .	12 139	12 139	12 018	121	-	-	-
OFFICE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT . . . . .	429	429	420	9	-	-	-
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE . . . . .	341 337	341 337	338 534	2 803	-	-	-
U.S. SECRET SERVICE . . . . .	111 611	110 392	107 529	2 863	1 219	-	1 219
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION:							
OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE							
MANAGEMENT . . . . .	82 949	82 949	81 584	1 365	-	-	-
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION:							
NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK POLICE . . . .	481	481	481	-	-	-	-
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION POLICE FORCE . .	352	352	351	1	-	-	-
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE:							
POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE . . . . .	113 590	113 590	113 101	489	-	-	-
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION:							
SECURITY DIVISION . . . . .	19 191	19 191	18 475	716	-	-	-
<b>JUDICIAL, TOTAL . . . . .</b>	<b>289 626</b>	<b>289 626</b>	<b>271 452</b>	<b>18 174</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL . . . . .	35 355	35 355	34 134	1 221	-	-	-
COURTS OF LAST RESORT, TOTAL . . . . .	6 674	6 674	6 490	184	-	-	-
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES . .	6 674	6 674	6 490	184	-	-	-
INTERMEDIATE APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL . .	28 681	28 681	27 644	1 037	-	-	-
U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS . . . . .	28 681	28 681	27 644	1 037	-	-	-
COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, TOTAL . .	200 694	200 694	192 312	8 382	-	-	-
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS . . . . .	200 694	200 694	192 312	8 382	-	-	-
COURTS OF LIMITED JURISDICTION, TOTAL . .	15 975	15 975	11 935	4 040	-	-	-
U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS . . . . .	3 237	3 237	2 365	872	-	-	-
U.S. COURT OF CUSTOMS AND PATENT							
APPEALS . . . . .	1 175	1 175	893	282	-	-	-
U.S. CUSTOMS COURT . . . . .	3 700	3 700	2 463	1 237	-	-	-
U.S. TAX COURT . . . . .	7 863	7 863	6 214	1 649	-	-	-
MISCELLANEOUS, TOTAL . . . . .	37 602	37 602	33 071	4 531	-	-	-
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S.							
COURTS . . . . .	9 692	9 692	8 589	1 103	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE . . . . .	20 147	20 147	19 837	310	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER . . . . .	7 763	7 763	4 645	3 118	-	-	-
<b>LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION, TOTAL . . . .</b>	<b>185 604</b>	<b>185 604</b>	<b>181 130</b>	<b>4 474</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
ANTITRUST DIVISION . . . . .	24 083	24 083	23 985	98	-	-	-
BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS . . . . .	883	883	854	29	-	-	-
CIVIL DIVISION . . . . .	12 915	12 915	12 842	73	-	-	-
CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION . . . . .	9 028	9 028	8 990	38	-	-	-
CRIMINAL DIVISION . . . . .	16 292	16 292	16 188	104	-	-	-
LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION . .	6 812	6 812	6 767	45	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



**Table 4. Criminal justice system expenditure of the Federal Government,  
by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

Item <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
<b>LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION--CONTINUED</b>							
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE--CONTINUED							
OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL . . . . .	922	922	918	4	-	-	-
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS . . . . .	517	517	517	-	-	-	-
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE . . . . .	11 553	11 553	11 090	463	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL . . . . .	1 270	1 270	1 266	4	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL . . . . .	1 073	1 073	1 061	12	-	-	-
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEYS . . . . .	86 246	86 246	82 736	3 510	-	-	-
OFFICE FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE . . . . .	978	978	964	14	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL . . . . .	1 578	1 578	1 576	2	-	-	-
OFFICE OF WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE . . . . .	343	343	343	-	-	-	-
TAX DIVISION . . . . .	11 111	11 111	11 033	78	-	-	-
<b>PUBLIC DEFENSE, TOTAL . . . . .</b>	<b>140 452</b>	<b>140 452</b>	<b>139 621</b>	<b>831</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
COMMUNITY DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS . . . . .	2 985	2 985	2 985	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS . . . . .	6 001	6 001	5 358	643	-	-	-
REPRESENTATION BY COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL . . . . .	11 938	11 938	11 938	-	-	-	-
LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	119 528	119 528	119 340	188	-	-	-
<b>CORRECTIONS, TOTAL . . . . .</b>	<b>338 400</b>	<b>298 718</b>	<b>253 555</b>	<b>45 163</b>	<b>39 682</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>39 682</b>
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:							
NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DRUG ABUSE: ADDICTION RESEARCH CENTER (LEXINGTON, KY.) . . . . .	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
BUREAU OF PRISONS . . . . .	222 621	222 621	179 638	42 983	-	-	-
INSTITUTIONS . . . . .	181 418	181 418	156 112	25 306	-	-	-
FOR MEN . . . . .	141 300	141 300	119 650	21 650	-	-	-
FOR WOMEN . . . . .	8 169	8 169	7 187	982	-	-	-
FOR JUVENILES . . . . .	8 075	8 075	7 106	969	-	-	-
OTHER AND COMBINED . . . . .	23 874	23 874	22 169	1 705	-	-	-
ADMINISTRATION . . . . .	41 203	41 203	23 526	17 677	-	-	-
OFFICE OF THE PARDON ATTORNEY . . . . .	216	216	216	-	-	-	-
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	58 103	18 421	18 137	284	39 682	-	39 682
U.S. PAROLE COMMISSION . . . . .	3 562	3 562	3 533	29	-	-	-
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:							
FEDERAL PROBATION SERVICE . . . . .	53 898	53 898	52 031	1 867	-	-	-
<b>OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE<sup>4</sup>, TOTAL . . . . .</b>	<b>875 643</b>	<b>98 890</b>	<b>97 842</b>	<b>1 048</b>	<b>776 753</b>	<b>707 838</b>	<b>68 915</b>
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:							
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH: CENTER FOR STUDIES OF CRIME AND DELINQUENCY . . . . .	5 600	3 232	3 232	-	2 368	2 368	-
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:							
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS: DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES . . . . .	22 454	22 454	21 588	866	-	-	-
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:							
COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE . . . . .	3 930	3 930	3 913	17	-	-	-
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION . . . . .	838 478	64 093	64 008	85	774 385	705 470	68 915
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE <sup>5</sup> . . . . .	5 181	5 181	5 101	80	-	-	-
TEMPORARY STUDY COMMISSIONS . . . . .	( <sup>6</sup> )	( <sup>6</sup> )	( <sup>6</sup> )	( <sup>6</sup> )	( <sup>6</sup> )	( <sup>6</sup> )	( <sup>6</sup> )

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a canvass of all Federal criminal justice agencies; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>Estimated criminal justice activities only.

<sup>3</sup>Total expenditure allocated to police protection, judicial, corrections, and other criminal justice based on information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.

<sup>4</sup>Primarily focuses on matters of a civil nature in contrast to State and local programs which focus on criminal as well as civil matters.

<sup>5</sup>All criminal justice related research programs terminated in January 1976.

<sup>6</sup>No criminal justice expenditure in 1977.

Table 5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government,  
October 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			Total October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CIVILIAN EMPLOYMENT, ALL FUNCTIONS. . . . .	2 847 911	2 608 869	2 720 024	3 918 413
TOTAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM . . . . .	101 547	99 479	100 088	170 004
<u>POLICE PROTECTION, TOTAL.</u> . . . . .	72 455	71 130	71 433	122 380
THE CONGRESS:				
U.S. CAPITOL POLICE . . . . .	1 120	1 120	1 120	1 644
LIBRARY OF CONGRESS POLICE FORCE. . . . .	108	108	108	145
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES POLICE FORCE . . .	76	76	76	103
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE:				
U.S. FOREST SERVICE:				
COOPERATIVE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROGRAM . . . . .	35	35	35	38
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:				
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE:				
U.S. PARK POLICE. . . . .	667	644	657	1 083
U.S. PARK RANGERS <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	1 748	1 690	1 722	1 332
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION . . . . .	4 027	4 019	4 025	9 153
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION . . . . .	19 312	19 310	19 311	33 517
IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE:				
U.S. BORDER PATROL. . . . .	2 548	2 475	2 481	4 584
INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION . . . . .	1 328	1 293	1 294	2 370
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	519	486	499	724
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION:				
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION:				
AIRPORT POLICE. . . . .	176	175	175	287
U.S. COAST GUARD <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	3 143	3 143	3 143	3 614
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY:				
BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS. . . . .	4 051	3 982	3 999	7 659
FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER . . . . .	253	252	252	373
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE:				
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION . . . . .	4 182	4 118	4 140	7 378
INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION. . . . .	577	568	572	1 004
OFFICE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT . . . . .	11	10	10	27
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE. . . . .	14 259	13 378	13 518	24 678
U.S. SECRET SERVICE . . . . .	3 576	3 574	3 575	7 289
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION:				
OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE MANAGEMENT . . .	3 411	3 401	3 407	3 597
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION:				
NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK POLICE . . . . .	35	30	31	42
SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION POLICE FORCE. . . . .	23	23	23	27
U.S. POSTAL SERVICE:				
POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE . . . . .	5 522	5 472	5 512	10 060
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION:				
SECURITY DIVISION . . . . .	1 748	1 748	1 748	1 652
<u>JUDICIAL, TOTAL.</u> . . . . .	8 216	8 131	8 165	15 363
APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL . . . . .	1 253	1 225	1 236	2 154
COURTS OF LAST RESORT, TOTAL. . . . .	273	245	256	451
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES. . . . .	273	245	256	451
INTERMEDIATE APPELLATE COURTS, TOTAL. . . . .	980	980	980	1 703
U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS. . . . .	980	980	980	1 703
COURTS OF GENERAL JURISDICTION, TO				
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS. . . . .	5 306	5 306	5 306	10 488
COURTS OF LIMITED JURISDICTION, TOTAL . . . . .	428	420	424	829
U.S. COURT OF CLAIMS. . . . .	92	92	92	188
U.S. COURT OF CUSTOMS AND PATENT APPEALS. . . . .	35	35	35	79
U.S. CUSTOMS COURT. . . . .	110	110	110	210
U.S. TAX COURT. . . . .	191	183	187	352
MISCELLANEOUS, TOTAL. . . . .	1 229	1 180	1 199	1 892
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE U.S. COURTS. . . . .	385	385	385	661
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE				
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	757	708	727	1 055
FEDERAL JUDICIAL CENTER . . . . .	87	87	87	176

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 5. Criminal justice system employment and payrolls of the Federal Government,  
October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Item <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			Total October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
<b>LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION, TOTAL</b> . . . . .	7 147	6 864	6 930	12 954
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
ANTITRUST DIVISION . . . . .	915	852	865	1 652
BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS . . . . .	39	38	38	75
CIVIL DIVISION . . . . .	570	540	549	1 012
CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION . . . . .	379	364	369	688
CRIMINAL DIVISION . . . . .	573	559	561	1 192
LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION . . . . .	230	226	227	472
OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL . . . . .	38	37	38	86
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS . . . . .	24	23	24	48
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND FINANCE . . . . .	478	449	465	746
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL . . . . .	33	33	33	76
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL . . . . .	44	43	43	87
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEYS . . . . .	3 280	3 180	3 191	5 762
OFFICE FOR IMPROVEMENTS IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE . . . . .	34	33	33	75
OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR GENERAL . . . . .	45	45	45	103
OFFICE OF WATERGATE SPECIAL PROSECUTION FORCE . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
TAX DIVISION . . . . .	465	442	449	880
<b>PUBLIC DEFENSE, TOTAL</b> . . . . .	230	230	230	468
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
COMMUNITY DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER ORGANIZATIONS . . . . .	230	230	230	468
REPRESENTATION BY COURT-APPOINTED COUNSEL . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
<b>CORRECTIONS, TOTAL</b> . . . . .	11 875	11 626	11 760	16 279
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:				
NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON DRUG ABUSE:				
ADDICTION RESEARCH CENTER (LEXINGTON, KY.) . . . . .	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
BUREAU OF PRISONS . . . . .	8 225	8 022	8 139	13 132
INSTITUTIONS . . . . .	7 603	7 495	7 566	12 014
FOR MEN . . . . .	5 872	5 791	5 844	9 382
FOR WOMEN . . . . .	352	351	351	550
FOR JUVENILES . . . . .	355	351	355	572
OTHER AND COMBINED . . . . .	1 024	1 002	1 016	1 510
ADMINISTRATION . . . . .	622	527	573	1 118
OFFICE OF THE PARDON ATTORNEY . . . . .	10	9	9	19
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	692	648	665	965
U.S. PAROLE COMMISSION . . . . .	153	152	152	269
FEDERAL JUDICIARY:				
FEDERAL PROBATION SERVICE . . . . .	2 795	2 795	2 795	1 894
<b>OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE, TOTAL</b> . . . . .	1 624	1 498	1 570	2 560
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE:				
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH:				
CENTER FOR STUDIES OF CRIME AND DELINQUENCY . . . . .	12	12	12	26
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR:				
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS:				
DIVISION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICES . . . . .	567	530	551	570
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE:				
COMMUNITY RELATIONS SERVICE . . . . .	115	108	109	234
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION . . . . .	735	666	711	1 459
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE <sup>3</sup> . . . . .	195	182	187	271
<b>TEMPORARY STUDY COMMISSIONS</b> . . . . .	(*)	(*)	(*)	(*)

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a canvass of all Federal criminal justice agencies; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>Estimated criminal justice activities only.

<sup>3</sup>Total employment and payroll allocated to police protection, judicial, corrections, and other criminal justice based on information supplied by the U.S. Marshals Service.

<sup>4</sup>All criminal justice related research programs terminated in January 1976.

<sup>5</sup>No criminal justice employment or payroll in 1977.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	202 758 335	18 795 046	9.3	10 099 455	53.7	2 348 625	12.5
STATES	213 085	165 995 034	6 689 474	4.0	1 963 975	29.4	735 829	11.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	101 117 425	13 027 249	12.9	8 304 366	63.7	1 707 783	13.1
COUNTIES	189 776	41 289 120	5 129 721	12.4	1 638 089	31.9	1 296 253	25.3
MUNICIPALITIES	170 157	62 384 776	8 017 700	12.9	6 742 549	84.1	424 396	5.3
ALABAMA	(X)	2 749 237	184 127	6.7	108 991	59.2	27 187	14.8
STATE	3 616	2 593 982	75 587	2.9	27 959	37.0	7 383	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	889 349	118 272	13.3	81 044	68.5	19 866	16.8
COUNTIES	3 616	332 266	47 850	14.4	16 560	34.6	18 074	37.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 153	615 908	70 923	11.5	64 482	90.9	1 884	2.7
ALASKA	(X)	1 333 058	88 131	6.6	42 957	48.7	15 166	17.2
STATE	365	1 028 906	67 599	6.6	24 244	35.9	15 047	22.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	539 214	22 346	4.1	19 038	85.2	119	0.5
BOROUGH	83	157 829	1 588	1.0	1 195	75.2	14	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	291	383 444	20 798	5.4	17 884	86.0	106	0.5
ARIZONA	(X)	1 890 310	257 884	13.6	146 508	56.8	29 819	11.6
STATE	2 225	1 696 911	78 732	4.6	32 921	41.8	3 884	4.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	930 639	187 236	20.1	114 668	61.2	25 935	13.9
COUNTIES	2 225	426 880	84 331	19.8	25 558	30.3	21 472	25.5
MUNICIPALITIES	1 645	511 746	103 460	20.2	89 114	86.1	4 465	4.3
ARKANSAS	(X)	1 340 657	90 944	6.8	48 051	52.8	10 564	11.6
STATE	2 107	1 386 550	43 995	3.2	13 802	31.4	2 997	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	393 471	51 325	13.0	34 352	66.9	7 673	15.0
COUNTIES	2 107	188 753	22 150	11.7	9 072	41.0	6 152	27.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 445	205 508	29 480	14.3	25 321	85.9	1 629	5.5
CALIFORNIA	(X)	22 208 550	2 752 637	12.4	1 374 152	49.9	314 345	11.4
STATE	21 203	19 013 777	756 739	4.0	269 635	35.6	39 733	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	12 807 275	2 089 909	16.3	1 115 120	53.4	278 473	13.3
COUNTIES	20 538	8 044 877	1 202 601	14.9	314 923	26.2	266 259	22.1
MUNICIPALITIES	15 990	4 990 408	919 453	18.4	829 595	90.2	12 801	1.4
COLORADO	(X)	2 316 708	258 376	11.2	142 039	55.0	36 861	14.3
STATE	2 542	1 959 284	103 859	5.3	21 623	20.8	27 265	28.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	1 048 486	162 226	15.5	120 424	74.2	9 613	5.9
COUNTIES	2 037	390 061	39 135	10.0	18 947	48.4	2 653	6.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 931	680 398	123 512	18.2	101 683	82.3	6 961	5.6
CONNECTICUT	(X)	3 485 899	230 343	6.6	138 283	60.0	25 981	11.3
STATE	3 080	2 139 424	118 557	5.5	27 492	23.2	25 734	21.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	1 880 127	117 402	6.2	111 781	95.2	260	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	3 080	1 920 184	117 903	6.1	112 276	95.2	260	0.2
DELAWARE	(X)	646 717	59 993	9.3	26 925	44.9	10 607	17.7
STATE	979	620 612	44 033	7.1	11 713	26.6	9 487	21.5
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	183 298	18 086	9.9	15 214	84.1	2 096	11.6
COUNTIES	979	87 101	6 817	7.8	4 945	72.5	1 516	22.2
MUNICIPALITIES	194	98 637	11 348	11.5	10 343	91.1	584	5.1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	(X)	1 424 014	184 640	13.0	90 345	48.9	22 224	12.0
STATE	712	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	1 424 054	184 640	13.0	90 345	48.9	22 224	12.0
MUNICIPALITIES	712	1 449 436	184 640	12.7	90 345	48.9	22 224	12.0
FLORIDA	(X)	5 996 476	825 951	13.8	411 104	49.8	108 768	13.2
STATE	8 283	4 905 515	341 895	7.0	63 607	18.6	36 635	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	3 127 741	503 847	16.1	348 573	69.2	72 503	14.4
COUNTIES	7 721	1 584 757	255 599	16.1	128 572	50.3	63 913	25.0
MUNICIPALITIES	4 827	1 569 514	251 234	16.0	222 240	88.5	8 919	3.6
GEORGIA	(X)	3 215 664	372 517	11.6	166 952	44.8	51 592	13.8
STATE	4 931	3 115 966	155 248	5.0	38 073	24.5	8 303	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	1 105 894	228 705	20.7	129 418	56.6	43 289	18.9
COUNTIES	4 771	635 354	125 919	19.8	39 860	31.7	39 884	31.7
MUNICIPALITIES	2 420	592 345	103 861	17.5	89 821	86.5	3 472	3.3
HAWAII	(X)	1 714 112	88 908	5.2	47 542	53.5	13 285	14.9
STATE	868	1 352 667	37 901	2.8	1 472	3.9	13 285	35.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	407 194	52 439	12.9	46 070	87.9	-	-
COUNTIES	163	96 132	14 785	15.4	11 092	75.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	705	311 082	37 654	12.1	34 978	92.9	-	-
IDAH0	(X)	670 101	57 449	8.6	27 814	48.4	7 739	13.5
STATE	814	684 211	24 896	3.6	6 402	25.7	4 030	16.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	(X)	206 848	33 849	16.4	21 542	63.6	3 709	11.0
COUNTIES	814	130 212	19 804	15.2	8 466	42.8	3 398	17.2
MUNICIPALITIES	531	98 029	14 445	14.7	13 375	92.6	313	2.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	1 039 740	5.5	263 302	1.4	4 635 349	24.7	408 576	2.2
STATES	314 472	4.7	90 393	1.4	2 974 890	44.5	609 915	9.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	745 585	5.7	185 151	1.4	1 814 539	13.9	269 825	2.1
COUNTIES	459 134	9.0	156 347	3.0	1 403 279	27.4	176 619	3.4
MUNICIPALITIES	287 201	3.6	29 833	0.4	438 388	5.5	95 332	1.2
ALABAMA	8 076	4.4	1 819	1.0	36 642	19.9	1 412	0.8
STATE	3 763	5.0	1 559	2.1	26 035	34.4	8 888	11.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 313	3.6	281	0.2	12 452	10.5	316	0.3
COUNTIES	2 893	6.0	54	0.1	10 251	21.4	18	(Z)
MUNICIPALITIES	1 420	2.0	227	0.3	2 548	3.6	352	0.5
ALASKA	7 975	9.0	2 002	2.3	17 315	19.6	2 716	3.1
STATE	5 817	8.6	2 002	3.0	17 096	25.3	3 393	5.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 162	9.7	-	-	1 022	4.6	4	(Z)
BOROUGHs	379	23.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 782	8.6	-	-	1 022	4.9	4	(Z)
ARIZONA	15 224	5.9	5 448	2.1	55 551	21.5	5 335	2.1
STATE	3 114	4.0	-	-	31 135	39.5	7 678	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 110	6.5	5 448	2.9	24 898	13.3	4 178	2.2
COUNTIES	7 998	9.5	5 326	6.3	23 822	28.2	156	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	4 112	4.0	386	0.4	1 361	1.3	4 022	3.9
ARKANSAS	3 601	4.0	614	0.7	23 653	26.0	4 461	4.9
STATE	996	2.3	43	0.1	18 268	41.5	7 889	17.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 617	5.1	571	1.1	5 469	10.7	643	1.3
COUNTIES	1 662	7.5	515	2.3	4 608	20.8	140	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	978	3.3	66	0.2	983	3.3	502	1.7
CALIFORNIA	205 211	7.5	62 811	2.3	751 804	27.3	44 314	1.6
STATE	25 999	3.4	4 356	0.6	359 218	47.5	57 798	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	179 212	8.6	59 230	2.8	419 410	20.1	38 465	1.8
COUNTIES	140 234	11.7	57 551	4.8	402 825	33.5	20 810	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES	39 120	4.3	1 679	0.2	18 365	2.0	17 892	1.9
COLORADO	18 524	7.2	3 554	1.4	51 468	19.9	5 930	2.3
STATE	4 249	4.1	3 534	3.4	37 960	36.5	9 228	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 275	8.8	20	(Z)	13 508	8.3	4 386	2.7
COUNTIES	8 557	21.9	14	(Z)	6 092	15.6	2 872	7.3
MUNICIPALITIES	5 895	4.8	15	(Z)	7 436	6.0	1 522	1.2
CONNECTICUT	9 995	4.3	2 789	1.2	51 419	22.3	1 877	0.8
STATE	5 940	5.0	2 775	2.3	51 346	43.3	5 270	4.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 055	3.5	14	(Z)	194	0.2	1 099	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	4 055	3.4	14	(Z)	194	0.2	1 105	0.9
DELAWARE	2 531	4.2	674	1.1	18 345	30.6	910	1.5
STATE	1 766	4.0	663	1.5	18 345	41.7	2 059	4.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	765	4.2	11	0.1	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	345	5.1	11	0.2	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	421	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	3 989	2.2	4 254	2.3	63 062	34.2	766	0.4
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 989	2.2	4 254	2.3	63 062	34.2	766	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 989	2.2	4 254	2.3	63 062	34.2	766	0.4
FLORIDA	41 199	5.0	14 120	1.7	234 034	28.3	16 726	2.0
STATE	28 200	8.2	12 177	3.6	181 175	53.0	20 101	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 999	2.6	1 980	0.4	52 859	10.5	14 933	3.0
COUNTIES	5 883	2.3	1 867	0.7	42 237	16.5	13 127	5.1
MUNICIPALITIES	7 116	2.8	136	0.1	10 972	4.4	1 851	0.7
GEORGIA	11 970	3.2	2 316	0.6	133 761	35.9	5 926	1.6
STATE	4 255	2.7	501	0.3	94 733	61.0	9 383	6.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 715	3.4	2 027	0.9	42 141	18.4	4 116	1.8
COUNTIES	6 005	4.8	1 923	1.5	37 259	29.8	988	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 709	1.6	142	0.1	5 575	5.4	3 140	3.0
HAWAII	5 956	6.7	1 890	2.1	16 446	18.5	3 789	4.3
STATE	2 124	5.6	1 890	5.0	15 744	41.5	3 386	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 832	7.3	-	-	702	1.3	1 835	3.5
COUNTIES	1 243	8.4	-	-	702	4.7	1 748	11.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 589	6.9	-	-	-	-	87	0.2
IDAHO	4 228	7.4	749	1.3	11 081	19.3	5 838	10.2
STATE	1 572	6.3	-	-	9 399	37.8	3 493	14.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 656	7.8	754	2.2	1 697	5.0	3 492	10.3
COUNTIES	2 056	10.4	754	3.8	1 637	8.3	3 492	17.6
MUNICIPALITIES	631	4.4	-	-	128	0.9	-	-

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	202 758 335	18 795 046	9.3	10 099 455	53.7	2 348 625	12.5
STATES . . . . .	413 085	165 995 034	6 689 174	4.0	1 963 975	29.4	735 829	11.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	101 117 425	13 027 249	12.9	8 304 366	63.7	1 707 783	13.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	189 776	41 289 120	5 129 721	12.4	1 638 089	31.9	1 296 253	25.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	170 157	62 384 776	8 017 700	12.9	6 742 549	84.1	424 396	5.3
ALABAMA . . . . .	(x)	2 749 237	184 127	6.7	108 991	59.2	27 187	14.8
STATE . . . . .	3 616	2 593 982	75 587	2.9	27 959	37.0	7 383	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	869 349	118 272	13.3	81 044	68.5	19 866	16.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 616	332 266	47 850	14.4	16 560	34.6	18 074	37.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 193	615 908	70 923	11.5	64 492	90.9	1 884	2.7
ALASKA . . . . .	(x)	1 333 058	88 131	6.6	42 957	48.7	15 166	17.2
STATE . . . . .	365	1 028 906	67 599	6.6	24 244	35.9	15 047	22.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	539 214	22 346	4.1	19 038	85.2	119	0.3
BOROUGHES . . . . .	93	157 829	1 588	1.0	1 195	75.2	14	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	291	383 444	20 798	5.4	17 864	86.0	106	0.5
ARIZONA . . . . .	(x)	1 890 310	257 884	13.6	146 508	56.8	29 819	11.6
STATE . . . . .	4 225	1 696 911	78 732	4.6	32 921	41.8	3 884	4.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	930 639	187 236	20.1	114 668	61.2	25 935	13.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 225	426 880	84 331	19.8	25 558	30.3	21 472	25.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 645	511 746	103 460	20.2	89 114	86.1	4 465	4.3
ARKANSAS . . . . .	(x)	1 340 657	90 944	6.8	48 051	52.8	10 564	11.6
STATE . . . . .	2 107	1 386 550	43 995	3.2	13 802	31.4	2 997	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	393 471	51 325	13.0	34 352	66.9	7 673	15.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 107	186 753	22 150	11.7	9 072	41.0	6 152	27.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 245	205 508	29 480	14.3	25 321	85.9	1 629	5.5
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	(x)	22 208 550	2 752 637	12.4	1 374 152	49.9	314 345	11.4
STATE . . . . .	21 203	19 013 777	756 739	4.0	269 635	35.6	39 733	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	12 807 275	2 089 909	16.3	1 115 120	53.4	278 473	13.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	20 538	8 044 877	1 202 601	14.9	314 923	26.2	266 259	22.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15 990	4 990 408	919 453	18.4	829 595	90.2	12 801	1.4
COLORADO . . . . .	(x)	2 316 708	258 376	11.2	142 039	55.0	36 861	14.3
STATE . . . . .	2 542	1 959 284	103 859	5.3	21 623	20.8	27 265	26.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	1 048 486	162 226	15.5	120 424	74.2	9 613	5.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 957	390 061	39 135	10.0	18 947	48.4	2 653	6.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 931	680 398	123 512	18.2	101 683	82.3	6 961	5.6
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	(x)	3 485 899	230 343	6.6	138 283	60.0	25 981	11.3
STATE . . . . .	3 080	2 139 424	118 557	5.5	27 492	23.2	25 734	21.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	1 880 127	117 402	6.2	111 781	95.2	260	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 080	1 920 184	117 903	6.1	112 276	95.2	260	0.2
DELAWARE . . . . .	(x)	646 717	59 993	9.3	26 925	44.9	10 607	17.7
STATE . . . . .	579	620 612	44 033	7.1	11 713	26.6	9 487	21.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	183 298	18 086	9.9	15 234	84.1	2 096	11.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	579	87 101	6 817	7.8	4 945	72.5	1 516	22.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	194	98 637	11 348	11.5	10 343	91.1	584	5.1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	(x)	1 424 014	184 640	13.0	90 345	48.9	22 224	12.0
STATE . . . . .	712	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	1 424 054	184 640	13.0	90 345	48.9	22 224	12.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	712	1 449 436	184 640	12.7	90 345	48.9	22 224	12.0
FLORIDA . . . . .	(x)	5 996 476	825 951	13.8	411 104	49.8	108 768	13.2
STATE . . . . .	8 283	4 905 515	341 895	7.0	63 607	18.6	36 635	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	3 127 741	503 847	16.1	348 573	69.2	72 503	14.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 724	1 584 757	255 599	16.1	128 572	50.3	63 913	25.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 827	1 569 514	251 234	16.0	222 240	88.5	8 919	3.6
GEORGIA . . . . .	(x)	3 215 664	372 517	11.6	166 952	44.8	51 592	13.8
STATE . . . . .	4 931	3 115 966	155 248	5.0	38 073	24.5	8 303	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	1 105 894	228 705	20.7	129 418	56.6	43 289	18.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 771	635 354	125 919	19.8	39 860	31.7	39 884	31.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 420	592 345	103 861	17.5	89 821	86.5	3 472	3.3
HAWAII . . . . .	(x)	1 714 112	88 908	5.2	47 542	53.5	13 285	14.9
STATE . . . . .	868	1 352 667	37 901	2.8	1 472	3.9	13 285	35.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	407 194	52 439	12.9	46 070	87.9	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	163	96 132	14 785	15.4	11 092	75.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	705	311 082	37 654	12.1	34 978	92.9	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	(x)	670 101	57 449	8.6	27 814	48.4	7 739	13.5
STATE . . . . .	814	684 211	24 896	3.6	6 402	25.7	4 030	16.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	206 848	33 849	16.4	21 542	63.6	3 709	11.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	814	130 212	19 604	15.2	8 466	42.8	3 398	17.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	531	98 029	14 445	14.7	13 375	92.6	313	2.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	1 039 740	5.5	263 302	1.4	4 635 349	24.7	408 576	2.2
STATES	314 472	4.7	90 393	1.4	2 974 890	44.5	609 915	9.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	745 585	5.7	185 151	1.4	1 814 539	13.9	269 825	2.1
COUNTIES	459 134	9.0	156 347	3.0	1 403 279	27.4	176 619	3.4
MUNICIPALITIES	287 201	3.6	29 833	0.4	438 388	5.5	95 332	1.2
ALABAMA	8 076	4.4	1 819	1.0	36 642	19.9	1 412	0.8
STATE	3 763	5.0	1 559	2.1	26 035	34.4	8 888	11.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 313	3.6	281	0.2	12 452	10.5	316	0.3
COUNTIES	2 893	6.0	94	0.1	10 251	21.4	18	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES	1 420	2.0	227	0.3	2 548	3.6	352	0.5
ALASKA	7 975	9.0	2 002	2.3	17 315	19.6	2 716	3.1
STATE	5 817	8.6	2 002	3.0	17 096	25.3	3 393	5.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 162	9.7	-	-	1 022	4.6	4	(2)
BOROUGHs	379	23.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 782	8.6	-	-	1 022	4.9	4	(2)
ARIZONA	15 224	5.9	5 448	2.1	55 551	21.5	5 335	2.1
STATE	3 114	4.0	-	-	31 135	39.5	7 678	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 110	6.5	5 448	2.9	24 898	13.3	4 178	2.2
COUNTIES	7 998	9.5	5 326	6.3	23 822	28.2	156	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	4 112	4.0	386	0.4	1 361	1.3	4 022	3.9
ARKANSAS	3 601	4.0	614	0.7	23 653	26.0	4 461	4.9
STATE	996	2.3	43	0.1	18 268	41.5	7 889	17.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 617	5.1	571	1.1	5 469	10.7	643	1.3
COUNTIES	1 662	7.5	515	2.3	4 608	20.8	140	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	978	3.3	66	0.2	983	3.3	502	1.7
CALIFORNIA	205 211	7.5	62 811	2.3	751 804	27.3	44 314	1.6
STATE	25 999	3.4	4 356	0.6	359 218	47.5	57 798	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	179 212	8.6	59 230	2.8	419 410	20.1	38 465	1.8
COUNTIES	140 234	11.7	57 551	4.8	402 825	33.5	20 810	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES	39 120	4.3	1 679	0.2	18 365	2.0	17 892	1.9
COLORADO	18 524	7.2	3 554	1.4	51 468	19.9	5 930	2.3
STATE	4 249	4.1	3 534	3.4	37 960	36.5	9 228	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 275	8.8	20	(2)	13 508	8.3	4 386	2.7
COUNTIES	8 557	21.9	14	(2)	6 092	15.6	2 872	7.3
MUNICIPALITIES	5 895	4.8	15	(2)	7 436	6.0	1 522	1.2
CONNECTICUT	9 995	4.3	2 789	1.2	51 419	22.3	1 877	0.8
STATE	5 940	5.0	2 775	2.3	51 346	43.3	5 270	4.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 055	3.5	14	(2)	194	0.2	1 099	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	4 055	3.4	14	(2)	194	0.2	1 105	0.9
DELAWARE	2 531	4.2	674	1.1	18 345	30.6	910	1.5
STATE	1 766	4.0	663	1.5	18 345	41.7	2 059	4.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	765	4.2	11	0.1	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	345	5.1	11	0.2	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	421	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	3 989	2.2	4 254	2.3	63 062	34.2	766	0.4
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 989	2.2	4 254	2.3	63 062	34.2	766	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 989	2.2	4 254	2.3	63 062	34.2	766	0.4
FLORIDA	41 199	5.0	14 120	1.7	234 034	28.3	16 726	2.0
STATE	28 200	8.2	12 177	3.6	181 175	53.0	20 101	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 999	2.6	1 980	0.4	52 859	10.5	14 933	3.0
COUNTIES	5 883	2.3	1 867	0.7	42 237	16.5	13 127	5.1
MUNICIPALITIES	7 116	2.8	136	0.1	10 972	4.4	1 851	0.7
GEORGIA	11 970	3.2	2 316	0.6	133 761	35.9	5 926	1.6
STATE	4 255	2.7	501	0.3	94 733	61.0	9 383	6.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 715	3.4	2 027	0.9	42 141	18.4	4 116	1.8
COUNTIES	6 005	4.8	1 923	1.5	37 259	29.6	988	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 709	1.6	142	0.1	5 575	5.4	3 140	3.0
HAWAII	5 956	6.7	1 890	2.1	16 446	18.5	3 789	4.3
STATE	2 124	5.6	1 890	5.0	15 744	41.5	3 386	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 832	7.3	-	-	702	1.3	1 835	3.5
COUNTIES	1 243	8.4	-	-	702	4.7	1 748	11.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 589	6.9	-	-	-	-	87	0.2
IDAHO	4 228	7.4	749	1.3	11 081	19.3	5 838	10.2
STATE	1 572	6.3	-	-	9 399	37.8	3 493	14.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 656	7.8	754	2.2	1 697	5.0	3 492	10.3
COUNTIES	2 056	10.4	754	3.8	1 637	8.3	3 492	17.6
MUNICIPALITIES	631	4.4	-	-	126	0.9	-	-

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS . . . . .	(X)	9 123 563	1 044 900	11.5	638 199	61.1	112 208	10.7
STATE . . . . .	11 206	8 527 685	291 654	3.4	69 626	23.9	47 122	16.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	3 426 895	789 756	23.0	568 610	72.0	67 722	8.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	11 206	974 046	264 318	27.1	50 198	19.0	67 485	25.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 206	2 509 924	533 333	21.2	519 975	97.5	670	0.1
INDIANA . . . . .	(X)	3 384 761	322 339	9.5	170 882	53.0	38 879	12.1
STATE . . . . .	5 309	3 186 557	124 745	3.9	45 465	36.4	8 548	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 538 031	213 055	13.9	125 432	58.9	31 157	14.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 527	733 621	84 460	11.5	20 061	23.8	22 095	26.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 309	844 986	129 150	15.3	105 740	81.9	9 123	7.1
IOWA . . . . .	(X)	2 447 172	172 100	7.0	90 779	52.7	25 675	14.9
STATE . . . . .	2 861	2 293 244	70 729	3.1	23 838	33.7	7 279	10.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 083 237	109 099	10.1	67 031	61.4	18 412	16.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 861	531 812	55 436	10.4	15 504	28.0	18 696	33.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 096	564 743	55 733	9.9	52 760	94.7	89	0.2
KANSAS . . . . .	(X)	1 946 956	163 762	8.4	71 119	43.4	21 560	13.2
STATE . . . . .	2 280	1 590 982	76 842	4.8	12 413	16.2	6 665	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	804 103	93 125	11.6	58 707	63.0	14 895	16.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 280	310 572	43 154	13.9	13 908	32.2	13 225	30.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 755	526 476	52 057	9.9	46 644	89.6	1 682	3.2
KENTUCKY . . . . .	(X)	2 602 624	216 423	8.3	116 782	54.0	35 239	16.3
STATE . . . . .	3 388	2 577 735	113 894	4.4	44 962	39.5	13 429	11.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	616 951	126 677	20.5	79 337	62.6	22 651	17.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 202	291 046	60 077	24.9	20 773	39.6	19 829	33.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 651	401 246	67 674	16.9	58 864	87.0	2 825	4.2
LOUISIANA . . . . .	(X)	3 503 108	314 763	9.0	158 110	50.2	40 841	13.0
STATE . . . . .	3 804	3 278 254	131 433	4.0	40 991	31.2	11 258	8.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 209 183	199 781	16.5	119 739	59.9	29 586	14.8
PARISHES . . . . .	2 933	615 040	92 184	15.0	47 577	51.6	17 690	19.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 261	618 566	108 000	17.5	72 300	66.9	11 897	11.0
MAINE . . . . .	(X)	1 003 205	53 792	5.4	30 961	57.6	7 317	13.6
STATE . . . . .	1 064	803 719	28 699	3.6	8 740	30.5	5 384	18.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	431 393	27 765	6.4	22 230	80.1	2 138	7.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 064	17 825	7 573	42.5	2 467	32.6	2 131	28.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 059	422 386	20 519	4.9	19 839	96.7	19	0.1
MARYLAND . . . . .	(X)	5 756 135	417 118	7.2	215 830	51.7	39 715	9.5
STATE . . . . .	4 122	3 553 955	252 410	7.1	100 460	39.8	21 693	8.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	3 660 748	230 312	6.3	170 292	73.9	18 071	7.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 270	2 487 483	125 021	5.0	88 578	70.9	12 073	9.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 456	1 216 351	106 229	8.7	82 649	77.8	5 998	5.6
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	(X)	7 628 547	546 423	7.2	324 679	59.4	71 741	13.1
STATE . . . . .	5 816	4 756 516	154 249	3.2	44 292	28.7	13 700	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	4 351 718	407 957	9.4	282 015	69.1	58 923	14.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 088	144 776	80 506	55.6	1 369	1.7	38 367	47.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 816	4 376 344	328 040	7.5	281 194	85.7	20 573	6.3
MICHIGAN . . . . .	(X)	8 646 811	860 830	10.0	464 489	54.0	124 974	14.5
STATE . . . . .	9 131	7 649 278	253 901	3.3	77 616	30.6	25 797	10.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	3 866 266	641 664	16.6	388 353	60.5	101 837	15.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 131	1 604 244	270 081	16.8	60 646	22.5	78 963	29.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 131	2 429 202	382 628	15.8	332 537	86.9	24 780	6.5
MINNESOTA . . . . .	(X)	3 989 586	280 188	7.0	145 675	52.0	34 868	12.4
STATE . . . . .	3 916	3 821 318	101 828	2.7	30 314	29.8	4 954	4.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 985 149	203 572	10.3	119 308	58.6	29 930	14.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 916	972 456	106 490	11.0	28 083	26.4	29 958	28.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 916	1 076 428	100 161	9.3	92 467	92.3	150	0.1
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	(X)	1 816 511	114 150	6.3	64 796	56.8	15 850	13.9
STATE . . . . .	2 343	1 738 353	49 969	2.9	23 329	46.7	3 360	6.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	683 222	66 704	9.8	42 072	63.1	12 503	18.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 343	423 699	32 670	7.7	10 633	32.5	11 711	35.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 151	268 114	34 240	12.8	31 471	91.9	803	2.3
MISSOURI . . . . .	(X)	2 963 297	330 828	11.2	201 035	60.8	40 945	12.4
STATE . . . . .	4 770	2 466 856	108 831	4.4	30 729	28.2	15 648	14.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 227 737	239 868	19.5	170 307	71.0	27 442	11.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 245	349 929	68 226	19.5	26 369	38.6	17 618	25.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 287	942 512	172 184	18.3	144 423	83.9	9 824	5.7
MONTANA . . . . .	(X)	740 838	53 156	7.2	26 621	50.1	5 355	10.1
STATE . . . . .	746	667 898	24 447	3.7	6 648	27.2	1 384	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	247 442	30 519	12.3	20 053	65.7	3 993	13.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	746	212 410	17 658	8.3	8 211	46.5	3 509	19.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	428	111 279	13 392	12.0	12 256	91.5	502	3.7

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure  
(direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS . . . . .	49 004	4.7	11 479	1.1	193 460	18.5	40 550	3.9
STATE . . . . .	14 528	5.0	1 487	0.5	120 537	41.3	38 354	13.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	36 665	4.6	9 992	1.3	73 051	9.2	33 716	4.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	25 556	9.7	9 987	3.8	77 505	29.3	33 587	12.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 117	2.1	24	(2)	315	0.1	1 233	0.2
INDIANA . . . . .	16 244	5.0	2 920	0.9	70 321	21.8	23 093	7.2
STATE . . . . .	6 054	4.9	759	0.6	48 816	39.1	15 103	12.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 198	4.8	2 161	1.0	23 469	11.0	20 637	9.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 018	5.9	1 956	2.3	15 933	18.9	19 397	23.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 186	4.0	205	0.2	7 647	5.9	1 248	1.0
IOWA . . . . .	9 217	5.4	2 915	1.7	42 072	24.4	1 441	0.8
STATE . . . . .	2 468	3.5	9	(2)	30 071	42.5	7 064	10.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 755	6.2	2 906	2.7	13 099	12.0	896	0.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 853	8.8	2 904	5.2	12 868	23.2	611	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 904	3.4	2	(2)	655	1.2	323	0.6
KANSAS . . . . .	11 301	6.9	2 075	1.3	47 541	29.0	10 165	6.2
STATE . . . . .	3 988	5.2	1 934	2.5	38 854	50.6	12 988	16.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 313	7.9	264	0.3	8 703	9.3	3 242	3.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 476	12.7	190	0.4	7 710	17.9	2 645	6.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 837	3.5	78	0.1	1 202	2.3	615	1.2
KENTUCKY . . . . .	12 412	5.7	1 358	0.6	44 414	20.5	6 218	2.9
STATE . . . . .	10 698	9.4	2 322	2.0	33 913	29.8	7 433	6.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 080	5.6	381	0.3	14 927	11.8	2 301	1.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 454	7.4	408	0.7	12 482	20.8	2 131	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 627	3.9	171	0.3	2 981	4.4	207	0.3
LOUISIANA . . . . .	17 917	5.7	1 690	0.5	92 878	29.5	3 328	1.1
STATE . . . . .	8 348	6.4	60	(2)	56 637	43.1	14 139	10.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 571	4.8	1 630	0.8	37 150	18.6	2 105	1.1
PARISHES . . . . .	4 756	5.2	1 150	1.2	20 598	22.3	414	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 815	4.5	489	0.5	16 808	15.6	1 691	1.6
MAINE . . . . .	2 767	5.1	129	0.2	11 792	21.9	827	1.5
STATE . . . . .	1 802	6.3	-	-	9 553	33.3	3 220	11.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	966	3.5	129	0.5	2 245	8.1	57	0.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	415	5.5	129	1.7	2 375	31.4	56	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	551	2.7	-	-	109	0.5	1	(2)
MARYLAND . . . . .	16 175	3.9	6 708	1.6	132 707	31.8	5 982	1.4
STATE . . . . .	2 352	0.9	6 674	2.6	111 414	44.1	9 817	3.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 823	6.0	34	(2)	25 146	10.9	2 945	1.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 321	6.7	34	(2)	15 033	12.0	982	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 502	5.2	-	-	10 115	9.5	1 966	1.9
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	20 034	3.7	4 292	0.8	112 467	20.6	13 210	2.4
STATE . . . . .	6 969	4.5	2 298	1.5	70 930	46.0	16 060	10.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 065	3.2	1 994	0.5	41 537	10.2	10 423	2.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 651	4.5	1 980	2.5	30 916	38.4	4 223	5.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 414	2.9	14	(2)	10 644	3.2	6 200	1.9
MICHIGAN . . . . .	44 222	5.1	14 646	1.7	197 586	23.0	14 913	1.7
STATE . . . . .	9 468	3.7	6 980	2.7	112 618	44.4	21 422	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	34 754	5.4	13 591	2.1	91 321	14.2	11 807	1.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	22 895	8.5	13 020	4.8	84 999	31.5	9 559	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 865	3.1	571	0.1	10 607	2.8	2 268	0.6
MINNESOTA . . . . .	18 412	6.6	4 187	1.5	72 572	25.9	4 475	1.6
STATE . . . . .	4 190	4.1	329	0.3	44 695	43.9	17 346	17.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 568	7.2	3 858	1.9	34 003	16.7	1 905	0.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 182	8.6	3 990	3.7	34 601	32.5	677	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 386	5.4	21	(2)	909	0.9	1 228	1.2
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	4 655	4.1	912	0.8	26 011	22.8	1 926	1.7
STATE . . . . .	2 597	5.2	-	-	17 453	34.9	3 230	6.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 058	3.1	912	1.4	8 903	13.3	256	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 166	3.6	842	2.6	8 078	24.7	241	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	893	2.6	71	0.2	958	2.8	45	0.1
MISSOURI . . . . .	14 570	4.4	2 256	0.7	68 653	20.8	3 369	1.0
STATE . . . . .	2 535	2.3	1 876	1.7	41 379	38.0	16 654	13.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 035	5.0	380	0.2	28 169	11.7	1 534	0.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 078	8.9	51	0.1	17 709	26.0	401	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 957	3.5	329	0.2	10 519	6.1	1 133	0.7
MONTANA . . . . .	3 988	7.5	639	1.2	14 911	28.1	1 642	3.1
STATE . . . . .	1 098	4.5	-	-	12 099	49.5	3 218	13.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 890	9.5	639	2.1	2 814	9.2	130	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 405	13.6	626	3.5	2 777	15.7	130	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	493	3.7	13	0.1	129	1.0	-	-

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA . . . . .	(x)	1 185 589	100 202	8.5	49 827	49.7	15 121	15.1
STATE . . . . .	1 544	998 557	46 166	4.6	13 368	29.0	7 304	15.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	518 974	59 121	11.4	36 460	61.7	7 818	13.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 544	256 557	26 646	10.4	7 921	29.7	5 904	22.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 153	284 719	33 016	11.6	28 800	87.2	1 915	5.8
NEVADA . . . . .	(x)	685 371	102 837	15.0	46 332	45.1	11 341	11.0
STATE . . . . .	590	509 765	39 507	7.8	6 879	17.4	1 877	4.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	335 489	67 950	20.3	39 454	58.1	9 474	13.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	565	252 554	51 846	20.5	27 170	52.4	7 870	15.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	372	109 321	29 192	26.7	25 309	86.7	1 627	5.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	(x)	773 498	50 202	6.5	30 481	60.7	6 305	12.6
STATE . . . . .	812	549 958	19 603	3.6	6 393	32.6	1 860	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	323 238	32 546	10.1	24 100	74.0	4 744	14.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	812	45 865	7 430	16.2	1 450	19.5	3 185	42.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	812	278 884	25 520	9.2	22 988	90.1	1 600	6.3
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	(x)	7 269 107	758 034	10.4	432 740	57.1	92 544	12.2
STATE . . . . .	7 334	5 452 326	209 433	3.8	65 551	31.3	25 199	12.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	3 900 634	573 345	14.7	375 803	65.5	69 133	12.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 334	1 684 391	193 671	11.5	25 459	13.1	54 176	28.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 334	2 256 374	380 377	16.9	350 400	92.1	15 549	4.1
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	(x)	958 628	86 315	9.0	47 627	55.2	10 280	11.9
STATE . . . . .	1 144	1 061 998	48 216	4.5	13 775	28.6	8 659	18.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	301 470	42 160	14.0	34 018	80.7	1 629	3.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 144	99 955	11 162	11.2	7 808	69.9	2 243	2.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	745	202 250	31 165	15.4	26 274	84.3	1 386	4.4
NEW YORK . . . . .	(x)	26 653 160	2 328 250	8.7	1 344 693	57.8	252 856	10.9
STATE . . . . .	18 075	18 408 079	651 081	3.5	117 160	18.0	89 442	13.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	19 347 409	1 826 258	9.4	1 230 151	67.4	203 181	11.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 594	4 865 451	437 535	9.0	204 495	46.7	81 496	18.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18 075	14 753 891	1 391 765	9.4	1 028 057	73.9	121 685	8.7
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	(x)	5 301 197	353 625	6.7	172 816	48.9	46 745	13.2
STATE . . . . .	5 441	3 968 161	210 269	5.3	46 161	22.0	31 983	15.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	3 164 316	162 510	5.1	127 497	78.5	14 766	9.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 441	2 596 926	67 712	2.6	34 814	51.4	14 583	21.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 408	625 088	96 579	15.5	93 989	97.3	183	0.2
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	(x)	640 807	34 237	5.3	18 570	54.2	4 937	14.4
STATE . . . . .	643	627 484	13 760	2.2	4 365	31.7	1 677	12.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	179 575	21 753	12.1	14 218	65.4	3 260	15.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	643	97 780	10 754	11.0	3 962	36.8	2 895	26.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	592	99 438	11 252	11.3	10 472	93.1	371	3.3
OHIO . . . . .	(x)	7 944 196	768 254	9.7	393 936	51.3	108 681	14.1
STATE . . . . .	10 735	6 409 900	231 098	3.6	54 104	23.4	12 228	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	4 015 158	565 652	14.1	340 814	60.3	96 635	17.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 735	1 656 553	212 205	12.8	49 220	23.2	64 052	30.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 735	2 461 816	362 815	14.7	292 132	80.5	37 840	10.4
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	(x)	2 163 411	179 076	8.3	91 148	50.9	19 210	10.7
STATE . . . . .	2 711	1 965 897	94 615	4.8	28 038	29.6	9 587	10.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	767 429	91 325	11.9	63 241	69.2	10 096	11.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 711	226 368	25 359	11.2	7 556	29.8	8 533	33.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 086	561 208	66 530	11.9	55 738	83.8	1 963	2.9
OREGON . . . . .	(x)	2 132 399	216 931	10.2	102 238	47.1	26 636	12.3
STATE . . . . .	2 284	1 901 338	92 585	4.9	26 310	28.4	6 938	7.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	737 927	135 522	18.4	77 387	57.1	19 784	14.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 284	407 087	79 400	19.5	26 956	33.9	17 674	22.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 303	367 020	56 997	15.5	50 578	88.7	2 540	4.5
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	(x)	9 318 668	907 586	9.7	493 002	54.3	140 714	15.5
STATE . . . . .	11 864	8 947 844	343 895	3.8	151 421	44.0	58 741	17.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	3 144 084	643 066	20.5	380 457	59.2	106 607	16.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 048	978 611	166 373	17.0	14 042	8.4	64 559	38.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 864	2 301 139	480 680	20.9	370 053	77.0	42 074	8.8
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	(x)	1 165 934	70 034	6.0	40 327	57.6	10 886	15.5
STATE . . . . .	931	803 633	38 168	4.7	8 678	22.7	10 546	27.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	524 597	34 408	6.6	32 928	95.7	340	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	931	527 521	34 412	6.5	32 932	95.7	340	1.0
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	(x)	2 058 620	164 729	8.0	87 693	53.2	18 652	11.3
STATE . . . . .	2 816	2 143 924	77 587	3.6	29 263	37.7	2 806	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	503 273	91 165	18.1	60 747	66.6	15 979	17.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 816	344 276	52 866	15.4	24 973	47.2	15 073	28.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 092	163 064	38 958	23.9	35 800	91.9	914	2.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA . . . . .	5 951	5.9	1 187	1.2	26 329	26.3	1 767	1.8
STATE . . . . .	682	1.5	-	-	18 106	39.2	6 706	14.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 269	8.9	1 187	2.0	8 232	13.9	155	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 719	14.0	1 187	4.5	7 915	29.7	1	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 550	4.7	-	-	596	1.8	154	0.5
NEVADA . . . . .	7 670	7.5	1 882	1.8	35 055	34.1	558	0.5
STATE . . . . .	1 558	3.9	234	0.6	24 804	62.8	4 155	10.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 112	9.0	1 693	2.5	11 152	16.4	66	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 801	9.3	1 629	3.1	10 323	19.9	53	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 311	4.5	68	0.2	865	3.0	12	(2)
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	2 005	4.0	278	0.6	10 304	20.5	830	1.7
STATE . . . . .	994	5.1	284	1.4	7 633	38.9	2 439	12.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 011	3.1	1	(2)	2 671	8.2	20	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	436	5.9	-	-	2 358	31.7	1	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	575	2.3	1	(2)	337	1.3	19	0.1
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	52 792	7.0	12 379	1.6	158 387	20.9	9 191	1.2
STATE . . . . .	9 260	4.4	12 031	5.7	79 568	38.0	17 824	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	43 532	7.6	348	0.1	78 838	13.8	5 691	1.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	33 011	17.0	182	0.1	78 714	40.6	2 129	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 521	2.8	181	(2)	164	(2)	3 562	0.9
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	6 146	7.1	2 137	2.5	16 839	19.5	3 285	3.8
STATE . . . . .	4 779	9.9	2 034	4.2	13 204	27.4	5 765	12.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 374	3.3	103	0.2	4 399	10.4	637	1.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	260	2.3	75	0.7	2 178	19.5	597	5.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 114	3.6	28	0.1	2 323	7.5	39	0.1
NEW YORK . . . . .	129 464	5.6	32 364	1.4	527 752	22.7	41 121	1.8
STATE . . . . .	33 848	5.2	5 206	0.8	328 373	50.4	77 032	11.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	95 914	5.3	27 158	1.5	246 202	13.5	23 654	1.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	31 086	7.1	12 928	3.0	104 371	23.9	3 160	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	64 842	4.7	14 247	1.0	142 439	10.2	20 494	1.5
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	11 402	3.2	1 228	0.3	113 210	32.0	8 226	2.3
STATE . . . . .	8 016	3.8	4 755	2.3	101 216	48.1	18 138	8.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 386	2.1	78	(2)	12 326	7.6	4 458	2.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 448	2.1	90	0.1	12 425	18.4	4 352	6.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 942	2.0	21	(2)	314	0.3	130	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	2 920	8.5	252	0.7	6 880	20.1	677	2.0
STATE . . . . .	1 234	9.0	-	-	4 570	33.2	1 914	13.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 686	7.8	252	1.2	2 310	10.6	26	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 340	12.5	252	2.3	2 288	21.3	17	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	346	3.1	-	-	54	0.5	10	0.1
OHIO . . . . .	38 858	5.1	9 498	1.2	185 433	24.1	31 848	4.1
STATE . . . . .	12 208	5.3	1 606	0.7	124 157	53.7	26 795	11.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26 652	4.7	7 892	1.4	66 641	11.8	27 018	4.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 342	6.8	6 982	3.3	54 935	25.9	22 674	10.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12 451	3.4	999	0.3	15 031	4.1	4 362	1.2
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	9 719	5.4	1 016	0.6	51 618	28.8	6 365	3.6
STATE . . . . .	6 161	6.5	-	-	45 456	48.0	5 373	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 722	6.3	1 016	1.1	6 244	6.8	5 006	5.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 528	13.9	882	3.5	4 789	18.9	71	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 209	3.3	134	0.2	1 505	2.3	4 981	7.5
OREGON . . . . .	19 170	8.8	3 918	1.8	58 355	26.9	6 615	3.0
STATE . . . . .	8 922	9.6	269	0.3	39 531	42.7	10 615	11.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 248	7.6	3 668	2.7	18 848	13.9	5 588	4.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 014	10.1	3 592	4.5	18 375	23.1	4 789	6.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 234	3.9	94	0.2	752	1.3	799	1.4
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	36 907	4.1	9 723	1.1	218 992	24.1	8 248	0.9
STATE . . . . .	11 195	3.3	-	-	116 522	33.9	6 016	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	30 953	4.8	9 723	1.5	113 024	17.6	2 302	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 178	9.1	6 051	3.6	66 111	39.7	432	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15 775	3.3	3 672	0.8	47 234	9.8	1 873	0.4
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	3 240	4.6	623	0.9	14 426	20.6	553	0.8
STATE . . . . .	2 233	5.9	623	1.6	14 417	37.8	1 671	4.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 007	2.9	-	-	9	(2)	125	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 007	2.9	-	-	9	(2)	125	0.4
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	6 233	3.8	1 162	0.7	48 045	29.2	2 944	1.8
STATE . . . . .	3 531	4.6	691	0.9	38 042	49.0	3 254	4.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 857	3.1	555	0.6	10 412	11.4	615	0.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 107	4.0	651	1.2	9 403	17.8	658	1.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	750	1.9	2	(2)	1 259	3.2	234	0.6

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>3</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	(X)	598 885	39 220	6.5	21 107	53.8	7 209	18.4
STATE . . . . .	683	504 903	21 706	4.3	5 686	26.2	5 836	26.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	172 706	21 612	12.5	15 436	71.4	2 249	10.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	683	72 420	11 621	16.0	5 760	49.6	2 256	19.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	596	101 877	10 495	10.3	10 009	95.4	65	0.6
TENNESSEE . . . . .	(X)	4 147 447	263 078	6.3	136 332	51.8	37 362	14.2
STATE . . . . .	4 175	2 552 410	107 463	4.2	25 381	23.6	10 135	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	2 323 017	166 893	7.2	111 364	66.7	27 295	16.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 727	1 270 438	58 398	4.6	19 311	33.1	21 231	36.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 593	1 228 850	109 669	8.9	92 601	84.4	6 099	5.6
TEXAS . . . . .	(X)	8 268 550	793 246	9.6	454 573	57.3	105 021	13.2
STATE . . . . .	12 261	7 349 113	257 162	3.5	99 453	38.7	13 221	5.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	3 138 014	574 123	18.3	355 322	61.9	93 849	16.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	12 261	1 038 226	243 121	23.4	52 966	21.8	81 047	33.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 948	2 130 243	333 031	15.6	303 305	91.1	12 823	3.9
UTAH . . . . .	(X)	1 029 892	79 728	7.7	42 426	53.2	9 030	11.3
STATE . . . . .	1 403	1 054 771	38 077	3.6	12 624	33.2	3 158	8.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	279 795	46 465	16.6	30 362	65.3	6 006	12.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 203	133 511	21 765	16.3	9 263	42.6	3 760	17.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	836	147 646	24 840	16.8	21 161	85.2	2 252	9.1
VERMONT . . . . .	(X)	458 725	31 210	6.8	15 718	50.4	3 794	12.2
STATE . . . . .	472	460 936	22 854	5.0	7 724	33.8	3 375	14.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	84 411	8 893	10.5	7 994	89.9	419	4.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	472	64 630	646	102.5	193	29.9	415	64.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	472	84 669	8 393	9.9	7 923	94.4	24	0.3
VIRGINIA . . . . .	(X)	5 521 282	369 471	6.7	175 836	47.6	40 820	11.0
STATE . . . . .	4 981	3 518 413	200 877	5.7	61 568	30.6	18 726	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	3 071 595	218 506	7.1	134 441	61.5	26 764	12.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 968	1 570 651	94 538	6.0	55 110	58.3	14 526	15.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 351	1 563 580	126 438	8.1	79 958	63.2	12 844	10.2
WASHINGTON . . . . .	(X)	3 354 057	293 828	8.8	152 205	51.8	31 678	10.8
STATE . . . . .	3 553	3 345 960	119 257	3.6	33 484	28.1	6 424	5.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 116 193	190 838	17.1	118 880	62.3	25 377	13.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 553	583 203	94 464	16.2	34 984	37.0	20 701	21.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 984	641 101	102 002	15.9	87 313	85.6	4 908	4.8
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	(X)	1 404 751	85 235	6.1	41 261	48.4	18 266	21.4
STATE . . . . .	1 799	1 559 346	47 694	3.1	15 157	31.8	12 067	25.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	276 834	40 203	14.5	26 107	64.9	6 231	15.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 799	99 479	20 486	20.6	7 507	36.6	5 820	28.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	780	178 914	19 962	11.2	18 670	93.5	411	2.1
WISCONSIN . . . . .	(X)	4 746 812	339 234	7.1	197 319	58.2	37 505	11.1
STATE . . . . .	4 577	4 087 692	109 681	2.7	27 261	24.9	12 661	11.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	2 664 507	240 376	9.0	172 281	71.7	28 770	12.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 577	1 182 392	100 454	8.5	38 208	38.0	27 808	27.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 577	1 516 100	141 794	9.4	135 003	95.2	1 803	1.3
WYOMING . . . . .	(X)	432 732	37 793	8.7	19 628	51.9	3 728	9.9
STATE . . . . .	376	402 471	17 205	4.3	5 805	33.7	1 375	8.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	151 115	22 421	14.8	13 831	61.7	2 353	10.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	376	132 615	12 837	9.7	5 395	42.0	1 763	13.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	274	64 867	9 765	15.1	8 499	87.0	631	6.5

<sup>1</sup>Represents zero or rounds to zero.<sup>2</sup>Less than half the unit of measurement shown.<sup>3</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.<sup>4</sup>The relation of criminal justice total expenditure to total general expenditure is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for State-operated liquor stores, independent school districts, or special districts.<sup>5</sup>For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 6. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	3 559	9.1	578	1.5	6 381	16.3	385	1.0
STATE . . . . .	1 916	8.8	-	-	5 177	23.9	3 091	14.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 104	9.7	618	2.9	1 205	5.6	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 793	15.4	614	5.3	1 199	10.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	325	3.1	9	0.1	87	0.8	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	10 859	4.1	2 523	1.0	72 883	27.7	3 120	1.2
STATE . . . . .	6 777	6.3	1 451	1.4	57 813	53.8	5 906	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 082	2.4	1 072	0.6	21 016	12.6	2 065	1.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 559	2.7	742	1.3	14 065	24.1	1 491	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 526	2.3	330	0.3	7 529	6.9	584	0.5
TEXAS . . . . .	45 802	5.8	5 473	0.7	153 175	19.3	29 201	3.7
STATE . . . . .	10 112	3.9	-	-	85 822	33.4	48 554	18.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	35 700	6.2	5 473	1.0	67 358	11.7	16 420	2.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	26 423	10.9	5 411	2.2	63 654	26.2	13 620	5.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 304	2.8	62	(Z)	4 636	1.4	2 902	0.9
UTAH . . . . .	4 858	6.1	658	0.8	19 812	24.8	2 944	3.7
STATE . . . . .	1 476	3.9	-	-	16 598	43.6	4 221	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 669	7.9	658	1.4	3 780	8.1	1 990	4.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 768	12.7	638	2.9	3 783	17.4	1 553	7.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	901	3.6	20	0.1	60	0.2	447	1.8
VERMONT . . . . .	1 903	6.1	990	3.2	8 256	26.5	548	1.8
STATE . . . . .	1 439	6.3	990	4.3	8 241	36.1	1 085	4.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	464	5.2	-	-	15	0.2	-	(Z)
COUNTIES . . . . .	24	3.7	-	-	13	2.0	-	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	440	5.2	-	-	6	0.1	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	13 469	3.6	5 273	1.4	125 933	34.1	8 141	2.2
STATE . . . . .	5 741	2.9	4 938	2.5	99 114	49.3	10 790	5.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 324	4.7	335	0.2	41 022	18.8	5 620	2.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 857	5.1	115	0.1	18 554	19.6	1 377	1.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 499	4.3	220	0.2	23 672	18.7	4 245	3.4
WASHINGTON . . . . .	18 327	6.2	5 962	2.0	83 072	28.3	2 580	0.9
STATE . . . . .	6 257	5.2	320	0.3	64 474	54.1	8 298	7.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 558	6.6	5 642	3.0	26 727	14.0	1 654	0.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 242	8.7	4 919	5.2	24 738	26.2	881	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 402	4.3	745	0.7	3 842	3.8	791	0.8
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	5 171	6.1	36	(Z)	19 542	22.9	961	1.1
STATE . . . . .	2 142	4.5	-	-	14 930	31.3	3 398	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 029	7.5	36	0.1	4 613	11.5	188	0.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 481	12.1	6	(Z)	4 634	22.6	39	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	548	2.7	30	0.1	153	0.8	149	0.7
WISCONSIN . . . . .	21 548	6.4	4 519	1.3	71 625	21.1	6 718	2.0
STATE . . . . .	6 130	7.4	733	0.7	51 052	46.5	9 844	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 093	5.9	3 786	1.6	21 104	8.8	342	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 216	9.2	3 757	3.7	21 144	21.0	321	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 884	3.4	29	(Z)	53	(Z)	21	(Z)
WYOMING . . . . .	2 369	6.3	396	1.0	11 080	29.3	592	1.6
STATE . . . . .	971	5.6	-	-	6 647	38.6	2 407	14.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 398	6.2	396	1.8	4 443	19.8	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 021	8.0	364	2.8	4 294	33.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	377	3.9	32	0.3	225	2.3	-	-

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	202 758 335	18 795 046	9.3	10 099 455	53.7	2 348 625	12.5
STATES . . . . .	213 085	103 524 794	5 812 353	5.6	1 799 725	31.0	650 559	11.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	99 233 541	12 982 693	13.1	8 299 730	63.9	1 698 066	13.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	189 776	38 767 706	5 067 611	13.1	1 630 460	32.2	1 286 204	25.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	170 157	60 465 836	7 915 082	13.1	6 669 270	84.3	411 861	5.2
ALABAMA . . . . .	(X)	2 749 237	184 127	6.7	108 991	59.2	27 187	14.8
STATE . . . . .	3 616	1 862 086	65 888	3.5	27 959	42.4	7 321	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	887 151	118 239	13.3	81 032	68.5	19 866	16.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 616	295 629	47 774	16.2	16 557	34.7	18 074	37.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 153	591 521	70 465	11.9	64 475	91.5	1 792	2.5
ALASKA . . . . .	(X)	1 333 058	88 131	6.6	42 957	48.7	15 166	17.2
STATE . . . . .	365	793 844	65 881	8.3	23 919	36.3	15 047	22.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	539 214	22 250	4.1	19 038	85.6	119	0.5
BOROUGH . . . . .	83	156 167	1 588	1.0	1 195	75.2	14	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	291	383 048	20 662	5.4	17 843	86.4	106	0.5
ARIZONA . . . . .	(X)	1 890 310	257 884	13.6	146 508	56.8	29 819	11.6
STATE . . . . .	2 225	964 389	71 229	7.4	31 943	44.8	3 884	5.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	925 921	186 655	20.2	114 565	61.4	25 935	13.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 225	416 490	83 774	20.1	25 481	30.4	21 470	25.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 645	509 431	102 881	20.2	89 085	86.6	4 465	4.3
ARKANSAS . . . . .	(X)	1 340 657	90 944	6.8	48 051	52.8	10 564	11.6
STATE . . . . .	2 107	947 531	39 838	4.2	13 786	34.6	2 997	7.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	393 126	51 106	13.0	34 265	67.0	7 567	14.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 107	188 043	21 981	11.7	9 044	41.1	6 077	27.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 245	205 083	29 125	14.2	25 222	86.6	1 490	5.1
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	(X)	22 208 550	2 752 637	12.4	1 374 152	49.9	314 345	11.4
STATE . . . . .	21 203	9 941 985	664 778	6.7	259 041	39.0	36 210	5.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	12 266 565	2 087 859	17.0	1 115 111	53.4	278 135	13.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	20 538	7 398 499	1 198 562	16.2	314 674	26.3	265 336	22.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15 990	4 868 066	889 297	18.3	800 437	90.0	12 799	1.4
COLORADO . . . . .	(X)	2 316 708	258 376	11.2	142 039	55.0	36 861	14.3
STATE . . . . .	2 542	1 268 577	96 167	7.6	21 615	22.5	27 265	28.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 048 131	162 209	15.5	120 424	74.2	9 596	5.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 057	378 126	38 915	10.3	18 893	48.5	2 636	6.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 931	670 006	123 294	18.4	101 531	82.3	6 960	5.6
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	(X)	3 485 899	230 343	6.6	138 283	60.0	25 981	11.3
STATE . . . . .	3 080	1 606 986	113 885	7.1	27 428	24.1	25 734	22.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 878 913	116 458	6.2	110 855	95.2	247	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 080	1 878 913	116 458	6.2	110 855	95.2	247	0.2
DELAWARE . . . . .	(X)	646 717	59 993	9.3	26 925	44.9	10 607	17.7
STATE . . . . .	579	464 104	41 908	9.0	11 713	27.9	8 511	20.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	182 613	18 085	9.9	15 212	84.1	2 096	11.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	579	85 524	6 817	8.0	4 945	72.8	1 516	22.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	194	97 089	11 268	11.6	10 267	91.1	580	5.2
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	(X)	1 424 014	184 640	13.0	90 345	48.9	22 224	12.0
STATE . . . . .	712	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 424 014	184 640	13.0	90 345	48.9	22 224	12.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	712	1 424 014	184 640	13.0	90 345	48.9	22 224	12.0
FLORIDA . . . . .	(X)	5 996 476	825 951	13.8	411 104	49.8	108 768	13.2
STATE . . . . .	8 283	2 885 977	322 599	11.2	62 619	19.4	36 635	11.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	3 110 499	503 352	16.2	348 485	69.2	72 133	14.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 721	1 544 978	252 874	16.4	126 519	50.0	63 372	25.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 827	1 565 521	250 478	16.0	221 966	88.6	8 761	3.5
GEORGIA . . . . .	(X)	3 215 664	372 517	11.6	166 952	44.8	51 592	13.8
STATE . . . . .	4 931	2 121 251	143 924	6.8	37 598	26.1	8 303	5.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 094 413	228 593	20.9	129 354	56.6	43 289	18.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 771	519 241	125 489	24.2	39 817	31.7	39 816	31.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 420	575 172	103 104	17.9	89 538	86.8	3 472	3.4
HAWAII . . . . .	(X)	1 714 112	88 908	5.2	47 542	53.5	13 285	14.9
STATE . . . . .	868	1 313 537	36 469	2.8	1 472	4.0	13 285	36.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	400 575	52 439	13.1	46 070	87.9	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	163	95 909	14 785	15.4	11 092	75.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	705	304 666	37 654	12.4	34 978	92.9	-	-
IDAH0 . . . . .	(X)	670 101	57 449	8.6	27 814	48.4	7 739	13.5
STATE . . . . .	814	464 060	23 622	5.1	6 275	26.6	4 030	17.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	206 041	33 827	16.4	21 539	63.7	3 709	11.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	814	108 728	19 774	18.2	8 457	42.8	3 398	17.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	531	97 314	14 053	14.4	13 082	93.1	311	2.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	1 039 740	5.5	263 302	1.4	4 635 349	24.7	408 576	2.2
STATES	296 758	5.1	78 365	1.3	2 847 020	49.0	139 926	2.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	742 982	5.7	184 937	1.4	1 788 329	13.8	268 650	2.1
COUNTIES	456 182	9.0	155 676	3.1	1 364 858	26.9	174 230	3.4
MUNICIPALITIES	286 800	3.6	29 260	0.4	423 470	5.4	94 420	1.2
ALABAMA	8 076	4.4	1 819	1.0	36 642	19.9	1 412	0.8
STATE	3 763	5.7	1 559	2.4	24 190	36.7	1 096	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 313	3.6	260	0.2	12 452	10.5	316	0.3
COUNTIES	2 893	6.1	45	0.1	10 196	21.3	6	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES	1 420	2.0	215	0.3	2 253	3.2	310	0.4
ALASKA	7 975	9.0	2 002	2.3	17 315	19.6	2 716	3.1
STATE	5 817	8.8	2 002	3.0	16 384	29.9	2 712	4.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 158	9.7	-	-	931	4.2	4	(2)
BOROUGHs	379	23.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	1 779	8.6	-	-	931	4.5	4	(2)
ARIZONA	15 224	5.9	5 448	2.1	55 551	21.5	5 335	2.1
STATE	3 114	4.4	-	-	31 131	43.7	1 157	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 110	6.5	5 448	2.9	24 420	13.1	4 178	2.2
COUNTIES	7 998	9.5	5 326	6.4	23 344	27.9	156	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES	4 112	4.0	122	0.1	1 076	1.0	4 022	3.9
ARKANSAS	3 601	4.0	614	0.7	23 653	26.0	4 461	4.9
STATE	996	2.5	43	0.1	18 186	45.6	3 830	9.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 605	5.1	571	1.1	5 467	10.7	631	1.2
COUNTIES	1 631	7.4	505	2.3	4 584	20.9	140	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES	974	3.3	66	0.2	883	3.0	491	1.7
CALIFORNIA	205 211	7.5	62 811	2.3	751 804	27.3	44 314	1.6
STATE	25 999	3.9	3 581	0.5	334 098	50.3	5 849	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	179 212	8.6	59 230	2.8	417 706	20.0	38 465	1.8
COUNTIES	140 234	11.7	57 551	4.8	399 965	33.4	20 803	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES	38 978	4.4	1 679	0.2	17 741	2.0	17 663	2.0
COLORADO	18 524	7.2	3 554	1.4	51 468	19.9	5 930	2.3
STATE	4 249	4.4	3 534	3.7	37 960	39.5	1 544	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 275	8.8	20	(2)	13 508	8.3	4 386	2.7
COUNTIES	8 427	21.7	14	(2)	6 081	15.6	2 864	7.4
MUNICIPALITIES	5 848	4.7	6	(2)	7 426	6.0	1 522	1.2
CONNECTICUT	9 995	4.3	2 789	1.2	51 419	22.3	1 877	0.8
STATE	5 940	5.2	2 775	2.4	51 225	45.0	783	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL	4 055	3.5	14	(2)	194	0.2	1 094	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES	4 055	3.5	14	(2)	194	0.2	1 094	0.9
DELAWARE	2 531	4.2	674	1.1	18 345	30.6	910	1.5
STATE	1 766	4.2	663	1.6	18 345	43.8	910	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL	765	4.2	11	0.1	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES	345	5.1	11	0.2	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	420	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	3 989	2.2	4 254	2.3	63 062	34.2	766	0.4
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 989	2.2	4 254	2.3	63 062	34.2	766	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 989	2.2	4 254	2.3	63 062	34.2	766	0.4
FLORIDA	41 199	5.0	14 120	1.7	234 034	28.3	16 726	2.0
STATE	28 200	8.7	12 177	3.8	181 175	56.2	1 793	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	12 999	2.6	1 943	0.4	52 859	10.5	14 933	3.0
COUNTIES	5 863	2.3	1 831	0.7	42 142	16.7	13 127	5.2
MUNICIPALITIES	7 116	2.8	113	(2)	10 716	4.3	1 806	0.7
GEORGIA	11 970	3.2	2 316	0.6	133 761	35.9	5 926	1.6
STATE	4 255	3.0	337	0.2	91 621	63.7	1 810	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 715	3.4	1 979	0.9	42 140	18.4	4 116	1.8
COUNTIES	6 005	4.8	1 841	1.5	37 022	29.5	988	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES	1 709	1.7	138	0.1	5 118	5.0	3 128	3.0
HAWAII	5 956	6.7	1 890	2.1	16 446	18.5	3 789	4.3
STATE	2 124	5.8	1 890	5.2	15 744	43.2	1 954	5.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 832	7.3	-	-	702	1.3	1 835	3.5
COUNTIES	1 243	8.4	-	-	702	4.7	1 748	11.8
MUNICIPALITIES	2 589	6.9	-	-	-	-	87	0.2
IDAH0	4 228	7.4	749	1.3	11 081	19.3	5 838	10.2
STATE	1 572	6.7	-	-	9 399	39.8	2 346	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	2 656	7.9	749	2.2	1 682	5.0	3 492	10.3
COUNTIES	2 056	10.4	749	3.8	1 622	8.2	3 492	17.7
MUNICIPALITIES	599	4.3	-	-	60	0.4	-	-

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS . . . . .	(X)	9 123 563	1 044 900	11.5	638 199	61.1	112 208	10.7
STATE . . . . .	11 206	5 703 839	255 306	4.5	69 626	27.3	44 598	17.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	3 419 724	789 594	23.1	568 573	72.0	67 610	8.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	11 206	942 827	257 815	27.3	50 126	19.4	66 940	26.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 206	2 476 897	531 779	21.5	518 447	97.5	670	0.1
INDIANA . . . . .	(X)	3 384 761	322 339	9.5	170 882	53.0	38 879	12.1
STATE . . . . .	5 309	1 851 494	111 642	6.0	45 465	40.7	8 092	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 533 267	210 697	13.7	125 417	59.5	30 787	14.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 527	694 366	82 589	11.9	20 046	24.3	21 885	26.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 309	838 901	128 107	15.3	105 371	82.3	8 903	6.9
IOWA . . . . .	(X)	2 447 172	172 100	7.0	90 779	52.7	25 675	14.9
STATE . . . . .	2 861	1 391 845	63 312	4.5	23 838	37.7	7 279	11.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 055 327	108 788	10.3	66 941	61.5	18 396	16.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 861	496 373	54 279	10.9	15 316	28.2	18 326	33.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 096	558 954	54 509	9.8	51 624	94.7	70	0.1
KANSAS . . . . .	(X)	1 946 956	163 762	8.4	71 119	43.4	21 560	13.2
STATE . . . . .	2 280	1 143 066	70 654	6.2	12 413	17.6	6 665	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	803 890	93 108	11.6	58 706	63.1	14 895	16.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 280	280 622	43 051	15.3	13 873	32.2	13 215	30.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 755	523 268	50 057	9.6	44 834	89.6	1 680	3.4
KENTUCKY . . . . .	(X)	2 602 624	216 423	8.3	116 782	53.7	35 239	16.2
STATE . . . . .	3 388	1 986 243	89 751	4.5	37 445	41.2	12 590	13.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	616 381	126 672	20.6	79 337	62.6	22 649	17.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 202	229 957	59 712	26.0	20 533	34.4	19 825	33.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 651	386 424	66 960	17.3	58 804	87.8	2 824	4.2
LOUISIANA . . . . .	(X)	3 503 108	314 763	9.0	158 110	50.2	40 841	13.0
STATE . . . . .	3 804	2 294 134	115 252	5.0	38 591	33.5	11 258	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 208 974	199 511	16.5	119 519	59.9	29 583	14.8
PARISHES . . . . .	2 933	593 128	91 748	15.5	47 256	51.5	17 690	19.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 261	615 846	107 763	17.5	72 263	67.1	11 893	11.0
MAINE . . . . .	(X)	1 003 205	53 792	5.4	30 961	57.6	7 317	13.6
STATE . . . . .	1 064	578 367	26 052	4.5	8 740	33.5	5 186	19.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	424 838	27 740	6.5	22 221	80.1	2 131	7.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 064	16 992	7 431	43.7	2 463	33.1	2 130	28.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 059	407 845	20 309	5.0	19 758	97.3	1	(Z)
MARYLAND . . . . .	(X)	5 756 135	417 118	7.2	215 830	51.7	39 715	9.5
STATE . . . . .	4 122	2 112 793	187 015	8.9	45 737	24.5	21 644	11.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	3 643 342	230 103	6.3	170 093	73.9	18 071	7.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 270	2 436 144	123 958	5.1	87 525	70.6	12 073	9.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 456	1 207 198	106 144	8.8	82 567	77.8	5 998	5.7
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	(X)	7 628 547	546 423	7.2	324 679	59.4	71 741	13.1
STATE . . . . .	5 816	3 394 864	138 466	4.1	42 664	30.8	12 818	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	4 233 683	407 957	9.6	282 015	69.1	58 923	14.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 088	142 752	80 488	56.4	1 368	1.7	38 350	47.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 816	4 090 930	327 469	8.0	280 647	85.7	20 573	6.3
MICHIGAN . . . . .	(X)	8 646 811	860 830	10.0	464 489	54.0	124 974	14.5
STATE . . . . .	9 131	4 800 932	224 117	4.7	76 173	34.0	23 401	10.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	3 845 879	636 713	16.6	388 316	61.0	101 573	16.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 131	1 545 673	260 885	16.9	60 511	23.2	78 117	29.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 131	2 300 206	375 828	16.3	327 806	87.2	23 456	6.2
MINNESOTA . . . . .	(X)	3 989 586	280 188	7.0	145 675	52.0	34 868	12.4
STATE . . . . .	3 916	2 023 739	76 716	3.8	26 399	34.4	4 954	6.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 965 847	203 472	10.4	119 276	58.6	29 914	14.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 916	939 953	104 939	11.2	28 006	26.7	29 782	28.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 916	1 025 894	98 534	9.6	91 270	92.6	133	0.1
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	(X)	1 816 511	114 150	6.3	64 796	56.8	15 850	13.9
STATE . . . . .	2 343	1 135 445	47 482	4.2	22 747	47.9	3 360	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	681 066	66 668	9.8	42 049	63.1	12 490	18.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 343	418 586	32 617	7.8	10 631	32.6	11 690	35.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 151	262 479	34 051	13.0	31 418	92.3	800	2.4
MISSOURI . . . . .	(X)	2 963 297	330 828	11.2	201 035	60.8	40 945	12.4
STATE . . . . .	4 770	1 740 828	91 877	5.3	30 729	33.4	13 503	14.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	1 222 469	238 951	19.5	170 306	71.3	27 442	11.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 245	315 049	67 266	21.4	26 353	39.2	17 618	26.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 287	907 421	171 685	18.9	143 953	83.8	9 824	5.7
MONTANA . . . . .	(X)	740 838	53 156	7.2	26 621	50.1	5 385	10.1
STATE . . . . .	746	498 395	22 672	4.5	6 581	29.0	1 384	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(X)	242 443	30 484	12.6	20 040	65.7	3 971	13.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	746	131 791	17 494	13.3	8 124	46.4	3 491	20.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	428	110 652	12 990	11.7	11 917	91.7	480	3.7

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS . . . . .	49 004	4.7	11 479	1.1	193 460	18.5	40 550	3.9
STATE . . . . .	12 344	4.8	1 487	0.6	120 412	47.2	6 839	2.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	36 660	4.6	9 992	1.3	73 048	9.3	33 711	4.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	25 551	9.9	9 967	3.9	72 752	28.2	32 478	12.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 109	2.1	24	(2)	296	0.1	1 233	0.2
INDIANA . . . . .	16 244	5.0	2 920	0.9	70 321	21.8	23 093	7.2
STATE . . . . .	6 054	5.4	759	0.7	48 816	43.7	2 456	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 190	4.8	2 161	1.0	21 505	10.2	20 637	9.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 003	6.1	1 956	2.4	14 302	17.3	19 397	23.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 186	4.0	205	0.2	7 202	5.6	1 240	1.0
IOWA . . . . .	9 217	5.4	2 915	1.7	42 072	24.4	1 441	0.8
STATE . . . . .	2 468	3.9	9	(2)	29 167	46.1	551	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 749	6.2	2 906	2.7	12 905	11.9	890	0.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 851	8.9	2 904	5.3	12 275	22.6	606	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 898	3.5	2	(2)	630	1.2	284	0.5
KANSAS . . . . .	11 301	6.9	2 075	1.3	47 541	29.0	10 165	6.2
STATE . . . . .	3 988	5.6	1 811	2.6	38 854	55.0	6 923	9.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 313	7.9	264	0.3	8 687	9.3	3 242	3.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 476	12.7	189	0.4	7 653	17.8	2 645	6.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 837	3.7	75	0.1	1 034	2.1	597	1.2
KENTUCKY . . . . .	12 412	5.7	1 358	0.6	44 414	20.5	6 218	2.9
STATE . . . . .	5 332	5.9	977	1.1	29 490	32.9	3 917	4.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 080	5.6	381	0.3	14 924	11.8	2 301	1.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 454	7.5	331	0.6	12 464	20.9	2 105	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 627	3.9	50	0.1	2 460	3.7	196	0.3
LOUISIANA . . . . .	17 917	5.7	1 690	0.5	92 878	29.5	3 328	1.1
STATE . . . . .	8 348	7.2	60	0.1	55 772	48.4	1 223	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 569	4.8	1 630	0.8	37 106	18.6	2 105	1.1
PARISHES . . . . .	4 754	5.2	1 142	1.2	20 494	22.3	414	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 815	4.5	488	0.5	16 612	15.4	1 691	1.6
MAINE . . . . .	2 767	5.1	129	0.2	11 792	21.9	827	1.5
STATE . . . . .	1 802	6.9	-	-	9 553	36.7	771	3.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	965	3.5	129	0.5	2 239	8.1	56	0.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	415	5.6	129	1.7	2 239	30.1	56	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	550	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	16 175	3.9	6 708	1.6	132 707	31.8	5 982	1.4
STATE . . . . .	2 352	1.3	6 674	3.6	107 571	57.5	3 037	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 823	6.0	34	(2)	25 136	10.9	2 945	1.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 321	6.7	34	(2)	15 023	12.1	982	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 502	5.2	-	-	10 113	9.5	1 964	1.9
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	20 034	3.7	4 292	0.8	112 467	20.6	13 210	2.4
STATE . . . . .	6 969	5.0	2 298	1.7	70 930	51.2	2 787	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 065	3.2	1 994	0.5	41 537	10.2	10 423	2.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 651	4.5	1 980	2.5	30 916	38.4	4 223	5.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 414	2.9	14	(2)	10 621	3.2	6 200	1.9
MICHIGAN . . . . .	44 222	5.1	14 646	1.7	197 586	23.0	14 913	1.7
STATE . . . . .	9 468	4.2	1 055	0.5	110 678	49.4	3 342	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	34 754	5.5	13 591	2.1	86 908	13.6	11 571	1.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	22 894	8.8	13 020	5.0	77 040	29.5	9 303	3.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 859	3.2	571	0.2	9 868	2.6	2 268	0.6
MINNESOTA . . . . .	18 412	6.6	4 187	1.5	72 572	25.9	4 475	1.6
STATE . . . . .	3 844	5.0	329	0.4	38 598	50.3	2 592	3.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 568	7.2	3 858	1.9	33 974	16.7	1 883	0.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 181	8.7	3 837	3.7	33 456	31.9	677	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 386	5.5	21	(2)	518	0.5	1 206	1.2
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	4 655	4.1	912	0.8	26 011	22.8	1 926	1.7
STATE . . . . .	2 597	5.5	-	-	17 108	36.0	1 670	3.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 058	3.1	912	1.4	8 903	13.4	256	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 165	3.6	842	2.6	8 076	24.8	214	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	893	2.6	71	0.2	828	2.4	42	0.1
MISSOURI . . . . .	14 570	4.4	2 256	0.7	68 653	20.8	3 369	1.0
STATE . . . . .	2 535	2.8	1 876	2.0	41 379	45.0	1 855	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 035	5.0	380	0.2	27 274	11.4	1 514	0.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 078	9.0	51	0.1	16 785	25.0	381	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 957	3.5	329	0.2	10 489	6.1	1 133	0.7
MONTANA . . . . .	3 988	7.5	639	1.2	14 911	28.1	1 642	3.1
STATE . . . . .	1 098	4.8	-	-	12 097	53.4	1 512	6.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 890	9.5	639	2.1	2 814	9.2	130	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 397	13.7	626	3.6	2 726	15.6	130	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	493	3.8	13	0.1	88	0.7	-	-

**Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA . . . . .	(x)	1 185 589	100 202	8.5	49 827	49.7	15 121	15.1
STATE . . . . .	1 544	680 069	41 092	6.0	13 368	32.5	7 304	17.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	505 520	59 110	11.7	36 459	61.7	7 817	13.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 544	224 918	26 438	11.8	7 921	30.0	5 902	22.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 153	280 602	32 672	11.6	28 538	87.3	1 915	5.9
NEVADA . . . . .	(x)	685 371	102 837	15.0	46 332	45.1	11 341	11.0
STATE . . . . .	590	353 402	34 943	9.9	6 879	19.7	1 877	5.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	331 969	67 894	20.5	39 453	58.1	9 464	13.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	565	237 007	51 745	21.8	27 170	52.5	7 837	15.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	372	94 962	16 150	17.0	12 283	76.1	1 627	10.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	(x)	773 498	50 202	6.5	30 481	60.7	6 305	12.6
STATE . . . . .	812	454 893	17 967	3.9	6 393	35.6	1 860	10.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	318 605	32 235	10.1	24 088	74.7	4 445	13.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	812	43 658	7 132	16.3	1 429	20.0	2 932	41.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	812	274 947	25 103	9.1	22 659	90.3	1 513	6.0
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	(x)	7 269 107	758 034	10.4	432 740	57.1	92 544	12.2
STATE . . . . .	7 334	3 423 831	185 070	5.4	57 151	30.9	23 560	12.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	3 845 276	572 964	14.9	375 589	65.6	68 984	12.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 334	1 626 068	193 631	11.9	25 459	13.1	54 174	28.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 334	2 219 208	379 333	17.1	350 131	92.3	14 810	3.9
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	(x)	958 628	86 315	9.0	47 627	55.2	10 280	11.9
STATE . . . . .	1 144	663 604	44 896	6.8	13 609	30.3	8 651	19.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	295 024	41 419	14.0	34 018	82.1	1 629	3.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 144	92 904	10 337	11.1	7 745	74.9	243	2.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	745	202 120	31 081	15.4	26 273	84.5	1 386	4.5
NEW YORK . . . . .	(x)	26 653 160	2 328 250	8.7	1 344 693	57.8	252 856	10.9
STATE . . . . .	18 075	8 175 192	512 612	6.3	115 612	22.6	50 773	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	18 477 968	1 815 638	9.8	1 229 081	67.7	202 083	11.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 594	4 429 945	430 566	9.7	204 428	47.5	80 499	18.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18 075	14 048 024	1 385 072	9.9	1 024 654	74.0	121 584	8.8
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	(x)	5 301 197	353 625	6.7	172 816	48.9	46 745	13.2
STATE . . . . .	5 441	2 148 304	191 143	8.9	45 342	23.7	31 983	16.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	3 152 893	162 482	5.2	127 474	78.5	14 762	9.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 441	2 535 913	66 726	2.6	34 247	51.3	14 578	21.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 408	616 980	95 756	15.5	93 226	97.4	183	0.2
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	(x)	640 807	34 237	5.3	18 570	54.2	4 937	14.4
STATE . . . . .	643	465 463	12 488	2.7	4 356	34.9	1 677	13.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	175 344	21 749	12.4	14 214	65.4	3 260	15.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	643	77 898	10 747	13.8	3 958	36.8	2 895	26.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	592	97 446	11 002	11.3	10 257	93.2	365	3.3
OHIO . . . . .	(x)	7 944 196	768 254	9.7	393 936	51.3	108 681	14.1
STATE . . . . .	10 735	3 943 690	202 750	5.1	53 253	26.3	12 050	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	4 000 506	565 495	14.1	340 683	60.2	96 631	17.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 735	1 619 256	209 012	12.9	49 000	23.4	63 956	30.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 735	2 381 250	356 483	15.0	291 683	81.8	32 675	9.2
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	(x)	2 163 411	179 076	8.3	91 148	50.9	19 210	10.7
STATE . . . . .	2 711	1 400 449	90 415	6.5	27 943	30.9	9 578	10.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	762 962	88 661	11.6	63 205	71.3	9 632	10.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 711	202 943	22 233	11.0	7 520	33.8	7 669	34.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 086	560 019	66 427	11.9	55 685	83.8	1 963	3.0
OREGON . . . . .	(x)	2 132 399	216 931	10.2	102 238	47.1	26 636	12.3
STATE . . . . .	2 284	1 396 790	81 528	5.8	24 865	30.5	6 938	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	735 609	135 403	18.4	77 373	57.1	19 698	14.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 284	371 259	79 121	21.3	26 927	34.0	17 538	22.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 303	364 350	56 282	15.4	50 446	89.6	2 160	3.8
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	(x)	9 318 668	907 586	9.7	493 002	54.3	140 714	15.5
STATE . . . . .	11 864	6 180 692	270 927	4.4	112 548	41.5	34 107	12.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	3 137 976	636 659	20.3	380 454	59.8	106 607	16.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 048	918 610	161 396	17.6	14 033	8.7	64 533	40.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 862	2 219 366	475 263	21.4	366 421	77.1	42 074	8.9
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	(x)	1 165 934	70 054	6.0	40 327	57.6	10 886	15.5
STATE . . . . .	931	641 483	35 646	5.6	7 399	20.8	10 546	29.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	524 451	34 408	6.6	32 928	95.7	340	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	931	524 451	34 408	6.6	32 928	95.7	340	1.0
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	(x)	2 058 620	164 729	8.0	87 693	53.2	18 652	11.3
STATE . . . . .	2 816	1 559 710	74 044	4.7	27 424	37.0	2 675	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	498 910	90 685	18.2	60 269	66.5	15 977	17.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 816	336 088	52 093	15.5	24 626	47.3	15 065	28.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 092	162 821	38 591	23.7	35 642	92.4	912	2.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA . . . . .	5 951	5.9	1 187	1.2	26 329	26.3	1 787	1.8
STATE . . . . .	682	1.7	-	-	18 106	44.1	1 632	4.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 269	8.9	1 187	2.0	8 223	13.9	155	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 719	14.1	1 187	4.5	7 708	29.2	1	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 550	4.7	-	-	515	1.6	154	0.5
NEVADA . . . . .	7 670	7.5	1 882	1.8	35 055	34.1	558	0.5
STATE . . . . .	1 558	4.5	234	0.7	23 903	68.4	492	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 112	9.0	1 648	2.4	11 152	16.4	66	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 801	9.3	1 584	3.1	10 299	19.9	53	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 311	8.1	64	0.4	853	5.3	12	0.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	2 005	4.0	278	0.6	10 304	20.5	830	1.7
STATE . . . . .	994	5.5	277	1.5	7 633	42.5	810	4.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 011	3.1	1	(2)	2 671	8.3	20	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	436	6.1	-	-	2 333	32.7	1	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	575	2.3	1	(2)	337	1.3	19	0.1
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	52 792	7.0	12 379	1.6	158 387	20.9	9 191	1.2
STATE . . . . .	9 260	5.0	12 031	6.5	79 568	43.0	3 500	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	43 532	7.6	348	0.1	78 819	13.8	5 691	1.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	33 011	17.0	182	0.1	78 677	40.6	2 129	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 521	2.8	166	(2)	142	(2)	3 562	0.9
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	6 146	7.1	2 137	2.5	16 839	19.5	3 285	3.8
STATE . . . . .	4 777	10.6	2 034	4.5	13 177	29.4	2 648	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 369	3.3	103	0.2	3 662	8.8	637	1.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	255	2.5	75	0.7	1 421	13.8	597	5.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 114	3.6	28	0.1	2 241	7.2	39	0.1
NEW YORK . . . . .	129 464	5.6	32 364	1.4	527 752	22.7	41 121	1.8
STATE . . . . .	33 551	6.5	5 206	1.0	290 003	56.6	17 467	3.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	95 913	5.3	27 158	1.5	237 749	13.1	23 654	1.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	31 072	7.2	12 911	3.0	98 498	22.9	3 159	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	64 842	4.7	14 247	1.0	139 251	10.1	20 494	1.3
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	11 402	3.2	1 228	0.3	113 210	32.0	8 226	2.3
STATE . . . . .	8 016	4.2	1 150	0.6	100 884	52.8	3 768	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 386	2.1	78	(2)	12 326	7.6	4 458	2.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 447	2.2	72	0.1	12 029	18.0	4 352	6.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 938	2.0	6	(2)	296	0.3	106	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	2 920	8.5	252	0.7	6 880	20.1	677	2.0
STATE . . . . .	1 234	9.9	-	-	4 570	36.6	651	5.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 686	7.8	252	1.2	2 310	10.6	26	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 340	12.5	252	2.3	2 285	21.3	17	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	346	3.1	-	-	25	0.2	10	0.1
OHIO . . . . .	38 858	5.1	9 498	1.2	185 433	24.1	31 848	4.1
STATE . . . . .	12 208	6.0	1 606	0.8	118 812	58.6	4 030	2.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26 650	4.7	7 892	1.4	66 621	11.8	27 018	4.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 208	6.8	6 972	3.3	52 202	25.0	22 674	10.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12 442	3.5	919	0.3	14 419	4.0	4 344	1.2
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	9 719	5.4	1 016	0.6	51 618	28.8	6 365	3.6
STATE . . . . .	6 161	6.8	-	-	45 374	50.2	1 359	1.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 558	4.0	1 016	1.1	6 244	7.0	5 006	5.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 348	6.1	882	4.0	4 789	21.3	25	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 209	3.3	134	0.2	1 455	2.2	4 981	7.5
OREGON . . . . .	19 170	8.8	3 918	1.8	58 355	26.9	6 615	3.0
STATE . . . . .	8 922	10.9	269	0.3	39 507	48.5	1 027	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 248	7.6	3 649	2.7	18 848	13.9	5 588	4.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 013	10.1	3 556	4.5	18 297	23.1	4 789	6.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 234	4.0	92	0.2	550	1.0	799	1.4
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	36 907	4.1	9 723	1.1	218 992	24.1	8 248	0.9
STATE . . . . .	5 954	2.2	-	-	112 367	41.5	5 951	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	30 953	4.9	9 723	1.5	106 625	16.7	2 297	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 178	9.4	6 051	3.7	61 176	37.9	425	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15 775	3.3	3 672	0.8	45 449	9.6	1 873	0.4
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	3 240	4.6	623	0.9	14 426	20.6	553	0.8
STATE . . . . .	2 233	6.3	623	1.7	14 417	40.4	426	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 007	2.9	-	-	9	(2)	125	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 007	2.9	-	-	9	(2)	125	0.4
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	6 233	3.8	1 162	0.7	48 045	29.2	2 944	1.8
STATE . . . . .	3 376	4.6	607	0.8	37 633	50.8	2 329	3.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 857	3.2	555	0.6	10 412	11.5	615	0.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 107	4.0	554	1.1	9 194	17.6	547	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	750	1.9	2	(2)	1 217	3.2	68	0.2

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	(x)	598 885	39 220	6.5	21 107	53.8	7 209	18.4
STATE . . . . .	683	429 562	18 923	4.4	5 686	30.0	5 836	30.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	169 323	20 297	12.0	15 421	76.0	1 373	6.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	683	68 255	10 158	14.9	5 691	56.0	1 337	13.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	596	101 068	10 140	10.0	9 731	96.0	36	0.4
TENNESSEE . . . . .	(x)	4 147 447	263 078	6.3	136 332	51.8	37 362	14.2
STATE . . . . .	4 175	1 833 254	96 774	5.3	25 381	26.2	10 135	10.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	2 314 193	166 304	7.2	110 951	66.7	27 227	16.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 727	1 100 663	57 808	5.3	18 883	32.7	21 176	36.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 593	1 213 531	108 496	8.9	92 069	84.9	6 051	5.6
TEXAS . . . . .	(x)	8 268 550	793 246	9.6	454 573	57.3	105 021	13.2
STATE . . . . .	12 261	5 133 184	220 442	4.3	99 453	45.1	11 423	5.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	3 135 366	572 804	18.3	355 120	62.0	93 598	16.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	12 261	1 029 361	241 910	23.5	52 756	21.8	80 984	33.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 948	2 106 005	330 894	15.7	302 364	91.4	12 614	3.8
UTAH . . . . .	(x)	1 029 892	79 728	7.7	42 426	53.2	9 030	11.3
STATE . . . . .	1 203	750 901	33 269	4.4	12 069	36.3	3 024	9.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	278 991	46 459	16.7	30 357	65.3	6 006	12.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 203	131 906	21 701	16.5	9 263	42.7	3 760	17.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	836	147 086	24 758	16.8	21 094	85.2	2 246	9.1
VERMONT . . . . .	(x)	458 725	31 210	6.8	15 718	50.4	3 794	12.2
STATE . . . . .	472	374 524	22 317	6.0	7 724	34.6	3 375	15.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	84 201	8 893	10.6	7 994	89.9	419	4.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	472	628	646	102.9	193	29.9	415	64.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	472	83 573	8 247	9.9	7 801	94.6	4	(2)
VIRGINIA . . . . .	(x)	5 521 282	369 471	6.7	175 836	47.6	40 820	11.0
STATE . . . . .	4 981	2 456 330	154 814	6.3	41 395	26.7	17 889	11.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	3 064 952	214 657	7.0	134 441	62.6	22 931	10.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 968	1 531 041	92 206	6.0	55 043	59.7	12 943	14.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 351	1 533 911	122 451	8.0	79 398	64.8	9 988	8.2
WASHINGTON . . . . .	(x)	3 354 057	293 825	8.8	152 205	51.8	31 678	10.8
STATE . . . . .	3 553	2 267 543	103 116	4.5	33 348	32.3	6 352	6.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	1 086 514	190 709	17.6	118 857	62.3	25 326	13.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 553	469 403	93 492	19.9	34 423	36.8	20 612	22.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 984	617 111	97 218	15.8	84 434	86.9	4 715	4.8
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	(x)	1 404 751	85 235	6.1	41 261	48.4	18 266	21.4
STATE . . . . .	1 799	1 129 224	45 069	4.0	15 157	33.6	12 067	26.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	275 527	40 166	14.6	26 104	65.0	6 199	15.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 799	98 428	20 408	20.7	7 504	36.8	5 787	28.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	780	177 099	19 758	11.2	18 600	94.1	411	2.1
WISCONSIN . . . . .	(x)	4 746 812	339 234	7.1	197 319	58.2	37 505	11.1
STATE . . . . .	4 577	2 088 729	100 207	4.8	25 049	25.0	9 550	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	2 658 083	239 027	9.0	172 270	72.1	27 955	11.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 577	1 165 448	98 671	8.5	38 042	38.6	26 766	27.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 577	1 492 634	140 356	9.4	134 228	95.6	1 188	0.8
WYOMING . . . . .	(x)	432 732	37 793	8.7	19 628	51.9	3 728	9.9
STATE . . . . .	376	283 660	15 390	5.4	5 805	37.7	1 375	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	(x)	149 072	22 403	15.0	13 823	61.7	2 353	10.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	376	84 559	12 828	15.2	5 395	42.1	1 763	13.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	274	64 513	9 575	14.8	8 427	68.0	590	6.2

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>2</sup> Less than half the unit of measurement shown

<sup>1</sup> Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation, see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup> The relation of criminal justice direct expenditure to total direct expenditure is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for independent school districts or special districts.

Table 7. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Collections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	3 559	9.1	578	1.5	6 381	16.3	385	1.0
STATE . . . . .	1 839	9.7	-	-	5 177	27.4	385	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 720	8.5	578	2.8	1 204	5.9	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 395	13.7	570	5.6	1 165	11.5	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	325	3.2	9	0.1	39	0.4	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	10 859	4.1	2 523	1.0	72 883	27.7	3 120	1.2
STATE . . . . .	6 777	7.0	1 451	1.5	51 975	53.7	1 055	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 082	2.5	1 072	0.6	20 908	12.6	2 065	1.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 556	2.7	742	1.3	13 961	24.2	1 491	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 526	2.3	330	0.3	6 948	6.4	574	0.5
TEXAS . . . . .	45 802	5.8	5 473	0.7	153 175	19.3	29 201	3.7
STATE . . . . .	10 112	4.6	-	-	85 822	38.9	13 632	6.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	35 690	6.2	5 473	1.0	67 353	11.8	15 569	2.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	26 408	10.9	5 411	2.2	63 454	26.2	12 897	5.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 283	2.8	62	(Z)	3 899	1.2	2 672	0.8
UTAH . . . . .	4 858	6.1	658	0.8	19 812	24.8	2 944	3.7
STATE . . . . .	1 189	3.6	-	-	16 033	48.2	954	2.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 669	7.9	658	1.4	3 779	8.1	1 990	4.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 768	12.8	638	2.9	3 728	17.2	1 543	7.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	901	3.6	20	0.1	51	0.2	447	1.8
VERMONT . . . . .	1 903	6.1	990	3.2	8 256	26.5	548	1.8
STATE . . . . .	1 439	6.4	990	4.4	8 241	36.9	548	2.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	464	5.2	-	-	15	0.2	-	(Z)
COUNTIES . . . . .	24	3.7	-	-	13	2.0	-	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	440	5.3	-	-	2	(Z)	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	13 469	3.6	5 273	1.4	125 933	34.1	8 141	2.2
STATE . . . . .	3 145	2.0	4 938	3.2	84 926	54.9	2 521	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 324	4.8	335	0.2	41 007	19.1	5 620	2.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 857	5.3	115	0.1	17 873	19.4	1 376	1.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 467	4.5	220	0.2	23 134	18.9	4 244	3.5
WASHINGTON . . . . .	18 327	6.2	5 962	2.0	83 072	28.3	2 580	0.9
STATE . . . . .	5 769	5.6	320	0.3	56 401	54.7	926	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 558	6.6	5 642	3.0	26 671	14.0	1 654	0.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 240	8.8	4 917	5.3	24 429	26.1	871	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 318	4.4	725	0.7	2 243	2.3	783	0.8
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	5 171	6.1	36	(Z)	19 542	22.9	961	1.1
STATE . . . . .	2 142	4.8	-	-	14 930	33.1	773	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 029	7.5	36	0.1	4 612	11.5	188	0.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 481	12.2	6	(Z)	4 592	22.5	39	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	548	2.8	30	0.2	20	0.1	149	0.8
WISCONSIN . . . . .	21 548	6.4	4 519	1.3	71 625	21.1	6 718	2.0
STATE . . . . .	7 455	7.4	733	0.7	51 031	50.9	6 389	6.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 093	5.9	3 786	1.6	20 594	8.6	329	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 209	9.3	3 757	3.8	20 589	20.9	308	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 884	3.5	29	(Z)	5	(Z)	21	(Z)
WYOMING . . . . .	2 369	6.3	396	1.0	11 080	29.3	592	1.6
STATE . . . . .	971	6.3	-	-	6 647	43.2	592	3.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 398	6.2	396	1.8	4 433	19.8	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 021	8.0	364	2.8	4 285	33.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	377	3.9	32	0.3	149	1.6	-	-

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . .	18 795 046	18 795 046	17 615 183	1 179 863	1 041 849	44 556	997 293
STATES . . . . .	6 689 474	5 812 353	5 374 114	438 239	877 121	(X)	877 121
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 027 249	12 982 693	12 241 069	741 264	164 728	44 556	120 172
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 129 721	5 067 611	4 644 063	423 548	62 110	31 892	30 218
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8 017 700	7 915 082	7 597 006	318 076	102 618	12 665	89 954
ALABAMA . . . . .	184 127	184 127	175 651	8 476	10 233	33	10 200
STATE . . . . .	75 587	65 888	62 320	3 568	9 699	(X)	9 699
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	118 272	118 239	113 331	4 908	534	33	501
COUNTIES . . . . .	47 850	47 774	46 174	1 600	76	10	66
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	70 923	70 465	67 157	3 308	459	23	436
ALASKA . . . . .	88 131	88 131	85 103	3 029	1 854	95	1 758
STATE . . . . .	67 599	65 881	63 355	2 526	1 718	(X)	1 718
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	22 346	22 250	21 748	503	136	95	40
BOROUGHs . . . . .	1 588	1 588	1 586	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	20 798	20 662	20 162	500	136	95	40
ARIZONA . . . . .	257 884	257 884	234 846	23 038	8 638	580	8 058
STATE . . . . .	78 732	71 229	63 446	7 783	7 503	(X)	7 503
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	187 236	186 655	171 400	15 255	1 135	580	555
COUNTIES . . . . .	84 331	83 774	78 111	5 663	557	555	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	103 460	102 881	93 288	9 593	578	25	553
ARKANSAS . . . . .	90 944	90 944	83 659	7 286	4 680	219	4 461
STATE . . . . .	43 995	39 838	34 979	4 859	4 157	(X)	4 157
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	51 325	51 106	48 680	2 427	523	219	304
COUNTIES . . . . .	22 150	21 981	20 976	1 005	169	101	68
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	29 480	29 125	27 704	1 422	354	118	236
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	2 752 637	2 752 637	2 630 932	121 705	126 156	2 051	124 106
STATE . . . . .	756 739	664 778	625 143	39 635	91 961	(X)	91 961
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 089 909	2 087 859	2 005 789	82 070	34 195	2 051	32 145
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 202 601	1 198 562	1 148 143	50 419	4 039	2 045	1 994
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	919 453	889 297	857 646	31 650	30 156	5	30 151
COLORADO . . . . .	258 376	258 376	240 423	17 953	8 130	17	8 113
STATE . . . . .	103 859	96 167	91 786	4 381	7 692	(X)	7 692
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	162 226	162 209	148 637	13 572	438	17	421
COUNTIES . . . . .	39 135	38 915	35 331	3 584	220	17	203
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	123 512	123 294	113 307	9 987	218	-	218
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	230 343	230 343	217 275	13 067	6 118	945	5 173
STATE . . . . .	118 557	113 885	107 317	6 568	4 672	(X)	4 672
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	117 402	116 458	109 958	6 499	1 446	945	501
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	117 903	116 458	109 958	6 499	1 446	945	501
DELAWARE . . . . .	59 993	59 993	52 840	7 153	2 205	1	2 204
STATE . . . . .	44 033	41 908	35 025	6 883	2 125	(X)	2 125
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	18 086	18 085	17 815	270	80	1	79
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 817	6 817	6 740	77	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 348	11 268	11 075	193	80	1	79
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	184 640	184 640	175 599	9 041	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	184 640	184 640	175 599	9 041	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	184 640	184 640	175 599	9 041	-	-	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	825 951	825 951	739 969	85 982	22 777	495	22 282
STATE . . . . .	341 895	322 599	271 823	50 776	19 296	(X)	19 296
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	503 847	503 352	468 146	35 206	3 481	495	2 986
COUNTIES . . . . .	255 599	252 874	228 693	24 180	2 725	304	2 421
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	251 234	250 478	239 453	11 025	756	190	565
GEORGIA . . . . .	372 517	372 517	311 329	61 188	12 510	112	12 398
STATE . . . . .	155 248	143 924	111 677	32 247	11 324	(X)	11 324
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	228 705	228 593	199 652	28 941	1 186	112	1 074
COUNTIES . . . . .	125 919	125 489	104 448	21 042	429	66	363
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	103 861	103 104	95 204	7 900	757	46	711
HAWAII . . . . .	88 908	88 908	84 582	4 326	1 432	-	1 432
STATE . . . . .	37 901	36 469	32 969	3 500	1 432	(X)	1 432
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	52 439	52 439	51 613	826	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 785	14 785	14 663	122	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	37 654	37 654	36 950	704	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
IDAHO . . . . .	57 449	57 449	50 760	6 688	1 696	22	1 674
STATE . . . . .	24 896	23 622	21 933	1 689	1 274	(X)	1 274
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	33 849	33 827	28 827	4 999	422	22	400
COUNTIES . . . . .	19 804	19 774	15 472	4 301	30	20	10
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	14 445	14 053	13 355	698	392	2	389
ILLINOIS . . . . .	1 044 900	1 044 900	959 706	85 194	44 405	162	44 243
STATE . . . . .	291 654	255 306	240 353	14 953	36 348	(X)	36 348
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	789 756	789 594	719 353	70 241	8 057	162	7 895
COUNTIES . . . . .	264 318	257 815	204 582	53 233	6 503	128	6 374
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	533 333	531 779	514 771	17 008	1 554	33	1 521
INDIANA . . . . .	322 339	322 339	285 540	36 799	16 017	2 358	13 659
STATE . . . . .	124 745	111 642	101 944	9 698	13 103	(X)	13 103
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	213 055	210 697	183 596	27 101	2 914	2 358	556
COUNTIES . . . . .	84 460	82 589	61 777	20 812	1 871	1 691	180
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	129 150	128 107	121 819	6 289	1 043	667	376
IOWA . . . . .	172 100	172 100	163 142	8 959	9 798	311	9 487
STATE . . . . .	70 729	63 312	59 174	4 138	7 417	(X)	7 417
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	109 099	108 788	103 968	4 821	2 381	311	2 070
COUNTIES . . . . .	55 436	54 279	52 554	1 725	1 156	248	908
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	55 733	54 509	51 413	3 096	1 225	63	1 162
KANSAS . . . . .	163 762	163 762	147 579	16 183	8 291	17	8 275
STATE . . . . .	76 842	70 654	60 505	10 149	6 188	(X)	6 188
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	93 125	93 108	87 074	6 034	2 103	17	2 087
COUNTIES . . . . .	43 154	43 051	39 031	4 020	103	3	100
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	52 057	50 057	48 043	2 014	2 000	14	1 986
KENTUCKY . . . . .	216 423	216 423	204 608	12 952	24 085	5	24 080
STATE . . . . .	112 757	89 751	82 588	7 163	23 006	(X)	23 006
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	126 677	126 672	120 883	5 789	1 079	5	1 074
COUNTIES . . . . .	60 077	59 712	55 703	4 009	365	4	361
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	67 674	66 960	65 180	1 780	714	1	713
LOUISIANA . . . . .	314 763	314 763	287 925	26 839	16 854	270	16 584
STATE . . . . .	131 433	115 252	111 036	4 216	16 181	(X)	16 181
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	199 781	199 511	176 889	22 623	673	270	403
PARISHES . . . . .	92 184	91 748	78 973	12 775	436	240	196
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	108 000	107 763	97 916	9 847	237	30	207
MAINE . . . . .	53 792	53 792	50 950	2 842	2 999	25	2 974
STATE . . . . .	28 699	26 052	25 122	930	2 647	(X)	2 647
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	27 765	27 740	25 828	1 912	352	25	327
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 573	7 431	6 829	602	142	1	140
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	20 519	20 309	18 999	1 310	210	24	186
MARYLAND . . . . .	417 118	417 118	392 703	24 415	66 543	209	66 334
STATE . . . . .	252 410	187 015	175 663	11 352	65 395	(X)	65 395
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	230 312	230 103	217 040	13 063	1 148	209	939
COUNTIES . . . . .	125 021	123 958	113 061	10 898	1 063	209	854
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	106 229	106 144	103 979	2 166	85	-	85
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	546 423	546 423	527 499	18 924	16 371	-	16 371
STATE . . . . .	154 249	138 466	135 132	3 334	15 783	(X)	15 783
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	407 957	407 957	392 367	15 590	588	-	588
COUNTIES . . . . .	80 506	80 488	73 427	7 061	18	-	18
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	328 040	327 469	318 940	8 530	570	-	570
MICHIGAN . . . . .	860 830	860 830	819 636	41 194	45 781	4 951	40 829
STATE . . . . .	253 901	224 117	208 617	15 500	29 784	(X)	29 784
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	641 664	636 713	611 019	25 694	15 997	4 951	11 045
COUNTIES . . . . .	270 081	260 885	248 080	12 805	9 196	4 941	4 255
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	382 628	375 828	362 939	12 889	6 800	10	6 791
MINNESOTA . . . . .	280 188	280 188	262 141	18 047	28 290	100	28 191
STATE . . . . .	101 828	76 716	73 069	3 647	25 112	(X)	25 112
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	203 572	203 472	189 072	14 400	3 178	100	3 079
COUNTIES . . . . .	106 490	104 939	94 979	9 960	1 551	51	1 500
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	100 161	98 534	94 093	4 440	1 627	48	1 579
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	114 150	114 150	100 149	14 002	2 729	36	2 693
STATE . . . . .	49 969	47 482	43 548	3 934	2 487	(X)	2 487
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	66 704	66 668	56 601	10 068	242	36	206
COUNTIES . . . . .	32 670	32 617	24 594	8 023	53	10	43
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	34 240	34 051	32 007	2 045	188	25	163

See footnotes at end of table.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MISSOURI . . . . .	330 828	330 828	313 384	17 444	18 413	916	17 497
STATE . . . . .	108 831	91 877	85 287	6 590	16 954	(X)	16 954
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	239 868	238 951	228 097	10 854	1 459	916	543
COUNTIES . . . . .	68 226	67 266	63 344	3 922	959	916	43
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	172 184	171 685	164 753	6 932	499	-	499
MONTANA . . . . .	53 156	53 156	50 589	2 567	2 341	35	2 306
STATE . . . . .	24 447	22 672	21 537	1 135	1 775	(X)	1 775
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	30 519	30 484	29 052	1 432	566	35	531
COUNTIES . . . . .	17 658	17 494	16 659	835	164	3	161
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	13 392	12 990	12 393	597	402	32	370
NEBRASKA . . . . .	100 202	100 202	92 685	7 517	5 626	12	5 614
STATE . . . . .	46 166	41 092	37 908	3 184	5 074	(X)	5 074
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	59 121	59 110	54 777	4 333	552	12	540
COUNTIES . . . . .	26 646	26 438	23 267	3 171	208	11	198
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	33 016	32 672	31 510	1 162	344	1	343
NEVADA . . . . .	102 837	102 837	89 162	13 675	17 708	56	17 652
STATE . . . . .	39 507	34 943	23 111	11 832	4 564	(X)	4 564
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	67 950	67 894	66 051	1 843	13 144	56	13 088
COUNTIES . . . . .	51 846	51 745	50 314	1 431	102	55	47
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	29 192	16 150	15 737	412	13 043	1	13 042
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	50 202	50 202	45 866	4 335	2 351	311	2 040
STATE . . . . .	19 603	17 967	16 866	1 101	1 636	(X)	1 636
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	32 546	32 235	29 000	3 234	715	311	404
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 430	7 132	7 025	107	298	253	45
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	25 520	25 103	21 975	3 128	417	58	359
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	758 034	758 034	730 951	27 083	25 447	381	25 066
STATE . . . . .	209 433	185 070	174 618	10 452	24 363	(X)	24 363
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	573 345	572 964	556 333	16 631	1 084	381	703
COUNTIES . . . . .	193 671	193 631	181 459	12 172	39	4	35
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	380 377	379 333	374 874	4 459	1 045	377	668
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	86 315	86 315	81 748	4 567	4 229	742	3 487
STATE . . . . .	48 216	44 896	42 406	2 490	3 320	(X)	3 320
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	42 160	41 419	39 342	2 077	909	742	167
COUNTIES . . . . .	11 162	10 337	9 805	532	825	742	83
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	31 165	31 081	29 536	1 545	84	-	84
NEW YORK . . . . .	2 328 250	2 328 250	2 241 664	86 587	152 110	10 620	141 490
STATE . . . . .	651 061	512 612	465 753	46 859	138 449	(X)	138 449
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 826 258	1 815 638	1 775 911	39 728	13 661	10 620	3 041
COUNTIES . . . . .	437 535	430 566	416 954	13 612	6 969	6 275	694
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 391 765	1 385 072	1 358 957	26 116	6 693	4 345	2 347
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	353 625	353 625	317 688	35 937	20 935	28	20 907
STATE . . . . .	210 269	191 143	175 737	15 406	19 126	(X)	19 126
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	162 510	162 482	141 951	20 531	1 809	28	1 781
COUNTIES . . . . .	67 712	66 726	52 148	14 578	986	19	967
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	96 579	95 756	89 803	5 953	823	9	814
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	34 237	34 237	31 894	2 343	1 530	4	1 526
STATE . . . . .	13 760	12 488	11 737	751	1 272	(X)	1 272
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 753	21 749	20 157	1 592	258	4	254
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 754	10 747	9 535	1 212	7	4	4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 252	11 002	10 622	380	250	-	250
OHIO . . . . .	768 254	768 254	700 324	67 930	37 864	156	37 708
STATE . . . . .	231 098	202 759	197 651	5 108	28 339	(X)	28 339
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	565 652	565 495	502 674	62 822	9 525	156	9 369
COUNTIES . . . . .	212 205	209 012	174 555	34 457	3 193	10	3 183
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	362 815	356 483	328 118	28 365	6 332	146	6 186
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	179 076	179 076	157 743	21 333	7 429	2 665	4 764
STATE . . . . .	94 615	90 415	73 660	16 755	4 200	(X)	4 200
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	91 325	88 661	84 083	4 578	3 229	2 665	564
COUNTIES . . . . .	25 359	22 233	21 733	500	3 126	2 665	461
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	66 530	66 427	62 350	4 078	103	-	103
OREGON . . . . .	216 931	216 931	203 381	13 550	12 051	119	11 932
STATE . . . . .	92 585	81 528	78 265	3 263	11 057	(X)	11 057
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	135 522	135 403	125 116	10 287	994	119	875
COUNTIES . . . . .	79 400	79 121	70 096	9 025	279	95	184
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	56 997	56 282	55 020	1 261	715	25	691

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 8. Total criminal justice system expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	907 586	907 586	872 520	35 066	83 361	6 407	76 954
STATE . . . . .	343 895	270 927	268 212	2 715	72 968	(X)	72 968
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	643 066	636 659	604 308	32 351	10 393	6 407	3 986
COUNTIES . . . . .	166 373	161 396	152 361	9 035	4 976	4 619	357
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	480 680	475 263	451 947	23 316	5 417	1 788	3 629
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	70 054	70 054	68 496	1 558	2 526	-	2 526
STATE . . . . .	38 168	35 646	35 125	521	2 522	(X)	2 522
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	34 408	34 408	33 371	1 037	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	34 412	34 408	33 371	1 037	4	-	4
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	164 729	164 729	153 160	11 569	4 683	481	4 202
STATE . . . . .	77 587	74 044	69 469	4 575	3 543	(X)	3 543
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	91 165	90 685	83 691	6 994	1 140	481	659
COUNTIES . . . . .	52 866	52 093	47 274	4 819	773	347	426
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	38 958	38 591	36 417	2 175	367	134	233
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	39 220	39 220	35 793	3 427	4 602	1 315	3 287
STATE . . . . .	21 706	18 923	18 242	681	2 783	(X)	2 783
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 612	20 297	17 551	2 746	1 819	1 315	504
COUNTIES . . . . .	11 621	10 158	7 809	2 348	1 464	1 272	191
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 495	10 140	9 742	397	355	42	313
TENNESSEE . . . . .	263 078	263 078	243 435	19 644	12 452	589	11 863
STATE . . . . .	107 463	96 774	89 286	7 488	10 689	(X)	10 689
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	166 893	166 304	154 149	12 156	1 763	589	1 174
COUNTIES . . . . .	58 398	57 808	53 757	4 051	590	536	54
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	109 669	108 496	100 392	8 105	1 173	53	1 120
TEXAS . . . . .	793 246	793 246	734 360	58 886	40 068	1 318	38 749
STATE . . . . .	257 162	220 442	196 802	23 640	36 720	(X)	36 720
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	574 123	572 804	537 558	35 246	3 348	1 318	2 029
COUNTIES . . . . .	243 121	241 910	219 913	21 998	1 210	840	370
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	333 031	330 894	317 646	13 248	2 138	479	1 659
UTAH . . . . .	79 728	79 728	74 230	5 498	4 955	6	4 948
STATE . . . . .	38 077	33 269	30 622	2 647	4 808	(X)	4 808
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	46 465	46 459	43 608	2 851	147	6	140
COUNTIES . . . . .	21 765	21 701	20 499	1 202	65	2	63
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	24 840	24 758	23 109	1 649	82	5	77
VERMONT . . . . .	31 210	31 210	30 164	1 045	683	-	683
STATE . . . . .	22 854	22 317	21 488	829	537	(X)	537
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 893	8 893	8 676	216	146	-	146
COUNTIES . . . . .	646	646	602	44	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8 393	8 247	8 074	172	146	-	146
VIRGINIA . . . . .	369 471	369 471	339 366	30 105	52 381	3 848	48 533
STATE . . . . .	200 877	194 814	148 190	6 624	46 063	(X)	46 063
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	218 506	214 657	191 176	23 481	6 318	3 848	2 470
COUNTIES . . . . .	94 538	92 206	80 476	11 731	2 332	1 125	1 206
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	126 438	122 451	110 701	11 750	3 987	2 723	1 264
WASHINGTON . . . . .	293 825	293 825	284 826	8 999	21 897	129	21 769
STATE . . . . .	119 257	103 116	98 348	4 768	16 141	(X)	16 141
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	190 838	190 709	186 478	4 231	5 756	129	5 628
COUNTIES . . . . .	94 464	93 492	90 516	2 975	972	64	908
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	102 002	97 218	95 962	1 256	4 784	64	4 720
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	85 235	85 235	78 673	6 562	2 906	37	2 869
STATE . . . . .	47 694	45 069	42 008	3 061	2 625	(X)	2 625
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	40 203	40 166	36 665	3 501	281	37	244
COUNTIES . . . . .	20 486	20 408	17 646	2 762	78	36	41
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	19 962	19 758	19 019	739	204	1	203
WISCONSIN . . . . .	339 234	339 234	329 589	9 645	12 695	1 348	11 347
STATE . . . . .	109 681	100 207	99 090	1 117	9 474	(X)	9 474
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	240 376	239 027	230 499	8 528	3 221	1 348	1 873
COUNTIES . . . . .	100 454	98 671	94 221	4 450	1 783	1 344	439
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	141 794	140 356	136 278	4 078	1 438	5	1 433
WYOMING . . . . .	37 793	37 793	30 085	7 708	2 014	18	1 996
STATE . . . . .	17 205	15 390	14 172	1 218	1 815	(X)	1 815
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	22 421	22 403	15 913	6 490	199	18	181
COUNTIES . . . . .	12 837	12 828	8 168	4 660	9	9	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 765	9 575	7 745	1 830	190	9	181

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	6 613 344	1096 230	1000 619	1031 692	15.6	613 426	561 798	573 582	55.6	154 684	132 606	142 381	13.8
2	STATES	2 887 988	310 358	292 772	298 571	10.3	101 068	92 065	93 674	31.4	38 910	34 937	37 337	12.5
3	LOCAL, TOTAL	3 725 356	785 872	707 847	733 121	19.8	512 358	469 733	479 908	65.0	115 774	97 669	105 044	14.2
4	COUNTIES	1 534 422	306 411	275 866	287 760	18.8	101 798	94 764	96 635	33.6	85 153	74 034	78 851	27.4
5	MUNICIPALITIES	2 190 934	479 461	431 981	445 361	20.3	410 560	374 969	383 273	86.1	30 621	23 635	26 193	5.8
6	ALABAMA	103 053	14 316	11 947	12 953	12.6	8 637	7 961	8 185	63.2	2 266	1 004	1 547	11.9
7	STATE	57 965	4 610	3 582	4 006	6.9	1 582	1 526	1 535	38.3	1 090	282	605	15.1
8	LOCAL, TOTAL	45 088	9 706	8 365	8 947	19.8	7 055	6 435	6 650	74.3	1 176	722	942	10.5
9	COUNTIES	16 180	3 423	2 853	3 156	19.5	1 339	1 237	1 273	40.3	894	620	772	24.5
10	MUNICIPALITIES	28 908	6 283	5 512	5 791	20.0	5 716	5 198	5 377	92.9	282	102	170	2.9
11	ALASKA	27 026	2 956	2 720	2 773	10.3	1 411	1 327	1 347	48.6	617	524	541	19.5
12	STATE	15 204	2 142	1 955	1 994	13.1	696	657	664	33.3	615	522	539	27.0
13	LOCAL, TOTAL	11 824	814	765	779	6.6	715	670	683	87.7	2	2	2	0.3
14	BOROUGHES	3 054	32	31	31	1.0	26	25	25	80.6	-	-	-	-
15	MUNICIPALITIES	8 770	782	734	748	8.5	689	645	658	88.0	2	2	2	0.3
16	ARIZONA	68 542	12 753	12 442	12 577	18.3	7 115	7 004	7 023	55.8	1 721	1 597	1 685	13.4
17	STATE	33 590	3 436	3 303	3 378	10.1	1 634	1 596	1 600	47.4	183	104	166	4.9
18	LOCAL, TOTAL	34 952	9 317	9 139	9 199	26.3	5 481	5 408	5 423	59.0	1 538	1 493	1 519	16.5
19	COUNTIES	15 618	4 577	4 472	4 505	28.8	1 290	1 258	1 266	28.1	1 265	1 241	1 252	27.8
20	MUNICIPALITIES	19 334	4 740	4 667	4 694	24.3	4 191	4 150	4 157	88.6	273	252	267	5.7
21	ARKANSAS	54 952	7 519	6 438	6 819	12.4	4 354	3 913	4 048	59.4	1 056	607	777	11.4
22	STATE	31 946	2 229	2 175	2 188	6.8	865	824	834	38.1	111	111	111	5.1
23	LOCAL, TOTAL	23 006	5 290	4 263	4 631	20.1	3 489	3 089	3 214	69.4	945	496	666	14.4
24	COUNTIES	12 244	2 238	1 872	1 872	15.3	896	728	770	41.1	676	407	514	27.5
25	MUNICIPALITIES	10 762	3 052	2 384	2 759	25.6	2 593	2 361	2 444	88.6	269	89	152	5.5
26	CALIFORNIA	602 593	128 683	120 007	123 582	20.5	65 311	61 937	62 745	50.9	15 118	13 131	14 665	11.9
27	STATE	231 957	27 496	25 815	26 911	11.6	11 531	11 061	11 195	42.0	965	422	1 237	4.6
28	LOCAL, TOTAL	370 636	101 187	94 192	96 671	26.1	53 780	50 876	51 550	53.3	14 153	12 709	13 429	13.9
29	COUNTIES	215 529	58 439	53 937	55 836	25.9	14 528	13 920	14 105	25.3	13 506	12 111	12 800	22.9
30	MUNICIPALITIES	155 107	42 748	40 255	40 835	26.3	39 252	36 956	37 445	91.7	647	598	629	1.5
31	COLORADO	79 035	13 656	12 364	12 644	16.0	8 031	7 174	7 290	57.7	1 948	1 771	1 825	14.4
32	STATE	41 738	4 186	4 030	4 080	9.8	1 064	996	1 022	25.0	1 235	1 184	1 198	29.4
33	LOCAL, TOTAL	37 297	9 470	8 334	8 564	23.0	6 967	6 178	6 268	73.2	713	587	627	7.3
34	COUNTIES	14 372	2 506	2 247	2 332	16.2	1 181	1 084	1 106	47.4	163	158	161	6.9
35	MUNICIPALITIES	22 925	6 964	6 087	6 232	27.2	5 786	5 094	5 162	82.8	550	429	466	7.5
36	CONNECTICUT	127 791	14 172	12 377	12 774	10.0	8 722	7 538	7 716	60.4	1 869	1 367	1 510	11.8
37	STATE	42 951	6 670	6 080	6 270	14.6	1 488	1 409	1 418	22.6	1 817	1 359	1 504	24.0
38	LOCAL, TOTAL	84 840	7 502	6 297	6 504	7.7	7 234	6 129	6 298	96.8	52	8	6	0.1
39	MUNICIPALITIES	84 840	7 502	6 297	6 504	7.7	7 234	6 129	6 298	96.8	52	8	6	0.1
40	DELAWARE	20 565	3 441	3 350	3 363	16.4	1 557	1 490	1 499	44.6	781	766	769	22.9
41	STATE	14 439	2 338	2 290	2 296	15.9	684	643	649	28.3	592	590	590	25.7
42	LOCAL, TOTAL	6 126	1 103	1 060	1 067	17.4	873	847	850	79.7	189	176	179	16.8
43	COUNTIES	2 285	392	392	392	17.2	250	250	250	63.8	131	131	131	33.4
44	MUNICIPALITIES	3 841	711	668	675	17.6	623	597	600	88.9	58	45	48	7.1
45	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	44 800	8 648	8 633	8 648	19.3	4 783	4 772	4 783	55.3	773	769	773	8.9
46	STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
47	LOCAL, TOTAL	44 800	8 648	8 633	8 648	19.3	4 783	4 772	4 783	55.3	773	769	773	8.9
48	MUNICIPALITIES	44 800	8 648	8 633	8 648	19.3	4 783	4 772	4 783	55.3	773	769	773	8.9
49	FLORIDA	230 398	48 339	46 802	47 381	20.6	25 123	24 515	24 649	52.0	6 336	5 981	6 117	12.9
50	STATE	97 371	17 119	16 533	16 803	17.3	3 151	3 120	3 125	18.6	1 352	1 246	1 269	7.6
51	LOCAL, TOTAL	133 027	31 220	30 269	30 578	23.0	21 972	21 395	21 524	70.4	4 984	4 735	4 848	15.9
52	COUNTIES	66 806	15 735	15 366	15 470	23.2	7 997	7 820	7 862	50.8	4 501	4 359	4 410	28.5
53	MUNICIPALITIES	66 221	15 485	14 903	15 108	22.8	13 975	13 575	13 662	90.4	483	376	438	2.9
54	GEORGIA	134 516	24 422	22 770	23 256	17.3	13 418	12 755	12 867	55.3	3 292	2 695	2 903	12.5
55	STATE	77 469	7 700	7 448	7 509	9.7	2 520	2 432	2 441	32.5	508	367	410	5.5
56	LOCAL, TOTAL	57 047	16 722	15 322	15 747	27.6	10 898	10 323	10 426	66.2	2 784	2 328	2 493	15.8
57	COUNTIES	24 381	8 651	7 843	8 153	33.4	3 670	3 502	3 537	43.4	2 413	2 085	2 222	27.3
58	MUNICIPALITIES	32 666	8 071	7 479	7 594	23.2	7 228	6 821	6 889	90.7	371	243	271	3.6
59	HAWAII	46 525	4 269	4 191	4 213	9.1	2 556	2 534	2 537	60.2	673	647	658	15.6
60	STATE	34 036	1 575	1 537	1 553	4.6	96	95	96	6.2	673	647	658	42.4
61	LOCAL, TOTAL	12 489	2 694	2 654	2 660	21.3	2 460	2 439	2 441	91.8	-	-	-	-
62	COUNTIES	2 908	723	683	689	23.7	597	576	578	83.9	-	-	-	-
63	MUNICIPALITIES	9 581	1 971	1 971	1 971	20.6	1 863	1 863	1 863	94.5	-	-	-	-
64	IDaho	24 360	4 237	3 429	3 658	15.0	2 332	2 063	2 132	58.3	553	505	520	14.2
65	STATE	14 831	1 450	1 015	1 116	7.5	350	335	338	30.3	180	172	172	15.4
66	LOCAL, TOTAL	9 529	2 787	2 414	2 542	26.7	1 982	1 728	1 794	70.6	373	333	348	13.7
67	COUNTIES	5 276	1 548	1 360	1 440	27.3	806	722	749	52.0	351	314	329	22.8
68	MUNICIPALITIES	4 253	1 239	1 054	1 102	25.9	1 176	1 006	1 045	94.8	22	19	19	1.7

See footnotes at end of table.

## equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1977

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
61 180	52 438	56 972	5.5	8 232	7 181	7 874	0.8	250 710	239 106	243 248	23.5	7 998	7 490	7 636	0.7	1
15 584	14 627	15 068	5.1	3 245	3 005	3 175	1.1	147 695	144 420	145 552	48.8	3 856	3 718	3 766	1.3	2
45 596	37 811	41 904	5.7	4 987	4 176	4 699	0.6	103 015	94 686	97 696	13.2	4 142	3 772	3 870	0.5	3
29 690	26 026	27 842	9.7	4 692	3 953	4 441	1.5	82 631	74 837	77 682	27.0	2 447	2 252	2 309	0.8	4
15 906	11 785	14 062	3.1	295	223	258	0.1	20 384	19 849	20 014	4.4	1 695	1 520	1 561	0.3	5
683	332	545	4.2	11	1	2	(2)	2 672	2 602	2 627	20.3	47	47	47	0.4	6
315	151	243	6.1	1	1	1	(2)	1 583	1 583	1 583	39.5	39	39	39	1.0	7
368	181	302	3.4	10	-	1	(2)	1 089	1 019	1 044	11.7	8	8	8	0.1	8
263	132	227	7.2	-	-	-	-	927	864	884	28.0	-	-	-	-	9
105	49	75	1.3	10	-	1	(2)	162	155	160	2.8	8	8	8	0.1	10
237	226	229	8.3	54	50	51	1.8	619	575	587	21.2	18	18	18	0.6	11
182	173	176	8.8	54	50	51	2.6	577	535	546	27.4	18	18	18	0.9	12
55	53	53	6.8	-	-	-	-	42	40	41	5.3	-	-	-	-	13
6	6	6	19.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
49	47	47	6.3	-	-	-	-	42	40	41	5.5	-	-	-	-	15
824	794	800	6.4	152	148	150	1.2	2 887	2 846	2 866	22.8	54	53	53	0.4	16
143	143	143	4.2	-	-	-	-	1 441	1 426	1 435	42.5	35	34	34	1.0	17
681	651	657	7.1	152	148	150	1.6	1 446	1 420	1 431	15.6	19	19	19	0.2	18
475	453	457	10.1	150	148	148	3.3	1 391	1 366	1 376	30.5	6	6	6	0.1	19
206	198	200	4.3	2	-	2	(2)	55	54	55	1.2	13	13	13	0.3	20
323	221	263	3.9	32	20	27	0.4	1 684	1 609	1 636	24.0	70	68	68	1.0	21
50	46	46	2.1	-	-	-	-	1 143	1 134	1 137	52.0	60	60	60	2.7	22
273	175	217	4.7	32	20	27	0.6	541	475	499	10.8	10	8	8	0.2	23
179	130	147	7.9	27	16	22	1.2	450	390	411	22.0	10	8	8	0.4	24
94	45	70	2.5	5	4	5	0.2	91	85	88	3.2	-	-	-	-	25
10 013	9 656	9 811	8.0	1 979	1 926	1 946	1.6	35 500	32 729	33 749	27.4	762	628	665	0.5	26
1 014	990	997	3.7	138	137	137	0.5	13 803	13 166	13 304	49.9	45	39	41	0.2	27
8 999	8 666	8 814	9.1	1 841	1 789	1 809	1.9	21 697	19 563	20 445	21.1	717	589	624	0.6	28
7 352	7 094	7 199	12.9	1 733	1 681	1 701	3.0	20 759	18 634	19 510	34.9	561	497	521	0.9	29
1 647	1 572	1 615	4.0	108	108	108	0.3	938	929	935	2.3	156	92	103	0.3	30
1 135	967	1 046	8.3	136	136	136	1.1	2 335	2 251	2 281	18.0	71	65	66	0.5	31
151	151	151	3.7	136	136	136	3.3	1 566	1 535	1 544	37.8	34	28	29	0.7	32
984	816	895	10.5	-	-	-	-	769	716	737	8.6	37	37	37	0.4	33
644	530	577	24.7	-	-	-	-	481	438	451	19.3	37	37	37	1.6	34
340	286	318	5.1	-	-	-	-	288	278	286	4.6	-	-	-	-	35
491	442	481	3.8	128	128	128	1.0	2 860	2 808	2 843	22.3	102	94	96	0.8	36
334	334	334	5.3	128	128	128	2.0	2 854	2 803	2 838	45.3	49	47	48	0.8	37
157	108	147	2.3	-	-	-	-	6	5	5	0.1	53	47	48	0.7	38
157	108	147	2.3	-	-	-	-	6	5	5	0.1	53	47	48	0.7	39
151	147	148	4.4	34	34	34	1.0	877	872	872	25.9	41	41	41	1.2	40
110	110	110	4.8	34	34	34	1.5	877	872	872	38.0	41	41	41	1.8	41
41	37	38	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
11	11	11	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
30	26	27	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44
177	177	177	2.0	1	1	1	(2)	2 878	2 878	2 878	33.3	36	36	36	0.4	45
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
177	177	177	2.0	1	1	1	(2)	2 878	2 878	2 878	33.3	36	36	36	0.4	47
177	177	177	2.0	1	1	1	(2)	2 878	2 878	2 878	33.3	36	36	36	0.4	48
2 214	2 132	2 194	4.6	798	782	789	1.7	13 423	12 949	13 189	27.8	445	443	443	0.9	49
1 728	1 718	1 721	10.2	743	728	735	4.4	10 077	9 653	9 885	58.8	68	68	68	0.4	50
486	414	473	1.5	55	54	54	0.2	3 346	3 296	3 304	10.8	377	375	375	1.2	51
191	186	190	1.2	54	54	54	0.3	2 683	2 638	2 645	17.1	309	309	309	2.0	52
295	228	283	1.9	1	-	-	-	663	658	659	4.4	68	66	66	0.4	53
905	678	777	3.3	69	59	65	0.3	6 669	6 520	6 576	28.3	69	63	68	0.3	54
227	210	217	2.9	10	10	10	0.1	4 398	4 394	4 394	58.5	37	37	37	0.5	55
678	468	560	3.6	59	49	55	0.3	2 271	2 128	2 182	13.9	32	26	31	0.2	56
551	387	465	5.7	53	45	50	0.6	1 950	1 813	1 864	22.9	14	11	15	0.2	57
127	81	95	1.3	6	4	5	0.1	321	315	318	4.2	18	15	16	0.2	58
270	270	270	6.4	57	57	57	1.4	657	645	650	15.4	56	38	41	1.0	59
108	108	108	7.0	57	57	57	3.7	618	607	611	39.3	23	23	23	1.5	60
162	162	162	6.1	-	-	-	-	39	38	39	1.5	33	15	18	0.7	61
54	54	54	7.8	-	-	-	-	39	38	39	5.7	33	15	18	2.6	62
108	108	108	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63
306	257	286	7.8	26	22	25	0.7	998	560	673	18.4	22	22	22	0.6	64
77	75	76	6.8	-	-	-	-	821	411	508	45.5	22	22	22	2.0	65
229	182	210	8.3	26	22	25	1.0	177	149	165	6.5	-	-	-	-	66
194	159	178	12.4	26	22	25	1.7	171	143	159	11.0	-	-	-	-	67
35	23	32	2.9	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	0.5	-	-	-	-	68

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	ILLINOIS . . . . .	247 471	57 183	52 844	54 193	21.9	36 530	33 786	34 472	63.6	7 074	6 376	6 672	12.3
2	STATE . . . . .	116 104	11 595	11 340	11 423	9.8	3 525	3 462	3 475	30.4	1 429	1 418	1 422	12.4
3	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	131 367	45 588	41 504	42 770	32.6	33 005	30 324	30 997	72.5	5 645	4 958	5 250	12.3
4	COUNTIES . . . . .	42 496	15 420	13 993	14 553	34.2	3 492	3 250	3 303	22.7	5 609	4 925	5 217	35.8
5	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	88 871	30 168	27 511	28 217	31.8	29 513	27 074	27 694	98.1	36	33	33	0.1
6	INDIANA . . . . .	132 261	20 954	18 371	19 697	14.9	11 952	11 014	11 187	56.8	3 116	2 491	3 026	15.4
7	STATE . . . . .	61 115	5 688	5 080	5 560	9.1	2 483	2 306	2 327	41.9	404	134	468	8.4
8	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	71 146	15 266	13 291	14 137	19.9	9 469	8 708	8 860	62.7	2 712	2 357	2 558	18.1
9	COUNTIES . . . . .	34 530	5 626	4 602	5 188	15.0	1 664	1 475	1 522	29.3	1 840	1 630	1 755	33.8
10	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	36 616	9 640	8 689	8 949	24.4	7 805	7 233	7 338	82.0	872	727	803	9.0
11	IOWA . . . . .	74 751	11 397	9 675	10 129	13.6	6 424	5 485	5 654	55.8	1 605	1 237	1 340	13.2
12	STATE . . . . .	40 734	3 420	3 072	3 165	7.8	1 308	1 207	1 219	38.5	371	179	241	7.6
13	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	34 017	7 977	6 603	6 964	20.5	5 116	4 278	4 435	63.7	1 234	1 058	1 099	15.8
14	COUNTIES . . . . .	18 230	3 824	3 192	3 402	18.7	1 120	964	997	29.3	1 232	1 058	1 097	32.2
15	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15 787	4 153	3 411	3 562	22.6	3 996	3 314	3 438	96.5	2	-	2	0.1
16	KANSAS . . . . .	69 426	11 968	10 436	10 781	15.5	5 852	5 128	5 258	48.8	2 052	1 634	1 716	15.9
17	STATE . . . . .	38 026	3 681	3 562	3 581	9.4	869	787	787	22.0	316	297	298	8.3
18	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	31 400	8 287	6 874	7 200	22.9	4 983	4 359	4 471	62.1	1 736	1 337	1 418	19.7
19	COUNTIES . . . . .	14 440	3 937	3 308	3 460	24.0	1 288	1 047	1 098	31.7	1 386	1 224	1 265	36.6
20	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	16 960	4 350	3 566	3 740	22.1	3 695	3 312	3 373	90.2	350	113	153	4.1
21	KENTUCKY . . . . .	85 812	13 643	12 240	12 705	14.8	7 250	6 705	6 847	53.9	2 350	1 885	2 058	16.2
22	STATE . . . . .	55 450	4 491	4 273	4 338	7.8	1 989	1 906	1 906	43.9	343	326	329	7.6
23	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	30 362	9 152	7 975	8 367	27.6	5 261	4 823	4 941	59.1	2 007	1 559	1 729	20.7
24	COUNTIES . . . . .	12 514	4 587	4 001	4 220	33.7	1 420	1 337	1 353	52.1	1 642	1 357	1 490	35.3
25	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	17 848	4 565	3 974	4 147	23.2	3 841	3 486	3 588	86.5	365	202	239	5.8
26	LOUISIANA . . . . .	114 812	26 430	17 845	21 038	18.3	16 088	8 971	11 497	54.6	3 506	2 631	2 971	14.1
27	STATE . . . . .	66 021	10 745	5 922	6 804	10.0	6 178	1 578	2 370	34.8	419	355	378	5.6
28	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	46 791	15 685	11 923	14 234	30.4	9 910	7 393	9 127	64.1	3 087	2 276	2 593	18.2
29	PARISHES . . . . .	18 236	6 552	4 803	5 606	30.7	3 477	2 735	3 118	55.6	1 759	1 113	1 330	23.7
30	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	28 555	9 133	7 120	8 628	30.2	6 433	4 658	6 009	69.6	1 328	1 163	1 263	14.6
31	MAINE . . . . .	38 744	4 532	3 483	3 670	9.5	3 059	2 197	2 314	63.1	369	313	330	9.0
32	STATE . . . . .	17 004	1 707	1 622	1 652	9.7	623	602	606	36.7	280	249	260	15.7
33	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 740	2 825	1 861	2 018	9.3	2 436	1 595	1 708	84.6	89	64	70	3.5
34	COUNTIES . . . . .	1 002	801	454	523	52.2	432	200	232	44.4	89	64	70	13.4
35	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	20 738	2 024	1 407	1 495	7.2	2 004	1 395	1 476	98.7	-	-	-	-
36	MARYLAND . . . . .	212 058	22 748	22 342	22 442	10.6	12 635	12 355	12 399	55.2	2 269	2 204	2 226	9.9
37	STATE . . . . .	72 184	9 994	9 845	9 861	13.7	2 948	2 799	2 815	28.5	1 189	1 189	1 189	12.1
38	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	139 874	12 754	12 497	12 581	9.0	9 687	9 556	9 584	76.2	1 080	1 015	1 037	8.2
39	COUNTIES . . . . .	100 461	6 469	6 302	6 363	6.3	4 637	4 587	4 600	72.3	685	620	642	10.1
40	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	39 413	6 285	6 195	6 218	15.8	5 050	4 969	4 984	80.2	395	395	395	6.4
41	MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	257 519	30 132	27 276	28 100	10.9	18 890	16 654	17 162	61.1	3 943	3 626	3 775	13.4
42	STATE . . . . .	70 380	6 965	6 895	6 912	9.8	2 334	2 268	2 284	33.0	648	648	648	9.4
43	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	187 139	23 167	20 381	21 188	11.3	16 556	14 386	14 878	70.2	3 295	2 978	3 127	14.8
44	COUNTIES . . . . .	7 107	4 322	3 854	4 069	57.3	50	41	45	1.1	2 031	1 744	1 861	46.2
45	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	180 032	18 845	16 527	17 119	9.5	16 506	14 345	14 833	86.6	1 264	1 234	1 246	7.3
46	MICHIGAN . . . . .	225 560	44 282	41 337	42 192	18.7	25 532	23 875	24 155	57.3	6 814	6 148	6 508	15.4
47	STATE . . . . .	116 422	10 292	10 014	10 033	8.6	3 827	3 549	3 568	35.6	623	623	623	6.2
48	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	109 138	33 990	31 323	32 159	29.5	21 705	20 326	20 587	64.0	6 191	5 525	5 885	18.3
49	COUNTIES . . . . .	43 016	13 312	12 086	12 541	29.2	3 267	3 019	3 068	24.5	4 847	4 326	4 580	36.5
50	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	66 122	20 678	19 237	19 618	29.7	18 438	17 307	17 519	89.3	1 344	1 199	1 305	6.7
51	MINNESOTA . . . . .	107 199	16 313	13 557	14 097	13.2	9 555	7 571	7 740	54.9	1 912	1 728	1 818	12.9
52	STATE . . . . .	51 776	3 312	3 123	3 193	6.2	1 121	1 078	1 087	34.0	163	160	161	5.0
53	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	55 423	13 001	10 434	10 904	19.7	8 434	6 493	6 653	61.0	1 749	1 568	1 657	15.2
54	COUNTIES . . . . .	28 492	6 015	5 325	5 598	19.6	1 762	1 583	1 615	28.8	1 732	1 568	1 640	29.3
55	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	26 931	6 986	5 109	5 306	19.7	6 672	4 910	5 038	94.9	17	-	17	0.3
56	MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	69 674	8 129	6 918	7 393	10.6	5 133	4 547	4 716	63.8	1 058	689	858	11.6
57	STATE . . . . .	35 925	2 524	2 436	2 466	6.9	1 136	1 111	1 116	45.3	137	121	123	5.0
58	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	33 749	5 605	4 482	4 927	14.6	3 997	3 436	3 600	73.1	921	568	735	14.9
59	COUNTIES . . . . .	18 939	2 305	1 731	1 981	10.5	998	853	889	44.9	808	511	646	32.6
60	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	14 810	3 300	2 751	2 946	19.9	2 999	2 583	2 711	92.0	113	57	89	3.0
61	MISSOURI . . . . .	115 193	24 160	21 423	22 295	19.4	14 396	13 100	13 425	60.2	3 601	3 011	3 170	14.2
62	STATE . . . . .	61 486	5 605	5 334	5 511	9.0	1 730	1 730	1 730	31.4	831	820	822	14.9
63	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	53 707	18 555	16 089	16 784	31.3	12 666	11 370	11 695	69.7	2 770	2 191	2 348	14.0
64	COUNTIES . . . . .	16 575	5 530	4 846	5 065	30.6	1 963	1 815	1 845	36.4	1 717	1 499	1 578	31.2
65	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	37 132	13 025	11 243	11 719	31.6	10 703	9 555	9 850	84.1	1 053	692	770	6.6
66	MONTANA . . . . .	24 253	3 766	3 213	3 386	14.0	1 980	1 807	1 839	54.3	526	349	400	11.8
67	STATE . . . . .	14 820	1 142	1 101	1 123	7.6	357	354	357	31.8	71	71	71	6.3
68	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 433	2 624	2 112	2 263	24.0	1 623	1 453	1 482	65.5	455	278	329	14.5
69	COUNTIES . . . . .	5 650	1 605	1 280	1 392	24.6	751	672	685	49.2	366	249	292	21.0
70	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 783	1 019	832	871	23.0	872	781	797	91.5	89	29	37	4.2

See footnotes at end of table.

## equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
2 928	2 435	2 624	4.8	749	648	727	1.3	9 679	9 390	9 489	17.5	213	209	209	0.4	1
753	573	639	5.6	86	85	85	0.7	5 712	5 712	5 712	50.0	90	90	90	0.8	2
2 175	1 862	1 985	4.6	673	563	642	1.5	3 967	3 678	3 777	8.8	123	119	119	0.3	3
1 638	1 537	1 575	10.8	673	563	642	4.4	3 949	3 661	3 760	25.8	59	57	56	0.4	4
537	325	410	1.5	-	-	-	-	18	17	17	0.1	64	62	63	0.2	5
1 404	792	1 235	6.3	173	83	165	0.8	4 148	3 840	3 927	20.0	161	151	157	0.8	6
373	239	354	6.4	35	31	35	0.6	2 327	2 304	2 310	42.0	66	66	66	1.2	7
1 031	553	881	6.2	138	52	130	0.9	1 821	1 536	1 617	11.4	95	85	91	0.6	8
650	287	548	10.6	137	52	129	2.5	1 266	1 097	1 169	22.5	69	61	65	1.3	9
381	266	333	3.7	1	-	1	(2)	555	439	448	5.0	26	24	26	0.3	10
643	493	567	5.6	37	31	35	0.3	2 622	2 366	2 470	24.4	66	63	63	0.6	11
146	128	132	4.2	-	-	-	-	1 568	1 531	1 546	48.8	27	27	27	0.9	12
497	365	435	6.2	37	31	35	0.5	1 054	835	924	13.3	39	36	36	0.5	13
382	305	351	10.3	37	31	35	1.0	1 017	801	889	26.1	36	33	33	1.0	14
115	60	84	2.4	-	-	-	-	37	34	35	1.0	3	3	3	0.1	15
834	626	698	6.5	24	21	24	0.2	3 098	2 936	2 985	27.7	108	91	100	0.9	16
163	163	163	4.6	18	18	18	0.5	2 292	2 292	2 292	64.0	23	23	23	0.6	17
671	463	535	7.4	6	3	6	0.1	806	644	693	9.6	85	68	77	1.1	18
434	378	386	11.2	-	-	-	-	758	600	647	18.7	71	59	64	1.8	19
237	85	149	4.0	6	3	6	0.2	48	44	46	1.2	14	9	13	0.3	20
708	583	631	5.0	67	66	67	0.5	2 884	2 687	2 756	21.7	384	322	346	2.7	21
193	188	189	4.4	57	57	57	1.3	1 681	1 646	1 664	38.4	228	174	193	4.4	22
515	395	442	5.3	10	9	10	0.1	1 203	1 041	1 092	13.1	156	146	153	1.8	23
337	281	299	7.1	10	9	10	0.2	1 034	879	926	21.9	144	138	142	3.4	24
178	114	143	3.4	-	-	-	-	169	162	166	4.0	12	10	11	0.3	25
1 412	1 035	1 276	6.1	89	72	74	0.4	5 215	5 024	5 104	24.3	120	112	116	0.6	26
494	412	460	6.8	2	2	2	(2)	3 584	3 515	3 530	51.9	68	60	64	0.9	27
918	623	816	5.7	87	70	72	0.5	1 631	1 509	1 574	11.1	52	52	52	0.4	28
473	240	384	6.8	35	20	22	0.4	794	681	738	13.2	14	14	14	0.2	29
445	383	432	5.0	52	50	50	0.6	837	828	836	9.7	38	38	38	0.4	30
164	141	156	4.3	-	-	-	-	906	798	836	22.8	34	34	34	0.9	31
117	106	112	6.8	-	-	-	-	653	631	640	38.7	34	34	34	2.1	32
47	35	44	2.2	-	-	-	-	253	167	196	9.7	-	-	-	-	33
27	23	25	4.8	-	-	-	-	253	167	196	37.5	-	-	-	-	34
20	12	19	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
940	901	930	4.1	267	267	267	1.2	6 475	6 453	6 458	28.8	162	162	162	0.7	36
108	108	108	1.1	264	264	264	2.7	5 328	5 328	5 328	54.0	157	157	157	1.6	37
832	793	822	6.5	3	3	3	(2)	1 147	1 125	1 130	9.0	5	5	5	(2)	38
519	489	510	8.0	3	3	3	(2)	621	599	604	9.5	4	4	4	0.1	39
313	304	312	5.0	-	-	-	-	526	526	526	8.5	1	1	1	(2)	40
1 099	960	1 059	3.8	214	181	204	0.7	5 804	5 682	5 724	20.4	182	173	176	0.6	41
404	404	404	5.8	150	150	150	2.2	3 339	3 335	3 336	48.3	90	90	90	1.3	42
695	556	655	3.1	64	31	54	0.3	2 465	2 347	2 388	11.3	92	83	86	0.4	43
230	208	219	5.4	64	31	54	1.3	1 947	1 830	1 870	46.0	-	-	-	-	44
465	348	436	2.5	-	-	-	-	518	517	518	3.0	92	83	86	0.5	45
1 868	1 774	1 818	4.3	97	81	91	0.2	9 870	9 367	9 522	22.6	101	92	98	0.2	46
339	339	339	3.4	39	39	39	0.4	5 401	5 401	5 401	53.8	63	63	63	0.6	47
1 529	1 435	1 479	4.6	58	42	52	0.2	4 469	3 966	4 121	12.8	38	29	35	0.1	48
1 195	1 142	1 159	9.2	57	41	51	0.4	3 926	3 539	3 664	29.2	20	19	19	0.2	49
334	293	320	1.6	1	1	1	(2)	543	427	457	2.3	18	10	16	0.1	50
1 085	840	987	7.0	204	158	195	1.4	3 425	3 139	3 233	22.9	132	121	124	0.9	51
214	208	210	6.6	16	12	14	0.4	1 705	1 580	1 633	51.1	93	85	88	2.8	52
871	632	777	7.1	188	146	181	1.7	1 720	1 559	1 600	14.7	39	36	36	0.3	53
609	462	559	10.0	188	146	181	3.2	1 708	1 553	1 590	28.4	16	13	13	0.2	54
262	170	218	4.1	-	-	-	-	12	6	10	0.2	23	23	23	0.4	55
336	170	269	3.6	44	31	41	0.6	1 500	1 427	1 453	19.7	58	54	56	0.8	56
117	88	106	4.3	-	-	-	-	1 091	1 073	1 078	43.7	43	43	43	1.7	57
219	82	163	3.3	44	31	41	0.8	409	354	375	7.6	15	11	13	0.3	58
117	48	100	5.0	39	30	36	1.8	331	280	299	15.1	12	9	11	0.6	59
102	34	63	2.1	5	1	5	0.2	78	74	76	2.6	3	2	2	0.1	60
1 247	914	1 038	4.7	126	2	125	0.6	4 709	4 317	4 457	20.0	81	79	80	0.4	61
157	143	149	2.7	123	123	123	2.2	2 733	2 610	2 656	48.2	31	31	31	0.6	62
1 090	771	889	5.3	3	2	2	(2)	1 976	1 707	1 801	10.7	50	48	49	0.3	63
561	508	526	10.4	3	2	2	(2)	1 248	986	1 077	21.3	38	36	37	0.7	64
529	263	363	3.1	-	-	-	-	728	721	724	6.2	12	12	12	0.1	65
276	207	236	7.0	12	9	11	0.3	906	783	841	24.8	66	58	59	1.7	66
32	32	32	2.8	-	-	-	-	631	599	617	54.9	51	45	46	4.1	67
244	175	204	9.0	12	9	11	0.5	275	184	224	9.9	15	13	13	0.6	68
194	159	174	12.5	12	9	11	0.8	267	178	217	15.6	15	13	13	0.9	69
50	16	30	3.4	-	-	-	-	8	6	7	0.8	-	-	-	-	70

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	NEBRASKA . . . . .	47 936	6 521	5 735	5 936	12.4	3 679	3 262	3 337	56.2	924	831	859	14.5
2	STATE . . . . .	26 657	2 143	2 115	2 133	8.0	765	751	758	35.5	456	444	454	21.3
3	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 279	4 378	3 620	3 803	17.9	2 914	2 511	2 579	67.8	468	387	405	10.6
4	COUNTIES . . . . .	11 039	1 881	1 511	1 621	14.7	693	614	630	38.9	350	271	288	17.8
5	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 240	2 497	2 109	2 182	21.3	2 221	1 897	1 949	89.3	118	116	117	5.4
6	NEVADA . . . . .	23 592	5 255	4 947	5 044	21.4	2 625	2 422	2 481	49.2	683	616	641	12.7
7	STATE . . . . .	10 592	1 223	1 196	1 205	11.4	338	321	328	27.2	85	78	79	6.6
8	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 000	4 032	3 751	3 839	29.5	2 287	2 101	2 153	56.1	598	538	562	14.6
9	COUNTIES . . . . .	8 844	3 040	2 800	2 872	32.5	1 546	1 377	1 425	49.6	472	423	439	15.3
10	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 156	992	951	967	23.3	741	724	728	75.3	126	115	123	12.7
11	NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	26 546	4 126	2 841	3 087	11.6	2 879	1 757	1 918	62.1	387	275	332	10.8
12	STATE . . . . .	13 036	1 028	981	1 002	7.7	348	331	336	33.5	99	89	95	9.5
13	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 510	3 098	1 860	2 085	15.4	2 531	1 426	1 582	75.9	288	186	237	11.4
14	COUNTIES . . . . .	2 288	479	416	446	19.5	113	97	104	23.3	145	119	129	28.9
15	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 222	2 619	1 444	1 639	14.6	2 418	1 329	1 478	90.2	143	67	108	6.6
16	NEW JERSEY . . . . .	232 417	47 295	44 015	45 012	19.4	26 792	24 857	25 154	55.9	6 265	5 613	5 920	13.2
17	STATE . . . . .	75 263	10 148	9 911	9 957	13.2	3 569	3 408	3 426	34.4	951	951	951	9.6
18	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	157 154	37 147	34 104	35 055	22.3	23 223	21 449	21 728	62.0	5 314	4 662	4 969	14.2
19	COUNTIES . . . . .	56 056	13 217	12 771	12 956	23.0	1 710	1 685	1 695	13.1	3 647	3 513	3 551	27.4
20	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	101 098	23 930	21 333	22 099	21.9	21 513	19 764	20 033	90.7	1 667	1 149	1 418	6.4
21	NEW MEXICO . . . . .	40 638	5 858	5 620	5 697	14.0	3 444	3 314	3 336	58.6	695	637	663	11.6
22	STATE . . . . .	27 885	2 680	2 588	2 607	9.3	904	828	839	32.2	524	522	522	20.0
23	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 753	3 178	3 032	3 090	24.2	2 540	2 486	2 497	80.8	171	115	141	4.6
24	COUNTIES . . . . .	3 555	863	808	825	23.2	621	593	597	72.4	23	20	21	2.5
25	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 198	2 315	2 224	2 265	24.6	1 919	1 893	1 900	83.9	148	95	120	5.3
26	NEW YORK . . . . .	666 356	121 717	115 931	117 799	17.7	66 667	64 176	64 733	55.0	20 066	18 264	18 911	16.1
27	STATE . . . . .	194 283	33 910	33 114	33 296	17.1	6 507	6 153	6 200	18.6	9 811	9 690	9 719	29.2
28	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	472 073	87 807	82 817	84 503	17.9	60 160	58 023	58 533	69.3	10 255	8 574	9 192	10.9
29	COUNTIES . . . . .	105 029	20 953	19 842	20 302	19.3	10 218	9 905	9 980	49.2	2 668	2 548	2 589	12.8
30	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	367 044	66 854	62 975	64 201	17.5	49 942	48 118	48 553	75.6	7 587	6 026	6 603	10.3
31	NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	267 774	23 713	22 630	22 882	8.5	12 747	12 002	12 158	53.1	2 810	2 762	2 775	12.1
32	STATE . . . . .	86 899	12 144	11 889	11 934	13.7	2 745	2 654	2 672	22.4	2 377	2 373	2 374	19.9
33	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	180 875	11 569	10 741	10 948	6.1	10 002	9 348	9 486	86.6	433	389	401	3.7
34	COUNTIES . . . . .	150 876	4 171	3 856	3 943	2.6	2 679	2 532	2 556	64.8	433	389	401	10.2
35	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	29 999	7 398	6 885	7 005	23.4	7 323	6 816	6 930	98.9	-	-	-	-
36	NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	17 938	2 672	1 918	2 051	11.4	1 433	1 120	1 141	55.6	559	280	342	16.7
37	STATE . . . . .	11 498	636	583	593	5.2	1 200	1 163	1 169	28.5	77	76	76	12.8
38	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 440	2 036	1 335	1 458	22.6	1 253	957	972	66.7	482	204	266	18.2
39	COUNTIES . . . . .	3 357	974	623	717	21.4	357	275	282	39.3	349	188	239	33.3
40	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 083	1 062	712	741	24.0	896	682	690	93.1	133	16	27	3.6
41	OHIO . . . . .	250 593	47 698	42 029	44 287	17.7	24 351	21 766	22 207	50.1	8 517	6 602	7 857	15.9
42	STATE . . . . .	107 471	10 806	10 390	10 707	10.0	2 734	2 668	2 682	25.0	513	163	466	4.4
43	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	143 122	36 892	31 639	33 580	23.5	21 617	19 098	19 525	58.1	8 004	6 439	7 391	22.0
44	COUNTIES . . . . .	67 357	14 459	12 506	13 475	20.0	3 369	3 062	3 144	23.3	5 445	4 535	5 177	38.4
45	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	75 765	22 433	19 133	20 105	26.5	18 248	16 036	16 381	81.5	2 539	1 904	2 174	8.8
46	OKLAHOMA . . . . .	86 162	12 176	11 033	11 396	13.2	7 090	6 468	6 650	58.4	1 577	1 218	1 320	11.6
47	STATE . . . . .	49 619	4 721	4 624	4 649	9.4	1 647	1 550	1 575	33.9	428	428	428	9.2
48	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	36 543	7 455	6 409	6 747	18.5	3 443	4 918	5 075	75.2	1 149	790	892	13.2
49	COUNTIES . . . . .	13 893	1 937	1 682	1 801	13.0	627	584	595	33.0	731	608	675	37.5
50	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	22 650	5 518	4 727	4 946	21.8	4 816	4 334	4 480	90.6	418	182	217	4.4
51	OREGON . . . . .	66 707	12 079	11 087	11 403	17.1	6 097	5 774	5 830	51.1	1 677	1 359	1 469	12.9
52	STATE . . . . .	40 987	4 027	3 896	3 944	9.6	1 374	1 326	1 334	33.8	265	231	240	6.1
53	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	25 720	8 052	7 191	7 459	29.0	4 723	4 448	4 496	60.3	1 412	1 128	1 229	16.5
54	COUNTIES . . . . .	14 087	4 469	4 009	4 148	29.4	1 608	1 478	1 510	36.4	1 161	1 043	1 083	26.1
55	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 633	3 583	3 182	3 311	28.5	3 115	2 970	2 986	90.2	251	85	146	4.4
56	PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	253 352	54 299	49 160	50 922	20.1	32 097	28 675	29 627	58.2	8 903	8 207	8 518	16.7
57	STATE . . . . .	128 180	11 788	11 474	11 541	9.0	5 745	5 551	5 570	48.3	1 140	1 110	1 133	9.8
58	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	125 172	42 511	37 686	39 381	31.5	26 352	23 124	24 057	61.1	7 763	7 097	7 385	18.8
59	COUNTIES . . . . .	44 795	11 956	10 417	11 098	24.8	1 237	1 055	1 132	10.2	5 152	4 487	4 775	43.0
60	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	80 377	30 555	27 269	28 283	35.2	25 115	22 069	22 925	81.1	2 611	2 610	2 610	9.2
61	RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	42 693	4 357	4 147	4 202	9.8	2 707	2 550	2 574	61.3	576	556	563	13.4
62	STATE . . . . .	19 060	1 892	1 877	1 878	9.9	351	337	338	18.0	534	534	534	28.4
63	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	23 633	2 465	2 270	2 324	9.8	2 356	2 213	2 236	96.2	42	22	29	1.2
64	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	23 633	2 465	2 270	2 324	9.8	2 356	2 213	2 236	96.2	42	22	29	1.2
65	SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	87 422	12 473	11 379	11 780	13.5	6 715	6 325	6 424	54.5	1 657	1 157	1 369	11.6
66	STATE . . . . .	55 653	4 962	4 816	4 848	8.7	1 710	1 617	1 633	33.7	267	263	264	5.4
67	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	31 769	7 511	6 563	6 932	21.8	5 005	4 708	4 791	69.1	1 390	894	1 105	15.9
68	COUNTIES . . . . .	19 893	4 041	3 401	3 656	18.4	1 901	1 793	1 819	49.8	1 255	842	1 013	27.7
69	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 876	3 470	3 162	3 276	27.6	3 104	2 915	2 972	90.7	135	52	92	2.8

See footnotes at end of table.

## equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
454	341	389	6.6	66	54	63	1.1	1 362	1 211	1 260	21.2	36	36	28	0.5	1
36	36	36	1.7	-	-	-	-	863	861	862	40.4	23	23	23	1.1	2
418	305	353	9.3	66	54	63	1.7	499	350	398	10.5	13	13	5	0.1	3
298	242	272	16.8	66	54	63	3.9	466	322	368	22.7	8	8	-	-	4
120	63	81	3.7	-	-	-	-	33	28	30	1.4	5	5	5	0.2	5
436	424	429	8.5	80	80	80	1.6	1 409	1 383	1 391	27.6	22	22	22	0.4	6
70	70	70	5.8	10	10	10	0.8	699	696	697	57.8	21	21	21	1.7	7
366	354	359	9.4	70	70	70	1.8	710	687	694	18.1	1	1	1	(2)	8
297	290	293	10.2	70	70	70	2.4	654	639	644	22.4	1	1	1	(2)	9
69	64	66	6.8	-	-	-	-	56	48	50	5.2	-	-	-	-	10
111	98	109	3.5	-	-	-	-	711	674	691	22.4	38	37	37	1.2	11
53	53	53	5.3	-	-	-	-	491	471	481	48.0	37	37	37	3.7	12
58	45	56	2.7	-	-	-	-	220	203	210	10.1	1	-	-	-	13
34	26	33	7.4	-	-	-	-	187	174	180	40.4	-	-	-	-	14
24	19	23	1.4	-	-	-	-	33	29	30	1.8	1	-	-	-	15
3 414	3 082	3 312	7.4	798	714	749	1.7	9 730	9 457	9 584	21.3	296	292	293	0.7	16
726	726	726	7.3	768	711	730	7.3	4 033	4 014	4 023	40.4	101	101	101	1.0	17
2 688	2 356	2 586	7.4	30	3	19	0.1	5 697	5 443	5 561	15.9	195	191	192	0.5	18
2 093	2 064	2 079	16.0	6	-	6	(2)	5 640	5 389	5 505	42.5	121	120	120	0.9	19
595	292	507	2.3	24	3	13	0.1	57	54	56	0.3	74	71	72	0.3	20
371	359	367	6.4	75	72	74	1.3	1 160	1 131	1 146	20.1	113	107	111	1.9	21
305	303	304	11.7	73	72	72	2.8	816	809	814	31.2	58	54	56	2.1	22
66	56	63	2.0	2	-	2	0.1	344	322	332	10.7	55	53	55	1.8	23
2	2	2	0.2	-	-	-	-	164	142	152	18.4	53	51	53	6.4	24
64	54	61	2.7	2	-	2	0.1	180	180	180	7.9	2	2	2	0.1	25
7 232	6 483	6 856	5.8	251	176	236	0.2	25 751	25 094	25 322	21.5	1 750	1 738	1 741	1.5	26
1 861	1 827	1 836	5.5	5	5	5	(2)	14 787	14 500	14 597	43.8	939	939	939	2.8	27
5 371	4 656	5 020	5.9	246	171	231	0.3	10 964	10 594	10 725	12.7	811	799	802	0.9	28
1 852	1 615	1 770	8.7	241	169	226	1.1	5 848	5 481	5 612	27.6	126	124	125	0.6	29
3 519	3 041	3 250	5.1	5	2	5	(2)	5 116	5 113	5 113	8.0	685	675	677	1.1	30
532	500	520	2.3	70	69	69	0.3	7 382	7 139	7 196	31.4	172	158	164	0.7	31
405	400	402	3.4	66	65	65	0.5	6 452	6 300	6 323	53.0	99	97	98	0.8	32
127	100	118	1.1	4	4	4	(2)	930	839	873	8.0	73	61	66	0.6	33
64	43	55	1.4	4	4	4	0.1	925	834	868	22.0	66	54	59	1.5	34
63	57	63	0.9	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	0.1	7	7	7	0.1	35
214	150	179	8.7	1	-	-	-	426	351	372	18.1	19	17	17	0.8	36
43	40	41	6.9	-	-	-	-	297	287	290	48.9	19	17	17	2.9	37
171	110	138	9.5	1	-	-	-	129	64	82	5.6	-	-	-	-	38
140	97	115	16.0	1	-	-	-	127	63	81	11.3	-	-	-	-	39
31	13	23	3.1	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	40
2 746	2 170	2 544	5.2	251	178	198	0.4	11 615	11 121	11 284	22.9	218	192	197	0.4	41
650	650	650	6.1	33	33	33	0.3	6 767	6 767	6 767	63.2	109	109	109	1.0	42
2 096	1 520	1 894	4.9	218	145	165	0.4	4 848	4 354	4 517	11.7	109	83	88	0.2	43
1 186	1 033	1 101	8.2	199	129	147	1.1	4 153	3 684	3 838	28.5	87	63	68	0.5	44
910	487	793	3.2	19	16	18	0.1	695	670	679	2.7	22	20	20	0.1	45
665	580	624	5.5	34	32	33	0.3	2 757	2 682	2 716	23.8	53	53	53	0.5	46
405	405	405	8.7	-	-	-	-	2 201	2 201	2 201	47.3	40	40	40	0.9	47
260	175	219	3.2	34	32	33	0.5	556	481	515	7.6	13	13	13	0.2	48
78	62	69	3.8	31	30	31	1.7	468	396	429	23.8	2	2	2	0.1	49
182	113	150	3.0	3	2	2	(2)	88	85	86	1.7	11	11	11	0.2	50
1 095	951	1 025	9.0	44	24	33	0.3	3 119	2 932	2 999	26.3	47	47	47	0.4	51
424	410	414	10.5	19	16	17	0.4	1 915	1 883	1 909	48.4	30	30	30	0.8	52
671	541	611	8.2	25	8	16	0.2	1 204	1 049	1 090	14.6	17	17	17	0.2	53
524	476	494	11.9	25	8	16	0.4	1 141	994	1 035	25.0	10	10	10	0.2	54
147	65	117	3.5	-	-	-	-	63	55	55	1.7	7	7	7	0.2	55
2 469	1 913	2 200	4.3	498	425	492	1.0	10 079	9 690	9 834	19.3	253	250	251	0.5	56
255	242	245	2.1	-	-	-	-	4 404	4 330	4 351	37.7	244	241	242	2.1	57
2 214	1 671	1 955	5.0	498	425	492	1.2	5 675	5 360	5 483	13.9	9	9	9	(2)	58
1 324	1 020	1 146	10.3	498	425	492	4.4	3 739	3 424	3 547	32.0	6	6	6	0.1	59
890	651	809	2.9	-	-	-	-	1 936	1 936	1 936	6.8	3	3	3	(2)	60
199	167	191	4.5	34	34	34	0.8	800	799	799	19.0	41	41	41	1.0	61
141	141	141	7.5	34	34	34	1.8	799	798	798	42.5	33	33	33	1.8	62
58	26	50	2.2	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	(2)	8	8	8	0.3	63
58	26	50	2.2	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	(2)	8	8	8	0.3	64
444	354	391	3.3	23	13	22	0.2	3 531	3 433	3 476	29.5	103	97	98	0.8	65
199	189	191	3.9	-	-	-	-	2 702	2 664	2 677	55.2	84	83	83	1.7	66
245	165	200	2.9	23	13	22	0.3	829	769	799	11.5	19	14	15	0.2	67
191	141	160	4.4	23	13	22	0.6	659	600	630	17.2	12	12	12	0.3	68
54	24	40	1.2	-	-	-	-	170	169	169	5.2	7	2	3	0.1	69

Table 9. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution of full-time

Line number	State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	19 381	2 694	2 151	2 297	11.9	1 553	1 257	1 318	57.4	471	390	409	17.8
2	STATE . . . . .	12 109	1 091	956	986	8.1	265	241	244	24.7	462	389	404	41.0
3	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 272	1 603	1 195	1 311	18.0	1 288	1 016	1 074	81.9	9	1	5	0.4
4	COUNTIES . . . . .	3 475	658	461	520	15.0	375	293	302	58.1	9	1	5	1.0
5	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 797	945	734	791	20.8	913	723	772	97.6	-	-	-	-
6	TENNESSEE . . . . .	198 198	18 710	17 373	17 728	8.9	10 187	9 683	9 810	55.3	2 709	2 193	2 304	13.0
7	STATE . . . . .	64 317	5 957	5 851	5 883	9.1	1 847	1 761	1 783	30.3	394	394	394	6.7
8	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	133 881	12 753	11 522	11 845	8.8	8 340	7 922	8 027	67.8	2 315	1 799	1 910	16.1
9	COUNTIES . . . . .	69 210	4 557	3 885	4 043	5.8	1 571	1 487	1 506	37.2	1 724	1 353	1 421	35.1
10	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	64 671	8 196	7 637	7 802	12.1	6 769	6 435	6 521	83.6	591	446	489	6.3
11	TEXAS . . . . .	319 792	53 831	50 301	51 456	16.1	31 254	30 050	30 354	59.0	9 251	7 950	8 451	16.4
12	STATE . . . . .	162 987	11 830	11 277	11 449	7.0	5 973	5 693	5 794	50.5	557	555	555	4.8
13	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	156 805	42 001	39 024	39 987	25.5	25 281	24 357	24 560	61.4	8 694	7 395	7 896	19.7
14	COUNTIES . . . . .	56 939	18 671	16 917	17 538	30.8	4 286	4 013	4 095	23.4	7 233	6 360	6 716	38.3
15	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	99 866	23 330	22 107	22 449	22.5	20 995	20 344	20 464	91.2	1 461	1 035	1 180	5.3
16	UTAH . . . . .	38 235	5 377	4 612	4 911	12.8	3 246	2 818	3 008	61.3	642	486	529	10.8
17	STATE . . . . .	26 174	1 888	1 743	1 784	6.8	758	708	716	40.1	148	140	143	8.0
18	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 061	3 489	2 869	3 127	25.9	2 488	2 110	2 292	73.3	494	346	386	12.3
19	COUNTIES . . . . .	6 548	1 472	1 323	1 381	21.1	771	739	749	54.2	257	218	235	17.0
20	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 513	2 017	1 546	1 746	31.7	1 717	1 371	1 543	88.4	237	128	151	8.6
21	VERMONT . . . . .	13 647	2 306	1 806	1 899	13.9	1 426	998	1 067	56.2	236	190	206	10.8
22	STATE . . . . .	10 583	1 294	1 180	1 200	11.3	479	400	408	34.0	182	169	175	14.6
23	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 064	1 012	626	699	22.8	947	598	659	94.3	54	21	31	4.4
24	COUNTIES . . . . .	30	126	34	51	170.0	67	12	17	33.3	54	21	31	60.8
25	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 034	886	592	648	21.4	880	586	642	99.1	-	-	-	-
26	VIRGINIA . . . . .	253 753	24 030	22 519	22 932	9.0	11 759	11 006	11 149	48.6	2 802	2 376	2 507	10.9
27	STATE . . . . .	87 705	10 442	9 797	9 964	11.4	2 475	2 241	2 286	22.9	1 673	1 372	1 449	14.5
28	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	166 048	13 588	12 722	12 968	7.8	9 284	8 765	8 863	68.3	1 129	1 004	1 058	8.2
29	COUNTIES . . . . .	90 997	8 623	5 147	5 279	5.6	3 593	3 295	3 355	63.6	620	536	567	10.7
30	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	75 051	7 965	7 575	7 689	10.2	5 691	5 470	5 508	71.6	509	468	491	6.4
31	WASHINGTON . . . . .	103 503	16 741	15 273	15 599	15.1	8 405	7 915	7 981	51.2	2 226	1 765	1 874	12.0
32	STATE . . . . .	64 829	5 125	5 019	5 042	7.8	1 658	1 567	1 581	31.4	287	278	282	5.6
33	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	38 674	11 616	10 254	10 557	27.3	6 747	6 348	6 400	60.6	1 939	1 487	1 592	15.1
34	COUNTIES . . . . .	18 077	5 885	5 314	5 436	30.2	2 010	1 916	1 934	35.4	1 485	1 251	1 317	24.1
35	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	20 597	5 731	4 940	5 101	24.8	4 737	4 432	4 466	87.6	454	236	275	5.4
36	WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	50 585	6 375	5 741	5 913	11.7	3 463	3 132	3 222	54.5	1 207	1 053	1 083	18.3
37	STATE . . . . .	36 535	2 702	2 664	2 684	7.3	984	966	969	36.1	619	619	619	23.1
38	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 050	3 673	3 077	3 229	23.0	2 479	2 166	2 253	69.8	588	434	464	14.4
39	COUNTIES . . . . .	5 722	1 733	1 566	1 621	28.3	755	702	717	44.2	441	404	420	25.9
40	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8 328	1 940	1 511	1 608	19.3	1 724	1 464	1 536	95.5	147	30	44	2.7
41	WISCONSIN . . . . .	152 992	20 710	17 956	18 664	12.2	12 940	11 127	11 442	61.3	2 338	1 896	2 051	11.0
42	STATE . . . . .	54 549	5 135	4 809	4 890	9.0	1 372	1 216	1 244	25.4	451	411	425	8.7
43	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	98 443	15 575	13 147	13 774	14.0	11 568	9 911	10 198	74.0	1 887	1 485	1 626	11.8
44	COUNTIES . . . . .	38 212	5 952	5 273	5 491	14.4	2 441	2 227	2 266	41.3	1 660	1 439	1 523	27.7
45	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	60 231	9 623	7 874	8 283	13.8	9 127	7 684	7 932	95.8	227	46	103	1.2
46	WYOMING . . . . .	14 241	2 169	1 977	2 036	14.3	1 224	1 166	1 175	57.7	308	244	268	13.2
47	STATE . . . . .	8 173	674	640	649	7.9	231	225	225	34.7	65	62	63	9.7
48	LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 068	1 495	1 337	1 387	22.9	993	941	950	68.5	243	182	205	14.8
49	COUNTIES . . . . .	3 802	725	664	683	18.0	349	330	333	48.8	176	151	162	23.7
50	MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 266	770	673	704	31.1	644	611	617	87.6	67	31	43	6.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>2</sup> Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>The relation of criminal justice full-time equivalent employees to total full-time equivalent employees is based on data for general purpose governments only and does not include data for State-operated liquor stores, locally operated utility systems, or for independent school districts and special districts.



## equivalent employees by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
266	184	217	9.4	22	8	18	0.8	382	312	335	14.6	-	-	-	-	1
91	79	81	8.2	-	-	-	-	273	247	257	26.1	-	-	-	-	2
175	105	136	10.4	22	8	18	1.4	109	65	78	5.9	-	-	-	-	3
144	95	118	22.7	22	8	18	3.5	108	64	77	14.8	-	-	-	-	4
31	10	18	2.3	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	5
740	521	599	3.4	125	97	109	0.6	4 861	4 791	4 818	27.2	88	88	88	0.5	6
374	374	374	6.4	48	28	38	0.6	3 242	3 242	3 242	55.1	52	52	52	0.9	7
366	147	225	1.9	77	69	71	0.6	1 619	1 549	1 576	13.3	36	36	36	0.3	8
178	34	77	1.9	48	44	45	1.1	1 020	951	978	24.2	16	16	16	0.4	9
188	113	148	1.9	29	25	26	0.3	599	598	598	7.7	20	20	20	0.3	10
3 162	2 734	2 878	5.6	40	12	25	(Z)	9 575	9 030	9 216	17.9	549	525	532	1.0	11
536	464	478	4.2	-	-	-	-	4 627	4 432	4 508	39.3	137	133	134	1.2	12
2 626	2 270	2 400	6.0	40	12	25	0.1	4 948	4 598	4 708	11.8	412	392	398	1.0	13
2 065	1 836	1 895	10.8	39	12	24	0.1	4 672	4 333	4 440	25.3	376	363	367	2.1	14
561	434	505	2.2	1	-	1	(Z)	276	265	268	1.2	36	29	31	0.1	15
325	262	284	5.8	8	2	4	0.1	1 126	1 016	1 057	21.5	30	28	29	0.6	16
91	65	73	4.1	-	-	-	-	865	804	826	46.3	26	26	26	1.5	17
234	197	211	6.7	8	2	4	0.1	261	212	231	7.4	4	2	3	0.1	18
175	151	163	11.8	8	2	4	0.3	257	211	227	16.4	4	2	3	0.2	19
59	46	48	2.7	-	-	-	-	4	1	4	0.2	-	-	-	-	20
77	68	71	3.7	44	44	44	2.3	497	480	485	25.5	26	26	26	1.4	21
67	62	63	5.2	44	44	44	3.7	496	479	484	40.3	26	26	26	2.2	22
10	6	8	1.1	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	23
4	-	2	3.9	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	2.0	-	-	-	-	24
6	6	6	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
713	655	695	3.0	23	16	20	0.1	8 515	8 267	8 356	36.4	218	199	205	0.9	26
95	93	93	0.9	19	14	17	0.2	6 093	6 002	6 038	60.6	87	75	81	0.8	27
618	562	602	4.6	4	2	3	(Z)	2 422	2 265	2 318	17.9	131	124	124	1.0	28
327	288	315	6.0	1	-	1	(Z)	1 022	975	988	18.7	60	53	53	1.0	29
291	274	287	3.7	3	2	2	(Z)	1 400	1 290	1 330	17.3	71	71	71	0.9	30
1 155	900	989	6.3	67	59	61	0.4	4 749	4 541	4 595	29.5	139	93	99	0.6	31
289	289	289	5.7	-	-	-	-	2 858	2 852	2 857	56.7	33	33	33	0.7	32
866	611	700	6.6	67	59	61	0.6	1 891	1 689	1 738	16.5	106	60	66	0.6	33
519	476	487	8.9	63	59	61	1.1	1 780	1 587	1 631	29.9	28	25	26	0.5	34
347	135	213	4.2	4	-	-	-	111	102	107	2.1	78	35	40	0.8	35
421	365	380	6.4	4	1	1	(Z)	1 243	1 153	1 190	20.1	37	37	37	0.6	36
140	139	140	5.2	-	-	-	-	922	903	919	34.2	37	37	37	1.4	37
281	226	240	7.4	4	1	1	(Z)	321	250	271	8.4	-	-	-	-	38
217	211	214	13.2	-	-	-	-	320	249	270	16.7	-	-	-	-	39
64	15	26	1.6	4	1	1	0.1	1	1	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	40
1 096	867	1 014	5.4	73	53	68	0.4	4 185	3 954	4 024	21.6	78	59	65	0.3	41
233	196	206	4.2	35	34	34	0.7	2 969	2 896	2 919	59.7	75	56	62	1.3	42
863	671	808	5.9	38	19	34	0.2	1 216	1 058	1 105	8.0	3	3	3	(Z)	43
597	527	563	10.3	35	19	31	0.6	1 216	1 058	1 105	20.1	3	3	3	0.1	44
266	144	245	3.0	3	-	3	(Z)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
170	140	158	7.8	11	4	4	0.2	445	412	420	20.6	11	11	11	0.5	46
46	34	38	5.9	-	-	-	-	321	308	312	48.1	11	11	11	1.7	47
124	106	120	8.7	11	4	4	0.3	124	104	108	7.8	-	-	-	-	48
95	88	93	13.6	11	4	4	0.6	94	91	91	13.3	-	-	-	-	49
29	18	27	3.8	-	-	-	-	30	13	17	2.4	-	-	-	-	50

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL	7 033 968	1 256 797	17.9	723 767	57.6	163 554	13.0
STATES	3 186 972	370 396	11.6	116 294	31.4	58 566	15.8
LOCAL, TOTAL	3 846 997	886 401	23.0	607 473	68.5	104 989	11.8
COUNTIES	1 431 696	327 053	22.8	122 434	37.4	77 411	23.7
MUNICIPALITIES	2 415 300	559 347	23.2	485 040	86.7	27 577	4.9
ALABAMA	94 127	12 797	13.6	7 845	61.3	1 786	14.0
STATE	59 844	4 718	7.9	1 704	36.1	1 009	21.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	34 283	8 079	23.6	6 141	76.0	777	9.6
COUNTIES	11 277	2 714	24.1	1 150	42.4	649	23.9
MUNICIPALITIES	23 006	5 364	23.3	4 991	93.0	129	2.4
ALASKA	46 496	5 431	11.7	2 799	51.5	944	17.4
STATE	25 601	3 914	15.3	1 456	37.2	942	24.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	20 894	1 517	7.3	1 343	88.5	3	0.2
BOROUGHs	5 307	86	1.6	69	80.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	15 588	1 431	9.2	1 274	89.0	3	0.2
ARIZONA	72 963	15 273	20.9	8 988	58.8	1 742	11.4
STATE	36 377	4 135	11.4	1 976	47.8	284	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	36 585	11 138	30.4	7 012	63.0	1 458	13.1
COUNTIES	15 135	4 957	32.7	1 437	29.0	1 202	24.2
MUNICIPALITIES	21 450	6 181	28.8	5 575	90.2	256	4.1
ARKANSAS	43 517	5 525	12.7	3 372	61.0	661	12.0
STATE	29 326	1 880	6.4	734	39.0	208	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 191	3 645	25.7	2 638	72.4	453	12.4
COUNTIES	6 742	1 315	19.5	844	41.4	342	26.0
MUNICIPALITIES	7 449	2 330	31.3	2 094	89.9	111	4.8
CALIFORNIA	805 258	194 839	24.2	106 495	54.7	20 754	10.7
STATE	324 760	40 948	12.6	15 592	38.1	2 825	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	480 498	153 891	32.0	90 903	59.1	17 929	11.7
COUNTIES	270 063	89 913	33.3	32 008	35.6	17 029	18.9
MUNICIPALITIES	210 435	63 977	30.4	58 894	92.1	900	1.4
COLORADO	87 082	14 731	16.9	8 219	55.8	2 193	14.9
STATE	49 396	5 243	10.6	1 191	22.7	1 539	29.3
LOCAL, TOTAL	37 685	9 488	25.2	7 028	74.1	654	6.9
COUNTIES	12 106	2 293	18.9	1 065	46.4	159	6.9
MUNICIPALITIES	25 579	7 195	28.1	5 963	82.9	495	6.9
CONNECTICUT	138 337	15 478	11.2	9 745	63.0	1 686	10.9
STATE	43 948	7 298	16.6	1 791	24.5	1 678	23.0
LOCAL, TOTAL	94 389	8 180	8.7	7 954	97.2	8	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES	94 389	8 180	8.7	7 954	97.2	8	0.1
DELAWARE	21 760	3 792	17.4	1 852	48.9	770	20.3
STATE	15 431	2 557	16.6	821	32.1	611	23.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	6 329	1 234	19.5	1 032	83.6	158	12.8
COUNTIES	2 049	495	24.2	371	75.0	111	22.5
MUNICIPALITIES	4 280	739	17.3	660	89.3	47	6.4
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	63 318	14 090	22.3	7 910	56.1	1 234	8.8
STATE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL	63 318	14 090	22.3	7 910	56.1	1 234	8.8
MUNICIPALITIES	63 318	14 090	22.3	7 910	56.1	1 234	8.8
FLORIDA	228 205	52 853	23.2	28 588	54.1	6 730	12.7
STATE	103 313	19 420	18.8	3 528	18.2	2 643	13.6
LOCAL, TOTAL	124 892	33 432	26.8	25 059	75.0	4 095	12.2
COUNTIES	61 200	16 031	26.2	9 073	56.6	3 711	23.2
MUNICIPALITIES	63 692	17 401	27.3	15 987	91.9	383	2.2
GEORGIA	117 919	21 123	17.9	11 688	55.3	2 872	13.6
STATE	73 862	7 280	9.9	2 475	34.0	650	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL	44 057	13 844	31.4	9 213	66.6	2 222	16.1
COUNTIES	18 890	7 167	37.9	3 160	44.1	1 977	27.6
MUNICIPALITIES	25 168	6 676	26.5	6 053	90.7	245	3.7
HAWAII	56 381	5 631	10.0	3 486	61.9	843	15.0
STATE	41 728	1 940	4.6	119	6.1	843	43.4
LOCAL, TOTAL	14 653	3 691	25.2	3 367	91.2	-	-
COUNTIES	3 364	974	28.9	818	84.0	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES	11 289	2 717	24.1	2 549	93.8	-	-
IDAH0	23 470	3 538	15.1	2 039	57.6	519	14.7
STATE	16 059	1 281	8.0	366	28.5	283	22.1
LOCAL, TOTAL	7 411	2 256	30.4	1 674	74.2	236	10.5
COUNTIES	3 756	1 140	30.4	611	53.6	221	19.4
MUNICIPALITIES	3 655	1 116	30.5	1 062	95.1	15	1.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	76 780	6.1	11 751	0.9	271 645	21.6	9 300	0.7
STATES . . . . .	23 147	6.3	4 376	1.2	163 079	44.0	4 934	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	53 633	6.1	7 375	0.8	108 566	12.2	4 365	0.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	35 553	10.9	7 058	2.2	82 087	25.1	2 511	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18 080	3.2	317	0.1	26 479	4.7	1 854	0.3
ALABAMA . . . . .	641	5.0	4	(Z)	2 458	19.2	62	0.5
STATE . . . . .	350	7.4	2	(Z)	1 599	33.9	54	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	291	3.6	2	(Z)	859	10.6	8	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	206	7.6	-	-	710	26.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	86	1.6	2	(Z)	150	2.8	8	0.2
ALASKA . . . . .	534	9.8	111	2.0	1 006	18.5	37	0.7
STATE . . . . .	420	10.7	111	2.8	948	24.2	37	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	114	7.5	-	-	57	3.8	-	-
BOROUGHES . . . . .	17	20.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	97	6.8	-	-	57	4.0	-	-
ARIZONA . . . . .	1 108	7.3	239	1.6	3 120	20.4	76	0.5
STATE . . . . .	206	5.0	-	-	1 619	39.2	50	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	903	8.1	239	2.1	1 501	13.5	25	0.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	620	12.5	238	4.8	1 451	29.3	9	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	282	4.6	1	(Z)	49	0.8	16	0.3
ARKANSAS . . . . .	247	4.5	29	0.5	1 144	20.7	72	1.3
STATE . . . . .	58	3.1	-	-	819	43.6	60	3.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	188	5.2	29	0.8	324	8.9	12	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	131	10.0	24	1.8	262	19.9	12	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	57	2.4	5	0.2	63	2.7	-	-
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	15 387	7.9	3 676	1.9	47 643	24.5	884	0.5
STATE . . . . .	1 746	4.3	235	0.6	20 493	50.0	56	0.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 641	8.9	3 441	2.2	27 150	17.6	827	0.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 876	12.1	3 274	3.6	26 000	28.9	726	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 765	4.3	167	0.3	1 150	1.8	102	0.2
COLORADO . . . . .	1 283	8.7	192	1.3	2 770	18.8	75	0.5
STATE . . . . .	247	4.7	192	3.7	2 034	38.8	40	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 035	10.9	-	-	736	7.8	35	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	625	27.3	-	-	409	17.8	35	1.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	410	5.7	-	-	328	4.6	-	-
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	656	4.2	183	1.2	3 116	20.1	93	0.6
STATE . . . . .	486	6.7	183	2.5	3 110	42.6	51	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	170	2.1	-	-	6	0.1	41	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	170	2.1	-	-	6	0.1	41	0.5
DELAWARE . . . . .	172	4.5	42	1.1	904	23.8	52	1.4
STATE . . . . .	128	5.0	42	1.6	904	35.3	52	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	44	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	13	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	32	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	307	2.2	1	(Z)	4 594	32.6	45	0.3
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	307	2.2	1	(Z)	4 594	32.6	45	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	307	2.2	1	(Z)	4 594	32.6	45	0.3
FLORIDA . . . . .	2 990	5.7	1 059	2.0	13 003	24.6	476	0.9
STATE . . . . .	2 307	11.9	1 003	5.2	9 849	50.7	89	0.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	683	2.0	55	0.2	3 153	9.4	387	1.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	298	1.9	55	0.3	2 560	16.0	333	2.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	385	2.2	-	(Z)	593	3.4	54	0.3
GEORGIA . . . . .	911	4.3	78	0.4	5 494	26.0	80	0.4
STATE . . . . .	354	4.9	12	0.2	3 742	51.4	47	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	557	4.0	66	0.5	1 752	12.7	33	0.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	456	6.4	60	0.8	1 498	20.9	16	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	101	1.5	6	0.1	254	3.8	16	0.2
HAWAII . . . . .	425	7.5	73	1.3	751	13.3	55	1.0
STATE . . . . .	168	8.6	73	3.8	702	36.2	36	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	257	7.0	-	-	49	1.3	18	0.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	89	9.1	-	-	49	5.0	18	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	168	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDaho . . . . .	298	8.4	24	0.7	632	17.9	25	0.7
STATE . . . . .	107	8.3	-	-	501	39.1	25	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	191	8.5	24	1.1	131	5.8	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	157	13.7	24	2.1	126	11.1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	34	3.1	-	-	4	0.4	-	-

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS . . . . .	282 312	70 050	24.8	47 261	67.5	7 737	11.0
STATE . . . . .	134 172	14 586	10.9	4 323	29.6	2 952	20.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	148 140	55 464	37.4	42 938	77.4	4 785	8.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	39 553	15 395	38.9	3 520	22.9	4 757	30.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	108 587	40 070	36.9	39 417	98.4	28	0.1
INDIANA . . . . .	124 715	18 640	14.9	11 001	59.0	2 413	12.9
STATE . . . . .	72 039	6 207	8.6	2 534	40.8	627	10.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	52 676	12 433	23.6	8 467	68.1	1 786	14.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	23 399	3 947	16.9	1 255	31.8	1 225	31.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	29 277	8 486	29.0	7 212	85.0	561	6.6
IOWA . . . . .	81 750	11 614	14.2	6 338	54.6	1 711	14.7
STATE . . . . .	51 690	4 676	9.0	1 789	38.3	710	15.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	30 060	6 937	23.1	4 549	65.6	1 002	14.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 798	3 176	21.5	935	29.4	999	31.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15 261	3 761	24.6	3 615	96.1	3	0.1
KANSAS . . . . .	63 661	9 573	15.0	4 843	50.6	1 506	15.7
STATE . . . . .	39 410	3 530	9.0	840	23.8	509	14.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	24 251	6 043	24.9	4 003	66.2	996	16.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 576	2 547	26.6	822	32.3	876	34.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	14 676	3 496	23.8	3 180	91.0	120	3.4
KENTUCKY . . . . .	75 645	12 195	16.1	6 961	57.1	1 896	15.5
STATE . . . . .	53 899	4 488	8.3	2 074	46.2	526	11.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 746	7 707	35.4	4 887	63.4	1 369	17.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 850	3 736	47.6	1 396	37.4	1 176	31.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	13 896	3 971	28.6	3 490	87.9	193	4.9
LOUISIANA . . . . .	95 343	19 561	20.5	10 364	53.0	2 879	14.7
STATE . . . . .	61 897	7 563	12.2	2 536	33.5	887	11.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	33 445	11 999	35.9	7 829	65.2	1 992	16.6
PARISHES . . . . .	13 081	4 843	37.0	2 877	59.4	1 062	21.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	20 364	7 155	35.1	4 952	69.2	929	13.0
MAINE . . . . .	34 231	3 506	10.2	2 190	62.5	324	9.2
STATE . . . . .	15 851	1 709	10.8	626	36.6	276	16.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	18 379	1 798	9.8	1 565	87.0	48	2.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	660	391	59.2	180	46.0	48	12.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	17 719	1 407	7.9	1 385	98.4	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	238 322	27 487	11.5	15 579	56.7	2 717	9.9
STATE . . . . .	75 836	12 096	16.0	3 678	30.4	1 572	13.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	162 486	15 391	9.5	11 901	77.3	1 146	7.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	121 141	8 300	6.9	6 203	74.7	726	8.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	41 345	7 091	17.2	5 698	80.4	420	5.9
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	282 280	34 794	12.3	22 294	64.1	4 303	12.4
STATE . . . . .	74 240	8 478	11.4	2 895	34.1	887	10.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	208 040	26 315	12.6	19 399	73.7	3 416	13.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 918	4 410	63.7	40	0.9	2 021	45.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	201 122	21 905	10.9	19 360	88.4	1 395	6.4
MICHIGAN . . . . .	282 707	60 936	21.6	35 682	58.6	8 076	13.3
STATE . . . . .	152 083	16 819	11.1	6 062	36.0	1 400	8.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	130 624	44 117	33.8	29 619	67.1	6 677	15.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	44 247	15 834	35.8	3 973	25.1	5 185	32.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	86 376	28 283	32.7	25 646	90.7	1 491	5.3
MINNESOTA . . . . .	126 192	19 637	15.6	11 317	57.6	2 363	12.0
STATE . . . . .	66 342	4 700	7.1	1 608	34.2	409	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	59 850	14 937	25.0	9 709	65.0	1 954	13.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	29 362	7 926	27.0	3 032	38.3	1 949	24.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	30 488	7 011	23.0	6 677	95.2	5	0.1
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	52 060	6 057	11.6	3 884	64.1	760	12.5
STATE . . . . .	30 419	2 380	7.8	1 111	46.7	238	10.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 642	3 678	17.0	2 772	75.4	521	14.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	11 526	1 384	12.0	649	46.9	453	32.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 116	2 294	22.7	2 123	92.6	68	3.0
MISSOURI . . . . .	107 657	21 810	20.3	14 066	64.5	2 885	13.2
STATE . . . . .	59 383	5 188	8.7	1 833	35.3	998	19.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	48 274	16 623	34.4	12 233	73.6	1 887	11.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	12 620	4 287	34.0	1 665	38.9	1 234	28.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	35 654	12 336	34.6	10 568	85.7	653	5.3
MONTANA . . . . .	665	3 376	13.7	1 815	53.8	408	12.1
STATE . . . . .	787	1 315	7.8	413	31.4	142	10.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	79	2 061	26.2	1 402	68.0	266	12.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	79	1 214	28.2	617	50.9	231	19.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3	848	23.7	784	92.5	35	4.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS . . . . .	3 575	5.1	970	1.4	10 273	14.7	234	0.3
STATE . . . . .	730	5.0	107	0.7	6 366	43.6	108	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 846	5.1	863	1.6	3 907	7.0	126	0.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 304	15.0	863	5.6	3 892	25.3	58	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	542	1.4	-	-	15	(2)	67	0.2
INDIANA . . . . .	1 150	6.2	175	0.9	3 747	20.1	154	0.8
STATE . . . . .	442	7.1	46	0.7	2 476	39.9	82	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	708	5.7	129	1.0	1 271	10.2	72	0.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	394	10.0	128	3.3	895	22.7	49	1.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	314	3.7	1	(2)	377	4.4	22	0.3
IOWA . . . . .	664	5.7	43	0.4	2 785	24.0	72	0.6
STATE . . . . .	205	4.4	-	-	1 937	41.4	36	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	459	6.6	43	0.6	848	12.2	36	0.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	360	11.3	43	1.4	807	25.4	32	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	99	2.6	-	-	41	1.1	4	0.1
KANSAS . . . . .	672	7.0	24	0.3	2 434	25.4	95	1.0
STATE . . . . .	184	5.2	19	0.5	1 955	55.4	22	0.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	488	8.1	5	0.1	479	7.9	73	1.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	342	13.4	-	-	447	17.5	60	2.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	146	4.2	5	0.1	32	0.9	13	0.4
KENTUCKY . . . . .	769	6.3	69	0.6	2 194	18.0	307	2.5
STATE . . . . .	301	6.7	60	1.3	1 336	29.8	190	4.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	468	6.1	8	0.1	858	11.1	117	1.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	330	8.8	8	0.2	719	19.2	106	2.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	138	3.5	-	-	139	3.5	11	0.3
LOUISIANA . . . . .	1 179	6.0	74	0.4	4 925	25.2	140	0.7
STATE . . . . .	518	6.9	4	0.1	3 553	47.0	65	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	661	5.5	71	0.6	1 372	11.4	78	0.6
PARISHES . . . . .	293	6.0	21	0.4	554	11.4	36	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	368	5.1	49	0.7	818	11.4	39	0.5
MAINE . . . . .	182	5.2	-	-	776	22.1	34	1.0
STATE . . . . .	143	8.4	-	-	629	36.8	34	2.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	39	2.1	-	-	146	8.1	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	17	4.3	-	-	146	37.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	22	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	1 299	4.7	383	1.4	7 293	26.5	216	0.8
STATE . . . . .	165	1.4	380	3.1	6 094	50.4	206	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 134	7.4	2	(2)	1 199	7.8	10	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	689	8.3	2	(2)	671	8.1	9	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	444	6.3	-	-	528	7.4	1	(2)
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	1 262	3.6	222	0.6	6 512	18.7	200	0.6
STATE . . . . .	600	7.1	178	2.1	3 805	44.9	113	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	662	2.5	44	0.2	2 707	10.3	87	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	198	4.5	44	1.0	2 108	47.8	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	464	2.1	-	-	599	2.7	87	0.4
MICHIGAN . . . . .	3 060	5.0	160	0.3	13 792	22.6	166	0.3
STATE . . . . .	788	4.7	70	0.4	8 375	49.8	123	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 271	5.1	90	0.2	5 417	12.3	43	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 740	11.0	89	0.6	4 821	30.4	26	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	531	1.9	1	(2)	596	2.1	17	0.1
MINNESOTA . . . . .	1 387	7.1	294	1.5	4 127	21.0	149	0.8
STATE . . . . .	351	7.5	19	0.4	2 204	46.9	108	2.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 036	6.9	275	1.8	1 924	12.9	40	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	738	9.3	275	3.5	1 916	24.2	16	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	298	4.2	-	-	7	0.1	24	0.3
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	256	4.2	25	0.4	1 080	17.8	53	0.9
STATE . . . . .	149	6.3	-	-	838	35.2	43	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	107	2.9	25	0.7	242	6.6	10	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	61	4.4	23	1.6	191	13.8	8	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	46	2.0	2	0.1	51	2.2	3	0.1
MISSOURI . . . . .	1 031	4.7	125	0.6	3 627	16.6	77	0.4
STATE . . . . .	123	2.4	122	2.4	2 076	40.1	34	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	908	5.5	3	(2)	1 549	9.3	43	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	509	11.9	3	0.1	849	19.8	27	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	399	3.2	-	-	700	5.7	16	0.1
MONTANA . . . . .	230	6.8	9	0.3	844	25.0	69	2.1
STATE . . . . .	44	3.3	-	-	660	50.2	56	4.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	187	9.1	9	0.4	185	9.0	13	0.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	164	13.5	9	0.7	179	14.8	13	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	23	2.7	-	-	5	0.6	-	-

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA . . . . .	42 154	6 457	15.3	3 493	54.1	990	15.3
STATE . . . . .	24 415	2 441	10.0	895	36.6	604	24.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	17 740	4 015	22.6	2 598	68.7	386	9.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 192	1 679	20.5	534	31.8	264	15.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 547	2 336	24.5	2 064	88.4	122	5.2
NEVADA . . . . .	27 943	6 274	22.5	3 231	51.5	691	11.0
STATE . . . . .	13 380	1 501	11.2	415	27.7	135	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 563	4 772	32.8	2 815	59.0	556	11.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 556	3 522	36.9	1 868	53.0	419	11.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 008	1 250	25.0	948	75.8	137	10.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	25 066	3 124	12.5	1 980	63.4	352	11.3
STATE . . . . .	13 277	1 106	8.3	404	36.6	142	12.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	11 729	2 018	17.2	1 575	78.0	210	10.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 527	378	24.7	92	24.2	110	29.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 202	1 640	16.1	1 484	90.5	100	6.1
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	250 434	54 272	21.7	32 748	60.3	6 213	11.4
STATE . . . . .	87 546	12 196	13.9	4 348	35.7	1 347	11.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	162 888	42 076	25.8	28 400	67.5	4 866	11.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	54 108	13 811	25.5	1 883	13.6	3 708	29.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	108 780	28 265	26.0	26 517	93.8	1 158	4.1
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	38 041	5 525	14.5	3 195	57.8	650	11.8
STATE . . . . .	27 099	2 673	9.9	851	31.8	535	20.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 942	2 851	26.1	2 344	82.2	114	4.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 938	687	23.4	527	76.7	18	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8 004	2 164	27.0	1 817	84.0	97	4.5
NEW YORK . . . . .	801 358	172 866	21.6	99 577	57.6	27 141	15.7
STATE . . . . .	218 487	46 204	21.1	8 751	18.9	15 456	33.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	582 871	126 662	21.7	90 826	71.7	11 684	9.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	103 544	27 106	26.2	14 093	52.0	3 428	12.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	479 327	99 556	20.8	76 733	77.1	8 256	8.3
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	242 601	21 980	9.1	11 693	53.2	2 608	11.9
STATE . . . . .	84 870	11 939	14.1	2 872	24.1	2 237	18.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	157 732	10 041	6.4	8 821	87.8	371	3.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	132 533	3 335	2.5	2 216	66.5	371	11.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	25 199	6 706	26.6	6 605	98.5	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	17 889	2 071	11.6	1 161	56.0	349	16.9
STATE . . . . .	12 439	471	5.4	194	29.0	127	18.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 450	1 401	25.7	966	69.0	222	15.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 602	605	23.3	239	39.5	194	32.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 848	795	27.9	727	91.4	28	3.5
OHIO . . . . .	256 285	49 276	19.2	26 160	53.1	7 432	15.1
STATE . . . . .	118 696	13 627	11.5	3 476	25.5	973	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	137 590	35 647	25.9	22 684	63.6	6 459	18.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	53 893	12 235	22.7	3 043	24.9	4 396	35.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	83 697	23 413	28.0	19 641	83.9	2 063	8.8
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	72 537	10 532	14.5	6 119	58.1	1 367	13.0
STATE . . . . .	45 662	4 684	10.3	1 604	34.2	700	14.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26 875	5 848	21.8	4 516	77.2	667	11.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 308	1 279	15.4	407	31.8	466	36.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18 567	4 569	24.6	4 109	89.9	200	4.4
OREGON . . . . .	78 383	13 777	17.6	7 431	53.9	1 728	12.5
STATE . . . . .	49 854	5 107	10.2	1 860	36.4	563	11.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	28 529	8 671	30.4	5 571	64.2	1 164	13.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 659	4 641	31.7	1 855	40.0	1 045	22.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	13 870	4 029	29.1	3 716	92.2	120	3.0
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	272 836	58 590	21.5	34 670	59.2	9 201	15.7
STATE . . . . .	148 879	16 524	11.1	7 920	47.9	2 315	14.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	123 956	42 066	33.9	26 750	63.6	6 886	16.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	33 969	9 727	28.6	1 057	10.9	3 837	39.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	89 987	32 338	35.9	25 694	79.5	3 049	9.4
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	46 463	5 101	11.0	3 118	61.1	660	12.9
STATE . . . . .	19 959	2 439	12.2	541	22.2	637	26.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26 504	2 662	10.0	2 577	96.8	23	0.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	26 504	2 662	10.0	2 577	96.8	23	0.9
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	74 643	10 200	13.7	5 619	55.1	1 283	12.6
STATE . . . . .	52 026	4 596	8.8	1 623	35.3	438	9.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	22 618	5 604	24.8	3 995	71.3	845	15.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 328	2 924	20.4	1 508	51.6	779	26.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8 289	2 680	32.3	2 487	92.8	66	2.5

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEBRASKA . . . . .	674	10.4	71	1.1	1 195	18.5	32	0.5
STATE . . . . .	48	2.0	-	-	868	35.6	27	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	627	15.6	71	1.8	327	8.1	6	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	509	30.3	71	4.3	301	17.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	118	5.0	-	-	26	1.1	6	0.2
NEVADA . . . . .	596	9.5	124	2.0	1 607	25.6	24	0.4
STATE . . . . .	118	7.9	15	1.0	794	52.9	23	1.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	478	10.0	109	2.3	814	17.1	1	(2)
COUNTIES . . . . .	375	10.7	109	3.1	750	21.3	1	(2)
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	102	8.2	-	-	64	5.1	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	128	4.1	-	-	624	20.0	39	1.3
STATE . . . . .	67	6.1	-	-	452	40.9	39	3.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	61	3.0	-	-	172	8.5	-	(2)
COUNTIES . . . . .	34	9.1	-	-	142	37.7	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	27	1.6	-	-	30	1.8	-	(2)
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	4 022	7.4	1 085	2.0	9 885	18.2	318	0.6
STATE . . . . .	958	7.9	1 074	8.8	4 332	35.5	137	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 064	7.3	12	(2)	5 553	13.2	181	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 599	18.8	3	(2)	5 506	39.9	111	0.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	466	1.6	8	(2)	47	0.2	69	0.2
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	475	8.6	82	1.5	1 014	18.3	109	2.0
STATE . . . . .	382	14.3	81	3.0	761	28.5	63	2.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	93	3.3	1	0.1	252	8.9	46	1.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	4	0.5	-	-	94	13.7	44	6.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	89	4.1	1	0.1	158	7.3	2	0.1
NEW YORK . . . . .	9 545	5.5	813	0.5	33 687	19.5	2 102	1.2
STATE . . . . .	2 843	6.2	5	(2)	18 156	39.3	992	2.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 702	5.3	808	0.6	15 531	12.3	1 110	0.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 492	9.2	806	3.0	6 147	22.7	140	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 210	4.2	2	(2)	9 384	9.4	970	1.0
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	779	3.5	87	0.4	6 654	30.3	159	0.7
STATE . . . . .	632	5.3	84	0.7	6 015	50.4	100	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	148	1.5	3	(2)	639	6.4	59	0.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	57	1.7	3	0.1	636	19.1	51	1.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	91	1.4	-	-	3	(2)	7	0.1
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	205	9.9	-	(2)	337	16.3	19	0.9
STATE . . . . .	57	8.5	-	-	273	40.7	19	2.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	148	10.6	-	(2)	64	4.5	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	109	18.1	-	(2)	62	10.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	39	4.9	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
OHIO . . . . .	2 946	6.0	217	0.4	12 265	24.9	255	0.5
STATE . . . . .	868	6.4	40	0.3	8 110	59.5	160	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 077	5.8	177	0.5	4 155	11.7	95	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 211	9.9	152	1.2	3 358	27.4	75	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	866	3.7	25	0.1	797	3.4	20	0.1
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	727	6.9	43	0.4	2 218	21.1	58	0.6
STATE . . . . .	501	10.7	-	-	1 835	39.2	43	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	225	3.9	43	0.7	383	6.5	15	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	61	4.8	40	3.1	304	23.8	1	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	164	3.6	3	0.1	79	1.7	14	0.3
OREGON . . . . .	1 266	9.2	36	0.3	3 249	23.6	69	0.5
STATE . . . . .	547	10.7	21	0.4	2 069	40.5	47	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	719	8.3	15	0.2	1 179	13.6	22	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	584	12.6	15	0.3	1 130	24.3	13	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	135	3.4	-	-	50	1.2	9	0.2
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	2 581	4.4	446	0.8	11 364	19.4	329	0.6
STATE . . . . .	362	2.2	-	-	5 609	33.9	318	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 219	5.3	446	1.1	5 755	13.7	11	(2)
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 215	12.5	446	4.6	3 166	32.5	7	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 003	3.1	-	-	2 589	8.0	3	(2)
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	216	4.2	45	0.9	1 014	19.9	48	0.9
STATE . . . . .	162	6.6	45	1.9	1 013	41.5	41	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	54	2.0	-	-	1	(2)	7	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	54	2.0	-	-	1	(2)	7	0.3
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	470	4.6	19	0.2	2 697	26.4	112	1.1
STATE . . . . .	265	5.8	-	-	2 170	47.2	100	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	206	3.7	19	0.3	527	9.4	12	0.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	172	5.9	19	0.6	437	15.0	8	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	34	1.3	-	-	90	3.4	3	0.1

Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	17 340	2 140	12.3	1 197	55.9	427	19.9
STATE . . . . .	11 913	1 014	8.5	262	25.9	422	41.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 427	1 126	20.7	935	83.1	5	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 220	413	18.6	241	58.3	5	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 207	713	22.2	694	97.4	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	164 286	17 184	10.5	9 423	54.8	2 404	14.0
STATE . . . . .	55 987	6 172	11.0	1 796	29.1	684	11.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	108 299	11 012	10.2	7 627	69.3	1 720	15.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	50 760	3 922	6.9	1 307	37.1	1 264	35.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	57 539	7 490	13.0	6 320	84.4	457	6.1
TEXAS . . . . .	296 629	51 992	17.5	31 543	60.7	7 952	15.3
STATE . . . . .	164 387	12 446	7.6	5 844	47.0	1 309	10.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	132 242	39 546	29.9	25 699	65.0	6 643	16.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	40 727	15 338	37.7	3 381	22.0	5 675	37.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	91 514	24 208	26.5	22 318	92.2	968	4.0
UTAH . . . . .	37 862	5 378	14.2	3 198	59.5	583	10.8
STATE . . . . .	26 423	2 179	8.2	852	39.1	227	10.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	11 438	3 200	28.0	2 346	73.3	355	11.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 047	1 428	23.6	786	55.0	208	14.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 391	1 771	32.9	1 560	88.1	147	8.3
VERMONT . . . . .	13 099	2 015	15.4	1 103	54.7	244	12.1
STATE . . . . .	10 514	1 380	13.1	499	36.1	223	16.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 585	635	24.6	604	95.1	21	3.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	19	34	181.5	12	35.7	21	59.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 566	600	23.4	592	98.5	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	236 248	24 471	10.4	11 760	48.1	2 814	11.5
STATE . . . . .	86 899	11 224	12.9	2 341	20.9	1 869	10.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	149 349	13 247	8.9	9 419	71.1	945	7.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	83 151	5 811	7.0	3 993	68.7	521	9.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	66 198	7 436	11.2	5 426	73.0	424	5.7
WASHINGTON . . . . .	124 575	19 434	15.6	10 569	54.4	2 155	11.1
STATE . . . . .	80 243	6 437	8.0	2 162	33.6	449	7.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	44 332	12 997	29.3	8 407	64.7	1 706	13.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	18 786	6 268	33.4	2 422	38.6	1 424	22.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	25 547	6 728	26.3	5 985	89.0	283	4.2
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	44 283	5 167	11.7	2 860	55.4	964	18.7
STATE . . . . .	33 680	2 538	7.5	958	37.7	661	26.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 634	2 629	24.7	1 902	72.4	303	11.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 769	1 216	32.3	552	45.4	270	22.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 864	1 414	20.6	1 351	95.6	33	2.3
WISCONSIN . . . . .	168 991	22 677	13.4	14 142	62.4	2 390	10.5
STATE . . . . .	67 788	6 201	9.1	1 480	23.9	761	12.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	101 193	16 476	16.3	12 662	76.9	1 629	9.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	34 337	6 089	17.7	2 664	43.8	1 545	25.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	66 856	10 387	15.5	9 998	96.3	84	0.8
WYOMING . . . . .	13 709	1 959	14.3	1 157	59.0	238	12.1
STATE . . . . .	8 873	750	8.5	269	35.8	104	13.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 836	1 209	25.0	888	73.5	134	11.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 801	530	18.9	284	53.6	100	18.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 035	679	33.4	604	89.0	34	5.0

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

2 Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>The relation of criminal justice October payroll to total October payroll is based on data for general governments only and does not include data for state-operated liquor stores, locally operated utility systems, or for independent school districts and special districts.



Table 10. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	212	9.9	12	0.6	292	13.6	-	-
STATE . . . . .	94	9.3	-	-	236	23.3	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	118	10.5	12	1.1	56	5.0	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	100	24.2	12	2.9	55	13.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18	2.5	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	867	5.0	123	0.7	3 840	22.3	527	3.1
STATE . . . . .	615	10.0	36	0.6	2 543	41.2	498	8.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	252	2.3	87	0.8	1 297	11.8	29	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	94	2.7	56	1.6	788	22.4	14	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	158	2.1	32	0.4	509	6.8	15	0.2
TEXAS . . . . .	3 536	6.8	20	(2)	8 376	16.1	564	1.1
STATE . . . . .	765	6.1	-	-	4 350	34.9	177	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 771	7.0	20	0.1	4 027	10.2	387	1.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 122	13.8	20	0.1	3 777	24.6	362	2.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	649	2.7	-	(2)	249	1.0	24	0.1
UTAH . . . . .	400	7.4	5	0.1	1 163	21.6	30	0.6
STATE . . . . .	122	5.6	-	-	950	43.6	27	1.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	277	8.7	5	0.2	213	6.7	3	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	216	15.1	5	0.3	210	14.7	3	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	61	3.5	-	-	3	0.2	-	-
VERMONT . . . . .	103	5.1	50	2.5	484	24.0	30	1.5
STATE . . . . .	93	6.8	50	3.6	484	35.1	30	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10	1.5	-	-	-	0.1	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1	3.1	-	-	-	1.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	2 134	8.7	25	0.1	7 520	30.7	218	0.9
STATE . . . . .	1 359	12.1	22	0.2	5 537	49.3	95	0.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	775	5.8	2	(2)	1 983	15.0	123	0.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	365	6.3	1	(2)	871	15.0	59	1.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	410	5.5	1	(2)	1 112	15.0	64	0.9
WASHINGTON . . . . .	1 382	7.1	75	0.4	5 126	26.4	126	0.6
STATE . . . . .	446	6.9	-	-	3 332	51.8	47	0.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	936	7.2	75	0.6	1 794	13.8	79	0.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	644	10.3	75	1.2	1 679	26.8	26	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	292	4.3	1	(2)	115	1.7	52	0.8
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	395	7.7	1	(2)	911	17.6	36	0.7
STATE . . . . .	154	6.1	-	-	730	28.7	36	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	241	9.2	1	(2)	182	6.9	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	213	17.5	-	-	181	14.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	28	2.0	1	0.1	1	0.1	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	1 300	5.7	85	0.4	4 677	20.6	84	0.4
STATE . . . . .	318	5.1	46	0.7	3 516	56.7	81	1.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	982	6.0	39	0.2	1 161	7.0	4	(2)
COUNTIES . . . . .	679	11.2	36	0.6	1 161	19.1	4	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	303	2.9	2	(2)	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	146	7.5	4	0.2	402	20.5	13	0.7
STATE . . . . .	48	6.3	-	-	317	42.2	13	1.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	99	8.2	4	0.3	85	7.0	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	73	13.7	4	0.7	70	13.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	26	3.9	-	-	15	2.2	-	-

**CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT**  
**Table 11. Total criminal justice system expenditure of State governments, by character and of**  
**fiscal year 1977**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

(Dollar amounts in thousands)								Inter- state total
State	Total	Direct expenditure					Land	Total
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction		
TOTAL	6 689 474	5 812 353	5 374 114	438 239	166 486	253 737	18 016	63
ALABAMA	75 587	65 888	62 320	3 568	2 711	857	-	5
ALASKA	67 594	65 881	63 355	2 526	1 958	568	-	1
ARIZONA	74 732	71 229	63 446	7 783	3 966	3 783	30	7
ARKANSAS	43 995	39 838	34 979	4 859	2 013	2 046	-	4
CALIFORNIA	756 739	664 778	625 143	39 635	25 298	10 762	3 375	91
COLORADO	103 859	96 167	91 786	4 381	3 377	1 004	-	7
CONNECTICUT	118 557	113 885	107 317	6 568	3 172	3 396	-	2
DELAWARE	44 033	41 908	35 025	6 883	806	6 077	845	19
FLORIDA	341 895	322 599	271 823	50 776	7 565	42 366	-	11
GEORGIA	154 248	143 924	111 677	32 247	3 531	28 716	-	-
HAWAII	37 901	36 449	32 969	3 518	496	3 022	-	1
IDaho	24 894	23 622	21 933	1 689	1 309	376	9	36
ILLINOIS	291 654	255 306	240 353	14 953	5 537	9 387	-	13
INDIANA	124 745	111 642	101 944	9 674	5 435	4 239	14	7
IOwa	70 729	63 312	59 174	4 138	2 527	1 597	-	-
KANSAS	76 842	70 654	60 505	10 149	1 736	7 894	519	6
KENTUCKY	112 757	89 751	82 588	7 163	3 711	2 135	1 317	23
LOUISIANA	131 433	115 252	111 036	4 216	4 216	-	-	16
MAINE	28 699	26 052	25 122	930	719	211	-	2
MARYLAND	252 410	187 015	175 663	11 352	4 231	6 811	310	55
MASSACHUSETTS	154 249	134 466	135 132	3 334	138	3 196	-	15
MICHIGAN	253 901	224 117	208 617	15 500	5 917	1 920	7 663	29
MINNESOTA	101 828	76 716	73 069	3 647	3 432	218	-	25
MISSISSIPPI	49 969	47 482	43 548	3 934	2 761	1 173	-	2
MISSOURI	108 831	91 877	85 287	6 590	3 389	1 433	1 768	16
MONTANA	24 447	22 672	21 537	1 135	1 068	67	-	1
NEBRASKA	46 166	41 092	37 908	3 184	2 148	959	77	30
NEVADA	39 507	34 943	23 111	11 832	842	10 990	-	4
NEW HAMPSHIRE	19 603	17 967	16 866	1 101	712	389	-	16
NEW JERSEY	209 433	185 070	174 618	10 452	2 242	8 210	-	24
NEW MEXICO	48 216	44 896	42 406	2 490	1 618	858	14	3
NEW YORK	651 061	512 612	465 753	46 859	7 822	39 037	-	138
NORTH CAROLINA	210 269	191 143	175 737	15 406	5 264	10 142	-	19
NORTH DAKOTA	13 760	12 488	11 737	751	512	218	21	1
OHIO	231 098	202 759	197 651	5 108	4 009	1 099	-	28
OKLAHOMA	94 615	90 419	73 660	16 755	3 158	13 429	168	4
OREGON	92 585	81 528	78 265	3 263	2 304	959	-	11
PENNSYLVANIA	343 895	270 927	268 212	2 715	2 534	181	-	72
RHODE ISLAND	38 168	35 646	35 125	521	327	194	-	2
SOUTH CAROLINA	77 587	74 044	69 469	4 575	3 422	1 153	-	3
SOUTH DAKOTA	21 706	18 923	18 242	681	681	-	-	2
TENNESSEE	107 463	96 774	89 286	7 488	3 837	3 651	-	10
TEXAS	257 162	220 442	196 802	23 640	10 318	12 414	908	36
UTAH	34 077	33 269	30 622	2 647	1 694	953	-	4
VERMONT	22 854	22 317	21 488	829	662	167	-	5
VIRGINIA	200 877	154 814	148 190	6 624	3 234	3 390	-	46
WASHINGTON	119 257	103 116	98 348	4 768	3 507	1 254	7	16
WEST VIRGINIA	47 694	45 069	42 008	3 061	2 239	741	81	2
WISCONSIN	109 681	100 207	99 090	1 117	1 117	-	-	9
WYOMING	17 205	15 390	14 172	1 218	438	76	684	1

<sup>1</sup> Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>2</sup> Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Page left blank to preserve table sequence.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of SMSA total <sup>2</sup>	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL 17 LARGEST SMSA'S . . . . .	62 614 843	5 963 391	(X)	3 846 903	(X)	730 613	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA . . . . .	2 147 850	141 976	100.0	105 019	100.0	10 781	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA . . . . .	344 056	13 297	9.4	10 667	10.2	1 057	9.8
BALTIMORE CITY . . . . .	851 698	89 240	62.9	66 140	63.0	5 990	55.6
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA . . . . .	637 114	28 253	19.9	22 836	21.7	1 827	16.9
CARROLL COUNTY AREA . . . . .	80 607	2 850	2.0	29	(2)	1 096	10.2
HARFORD COUNTY AREA . . . . .	136 381	4 221	3.0	2 133	2.0	600	5.6
HOWARD COUNTY AREA . . . . .	97 994	4 115	2.9	3 214	3.1	209	1.9
BOSTON SEA . . . . .	3 372 957	271 768	100.0	185 835	100.0	41 455	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA . . . . .	631 182	37 482	13.8	25 194	13.6	6 182	14.9
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 398 987	67 405	32.2	59 600	32.1	12 104	29.2
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA . . . . .	619 994	36 637	13.5	27 613	14.9	4 548	11.0
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA . . . . .	722 794	110 244	40.6	73 424	39.5	18 621	44.9
CHICAGO SMSA . . . . .	7 015 251	643 078	100.0	467 312	100.0	54 084	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA . . . . .	5 369 328	561 071	87.2	407 108	87.1	47 172	87.2
DUPAGE COUNTY AREA . . . . .	553 670	27 933	4.3	19 219	4.1	3 053	5.6
KANE COUNTY AREA . . . . .	262 675	14 657	2.3	11 606	2.5	700	1.3
LAKE COUNTY AREA . . . . .	407 373	20 979	3.3	15 434	3.3	1 311	2.4
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA . . . . .	125 981	5 407	0.8	4 325	0.9	292	0.5
WILL COUNTY AREA . . . . .	296 224	13 031	2.0	9 560	2.0	1 556	2.9
CLEVELAND SMSA . . . . .	1 966 725	159 312	100.0	99 923	100.0	22 046	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 592 613	141 369	88.7	87 490	87.6	19 144	86.8
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	68 144	1 531	1.0	673	0.7	451	2.0
LAKE COUNTY AREA . . . . .	206 881	9 620	6.0	6 646	6.7	1 386	6.3
MEDINA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	99 087	6 792	4.3	5 114	5.1	1 065	4.8
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA . . . . .	2 543 735	139 205	100.0	88 567	100.0	23 658	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA . . . . .	94 613	2 640	1.9	1 964	2.2	355	1.5
DALLAS COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 405 126	89 092	64.0	55 292	62.4	16 189	68.4
DENTON COUNTY AREA . . . . .	97 410	2 372	2.1	1 592	1.8	499	2.1
ELLIS COUNTY AREA . . . . .	51 872	1 422	1.0	986	1.1	212	0.9
HOOD COUNTY AREA . . . . .	10 308	496	0.4	115	0.1	106	0.4
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA . . . . .	55 564	1 210	0.9	838	0.9	185	0.8
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA . . . . .	36 209	1 108	0.8	575	0.6	349	1.5
PARKER COUNTY AREA . . . . .	33 629	831	0.6	510	0.6	191	0.8
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA . . . . .	9 150	700	0.5	278	0.3	286	1.2
TARRANT COUNTY AREA . . . . .	728 951	38 153	27.4	26 160	29.5	5 103	21.6
WISE COUNTY AREA . . . . .	20 903	681	0.5	237	0.3	183	0.8
DETROIT SMSA . . . . .	4 431 014	399 266	100.0	251 176	100.0	61 317	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA . . . . .	61 985	2 444	0.6	1 616	0.6	371	0.6
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA . . . . .	77 714	4 732	1.2	1 500	0.6	1 474	2.4
MACOMB COUNTY AREA . . . . .	669 813	46 899	11.7	27 024	10.8	9 248	19.1
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA . . . . .	972 916	72 964	18.3	44 247	17.6	11 325	18.5
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA . . . . .	130 749	6 064	1.5	3 551	1.4	850	1.4
WAYNE COUNTY AREA . . . . .	2 517 837	266 163	66.7	173 238	69.0	38 049	62.1
HOUSTON SMSA . . . . .	2 286 247	144 802	100.0	92 944	100.0	22 836	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	124 380	5 654	3.9	2 892	3.1	1 412	6.2
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA . . . . .	76 245	2 103	1.5	1 648	1.8	238	1.0
HARRIS COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 944 431	123 449	92.2	86 527	93.1	20 187	86.4
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA . . . . .	38 441	1 255	0.9	646	0.7	373	1.6
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA . . . . .	87 213	1 016	1.3	1 095	1.2	403	1.8
WALLER COUNTY AREA . . . . .	15 537	425	0.3	136	0.1	223	1.0
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA . . . . .	6 986 898	810 490	100.0	491 705	100.0	95 531	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA . . . . .	6 986 898	810 490	100.0	491 705	100.0	95 531	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA . . . . .	2 010 880	132 132	100.0	74 305	100.0	17 773	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA . . . . .	186 328	7 848	5.9	5 085	6.8	1 101	6.2
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA . . . . .	33 609	1 090	1.5	1 051	1.4	404	2.3
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA . . . . .	22 074	669	0.5	456	0.6	63	0.4
DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA . . . . .	166 793	7 030	5.3	5 171	7.0	920	5.2
HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA . . . . .	915 603	68 708	52.0	37 264	50.2	8 435	47.4
RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA . . . . .	456 006	36 359	27.5	19 248	25.9	5 031	28.3
ST. CROIX COUNTY, WI. AREA . . . . .	38 866	2 286	1.7	1 547	2.1	446	2.5
SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA . . . . .	39 604	2 473	1.9	1 435	1.9	462	2.6
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA . . . . .	104 145	3 326	2.5	1 996	2.7	695	3.9
WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA . . . . .	47 852	1 443	1.1	1 072	1.4	221	1.2
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA . . . . .	2 656 839	277 715	100.0	189 559	100.0	37 799	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 403 289	166 191	59.8	108 984	57.5	26 407	69.9
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 253 550	111 524	40.2	80 575	42.5	11 392	30.1

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S.	331 149	(X)	91 425	(X)	837 524	(X)	125 777	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA.	9 376	100.0	23	100.0	14 670	100.0	2 107	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA.	913	9.7	-	-	640	4.4	20	0.9
BALTIMORE CITY.	5 079	54.2	-	-	10 100	68.8	1 931	91.6
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA.	1 514	16.1	-	-	1 935	13.2	141	6.7
CARROLL COUNTY AREA.	880	9.4	-	-	843	5.7	-	-
HARFORD COUNTY AREA.	587	6.3	23	100.0	863	5.9	15	0.7
HOWARD COUNTY AREA.	403	4.3	-	-	289	2.0	-	-
BOSTON SMSA.	8 795	100.0	977	100.0	26 391	100.0	8 315	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	830	9.4	216	22.1	4 768	18.1	288	3.5
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA.	2 850	32.4	649	66.4	8 729	33.1	3 473	41.8
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA.	1 069	12.2	112	11.5	3 267	12.4	28	0.3
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	4 046	46.0	-	-	9 627	36.5	4 526	54.4
CHICAGO SMSA.	25 596	100.0	7 535	100.0	57 177	100.0	31 374	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA.	20 291	79.3	6 247	82.9	49 095	85.9	31 158	99.3
DUPAGE COUNTY AREA.	2 088	8.2	581	7.7	2 932	5.1	60	0.2
KANE COUNTY AREA.	664	2.6	148	2.0	1 477	2.6	2	(Z)
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	1 482	5.8	203	2.7	2 480	4.3	69	0.2
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA.	381	1.5	57	0.8	352	0.6	-	-
WILL COUNTY AREA.	690	2.7	299	4.0	841	1.5	85	0.3
CLEVELAND SMSA.	6 038	100.0	1 870	100.0	11 672	100.0	17 763	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA.	5 023	83.2	1 708	91.3	10 308	88.3	17 696	99.6
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA.	181	3.0	4	0.2	222	1.9	-	-
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	611	10.1	137	7.3	773	6.6	67	0.4
MEDINA COUNTY AREA.	223	3.7	21	1.1	369	3.2	-	-
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.	9 254	100.0	1 550	100.0	15 717	100.0	459	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA.	162	1.8	9	0.6	130	0.8	-	-
DALLAS COUNTY AREA.	5 128	55.4	1 026	66.2	11 245	71.5	212	46.2
DENTON COUNTY AREA.	356	3.8	74	4.8	351	2.2	-	-
ELLIS COUNTY AREA.	56	0.6	10	0.6	158	1.0	-	-
HOOD COUNTY AREA.	23	0.2	2	0.1	250	1.6	-	-
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.	66	0.7	21	1.4	100	0.6	-	-
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA.	74	0.8	11	0.7	99	0.6	-	-
PARKER COUNTY AREA.	58	0.6	4	0.3	68	0.4	-	-
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA.	82	0.9	4	0.3	50	0.3	-	-
TARRANT COUNTY AREA.	3 122	33.7	364	23.5	3 157	20.1	247	53.8
WISE COUNTY AREA.	127	1.4	25	1.6	109	0.7	-	-
DETROIT SMSA.	18 431	100.0	8 356	100.0	49 992	100.0	9 994	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA.	212	1.2	39	0.5	206	0.4	-	-
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA.	322	1.7	227	2.7	1 209	2.4	-	-
MACOMB COUNTY AREA.	2 785	15.1	1 186	14.2	5 102	10.2	1 554	15.5
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA.	4 170	22.6	1 535	18.4	10 558	21.1	1 129	11.3
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA.	519	2.8	171	2.0	702	1.4	271	2.7
WAYNE COUNTY AREA.	10 423	56.6	5 198	62.2	32 215	64.4	7 040	70.4
HOUSTON SMSA.	7 600	100.0	948	100.0	17 077	100.0	3 397	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.	506	6.7	30	3.2	814	4.8	-	-
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA.	76	1.0	-	-	141	0.8	-	-
HARRIS COUNTY AREA.	6 737	88.6	681	92.9	15 721	92.1	3 396	100.0
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.	66	0.9	21	2.2	149	0.9	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA.	164	2.2	16	1.7	237	1.4	1	(Z)
WALLER COUNTY AREA.	51	0.7	-	-	15	0.1	-	-
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA.	65 209	100.0	19 768	100.0	123 390	100.0	14 887	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA.	65 209	100.0	19 768	100.0	123 390	100.0	14 887	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA.	9 586	100.0	2 613	100.0	26 289	100.0	1 561	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	818	8.5	173	6.6	671	2.6	-	-
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA.	130	1.4	151	5.8	274	1.0	-	-
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA.	57	0.6	-	-	93	0.4	-	-
DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	428	4.5	55	2.1	456	1.7	-	-
HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA.	5 234	54.6	1 667	63.8	15 059	57.3	1 049	67.2
RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA.	2 066	21.6	530	20.3	8 972	34.1	512	32.8
ST. CROIX COUNTY, WI. AREA.	167	1.7	-	-	126	0.5	-	-
SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	412	4.3	19	0.7	145	0.6	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA.	182	1.9	18	0.7	435	1.7	-	-
WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	92	1.0	-	-	58	0.2	-	-
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA.	15 276	100.0	3 569	100.0	30 686	100.0	826	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA.	8 935	58.5	2 054	57.6	19 604	63.9	207	25.1
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	6 341	41.5	1 515	42.4	11 082	36.1	619	74.9

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		Amount	Percent of SMSA total <sup>2</sup>	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
NEWARK SMSA . . . . .	1 999 025	189 199	100.0	112 411	100.0	27 189	100
ESSEX COUNTY AREA . . . . .	882 487	109 183	57.7	61 763	54.9	16 880	62
MORRIS COUNTY AREA . . . . .	393 624	24 115	12.7	17 305	15.4	2 443	1
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA . . . . .	202 091	12 550	6.6	7 263	6.5	1 559	5
UNION COUNTY AREA . . . . .	520 823	43 351	22.9	26 080	23.2	6 307	23
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA . . . . .	9 561 504	1 288 416	100.0	907 549	100.0	123 148	100
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	880 260	62 021	4.8	43 687	4.8	8 005	6
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y. . . . .	7 481 613	1 112 698	86.4	799 049	88.0	100 574	81
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . . .	69 276	3 757	0.3	3 530	0.4	130	0
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . . .	251 114	27 675	2.1	10 236	1.1	4 112	3
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . . .	879 241	82 265	6.4	51 047	5.6	10 327	8
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA . . . . .	4 807 301	460 146	100.0	262 824	100.0	74 770	100
RUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	460 978	24 144	5.2	12 206	4.6	4 700	6
FURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	345 696	19 152	4.2	10 554	4.0	2 285	3
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	476 511	46 653	10.1	23 430	8.9	6 257	8
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	293 074	18 254	4.0	6 021	2.3	3 775	5
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	591 671	34 979	7.6	15 640	6.0	7 992	10
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	189 562	13 317	2.9	6 491	2.5	2 028	2
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	634 001	34 437	7.5	20 501	7.8	6 681	9
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA. . . . .	1 815 808	269 210	58.5	167 981	63.9	41 052	54
PITTSBURGH SMSA . . . . .	2 322 224	105 992	100.0	66 202	100.0	18 334	100
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 517 996	83 605	78.9	54 803	82.8	12 776	69
BEAVER COUNTY AREA . . . . .	209 328	9 036	8.5	4 488	6.8	2 498	13
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA . . . . .	214 611	4 161	3.9	2 452	3.7	833	4
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA . . . . .	380 289	9 190	8.7	4 459	6.7	2 227	12
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA . . . . .	2 366 542	144 184	100.0	104 492	100.0	16 585	100
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	29 557	495	0.3	168	0.2	131	0
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	64 199	1 579	1.1	1 025	1.0	339	2
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	121 769	2 040	1.4	1 019	1.0	461	2
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	249 685	9 114	6.3	5 557	5.3	1 232	7
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	18 977	282	0.2	188	0.2	31	0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	115 994	10 518	7.3	9 177	8.8	695	4
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	280 946	10 661	7.4	7 410	7.1	1 191	7
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO. . . . .	524 964	60 186	41.7	42 917	41.1	6 083	36
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	960 451	49 309	34.2	37 031	35.4	6 422	39
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA . . . . .	3 140 306	341 959	100.0	168 730	100.0	47 923	100
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 090 353	124 780	36.5	56 545	33.5	16 949	35
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	584 047	55 646	16.3	23 484	13.9	8 053	16
MARIN COUNTY AREA . . . . .	220 424	21 232	6.2	8 008	4.7	3 562	7
SAN FRANCISCO CITY . . . . .	664 520	88 748	26.0	54 236	32.1	12 556	26
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA . . . . .	580 962	51 553	15.1	26 457	15.7	6 801	13
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA . . . . .	2 999 545	313 751	100.0	178 350	100.0	35 379	100
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA. . . . .	105 220	7 831	2.5	5 146	2.9	905	2
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA. . . . .	155 518	10 677	3.4	7 351	4.1	939	2
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . . .	60 546	1 872	0.6	1 518	0.9	108	0
FAIRFAX CITY, VA. . . . .	21 858	1 082	0.3	1 024	0.6	37	0
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . . .	512 915	27 785	8.9	16 983	9.3	3 009	8
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA. . . . .	10 360	929	0.3	815	0.5	26	0
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . . .	48 828	1 117	0.4	717	0.4	110	0
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . . .	571 558	34 812	11.1	22 978	12.9	4 378	12
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . . .	677 848	36 558	11.7	26 991	15.1	2 746	7
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . . .	123 376	6 448	2.1	4 482	2.5	897	2
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY . . . . .	711 518	184 640	58.8	90 345	50.7	22 224	62

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

<sup>1</sup>Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.<sup>2</sup>Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

**Table 12. Criminal justice system direct expenditure of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total	Amount	Percent of SMSA total
NEWARK SMSA . . . . .	15 131	100.0	143	100.0	31 042	100.0	3 283	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA . . . . .	7 379	48.8	127	88.8	21 305	68.6	1 729	52.7
MORRIS COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 957	12.9	3	2.1	2 193	7.1	214	6.5
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 411	9.3	13	9.1	2 201	7.1	103	3.1
UNION COUNTY AREA . . . . .	4 384	29.0	-	-	5 343	17.2	1 237	37.7
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA . . . . .	65 011	(X)	20 052	(X)	156 775	(X)	15 881	(X)
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	4 765	7.3	20	0.1	5 346	3.4	194	1.2
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y. . . . .	50 135	77.1	13 730	68.5	134 261	85.6	14 949	94.1
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . . .	97	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . . .	3 119	4.8	4 448	22.4	5 546	3.5	174	1.1
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . . .	6 895	10.6	1 814	9.0	11 622	7.4	560	3.5
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA . . . . .	27 464	100.0	6 123	100.0	86 700	100.0	2 265	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	1 945	7.1	434	7.1	4 859	5.6	-	-
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	1 935	7.0	4	0.1	4 243	4.9	126	5.6
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	5 262	19.2	4	0.1	11 451	13.2	249	11.0
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	1 866	6.8	685	11.2	5 907	6.8	-	-
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	2 654	9.7	757	12.4	7 870	9.1	66	2.9
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	1 475	5.4	11	0.2	3 206	3.7	106	4.7
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	2 323	8.5	616	10.1	4 316	5.0	-	-
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA. . . . .	10 004	36.4	3 612	59.0	44 843	51.7	1 718	75.8
PITTSBURGH SMSA . . . . .	5 614	100.0	1 338	100.0	14 390	100.0	114	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA . . . . .	4 163	74.2	983	73.5	10 817	75.2	63	55.3
BEAVER COUNTY AREA . . . . .	514	9.2	157	11.7	1 331	9.2	48	42.1
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA . . . . .	260	4.6	50	3.7	563	3.9	3	2.6
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA . . . . .	677	12.1	148	11.1	1 679	11.7	-	-
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA . . . . .	6 339	100.0	448	100.0	15 590	100.0	730	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	101	1.6	23	5.1	72	0.5	-	-
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	134	2.1	-	-	81	0.5	-	-
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	165	2.6	-	-	300	1.9	95	13.0
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	597	9.4	182	40.6	1 546	9.9	-	-
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	30	0.5	3	0.7	30	0.2	-	-
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	282	4.4	-	-	342	2.2	22	3.0
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	664	10.5	240	53.6	1 134	7.3	22	3.0
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO. . . . .	2 598	41.0	-	-	8 016	51.4	572	78.4
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	1 768	27.9	-	-	4 069	26.1	19	2.6
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA . . . . .	26 065	100.0	11 711	100.0	77 633	100.0	9 897	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	9 420	37.7	5 716	48.8	30 373	39.1	5 377	54.3
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	5 577	21.4	2 408	20.6	15 587	20.1	535	5.4
MARIN COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 396	5.4	911	7.8	5 034	6.5	2 321	23.5
SAN FRANCISCO CITY . . . . .	5 989	23.0	1 522	13.0	13 658	17.6	787	8.0
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA . . . . .	3 283	12.6	1 154	9.9	12 981	16.7	877	8.9
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA . . . . .	10 364	100.0	4 401	100.0	82 333	100.0	2 924	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA. . . . .	485	4.7	52	1.2	956	1.2	287	9.8
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA. . . . .	389	3.8	-	-	1 406	1.7	592	20.2
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . . .	128	1.2	-	-	106	0.1	12	0.4
FAIRFAX CITY, VA. . . . .	18	0.2	3	0.1	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . . .	965	9.3	88	2.0	6 240	7.6	500	17.1
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA. . . . .	52	0.5	-	-	36	(2)	-	-
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . . .	84	0.8	-	-	206	0.3	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . . .	1 825	17.0	-	-	5 357	6.5	274	9.4
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . . .	2 091	20.2	4	0.1	4 308	5.2	418	14.3
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . . .	338	3.3	-	-	656	0.8	75	2.6
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY . . . . .	3 989	38.5	4 254	96.7	63 062	76.6	766	26.2

Table 13. Criminal justice system employment of local governments

(Dollar amounts)

Line Number	Area <sup>1</sup>	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
		Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>
1	TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S	306 388	291 850	295 830	(X)	199 559	191 549	192 968	(X)	43 476	40 153	41 494	(X)
2	BALTIMORE SMSA	8 345	8 247	8 108	100.0	6 160	6 134	6 140	100.0	780	742	633	100.0
3	ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA	819	798	805	10.0	639	623	627	10.2	90	87	88	13.9
4	BALTIMORE CITY	4 999	4 999	4 999	62.3	3 781	3 781	3 781	61.6	395	395	395	62.4
5	BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA	1 731	1 709	1 718	21.4	1 395	1 390	1 392	22.7	107	102	105	16.6
6	CARROLL COUNTY AREA	285	261	87	-	-	-	-	-	140	116	-	-
7	HARFORD COUNTY AREA	261	237	256	3.2	147	145	145	2.4	39	33	36	5.7
8	HOWARD COUNTY AREA	250	243	243	3.0	198	195	195	3.2	9	9	9	1.4
9	BOSTON SMSA	13 671	12 993	13 198	100.0	9 291	8 831	8 914	100.0	2 312	2 182	2 254	100.0
10	ESSEX COUNTY AREA	2 144	1 901	1 984	15.0	1 507	1 370	1 390	15.6	288	232	264	11.7
11	MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA	4 578	4 370	4 383	33.2	3 231	3 074	3 072	34.5	650	619	626	27.8
12	NORFOLK COUNTY AREA	2 179	1 956	2 063	15.6	1 584	1 418	1 483	16.6	243	200	233	10.3
13	SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	4 770	4 766	4 768	36.1	2 969	2 969	2 969	33.3	1 131	1 131	1 131	50.2
14	CHICAGO SMSA	34 467	32 204	32 854	100.0	25 466	23 920	24 215	100.0	4 382	3 874	4 119	100.0
15	COOK COUNTY AREA	29 187	27 760	28 237	85.9	21 626	20 807	20 997	86.7	3 831	3 376	3 595	87.3
16	DU PAGE COUNTY AREA	1 687	1 450	1 506	4.6	1 163	999	1 019	4.2	202	172	188	4.6
17	KANE COUNTY AREA	925	799	823	2.5	685	576	593	2.4	79	73	74	1.8
18	LAKE COUNTY AREA	1 231	1 151	1 167	3.6	864	801	809	3.3	127	119	123	3.0
19	MCHEMERY COUNTY AREA	573	325	374	1.1	488	247	292	1.2	30	23	27	0.7
20	WILL COUNTY AREA	864	719	747	2.3	640	490	505	2.1	113	111	112	2.7
21	CLEVELAND SMSA	9 006	7 741	8 117	100.0	5 702	4 929	5 031	100.0	1 711	1 501	1 659	100.0
22	CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA	7 218	6 688	6 934	85.4	4 416	4 255	4 277	85.0	1 422	1 268	1 388	83.7
23	GEAUGA COUNTY AREA	200	166	176	2.2	88	68	72	1.4	70	60	66	4.0
24	LAKE COUNTY AREA	980	486	557	6.9	718	277	349	6.9	130	99	121	7.3
25	MEDINA COUNTY AREA	608	431	450	5.5	480	329	333	6.6	89	74	84	5.1
26	DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA	9 732	9 432	9 478	100.0	6 204	6 110	6 094	100.0	1 822	1 740	1 763	100.0
27	COLLIN COUNTY AREA	247	234	234	2.5	202	189	189	3.1	28	28	28	1.6
28	DALLAS COUNTY AREA	6 065	5 935	5 966	63.0	3 821	3 775	3 780	62.0	1 208	1 184	1 193	67.7
29	DENTON COUNTY AREA	263	242	251	2.6	153	152	152	2.5	48	42	45	2.6
30	ELLIS COUNTY AREA	138	127	130	1.4	94	92	92	1.5	31	23	25	1.4
31	HOOD COUNTY AREA	32	28	29	0.3	15	15	15	0.2	13	11	12	0.7
32	JOHNSON COUNTY AREA	120	109	113	1.2	76	73	74	1.2	26	20	21	1.2
33	KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA	104	88	97	1.0	46	46	46	0.8	25	18	23	1.3
34	PARKER COUNTY AREA	76	71	42	0.4	42	42	42	0.2	22	17	17	1.0
35	ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA	72	64	66	0.7	36	36	36	0.6	28	24	24	1.4
36	TARRANT COUNTY AREA	2 542	2 461	2 477	26.1	1 681	1 652	1 659	27.2	379	359	361	20.5
37	WISE COUNTY AREA	73	73	73	0.8	38	38	38	0.6	14	14	14	0.8
38	DETROIT SMSA	19 190	18 503	18 551	100.0	12 854	12 675	12 607	100.0	3 166	2 910	2 967	100.0
39	LAPEER COUNTY AREA	171	164	168	0.9	110	108	108	0.9	36	32	35	1.2
40	LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA	334	259	73	-	111	102	-	-	115	77	-	-
41	MACOMB COUNTY AREA	2 223	2 180	2 197	11.9	1 270	1 264	1 264	10.0	478	457	469	15.8
42	OAKLAND COUNTY AREA	3 315	3 089	3 180	17.2	1 932	1 908	1 915	15.2	603	516	568	19.1
43	ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA	313	249	263	1.4	173	142	144	1.1	62	51	58	2.0
44	WAYNE COUNTY AREA	12 834	12 562	12 670	68.6	9 258	9 151	9 176	72.8	1 872	1 777	1 837	61.9
45	HOUSTON SMSA	8 464	8 150	8 227	100.0	5 181	5 057	5 078	100.0	1 628	1 557	1 595	100.0
46	BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA	350	321	333	4.0	216	206	207	4.1	73	66	73	4.6
47	FORT BEND COUNTY AREA	143	130	133	1.6	108	102	103	2.0	14	11	12	0.8
48	HARRIS COUNTY AREA	7 646	7 427	7 473	90.8	4 691	4 600	4 612	90.8	1 443	1 402	1 428	89.5
49	LIBERTY COUNTY AREA	93	89	91	1.1	51	47	49	1.0	28	28	28	1.8
50	MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA	176	138	149	1.8	92	79	84	1.7	45	31	34	2.1
51	WALLER COUNTY AREA	56	45	48	0.6	23	23	23	0.5	25	19	20	1.3
52	LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA	35 858	34 361	34 937	100.0	21 065	20 549	20 626	100.0	4 688	4 088	4 412	100.0
53	LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA	35 858	34 361	34 937	100.0	21 065	20 549	20 626	100.0	4 688	4 088	4 412	100.0
54	MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA	7 120	6 174	6 313	100.0	4 478	3 662	3 796	100.0	909	869	873	100.0
55	ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA	457	424	432	6.9	274	261	262	6.9	63	59	60	6.9
56	CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA	174	136	93	1.5	97	71	56	1.5	29	25	15	1.7
57	CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA	54	46	42	0.7	38	30	30	0.8	6	6	6	0.7
58	DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA	435	364	384	6.1	329	283	290	7.6	50	37	44	5.0
59	HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA	3 169	2 991	3 038	48.2	1 868	1 719	1 761	46.4	406	406	406	46.5
60	RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA	1 776	1 727	1 741	27.6	995	974	981	25.8	263	257	259	29.7
61	ST. CROIX COUNTY, MN. AREA	423	96	180	2.9	387	60	144	3.8	17	17	17	1.9
62	SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA	286	116	124	1.8	236	76	82	2.2	27	19	21	2.4
63	WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA	258	201	202	3.2	187	131	131	3.5	34	34	34	3.9
64	WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA	88	73	77	1.2	65	57	59	1.6	14	9	11	1.3
65	NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA	13 136	12 758	12 911	100.0	8 708	8 486	8 593	100.0	1 809	1 698	1 723	100.0
66	NASSAU COUNTY AREA	7 775	7 647	7 691	59.6	5 080	5 028	5 034	58.6	1 068	1 027	1 047	60.8
67	SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA	5 361	5 111	5 220	40.4	3 628	3 458	3 559	41.4	741	671	676	59.2
68	NEWARK SMSA	12 165	11 519	11 712	100.0	6 720	6 325	6 374	100.0	2 040	1 899	1 983	100.0
69	ESSEX COUNTY AREA	6 772	6 680	6 711	57.3	3 533	3 465	3 479	54.6	1 172	1 162	1 166	58.8
70	MORRIS COUNTY AREA	1 627	1 373	1 451	12.4	1 068	919	936	14.7	248	177	221	11.1
71	SOMERSET COUNTY AREA	965	795	845	7.2	539	449	457	7.2	160	110	140	7.1
72	UNION COUNTY AREA	2 801	2 671	2 705	23.1	1 580	1 492	1 502	23.6	460	450	456	23.0

See footnotes at end of table.



## in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1977

(in thousands)

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	
17 610	16 341	16 841	(X)	2 128	1 965	2 021	(X)	42 013	40 330	40 971	(X)	1 602	1 512	1 535	(X)	1
576	552	514	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	829	819	821	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	2
53	51	53	10.3	-	-	-	-	37	37	37	4.5	-	-	-	-	3
297	297	297	57.8	-	-	-	-	526	526	526	64.1	-	-	-	-	4
111	107	109	21.2	-	-	-	-	118	110	112	13.6	-	-	-	-	5
58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	87	87	87	10.6	-	-	-	-	6
33	17	33	6.4	-	-	-	-	42	42	42	5.1	-	-	-	-	7
24	22	22	4.3	-	-	-	-	19	17	17	2.1	-	-	-	-	8
471	435	459	100.0	32	32	32	100.0	1 486	1 440	1 465	100.0	79	73	74	100.0	9
35	22	31	6.8	11	11	11	34.4	288	252	274	18.7	15	14	14	18.9	10
117	108	114	24.8	14	14	14	43.8	502	496	497	33.9	64	59	60	81.1	11
116	106	113	24.6	7	7	7	21.9	229	225	227	15.5	-	-	-	-	12
203	199	201	43.8	-	-	-	-	467	467	467	31.9	-	-	-	-	13
1 386	1 267	1 334	100.0	500	464	481	100.0	2 661	2 590	2 615	100.0	92	89	90	100.0	14
1 110	1 014	1 065	79.8	418	398	402	83.6	2 121	2 086	2 098	80.2	81	79	80	88.9	15
103	90	99	7.4	36	34	35	7.3	181	153	163	6.2	2	2	2	2.2	16
39	33	37	2.8	8	7	8	1.7	113	110	111	4.2	1	-	-	-	17
71	67	70	5.2	12	11	11	2.3	154	150	151	5.8	3	3	3	3.3	18
15	15	15	1.1	4	4	4	0.8	36	36	36	1.4	-	-	-	-	19
48	48	48	3.6	22	10	21	4.4	56	55	56	2.1	5	5	5	5.6	20
423	281	358	100.0	70	20	24	100.0	1 095	1 005	1 040	100.0	5	5	5	100.0	21
315	223	291	81.3	70	20	24	100.0	990	917	949	91.2	5	5	5	100.0	22
16	16	16	4.5	-	-	-	-	26	22	22	2.1	-	-	-	-	23
77	33	38	10.6	-	-	-	-	55	47	49	4.7	-	-	-	-	24
15	9	13	3.6	-	-	-	-	24	19	20	1.9	-	-	-	-	25
550	515	524	100.0	5	5	5	100.0	1 133	1 044	1 074	100.0	18	18	18	100.0	26
6	6	6	1.1	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	1.0	-	-	-	-	27
270	258	262	50.0	-	-	-	-	748	700	713	66.4	18	18	18	100.0	28
24	24	24	4.6	-	-	-	-	38	24	30	2.8	-	-	-	-	29
4	3	4	0.8	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	0.8	-	-	-	-	30
4	2	2	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31
8	6	8	1.5	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	0.9	-	-	-	-	32
8	8	8	1.5	-	-	-	-	25	16	20	1.9	-	-	-	-	33
5	5	5	1.0	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	0.7	-	-	-	-	34
4	4	4	0.8	-	-	-	-	4	-	2	0.2	-	-	-	-	35
203	185	187	35.7	5	5	5	100.0	274	260	265	24.7	-	-	-	-	36
14	14	14	2.7	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	0.7	-	-	-	-	37
748	714	708	100.0	18	18	18	100.0	2 383	2 172	2 232	100.0	21	14	19	100.0	38
12	12	12	1.7	-	-	-	-	13	12	13	0.6	-	-	-	-	39
22	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	86	60	73	3.3	-	-	-	-	40
136	131	134	18.9	17	17	17	94.4	319	308	310	13.9	3	3	3	15.8	41
170	157	162	22.9	-	-	-	-	595	500	522	23.4	15	8	13	68.4	42
13	12	13	1.8	-	-	-	-	64	43	47	2.1	1	1	1	5.3	43
395	382	387	54.7	1	1	1	5.6	1 306	1 249	1 267	56.8	2	2	2	10.5	44
554	462	470	100.0	-	-	-	100.0	944	917	927	100.0	157	157	157	100.0	45
18	17	17	3.6	-	-	-	-	43	32	36	3.9	-	-	-	-	46
12	10	11	2.3	-	-	-	-	9	7	7	0.8	-	-	-	-	47
506	423	427	90.9	-	-	-	-	849	845	849	91.6	157	157	157	100.0	48
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	14	14	1.5	-	-	-	-	49
12	10	11	2.3	-	-	-	-	27	18	20	2.2	-	-	-	-	50
6	2	4	0.9	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	51
2 948	2 917	2 937	100.0	564	564	564	100.0	6 538	6 224	6 375	100.0	55	19	23	100.0	52
2 948	2 917	2 937	100.0	564	564	564	100.0	6 538	6 224	6 375	100.0	55	19	23	100.0	53
409	381	385	100.0	125	125	111	100.0	1 170	1 109	1 120	100.0	29	28	28	100.0	54
43	35	38	9.9	5	5	5	4.5	72	64	67	6.0	-	-	-	-	55
12	12	8	2.1	14	14	-	-	22	14	14	1.3	-	-	-	-	56
5	5	5	1.3	-	-	-	-	5	5	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	57
23	18	19	4.9	-	-	-	-	33	26	31	2.8	-	-	-	-	58
183	180	181	47.0	80	80	80	72.1	627	602	606	54.1	5	4	4	14.3	59
101	91	93	24.2	26	26	26	23.4	367	355	358	32.0	24	24	24	85.7	60
12	12	12	3.1	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	0.6	-	-	-	-	61
12	12	12	3.1	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	0.8	-	-	-	-	62
13	12	13	3.4	-	-	-	-	24	24	24	2.1	-	-	-	-	63
5	4	4	1.0	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	0.3	-	-	-	-	64
823	795	811	100.0	10	10	10	100.0	1 758	1 741	1 746	100.0	28	28	28	100.0	65
463	442	455	56.1	10	10	10	100.0	1 144	1 130	1 135	65.0	10	10	10	35.7	66
360	353	356	43.9	-	-	-	-	614	611	611	35.0	18	18	18	64.3	67
905	850	884	100.0	8	-	7	100.0	2 369	2 326	2 344	100.0	123	119	120	100.0	68
450	444	449	50.8	7	-	7	100.0	1 589	1 589	1 589	67.8	21	20	21	17.5	69
101	88	94	10.6	-	-	-	-	205	185	196	8.4	5	4	4	3.3	70
70	64	69	7.8	1	-	-	-	190	167	174	7.4	5	5	5	4.2	71
284	254	272	30.8	-	-	-	-	385	385	385	16.4	92	90	90	75.0	72

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 13. Criminal justice system employment of local governments

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	Area <sup>1</sup>	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
		Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>
1	NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA . .	57 965	56 972	57 163	100.0	41 345	40 951	40 966	100.0	6 490	6 120	6 207	100.0
2	BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . .	3 515	3 116	3 196	5.6	2 402	2 144	2 154	5.3	6 469	389	424	6.8
3	NEW YORK CITY, N.Y. . . . .	48 676	48 672	48 672	85.1	35 527	35 527	35 527	86.7	5 099	5 099	5 099	82.1
4	PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . .	145	132	136	0.2	141	132	132	0.3	-	-	-	-
5	ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA .	1 487	1 079	1 115	2.0	607	537	545	1.3	376	152	170	2.7
6	WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . . .	4 142	3 973	4 044	7.1	2 668	2 611	2 608	6.4	546	480	514	8.3
7	PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. AREA	28 149	27 001	27 378	100.0	16 698	16 032	16 185	100.0	5 096	4 824	4 956	100.0
8	BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA . .	1 392	1 253	1 290	4.7	745	639	661	4.1	329	311	319	6.4
9	BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	1 377	1 242	1 280	4.7	677	584	599	3.7	199	175	188	3.8
10	CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . .	2 896	2 745	2 782	10.2	1 462	1 363	1 377	8.5	461	435	445	9.0
11	CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA . .	1 205	1 042	1 104	4.0	411	351	363	2.2	277	222	251	5.1
12	DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA .	2 226	2 171	2 178	8.0	925	894	898	5.5	611	611	611	12.3
13	GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	1 187	1 018	1 073	3.9	527	451	467	2.9	197	144	162	3.3
14	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	2 198	1 862	2 003	7.3	1 257	1 056	1 126	7.0	493	397	451	9.1
15	PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA. . . .	15 668	15 668	15 668	57.2	10 694	10 694	10 694	66.1	2 529	2 529	2 529	51.0
16	PITTSBURGH SMSA . . . . .	7 189	6 433	6 667	100.0	4 610	4 084	4 208	100.0	1 366	1 310	1 333	100.0
17	ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA . . .	5 167	5 046	5 026	75.4	3 463	3 380	3 331	79.2	921	921	921	69.1
18	BEAVER COUNTY AREA . . . .	824	493	626	9.4	460	213	323	7.7	183	165	170	12.8
19	WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA . .	398	311	345	5.2	253	200	214	5.1	73	63	67	5.0
20	WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA .	800	583	670	10.0	434	291	340	8.1	189	161	175	13.1
21	ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA . .	10 660	9 567	9 974	100.0	7 338	6 783	6 971	100.0	1 606	1 328	1 422	100.0
22	CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . .	54	32	41	0.4	18	16	17	0.2	10	10	10	0.7
23	FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA . .	182	129	140	1.4	117	95	91	1.3	48	22	33	2.3
24	JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA .	194	181	186	1.9	96	94	96	1.4	57	52	54	3.8
25	MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . .	656	582	624	6.3	381	363	367	5.3	106	87	92	6.5
26	MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA . .	42	10	20	0.2	27	5	17	0.2	2	2	2	0.1
27	ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	1 078	859	983	9.9	942	778	855	12.3	87	43	82	5.8
28	ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	721	691	704	7.1	441	432	437	6.3	106	100	101	7.1
29	ST. LOUIS CITY, MO. . . . .	4 036	4 013	4 021	40.3	2 783	2 776	2 777	39.8	503	495	499	35.1
30	ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA .	3 697	3 070	3 255	32.6	2 533	2 224	2 314	33.2	687	517	549	38.6
31	SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA .	16 210	15 104	15 459	100.0	8 355	7 903	7 995	100.0	2 215	2 111	2 167	100.0
32	ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA . . . .	5 965	5 343	5 526	35.7	2 964	2 722	2 766	34.6	694	680	692	31.9
33	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA . .	2 564	2 332	2 422	15.7	1 163	1 067	1 094	13.7	380	348	359	16.6
34	MARIN COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 003	904	927	6.0	418	385	392	4.9	184	175	177	8.2
35	SAN FRANCISCO CITY . . . . .	4 432	4 381	4 414	28.6	2 563	2 561	2 563	32.1	641	593	624	28.8
36	SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA . . .	2 246	2 144	2 170	14.0	1 247	1 168	1 180	14.8	316	315	315	14.5
37	WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA . . . . .	15 041	14 691	14 783	100.0	9 384	9 118	9 175	100.0	1 456	1 400	1 428	100.0
38	ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA. . . . .	397	376	387	2.6	269	268	268	2.9	53	34	45	3.2
39	ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA. . . . .	567	529	539	3.6	368	358	360	3.9	57	46	51	3.6
40	CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA . .	110	102	104	0.7	87	85	85	0.9	7	1	3	0.2
41	FAIRFAX CITY, VA. . . . .	56	56	56	0.4	51	51	51	0.6	5	5	5	0.4
42	FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA . .	1 455	1 340	1 361	9.2	1 009	901	919	10.0	153	149	151	10.6
43	FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA. . . .	47	44	45	0.3	39	39	39	0.4	3	-	1	0.1
44	LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA . .	102	91	94	0.6	72	61	64	0.7	14	14	14	1.0
45	MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . . .	1 386	1 366	1 374	9.3	1 028	1 022	1 024	11.2	128	121	124	8.7
46	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . . .	1 819	1 810	1 812	12.3	1 343	1 334	1 336	14.6	203	203	203	14.2
47	PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . . .	454	344	363	2.5	335	227	246	2.7	60	58	58	4.1
48	WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY . . .	8 648	8 633	8 648	58.5	4 783	4 772	4 783	52.1	773	769	773	54.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.<sup>2</sup>Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

## in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1977—Continued

in thousands)

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				Number of employees				
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	Percent of SMSA total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	
3 350	3 139	3 224	(X)	42	34	35	(X)	6 105	6 101	6 104	(X)	633	627	627	(X)	1
253	205	236	7.3	8	-	1	2.9	378	375	378	6.2	5	3	3	0.5	2
2 506	2 506	2 506	77.7	-	-	-	-	4 931	4 931	4 931	80.8	613	609	609	97.1	3
4	-	4	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
240	126	136	4.2	34	34	34	97.1	230	230	230	3.8	-	-	-	-	5
347	302	342	10.6	-	-	-	-	566	565	565	9.3	15	15	15	2.4	6
1 621	1 555	1 583	100.0	195	181	190	100.0	4 508	4 378	4 433	100.0	31	31	31	100.0	7
86	76	79	5.0	36	31	35	18.4	196	196	196	4.4	-	-	-	-	8
154	151	154	9.7	-	-	-	-	343	328	335	7.6	4	4	4	12.9	9
292	281	287	18.1	3	-	1	0.5	661	649	655	14.8	17	17	17	54.8	10
117	104	108	6.8	44	40	42	22.1	356	325	340	7.7	-	-	-	-	11
166	164	161	10.2	71	71	71	37.4	449	427	433	9.8	4	4	4	12.9	12
132	127	132	8.3	2	-	2	1.1	323	290	304	6.9	6	6	6	19.4	13
139	117	127	8.0	39	39	39	20.5	270	253	260	5.9	-	-	-	-	14
535	535	535	33.8	-	-	-	-	1 910	1 910	1 910	43.1	-	-	-	-	15
441	333	386	100.0	111	96	110	100.0	656	605	625	100.0	5	5	5	100.0	16
294	256	285	73.8	81	81	81	73.6	403	403	403	64.5	5	5	5	100.0	17
56	33	34	8.8	15	9	15	13.6	110	73	84	13.4	-	-	-	-	18
23	7	17	4.4	6	2	5	4.5	43	39	42	6.7	-	-	-	-	19
68	37	50	13.0	9	4	9	8.2	100	90	96	15.4	-	-	-	-	20
521	341	428	100.0	34	17	29	100.0	1 133	1 070	1 096	100.0	28	28	28	100.0	21
6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	22
14	9	12	2.8	-	-	-	-	3	3	4	0.4	-	-	-	-	23
18	14	14	3.3	-	-	-	-	12	10	11	1.0	11	11	11	39.3	24
40	17	40	9.5	17	7	17	58.6	112	108	108	9.9	-	-	-	-	25
11	1	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
18	17	18	4.3	-	-	-	-	31	21	28	2.6	-	-	-	-	27
44	41	44	10.4	17	10	12	41.4	113	108	110	10.1	-	-	-	-	28
158	155	156	37.0	-	-	-	-	585	580	582	53.1	7	7	7	25.0	29
212	81	137	32.5	-	-	-	-	255	238	245	22.4	10	10	10	35.7	30
1 372	1 296	1 325	100.0	413	398	404	100.0	3 643	3 206	3 367	100.0	212	190	201	100.0	31
496	444	459	34.6	202	194	196	48.5	1 500	1 201	1 307	38.8	109	102	106	52.7	32
284	271	280	21.1	72	66	69	17.1	652	571	609	18.1	13	9	11	5.5	33
57	54	54	4.1	31	30	31	7.7	234	192	200	5.9	79	68	73	36.3	34
382	382	382	28.8	108	108	108	26.7	728	727	727	21.6	10	10	10	5.0	35
153	145	150	11.3	-	-	-	-	529	515	524	15.6	1	1	1	0.5	36
512	508	511	100.0	1	1	1	100.0	3 602	3 583	3 587	100.0	86	81	81	100.0	37
22	22	22	4.3	-	-	-	-	53	52	52	1.4	-	-	-	-	38
20	20	20	3.9	-	-	-	-	103	91	94	2.6	19	14	14	17.3	39
9	9	9	1.8	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	0.2	-	-	-	-	40
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41
41	41	41	8.1	-	-	-	-	226	223	224	6.2	26	26	26	32.1	42
3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.1	-	-	-	-	43
5	5	5	1.0	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	0.3	-	-	-	-	44
83	79	82	16.1	-	-	-	-	143	140	140	3.9	4	4	4	4.9	45
126	126	126	24.8	-	-	-	-	147	147	147	4.1	-	-	-	-	46
26	26	26	5.1	-	-	-	-	32	32	32	0.9	1	1	1	1.2	47
177	177	177	34.8	1	1	1	100.0	2 878	2 878	2 878	80.2	36	36	36	44.4	48

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's,  
by county area, October 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area <sup>1</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S. . . . .	415 653	(X)	283 276	(X)	49 214	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA. . . . .	9 732	100.0	7 359	100.0	776	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA. . . . .	925	9.5	734	10.0	80	10.3
BALTIMORE CITY. . . . .	5 907	60.7	4 534	61.6	419	54.0
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA. . . . .	2 108	21.7	1 720	23.4	120	15.5
CARROLL COUNTY AREA. . . . .	244	2.5	-	-	106	13.7
HARFORD COUNTY AREA. . . . .	278	2.9	156	2.1	42	5.4
HOWARD COUNTY AREA. . . . .	270	2.8	215	2.9	9	1.2
BOSTON SEA. . . . .	17 295	100.0	12 517	100.0	2 472	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA. . . . .	2 517	14.6	1 862	14.9	280	11.3
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA. . . . .	6 208	35.9	4 732	37.8	669	27.1
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA. . . . .	2 803	16.2	2 207	17.6	242	9.8
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA. . . . .	5 767	33.3	3 716	29.7	1 281	51.8
CHICAGO SMSA. . . . .	45 306	100.0	35 810	100.0	3 939	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA. . . . .	39 778	87.8	31 631	88.3	3 514	89.2
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA. . . . .	1 839	4.1	1 314	3.7	177	4.5
KANE COUNTY AREA. . . . .	1 005	2.2	791	2.2	57	1.4
LAKE COUNTY AREA. . . . .	1 371	3.0	1 048	2.9	89	2.3
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA. . . . .	380	0.8	312	0.9	17	0.4
WILL COUNTY AREA. . . . .	933	2.1	714	2.0	85	2.2
CLEVELAND SMSA. . . . .	9 201	100.0	6 175	100.0	1 616	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA. . . . .	8 025	87.2	5 370	87.0	1 403	86.8
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA. . . . .	149	1.6	67	1.1	47	2.9
LAKE COUNTY AREA. . . . .	618	6.7	432	7.0	97	6.0
MEDINA COUNTY AREA. . . . .	409	4.4	306	5.0	69	4.3
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA. . . . .	10 585	100.0	7 024	100.0	1 775	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA. . . . .	192	1.8	154	2.2	21	1.2
DALLAS COUNTY AREA. . . . .	7 144	67.5	4 691	66.8	1 292	72.8
DENTON COUNTY AREA. . . . .	210	2.0	130	1.9	34	1.9
ELLIS COUNTY AREA. . . . .	92	0.9	66	0.9	18	1.0
HOOD COUNTY AREA. . . . .	13	0.1	7	0.1	5	0.3
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA. . . . .	86	0.8	65	0.9	10	0.6
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA. . . . .	63	0.6	34	0.5	14	0.8
PARKER COUNTY AREA. . . . .	55	0.5	34	0.5	12	0.7
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA. . . . .	41	0.4	21	0.3	17	1.0
TARRANT COUNTY AREA. . . . .	2 648	25.0	1 806	25.7	341	19.2
WISE COUNTY AREA. . . . .	41	0.4	16	0.2	11	0.6
DETROIT SMSA. . . . .	28 355	100.0	19 741	100.0	3 916	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA. . . . .	170	0.6	120	0.6	27	0.7
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA. . . . .	278	1.0	113	0.6	80	2.0
MACOMB COUNTY AREA. . . . .	3 029	10.7	1 904	9.6	497	12.7
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA. . . . .	4 670	16.5	3 028	15.3	632	16.1
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA. . . . .	398	1.4	264	1.3	59	1.5
WAYNE COUNTY AREA. . . . .	19 810	69.9	14 312	72.5	2 621	66.9
HOUSTON SMSA. . . . .	9 416	100.0	6 088	100.0	1 614	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA. . . . .	319	3.4	204	3.4	68	4.2
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA. . . . .	128	1.4	102	1.7	12	0.7
HARRIS COUNTY AREA. . . . .	8 753	93.0	5 658	92.9	1 478	91.6
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA. . . . .	61	0.6	39	0.6	13	0.8
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA. . . . .	123	1.3	73	1.2	27	1.7
WALLER COUNTY AREA. . . . .	32	0.3	12	0.2	16	1.0
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA. . . . .	56 810	100.0	35 584	100.0	6 491	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA. . . . .	56 810	100.0	35 584	100.0	6 491	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA. . . . .	10 077	100.0	6 603	100.0	1 154	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA. . . . .	597	5.9	373	5.6	75	6.5
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA. . . . .	145	1.4	90	1.4	21	1.8
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA. . . . .	46	0.5	33	0.5	5	0.4
OAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA. . . . .	500	5.0	384	5.8	58	5.0
HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA. . . . .	4 507	44.7	2 663	40.3	563	48.8
RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA. . . . .	3 655	36.3	2 605	39.5	353	30.6
ST. CROIX COUNTY, WI. AREA. . . . .	134	1.3	103	1.6	11	1.0
SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA. . . . .	161	1.6	114	1.7	25	2.2
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA. . . . .	243	2.4	167	2.5	32	2.8
WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA. . . . .	89	0.9	71	1.1	11	1.0
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA. . . . .	18 709	100.0	12 896	100.0	2 380	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA. . . . .	11 175	59.7	7 554	58.6	1 522	63.9
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA. . . . .	7 534	40.3	5 342	41.4	858	36.1
NEWARK SMSA. . . . .	14 355	100.0	8 466	100.0	2 163	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA. . . . .	8 070	56.2	4 458	52.7	1 312	60.7
MORRIS COUNTY AREA. . . . .	1 761	12.3	1 266	15.0	189	8.7
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA. . . . .	1 018	7.1	561	6.6	175	8.1
UNION COUNTY AREA. . . . .	3 506	24.4	2 181	25.8	487	22.5

See footnotes at end of Table.

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
TOTAL, 17 LARGEST SMSA'S.	24 175	(X)	3 368	(X)	53 698	(X)	1 922	(X)
BALTIMORE SMSA.	771	100.0	-	100.0	826	100.0	-	100.0
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY AREA.	72	9.3	-	-	39	4.7	-	-
BALTIMORE CITY.	427	55.4	-	-	527	63.8	-	-
BALTIMORE COUNTY AREA.	137	17.8	-	-	131	15.9	-	-
CARROLL COUNTY AREA.	67	8.7	-	-	71	8.6	-	-
HARFORD COUNTY AREA.	38	4.9	-	-	42	5.1	-	-
HOWARD COUNTY AREA.	30	3.9	-	-	16	1.9	-	-
BOSTON SEA.	490	100.0	34	100.0	1 712	100.0	70	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	34	6.9	12	35.3	316	18.5	13	18.6
MIDDLESEX COUNTY AREA.	126	25.7	14	41.2	610	35.6	57	81.4
NORFOLK COUNTY AREA.	112	22.9	8	23.5	234	13.7	-	-
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	218	44.5	-	-	552	32.2	-	-
CHICAGO SMSA.	1 783	100.0	700	100.0	2 971	100.0	103	100.0
COOK COUNTY AREA.	1 452	81.4	611	87.3	2 478	83.4	92	89.3
DU PAGE COUNTY AREA.	125	7.0	45	6.4	176	5.9	2	1.9
KANE COUNTY AREA.	42	2.4	9	1.3	105	3.5	1	1.0
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	85	4.8	13	1.9	133	4.5	3	2.9
MCHENRY COUNTY AREA.	17	1.0	5	0.7	29	1.0	-	-
WILL COUNTY AREA.	62	3.5	17	2.4	50	1.7	5	4.9
CLEVELAND SMSA.	422	100.0	28	100.0	955	100.0	5	100.0
CUYAHOGA COUNTY AREA.	346	82.0	28	100.0	873	91.4	5	100.0
GEAUGA COUNTY AREA.	18	4.3	-	-	17	1.8	-	-
LAKE COUNTY AREA.	44	10.4	-	-	45	4.7	-	-
MEDINA COUNTY AREA.	14	3.3	-	-	20	2.1	-	-
DALLAS-FORT WORTH SMSA.	715	100.0	8	100.0	1 044	100.0	19	100.0
COLLIN COUNTY AREA.	8	1.1	-	-	9	0.9	-	-
DALLAS COUNTY AREA.	412	57.6	-	-	730	69.9	19	100.0
DENTON COUNTY AREA.	22	3.1	-	-	24	2.3	-	-
ELLIS COUNTY AREA.	3	0.4	-	-	5	0.5	-	-
HOOD COUNTY AREA.	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOHNSON COUNTY AREA.	6	0.8	-	-	5	0.5	-	-
KAUFMAN COUNTY AREA.	5	0.7	-	-	10	1.0	-	-
PARKER COUNTY AREA.	4	0.6	-	-	5	0.5	-	-
ROCKWALL COUNTY AREA.	1	0.1	-	-	2	0.2	-	-
TARRANT COUNTY AREA.	243	34.0	8	100.0	250	23.9	-	-
WISE COUNTY AREA.	10	1.4	-	-	4	0.4	-	-
DETROIT SMSA.	1 301	100.0	28	100.0	3 345	100.0	24	100.0
LAPEER COUNTY AREA.	13	1.0	-	-	10	0.3	-	-
LIVINGSTON COUNTY AREA.	24	1.8	-	-	61	1.8	-	-
MACOMB COUNTY AREA.	202	15.5	27	96.4	396	11.8	3	12.5
OAKLAND COUNTY AREA.	284	21.8	-	-	711	21.3	15	62.5
ST. CLAIR COUNTY AREA.	20	1.5	-	-	53	1.6	2	8.3
WAYNE COUNTY AREA.	758	58.3	1	3.6	2 114	63.2	4	16.7
HOUSTON SMSA.	645	100.0	1	100.0	899	100.0	169	100.0
BRAZORIA COUNTY AREA.	20	3.1	-	-	27	3.0	-	-
FORT BEND COUNTY AREA.	7	1.1	-	-	7	0.8	-	-
HARRIS COUNTY AREA.	606	94.0	-	-	842	93.7	169	100.0
LIBERTY COUNTY AREA.	-	-	-	-	9	1.0	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY AREA.	9	1.4	1	100.0	13	1.4	-	-
WALLER COUNTY AREA.	3	0.5	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
LOS ANGELES-LONG BEACH SMSA.	4 826	100.0	1 294	100.0	8 588	100.0	27	100.0
LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA.	4 826	100.0	1 294	100.0	8 588	100.0	27	100.0
MINNEAPOLIS-ST. PAUL SMSA.	646	100.0	206	100.0	1 436	100.0	32	100.0
ANOKA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	55	8.5	10	4.9	84	5.8	-	-
CARVER COUNTY, MN. AREA.	11	1.7	11	5.3	12	0.8	-	-
CHISAGO COUNTY, MN. AREA.	4	0.6	-	-	4	0.3	-	-
DAKOTA COUNTY, MN. AREA.	26	4.0	-	-	32	2.2	-	-
HENNEPIN COUNTY, MN. AREA.	344	53.3	149	72.3	780	54.3	8	25.0
RAMSEY COUNTY, MN. AREA.	159	24.6	34	16.5	480	33.4	24	75.0
ST. CROIX COUNTY, WI. AREA.	13	2.0	-	-	7	0.5	-	-
SCOTT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	14	2.2	-	-	8	0.6	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MN. AREA.	16	2.5	2	1.0	26	1.8	-	-
WRIGHT COUNTY, MN. AREA.	4	0.6	-	-	3	0.2	-	-
NASSAU-SUFFOLK SMSA.	1 187	100.0	7	100.0	2 202	100.0	37	100.0
NASSAU COUNTY AREA.	667	56.2	7	100.0	1 413	64.2	12	32.4
SUFFOLK COUNTY AREA.	520	43.8	-	-	789	35.8	25	67.6
NEWARK SMSA.	1 111	100.0	3	100.0	2 506	100.0	106	100.0
ESSEX COUNTY AREA.	579	52.1	3	100.0	1 704	68.0	14	13.2
MORRIS COUNTY AREA.	108	9.7	-	-	194	7.7	4	3.8
SOMERSET COUNTY AREA.	85	7.7	-	-	192	7.7	5	4.7
UNION COUNTY AREA.	339	30.5	-	-	416	16.6	83	78.3

See footnotes at end of table.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's, by county area, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area <sup>1</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA . . . . .	91 996	100.0	67 822	100.0	8 427	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	4 387	4.8	3 246	4.8	439	5.2
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y. . . . .	80 229	87.2	59 855	88.3	7 125	84.5
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . . .	309	0.3	301	0.4	-	-
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . . .	1 423	1.5	762	1.1	208	2.5
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . . .	5 648	6.1	3 658	5.4	655	7.8
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA . . . . .	30 181	100.0	17 913	100.0	5 062	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	1 459	4.8	856	4.8	263	5.2
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	1 361	4.5	752	4.2	172	3.4
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	3 148	10.4	1 664	9.3	432	8.5
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	1 073	3.6	410	2.3	186	3.7
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	2 289	7.6	1 096	6.1	490	9.7
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . . .	1 085	3.6	532	3.0	146	2.9
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . . .	2 240	7.4	1 404	7.8	404	8.0
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA. . . . .	17 526	58.1	11 199	62.5	2 969	58.7
PITTSBURGH SMSA . . . . .	7 537	100.0	5 104	100.0	1 253	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA . . . . .	6 066	80.5	4 288	84.0	935	74.6
BEAVER COUNTY AREA . . . . .	526	7.0	289	5.7	119	9.5
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA . . . . .	300	4.0	196	3.8	52	4.2
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA . . . . .	645	8.6	331	6.5	147	11.7
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA . . . . .	10 022	100.0	7 288	100.0	1 176	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	25	0.2	9	0.1	6	0.5
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	96	1.0	66	0.9	20	1.7
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	131	1.3	72	1.0	32	2.7
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	677	6.8	440	6.0	74	6.3
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	11	0.1	7	0.1	1	0.1
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	606	6.0	514	7.1	49	4.2
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . . .	678	6.8	441	6.1	75	6.4
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO. . . . .	4 405	44.0	3 235	44.4	418	35.5
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . . .	3 393	33.9	2 504	34.4	501	42.6
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA . . . . .	23 388	100.0	12 490	100.0	3 041	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	8 524	36.4	4 373	35.0	973	32.0
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	3 625	15.5	1 666	13.3	548	18.0
MARIN COUNTY AREA . . . . .	1 330	5.7	587	4.7	220	7.2
SAN FRANCISCO CITY . . . . .	6 567	28.1	3 965	31.7	891	29.3
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA . . . . .	3 342	14.3	1 899	15.2	409	13.4
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA . . . . .	22 688	100.0	14 396	100.0	1 959	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA. . . . .	537	2.4	393	2.7	50	2.6
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA. . . . .	782	3.4	573	4.0	55	2.8
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . . .	119	0.5	99	0.7	2	0.1
FAIRFAX CITY, VA. . . . .	75	0.3	72	0.5	3	0.2
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . . .	1 913	8.4	1 418	9.8	143	7.3
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA. . . . .	56	0.2	49	0.3	1	0.1
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . . .	80	0.4	51	0.4	14	0.7
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . . .	2 052	9.0	1 558	10.8	166	8.5
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . . .	2 551	11.2	1 963	13.6	233	11.9
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . . .	437	1.9	311	2.2	59	3.0
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY . . . . .	14 086	62.1	7 909	54.9	1 233	62.9

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data for county areas include figures for the county government and an estimate for municipalities within that county and are subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.<sup>2</sup>Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

Table 14. Criminal justice system payrolls of local governments in the 17 largest SMSA's,  
by county area, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Area <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of SMSA total October payroll
NEW YORK, N.Y.-N.J. SMSA . . . .	4 347	100.0	42	100.0	10 433	100.0	925	100.0
BERGEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . .	303	7.0	1	2.4	394	3.8	4	0.4
NEW YORK CITY, N.Y. . . . .	3 299	75.9	-	-	9 047	86.7	903	97.6
PUTNAM COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . .	8	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . .	192	4.4	41	97.6	220	2.1	-	-
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y. AREA . . . .	545	12.5	-	-	772	7.4	18	1.9
PHILADELPHIA, PA.-N.J. SMSA . . . .	2 030	100.0	180	100.0	4 964	100.0	32	100.0
BUCKS COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . .	105	5.2	37	20.6	198	4.0	-	-
BURLINGTON COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . .	160	7.9	-	-	273	5.5	4	12.5
CAMDEN COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . .	353	17.4	-	-	681	13.7	18	56.3
CHESTER COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . .	129	6.4	43	23.9	305	6.1	-	-
DELAWARE COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . .	206	10.1	64	35.6	429	8.6	4	12.5
GLOUCESTER COUNTY, N.J. AREA . . . .	137	6.7	-	-	264	5.3	6	18.8
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PA. AREA . . . .	134	6.6	36	20.0	262	5.3	-	-
PHILADELPHIA CITY, PA. . . . .	806	39.7	-	-	2 552	51.4	-	-
PITTSBURGH SMSA . . . . .	435	100.0	109	100.0	630	100.0	6	100.0
ALLEGHENY COUNTY AREA . . . . .	336	77.2	83	76.1	418	66.3	6	100.0
BEAVER COUNTY AREA . . . . .	34	7.8	13	11.9	71	11.3	-	-
WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA . . . . .	14	3.2	4	3.7	34	5.4	-	-
WESTMORELAND COUNTY AREA . . . . .	51	11.7	9	8.3	107	17.0	-	-
ST. LOUIS, MO.-ILL. SMSA . . . . .	480	100.0	31	100.0	1 021	100.0	26	100.0
CLINTON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . .	7	1.5	-	-	3	0.3	-	-
FRANKLIN COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . .	8	1.7	-	-	2	0.2	-	-
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . .	14	2.9	-	-	7	0.7	6	23.1
MADISON COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . .	49	10.2	17	54.8	97	9.5	-	-
MONROE COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . .	2	0.4	-	-	1	0.1	-	-
ST. CHARLES COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . .	21	4.4	-	-	22	2.2	-	-
ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILL. AREA . . . .	56	11.7	14	45.2	92	9.0	-	-
ST. LOUIS CITY, MO. . . . .	183	38.1	-	-	560	54.8	9	34.6
ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MO. AREA . . . .	140	29.2	-	-	237	23.2	11	42.3
SAN FRANCISCO-OAKLAND SMSA . . . .	2 168	100.0	697	100.0	4 751	100.0	241	100.0
ALAMEDA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	776	35.8	359	51.5	1 918	40.4	125	51.9
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY AREA . . . . .	410	18.9	121	17.4	864	18.2	16	6.6
MARIN COUNTY AREA . . . . .	97	4.5	51	7.3	290	6.1	85	35.3
SAN FRANCISCO CITY . . . . .	642	29.6	166	23.8	891	18.8	12	5.0
SAN MATEO COUNTY AREA . . . . .	243	11.2	-	-	788	16.6	3	1.2
WASHINGTON, D.C.-MD.-VA. SMSA . .	818	100.0	-	100.0	5 415	100.0	100	100.0
ALEXANDRIA CITY, VA. . . . .	36	4.4	-	-	58	1.1	-	-
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA. . . . .	32	3.9	-	-	101	1.9	21	21.0
CHARLES COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . .	12	1.5	-	-	6	0.1	-	-
FAIRFAX CITY, VA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . .	68	8.3	-	-	258	4.8	26	26.0
FALLS CHURCH CITY, VA. . . . .	4	0.5	-	-	2	(2)	-	-
LOUDOUN COUNTY, VA. AREA . . . .	6	0.7	-	-	9	0.2	-	-
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. AREA . . . .	140	17.1	-	-	180	3.3	8	8.0
PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MD. AREA . .	180	22.0	-	-	174	3.2	1	1.0
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. AREA . .	34	4.2	-	-	33	0.6	-	-
WASHINGTON, D.C. CITY . . . . .	306	37.4	-	-	4 594	84.8	44	44.0

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL . . . . .	123 048 116	28 578 065	3 949 159	13.8	1 135 228	28.7	1 002 970	25.4
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN . . . . .	106 491	4 907	946	19.3	188	19.9	479	50.6
JEFFERSON . . . . .	644 688	119 374	11 395	9.5	3 187	28.0	4 686	41.1
MADISON . . . . .	183 285	11 537	2 666	23.1	569	22.1	1 033	38.7
MOBILE . . . . .	335 268	27 529	5 562	20.2	1 526	27.4	2 402	43.2
MONTEGOMERY . . . . .	181 207	10 219	2 942	28.8	474	16.1	1 372	46.0
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	122 169	15 401	2 053	13.3	489	23.8	1 012	45.3
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA . . . . .	1 221 414	193 346	33 832	17.5	6 933	20.5	9 449	27.9
PIMA . . . . .	443 958	139 154	31 610	22.7	10 701	33.9	6 685	21.1
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI . . . . .	308 294	10 176	4 300	42.3	1 153	26.8	1 334	31.0
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	1 090 353	372 197	75 778	20.4	9 371	12.4	17 004	22.4
ROUTE . . . . .	120 053	42 542	5 879	13.8	1 750	29.8	1 441	24.5
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	584 047	240 899	36 850	15.3	5 088	13.8	8 585	23.2
FRESNO . . . . .	445 727	216 826	27 912	12.9	7 379	20.4	4 976	17.8
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	105 912	42 725	6 566	15.4	2 620	39.9	1 249	19.0
KERN . . . . .	349 874	184 779	28 970	15.7	7 596	26.2	4 992	17.2
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	6 986 898	2 931 751	410 049	14.0	128 437	31.3	94 976	23.2
MARIN . . . . .	220 424	66 008	14 069	21.3	2 424	17.2	3 166	22.5
MERCED . . . . .	118 290	63 607	5 429	8.5	1 458	26.9	1 382	23.5
MONTFREY . . . . .	267 828	99 000	16 074	16.2	4 158	25.9	3 055	19.0
ORANGE . . . . .	1 699 666	397 173	76 549	19.3	12 171	15.9	21 792	28.5
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	529 074	226 080	32 899	14.6	11 027	33.5	6 390	19.4
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	687 888	361 479	46 539	12.9	13 463	28.9	6 582	14.1
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	696 871	280 653	44 143	15.7	13 276	30.1	9 864	22.3
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	1 584 583	430 721	72 595	16.9	12 409	17.1	19 139	26.4
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	299 576	150 675	19 173	12.7	4 485	23.4	4 099	21.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	129 154	59 412	7 626	14.0	2 643	34.7	1 466	19.2
SAN MATEO . . . . .	580 962	183 331	32 947	18.0	7 433	22.6	7 110	21.6
SANTA BARRBARA . . . . .	279 693	108 956	18 573	17.0	5 615	30.2	3 737	20.1
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	1 174 171	427 093	60 664	14.2	11 081	18.3	13 002	21.4
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	156 108	65 594	10 154	15.5	2 491	24.5	1 860	18.3
SOLANO . . . . .	187 179	99 443	10 721	10.7	2 621	26.0	2 299	21.4
SONOMA . . . . .	246 557	108 297	14 687	13.6	3 613	26.0	3 680	25.1
STANISLAUS . . . . .	223 664	109 445	14 396	13.2	3 313	23.0	2 774	19.3
TULARE . . . . .	210 986	99 498	13 045	13.1	3 519	27.0	2 222	17.0
VENTURA . . . . .	437 853	174 012	25 999	14.9	7 414	30.4	5 515	21.2
YOLO . . . . .	101 201	42 038	6 853	16.3	2 332	34.0	1 269	18.5
COLORADO:								
ADAMS . . . . .	215 460	41 781	3 550	8.5	2 114	59.5	58	1.0
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	216 744	18 068	3 723	20.6	1 855	49.8	457	12.3
BOULDER . . . . .	165 071	22 736	5 146	22.6	1 698	33.0	127	2.5
EL PASO . . . . .	280 929	29 667	4 332	14.6	669	15.4	1 204	27.3
JEFFERSON . . . . .	313 964	35 935	5 474	15.2	3 148	57.5	153	2.3
LARIMER . . . . .	117 738	17 205	1 905	11.1	1 047	57.1	68	3.6
PUEBLO . . . . .	123 665	25 756	1 931	7.5	523	27.1	148	7.7
WELD . . . . .	107 365	36 487	1 451	4.0	816	56.2	9	0.6
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	399 354	67 993	6 383	9.4	4 884	76.5	1 206	18.9
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA . . . . .	121 945	20 585	5 382	26.1	1 942	36.1	1 479	27.5
BREVARD . . . . .	231 918	42 195	4 983	11.8	2 931	58.8	1 351	27.1
BROWARD . . . . .	848 190	77 579	17 471	22.5	8 509	48.7	4 758	27.2
DADE . . . . .	1 439 481	56 839	66 630	11.8	35 957	54.0	14 824	22.2
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	223 120	39 813	10 483	26.3	2 406	23.0	5 082	48.5
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	577 497	141 819	17 377	12.3	6 852	39.4	3 990	23.0
LEE . . . . .	184 161	22 135	4 721	16.8	2 603	55.1	1 228	26.0
LEON . . . . .	124 714	14 937	3 947	26.4	1 700	43.1	1 175	29.8
MANATEE . . . . .	121 471	64 878	2 793	4.3	1 687	60.4	1 670	24.0
ORANGE . . . . .	409 970	87 205	13 477	15.5	6 869	51.0	3 323	24.7
PALM BEACH . . . . .	455 451	76 589	15 991	20.9	8 332	52.1	3 739	23.4
PASCO . . . . .	126 640	23 648	4 253	18.0	2 245	52.8	1 410	33.2
PINELLAS . . . . .	643 540	77 537	15 440	19.9	5 989	38.8	5 568	36.1
POLK . . . . .	273 996	48 732	6 621	13.6	3 337	50.4	1 619	24.5
SARASOTA . . . . .	160 927	21 227	5 153	24.3	3 824	74.2	738	14.3
SEMINOLE . . . . .	135 001	16 161	3 710	23.0	2 063	53.6	1 044	28.1
VOLUSIA . . . . .	207 206	30 454	6 428	21.1	3 251	50.6	1 200	18.7
GEORGIA:								
RIBB . . . . .	142 978	12 736	5 208	40.9	1 374	26.4	1 057	20.3
CHATAM . . . . .	183 304	19 381	14 856	76.7	1 624	10.9	7 313	49.2
CLAYTON . . . . .	130 516	19 233	4 740	24.6	2 508	52.9	1 016	21.4

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL . . . . .	360 629	9.1	131 888	3.3	1 163 014	29.4	153 430	3.9
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN . . . . .	57	6.0	-	-	222	23.5	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	708	6.2	35	0.3	2 762	24.2	17	0.1
MADISON . . . . .	781	14.3	-	-	663	24.9	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	569	6.6	-	-	1 265	22.7	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	247	8.4	-	-	549	28.9	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	113	5.5	8	0.4	431	21.0	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA . . . . .	3 301	9.8	2 548	7.5	11 447	33.8	154	0.5
PIMA . . . . .	3 052	9.7	2 293	7.3	8 879	28.1	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI . . . . .	491	11.4	145	3.4	1 182	27.5	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	8 239	10.9	5 650	7.5	30 251	39.9	5 254	6.9
PUTTE . . . . .	845	11.8	333	4.7	1 660	26.2	-	-
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	4 875	13.2	2 386	6.5	15 475	42.0	481	1.3
FRESNO . . . . .	4 874	17.5	1 243	4.6	9 286	33.3	103	0.4
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	911	13.9	239	3.6	1 369	20.8	178	2.7
KERN . . . . .	2 735	9.4	929	3.2	12 328	42.5	396	1.4
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	46 404	11.3	19 678	4.8	120 554	29.4	-	-
MARIN . . . . .	1 124	8.0	810	5.8	4 482	31.9	2 063	14.7
MERCED . . . . .	847	10.1	148	2.7	1 650	36.4	244	4.5
MONTESLEY . . . . .	1 900	12.2	739	4.6	6 132	38.1	30	0.2
ORANGE . . . . .	9 182	12.0	3 696	4.8	28 473	37.2	1 235	1.6
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	4 181	12.7	1 086	3.3	10 067	36.6	148	0.4
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	6 769	14.5	2 560	5.5	16 211	34.8	954	2.0
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	4 316	9.8	1 758	4.0	14 791	33.5	139	0.3
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	8 427	11.6	3 790	5.2	28 475	39.2	355	0.5
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	2 391	12.5	1 270	6.6	5 999	31.3	929	4.8
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	1 076	14.1	262	3.4	2 116	27.7	63	0.8
SAN MATEO . . . . .	2 709	8.2	1 207	3.7	13 571	41.2	917	2.8
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	2 322	12.5	812	4.4	6 087	32.8	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	6 517	10.7	2 685	4.8	22 047	36.3	5 132	8.5
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	1 380	13.6	398	3.9	4 000	39.4	25	0.2
SOLANO . . . . .	1 076	10.0	614	5.7	4 111	36.3	-	-
SONOMA . . . . .	1 389	9.5	489	3.3	5 169	35.2	147	1.0
STANISLAUS . . . . .	1 843	12.8	370	2.6	5 739	39.9	357	2.5
TULARE . . . . .	1 818	13.9	875	6.7	3 987	30.6	624	4.8
VENTURA . . . . .	3 572	13.7	914	3.5	7 477	28.6	607	2.3
YOLO . . . . .	843	12.3	432	6.3	1 977	28.8	-	-
COLORADO:								
ADAMS . . . . .	948	26.7	-	-	430	12.1	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	747	20.1	-	-	664	17.0	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	546	10.6	-	-	794	15.4	1 981	38.5
EL PASO . . . . .	1 267	29.2	-	-	1 192	27.5	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 186	21.7	5	0.1	468	8.5	514	9.4
LARIMER . . . . .	526	17.1	-	-	400	21.0	24	1.3
PUEBLO . . . . .	711	36.8	6	0.4	438	22.7	103	5.3
WELD . . . . .	430	29.6	-	-	168	11.6	28	1.9
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	293	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA . . . . .	154	2.9	34	0.6	1 723	32.0	50	0.9
BREVARD . . . . .	194	3.9	41	0.8	424	6.5	42	0.8
BROWARD . . . . .	363	2.1	142	0.8	2 804	16.0	895	5.1
DADE . . . . .	1 479	2.2	522	0.8	8 959	13.4	4 889	7.3
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	139	1.3	59	0.6	2 797	26.7	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	392	2.3	332	1.9	4 963	28.6	848	4.9
LEE . . . . .	147	3.1	74	1.6	475	10.1	194	4.1
LEON . . . . .	101	2.6	22	0.6	949	24.0	-	-
MANATEE . . . . .	113	4.0	-	-	516	11.3	7	0.3
ORANGE . . . . .	218	1.6	46	0.3	2 761	20.5	260	1.9
PALM BEACH . . . . .	455	2.8	82	0.5	2 938	18.4	445	2.8
PASCO . . . . .	191	4.5	5	0.1	387	9.1	15	0.4
PINELLAS . . . . .	413	2.7	70	0.5	2 896	18.8	504	3.3
POLK . . . . .	131	2.0	32	0.5	1 349	20.4	153	2.3
SARASOTA . . . . .	154	3.0	16	0.3	421	8.2	-	-
SEMINOLE . . . . .	200	5.4	3	0.1	354	9.5	46	1.2
VOLUSIA . . . . .	141	2.2	4	0.1	1 818	28.3	14	0.2
GEORGIA:								
BIRD . . . . .	377	7.2	61	1.2	2 339	44.9	-	-
CHATHAM . . . . .	349	2.3	179	1.2	5 389	36.3	2	(2)
CLAYTON . . . . .	348	7.3	78	1.6	790	16.7	-	-

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expendi- ture	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
COBB . . . . .	239 832	36 570	8 234	22.5	3 903	47.4	2 737	33.7
DE KALB . . . . .	450 599	119 073	8 516	7.2	1 641	12.2	3 421	40.7
FULTON . . . . .	580 600	131 929	19 288	14.6	2 576	13.4	8 597	40.0
RICHMOND . . . . .	156 151	16 928	4 761	27.8	1 690	35.9	1 057	22.7
IDAHO:								
ADA . . . . .	134 653	16 438	6 133	37.3	1 126	18.4	637	30.4
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	162 304	10 822	2 488	23.0	670	20.9	572	23.0
COOK . . . . .	5 369 328	484 831	158 507	32.7	14 228	9.0	45 104	28.9
DU PAGE . . . . .	553 670	55 119	9 628	17.5	2 762	28.7	2 588	26.4
KANE . . . . .	262 673	14 056	4 223	30.0	1 303	30.9	787	18.0
LAKE . . . . .	407 373	41 729	6 824	16.4	2 399	35.2	1 136	16.0
LA SALLE . . . . .	109 771	10 239	1 582	15.5	408	26.8	358	27.4
MCHENRY . . . . .	125 981	10 358	2 401	23.2	1 304	54.3	338	16.4
MCLEAN . . . . .	114 284	8 899	1 781	20.0	579	32.5	473	20.5
MACON . . . . .	126 439	8 728	2 021	23.2	823	40.7	450	27.0
MADISON . . . . .	249 685	13 478	3 923	29.1	1 029	20.2	1 043	26.7
PEORIA . . . . .	199 023	16 681	4 340	26.0	1 355	31.2	778	17.4
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	165 313	11 726	2 624	22.4	960	36.6	448	17.1
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	280 946	13 885	3 965	28.6	886	22.3	937	23.4
SANGAMON . . . . .	169 753	9 212	3 135	34.0	1 245	39.7	525	10.7
TAZEWELL . . . . .	125 189	5 985	1 670	27.9	615	36.0	409	20.5
WILL . . . . .	296 224	22 060	4 529	20.5	1 917	42.3	1 243	27.1
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	245 040	24 512	5 944	24.2	1 591	26.8	1 151	19.7
INDIANA:								
ALLEN . . . . .	288 796	28 131	6 326	22.5	1 734	27.4	1 473	24.0
DELAWARE . . . . .	128 989	18 966	2 028	10.7	551	27.2	580	30.6
ELKHART . . . . .	131 730	10 367	2 594	25.0	886	34.2	723	27.4
LAKE . . . . .	546 757	79 969	11 013	13.8	2 830	25.7	3 806	44.6
LA PORTE . . . . .	105 857	16 454	19 794	120.3	510	2.6	970	8.0
MADISON . . . . .	138 548	10 473	2 468	23.6	546	22.1	410	32.3
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	240 431	29 475	4 391	14.9	1 170	26.6	1 426	32.3
TIPPECANOE . . . . .	112 408	9 434	1 703	18.1	584	34.3	499	29.3
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	162 848	19 436	3 140	16.2	847	27.0	1 092	30.8
VIGO . . . . .	110 509	10 610	1 921	18.1	378	19.7	783	40.1
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK . . . . .	133 589	14 306	3 469	24.2	354	10.2	1 373	34.7
LINN . . . . .	164 145	18 410	3 890	21.1	758	19.5	1 229	31.3
POLK . . . . .	296 881	46 736	10 108	21.6	1 042	10.3	3 614	34.1
SCOTT . . . . .	149 082	13 765	2 301	16.7	679	29.0	500	36.1
WOODBURY . . . . .	103 692	13 974	2 391	17.1	409	17.1	924	36.0
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON . . . . .	238 326	37 554	5 333	14.2	2 371	44.5	1 255	23.0
SEDGWICK . . . . .	345 224	30 661	9 260	30.2	1 568	18.9	3 011	32.3
SHAWNEE . . . . .	150 994	14 504	3 772	26.0	463	12.3	1 508	34.1
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	177 570	17 374	3 133	23.4	542	17.3	1 325	42.3
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON . . . . .	696 832	107 587	24 082	22.4	10 123	42.0	4 708	19.5
KENTON . . . . .	129 919	16 964	1 469	8.7	651	44.3	345	23.0
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO . . . . .	239 078	15 556	6 339	40.7	2 763	43.6	803	12.7
CALCASIEU . . . . .	151 334	41 214	5 864	14.2	3 305	56.3	800	13.0
JEFFERSON . . . . .	399 016	154 431	22 642	14.7	9 318	41.2	4 098	18.1
LA FAYETTE . . . . .	125 233	14 143	3 367	23.6	2 013	59.8	633	18.4
OUACHITA . . . . .	125 447	14 413	2 805	20.1	1 312	45.3	650	22.9
RAPIDES . . . . .	121 088	17 849	4 178	23.4	1 345	32.2	916	21.9
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	208 111	6 117	1 488	24.3	536	36.0	286	19.7
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	133 671	1 399	797	57.0	121	15.2	385	48.3
YORK . . . . .	121 662	1 920	752	39.2	161	21.4	219	29.1
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	344 056	238 649	11 590	4.9	8 988	77.5	1 057	9.1
BALTIMORE . . . . .	637 114	440 187	28 254	6.4	22 836	80.8	1 827	6.5
HARFORD . . . . .	136 381	94 748	2 791	2.9	1 053	47.7	503	18.0
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	571 588	534 472	33 274	6.2	21 639	65.0	4 351	13.1
PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	677 848	512 381	34 392	6.7	24 884	72.4	2 756	8.0
WASHINGTON . . . . .	108 045	59 020	939	1.6	299	31.9	229	24.4
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE . . . . .	127 932	6 803	3 236	47.6	402	12.4	1 180	36.5
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	148 969	3 526	1 961	55.6	43	2.2	889	45.1
BRISTOL . . . . .	463 813	8 781	5 621	64.0	57	1.0	2 939	52.3
ESSEX . . . . .	631 182	17 733	9 612	54.2	73	0.6	5 018	52.2
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	463 804	14 100	10 994	78.0	77	0.7	4 339	30.3
WAMPSHIRE . . . . .	133 600	5 194	2 019	38.9	19	0.9	651	32.2

See footnotes at end of table.

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure  
(direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
CORB.	38	0.5	196	2.4	1 249	15.2	111	1.3
DE KALB	783	9.2	189	2.2	2 958	34.7	124	1.5
FULTON	1 488	9.8	363	1.9	5 761	29.9	303	1.6
RICHMOND	274	9.8	57	1.2	1 245	26.5	368	7.8
IDAHO:								
ADA	542	8.8	129	2.1	494	8.1	3 205	52.3
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	390	16.0	95	3.8	752	30.2	-	-
COOK	13 062	9.2	5 963	7.8	50 584	31.9	29 566	18.7
DU PAGE	1 248	13.0	493	5.1	2 486	25.6	51	0.5
KANE	369	8.7	161	3.8	1 600	37.9	3	0.1
LAKE	479	12.9	178	2.6	2 171	31.8	61	0.9
LA SALLE	208	13.1	44	2.8	298	18.8	269	17.3
MCHENRY	271	11.3	66	2.7	422	17.6	-	-
MCLEAN	195	10.9	75	4.2	424	23.8	30	1.7
MACON	241	11.9	94	4.7	403	19.9	-	-
MADISON	386	9.8	154	7.9	1 308	33.3	5	0.1
PEORIA	302	8.8	116	2.7	1 709	39.4	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	316	12.0	34	1.3	590	27.5	276	10.5
ST. CLAIR	633	10.9	189	4.8	1 497	37.8	23	0.3
SANGAMON	374	11.9	94	3.0	697	22.2	202	6.4
TAZEWELL	243	14.6	59	3.5	304	18.2	40	2.4
WILL	435	9.6	239	5.3	677	14.8	23	0.5
WINNEBAGO	256	9.4	244	4.1	1 322	22.2	1 056	17.7
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	358	5.8	173	2.7	2 178	34.4	-	-
DELAWARE	106	7.3	53	2.6	544	26.8	192	9.5
ELKHART	120	4.6	40	1.8	750	29.2	61	2.4
LAKE	990	0.0	289	2.6	2 956	26.8	142	1.3
LA PORTE	151	0.8	51	0.3	572	2.9	17 508	89.0
MADISON	159	6.4	78	3.2	675	35.5	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	333	7.6	138	3.1	1 102	29.1	222	5.1
TIPPECANOE	276	13.3	29	1.7	323	19.0	42	2.5
VANDERBURGH	320	10.2	126	4.0	576	18.3	179	5.7
VIGO	148	7.7	75	3.9	481	25.0	56	2.9
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	196	5.7	350	10.1	1 196	34.5	-	-
LINN	283	7.3	268	6.9	1 348	38.7	4	0.1
POLK	637	6.3	501	5.0	3 669	38.3	445	4.4
SCOTT	226	9.8	143	6.2	453	19.7	-	-
WOODRURY	292	12.2	208	8.7	553	23.1	5	0.2
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	627	15.8	35	6.7	845	15.8	-	-
SENGWICK	1 007	10.9	32	0.3	1 693	18.3	1 949	21.0
SHAWNEE	473	12.5	-	-	1 014	26.9	514	13.6
WYANDOTTE	406	13.0	31	1.0	612	25.9	17	0.5
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	1 590	6.6	96	0.4	6 047	25.1	1 519	6.3
KENTON	82	5.6	88	6.0	268	18.2	35	2.4
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	228	3.6	131	2.1	2 414	36.1	-	-
CALCASIEU	520	8.9	28	0.5	1 216	20.7	-	-
JEFFERSON	994	4.4	315	1.4	7 670	33.9	247	1.1
LAFAYETTE	67	2.0	64	1.9	590	17.5	-	-
OUACHITA	414	14.3	30	1.0	489	16.9	-	-
RAPIDES	250	6.0	42	1.0	1 625	38.9	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	69	4.6	36	2.6	547	36.8	12	0.3
PENOBSCOT	94	11.8	30	3.8	167	21.0	-	-
YORK	43	5.7	4	0.5	291	36.7	34	4.5
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	485	7.6	-	-	640	5.5	20	0.2
BALTIMORE	1 514	5.4	-	-	1 935	6.6	142	0.5
HARFORD	466	16.8	20	0.7	734	26.3	13	0.5
MONTGOMERY	1 687	5.1	-	-	5 324	16.0	273	0.8
PRINCE GEORGES	2 634	5.9	5	(2)	4 323	12.6	390	1.1
WASHINGTON	116	12.4	-	-	286	30.5	9	0.9
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE	204	6.3	86	2.7	1 362	42.1	2	0.1
BERKSHIRE	69	3.5	38	1.9	922	47.0	-	-
BRISTOL	224	4.0	309	5.5	2 092	37.2	-	-
ESSEX	299	3.1	183	1.9	4 037	42.0	2	(2)
HAMPDEN	573	5.2	285	2.6	4 079	37.1	1 641	14.9
HAMPSHIRE	87	4.3	33	1.6	1 058	52.4	171	8.5

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expendi- ture	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	1 398 987	43 673	21 387	49.0	151	0.7	10 110	47.3
NORFOLK . . . . .	619 994	12 589	7 033	55.9	65	0.9	3 772	53.0
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	379 778	12 674	7 853	62.0	57	3.3	3 968	50.5
WORCESTER . . . . .	648 095	17 291	9 366	54.2	51	0.5	4 828	51.3
MICHIGAN								
BAY . . . . .	120 099	31 086	4 594	14.8	645	18.4	900	19.0
BERRIEN . . . . .	170 549	24 854	6 244	25.1	1 304	20.9	1 655	26.7
CALHOUN . . . . .	143 498	15 711	5 563	35.4	1 015	16.2	2 404	43.2
GENESEE . . . . .	449 518	82 048	14 607	17.6	2 640	18.1	4 627	31.7
INGHAM . . . . .	267 581	48 809	7 583	15.5	2 022	26.7	2 505	33.0
JACKSON . . . . .	146 542	38 972	4 618	11.8	1 123	24.3	1 236	26.6
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	201 366	20 702	7 154	34.6	1 666	26.4	1 649	23.1
KENT . . . . .	423 601	63 334	10 960	20.5	1 976	18.0	2 010	18.3
MACOMB . . . . .	669 813	74 379	13 980	18.8	2 322	16.6	5 359	38.3
MONROE . . . . .	127 094	22 791	4 047	17.8	1 613	39.9	1 009	24.3
MUSKEGON . . . . .	157 646	37 595	4 787	12.7	1 282	26.8	1 292	27.0
OAKLAND . . . . .	972 916	168 616	23 963	14.2	5 926	24.7	5 639	23.5
OTTAWA . . . . .	140 556	13 175	2 964	22.5	1 162	34.9	742	25.3
SAGINAW . . . . .	226 682	45 887	6 390	13.9	1 353	21.2	1 716	26.4
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	130 749	36 691	4 034	10.4	981	24.3	1 124	27.4
WASHTENAW . . . . .	244 724	36 494	9 921	27.2	2 615	20.4	2 393	24.0
WAYNE . . . . .	2 517 837	519 563	83 315	16.0	11 008	13.2	25 153	30.2
MINNESOTA								
ANDOKA . . . . .	186 328	30 479	3 917	12.9	1 633	41.7	994	25.4
DAKOTA . . . . .	166 793	24 218	2 693	11.1	756	28.1	1 040	38.6
HENNEPIN . . . . .	915 603	259 786	32 603	12.5	3 712	11.4	8 546	26.2
RAMSPY . . . . .	456 006	158 512	17 543	11.1	2 124	12.1	4 047	28.2
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	216 220	68 449	6 808	9.9	1 757	25.8	1 904	28.0
MISSISSIPPI								
HARRISON . . . . .	143 528	32 183	4 377	13.6	878	20.1	2 387	64.0
HINDS . . . . .	228 521	26 234	5 068	19.3	646	12.7	1 134	27.4
JACKSON . . . . .	105 186	35 239	1 847	5.2	553	20.9	841	45.5
MISSOURI								
CLAY . . . . .	133 198	5 544	1 954	35.0	402	20.6	453	23.7
GREENE . . . . .	167 956	6 067	1 912	31.5	685	35.8	600	31.4
JACKSON . . . . .	634 589	64 021	15 710	24.5	1 249	6.0	4 612	29.4
JEFFERSON . . . . .	121 769	4 168	1 518	36.4	621	40.9	398	26.2
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	115 994	4 805	1 776	37.0	896	50.5	429	24.2
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	960 451	127 070	25 239	19.9	14 047	55.7	5 847	23.2
NEBRASKA								
DOUGLAS . . . . .	411 878	59 457	7 657	12.9	1 355	17.7	2 007	26.2
LANCASTER . . . . .	181 659	20 175	3 606	17.9	568	15.8	1 564	43.4
NEVADA								
CLARK . . . . .	330 714	135 511	34 001	25.1	18 637	54.8	4 745	14.0
WASHOE . . . . .	144 780	71 898	7 596	10.6	2 495	30.4	1 514	19.9
NEW HAMPSHIRE								
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	241 874	12 495	1 973	15.8	91	4.6	1 049	53.2
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	161 336	6 826	1 369	20.1	256	18.7	760	55.5
NEW JERSEY								
ATLANTIC . . . . .	188 106	55 792	5 437	9.7	409	7.5	1 580	29.1
BERGEN . . . . .	880 260	140 596	16 413	11.7	3 697	23.7	5 233	31.9
BURLINGTON . . . . .	345 696	59 653	5 523	9.3	322	5.8	1 220	22.1
CAMDEN . . . . .	476 511	133 501	15 428	11.6	1 729	11.2	3 310	21.5
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	132 938	33 315	3 148	9.5	231	7.3	642	20.4
ESSEX . . . . .	882 487	308 130	40 168	13.4	4 975	12.4	12 202	30.4
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	189 562	27 774	3 116	11.2	364	11.7	721	27.1
HUDSON . . . . .	377 519	141 779	15 170	10.7	2 954	10.5	4 333	28.6
MERCER . . . . .	318 374	103 226	12 333	11.9	663	5.4	2 301	18.7
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	592 771	134 051	16 223	12.1	1 615	10.0	6 508	40.1
MONMOUTH . . . . .	492 030	96 439	7 052	7.3	901	12.8	1 487	26.2
MORRIS . . . . .	393 624	89 878	6 595	7.3	1 645	25.1	1 588	29.2
OCEAN . . . . .	292 991	53 198	5 726	10.8	1 093	19.1	1 251	21.8
PASSAIC . . . . .	492 664	90 961	12 457	13.7	1 049	8.4	3 708	29.8
SOMERSET . . . . .	202 091	37 085	4 532	12.2	846	18.7	983	21.7
UNION . . . . .	920 823	85 636	13 228	15.4	1 339	10.1	4 266	32.2
NEW MEXICO								
BERNALILLO . . . . .	362 087	22 899	4 606	20.1	2 650	57.5	142	3.1
NEW YORK								
ALBANY . . . . .	287 580	270 579	8 583	3.2	987	11.5	1 544	16.0
BROOME . . . . .	219 376	82 321	3 634	4.4	1 057	29.1	698	19.2
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	147 156	50 372	2 788	5.5	910	32.6	515	18.5
CHEMUNG . . . . .	100 377	34 805	2 202	6.3	606	27.5	418	19.0
DUTCHESS . . . . .	234 511	68 421	5 379	7.9	1 274	23.7	1 287	23.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services, and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	739	3.5	554	2.6	7 450	34.8	2 383	11.1
NORFOLK . . . . .	429	6.1	93	1.3	2 650	37.7	24	0.3
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	707	9.0	268	3.4	2 653	33.8	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	282	3.0	100	1.1	4 105	43.8	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
BAY . . . . .	270	6.0	100	2.2	2 473	53.8	-	-
BERRIEN . . . . .	456	10.2	232	3.7	2 407	38.5	-	-
CALHOUN . . . . .	350	6.3	179	3.2	1 615	29.0	-	-
GENESEE . . . . .	2 133	14.6	386	2.6	4 753	32.5	68	0.5
INGHAM . . . . .	845	11.1	475	6.3	1 736	22.9	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	397	8.6	1 498	7.9	1 498	32.4	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	821	11.5	261	3.6	2 537	35.5	-	-
KLINT . . . . .	745	8.3	479	4.4	2 542	50.6	206	1.9
MACOMB . . . . .	1 531	10.4	885	6.3	3 900	27.9	63	0.4
MONROE . . . . .	702	7.5	182	4.5	941	23.3	-	-
MUSKEGON . . . . .	320	6.8	135	2.8	1 751	36.6	1	(2)
OAKLAND . . . . .	2 123	8.9	1 175	4.9	8 337	34.8	763	3.2
OTTAWA . . . . .	131	4.4	106	3.0	774	26.1	29	1.0
SAGINAW . . . . .	455	7.1	462	7.2	2 338	36.6	64	1.0
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	340	8.7	227	5.6	994	24.6	359	8.9
WASHTENAW . . . . .	620	6.2	454	4.6	3 035	30.0	614	6.2
WAYNE . . . . .	6 279	7.5	4 535	5.8	29 190	35.0	6 834	8.2
MINNESOTA:								
ANDKA . . . . .	520	13.3	157	4.0	613	15.0	-	-
DAKOTA . . . . .	319	11.8	116	4.3	462	17.2	-	-
HENNEPIN . . . . .	3 102	9.7	1 694	5.2	15 093	46.3	390	1.2
RAMSEY . . . . .	1 114	6.4	522	3.0	8 621	50.3	15	0.1
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	645	9.5	320	4.8	2 174	31.9	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON . . . . .	203	4.6	43	1.0	366	8.4	-	-
HINDS . . . . .	84	1.7	128	2.3	2 938	58.0	138	2.7
JACKSON . . . . .	79	4.3	28	1.5	304	10.5	42	2.3
MISSOURI:								
CLAY . . . . .	181	9.3	-	-	820	42.0	88	4.5
GREENE . . . . .	184	9.6	11	0.6	364	19.0	68	3.0
JACKSON . . . . .	1 476	9.4	19	0.1	8 339	53.1	15	0.1
JEFFERSON . . . . .	143	9.4	-	-	272	17.9	84	5.0
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	139	7.8	-	-	293	16.5	19	1.1
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	1 262	5.0	-	-	4 663	16.1	20	0.1
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS . . . . .	603	8.9	319	4.2	3 293	43.0	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	632	17.5	239	6.6	603	16.7	-	-
NEVADA:								
CLARK . . . . .	2 664	7.9	966	2.8	6 969	20.6	-	-
WASHOE . . . . .	1 062	14.0	390	5.1	1 586	20.9	47	0.6
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	134	6.8	-	-	699	35.4	-	-
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	60	4.4	-	-	292	21.3	1	0.1
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC . . . . .	1 195	22.0	-	-	2 073	38.1	180	3.3
BERGEN . . . . .	2 095	17.7	-	-	4 224	25.7	154	0.9
BURLINGTON . . . . .	1 062	19.2	-	-	2 835	51.3	84	1.5
CAMDEN . . . . .	2 094	19.4	-	-	7 237	46.9	158	1.0
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	339	10.8	-	-	1 885	59.9	51	1.6
ESSEX . . . . .	4 030	12.3	89	0.2	17 720	44.1	272	0.7
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	608	14.5	-	-	1 379	44.2	46	1.5
HUDSON . . . . .	3 165	20.9	-	-	4 718	31.1	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	1 482	12.0	-	-	7 857	63.7	30	0.2
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	2 766	17.0	33	0.2	5 193	32.0	108	0.7
MONMOUTH . . . . .	1 230	17.6	3	(2)	2 848	40.4	74	1.1
MORRIS . . . . .	1 258	19.2	-	-	1 949	29.7	115	1.7
OCEAN . . . . .	1 204	21.0	-	-	2 128	37.2	50	0.9
PASSAIC . . . . .	2 511	20.2	2	(2)	5 005	40.2	182	1.5
SOMERSET . . . . .	940	20.7	5	0.1	1 679	37.0	79	1.7
UNION . . . . .	2 038	22.2	-	-	4 174	31.6	511	3.9
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO . . . . .	117	2.5	-	-	1 135	24.6	562	12.2
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY . . . . .	739	8.6	283	3.3	5 030	58.6	-	-
BROOME . . . . .	386	10.6	140	3.9	1 295	34.6	58	1.6
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	311	11.2	131	4.7	921	33.0	-	-
CHEMUNG . . . . .	254	11.5	82	3.7	842	38.2	-	-
DUTCHESS . . . . .	520	9.7	250	4.6	2 020	37.6	28	0.3

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

(Dollar amounts in thousands)								
County <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE . . . . .	1 089 327	540 951	26 737	4.9	5 169	19.3	5 272	19.7
MORRIS . . . . .	708 642	426 537	23 391	5.5	5 972	25.5	5 740	24.3
NASSAU . . . . .	1 403 289	810 968	143 032	17.6	90 948	63.6	24 055	16.8
NIAGARA . . . . .	237 521	108 466	5 215	4.8	1 339	25.7	1 535	29.4
ONEIDA . . . . .	266 077	78 282	4 193	5.4	691	16.5	1 548	36.9
ONONDAGA . . . . .	472 708	223 686	17 077	7.6	3 310	19.4	3 020	17.7
ORANGE . . . . .	241 811	78 000	4 836	6.2	74	1.5	1 497	39.2
OSWEGO . . . . .	109 651	37 321	2 305	6.2	563	24.4	596	26.9
RENSSELAER . . . . .	153 377	54 705	2 881	5.3	390	13.5	692	23.7
ROCKLAND . . . . .	251 114	112 795	9 179	8.1	1 326	14.4	1 702	18.5
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	117 048	35 608	1 793	5.0	458	25.5	447	27.2
SARATOGA . . . . .	143 980	50 541	2 027	4.0	482	23.8	630	31.1
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	157 348	47 554	2 547	5.4	129	6.1	767	37.1
STEUBEN . . . . .	101 003	29 080	1 758	6.0	200	11.4	528	36.0
SUFFOLK . . . . .	1 253 550	621 743	102 104	16.4	72 904	71.4	11 011	10.3
ULSTER . . . . .	153 761	53 721	3 764	7.0	444	11.8	1 414	37.6
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	879 241	474 876	28 165	5.9	5 071	18.0	6 685	23.7
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	150 952	67 370	2 110	3.1	1 073	50.9	467	22.1
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	226 146	123 058	7 959	6.5	2 070	26.0	4 461	42.3
DURHAM . . . . .	139 320	73 150	3 460	4.7	421	12.2	372	10.8
FORSYTH . . . . .	226 332	119 140	3 162	2.7	1 107	36.0	1 121	35.5
GASTON . . . . .	156 529	71 587	1 913	2.7	999	52.2	251	13.1
GUILFORD . . . . .	299 484	139 931	4 351	3.1	1 567	36.0	801	13.4
HECKLENBURG . . . . .	373 925	206 358	8 032	3.9	3 027	37.7	2 953	36.6
WISLON . . . . .	109 827	34 316	1 561	4.5	444	28.4	718	45.0
WAKE . . . . .	261 868	116 809	2 072	1.8	658	31.6	730	37.6
OHIO:								
ALLEN . . . . .	108 734	17 858	2 202	12.3	531	24.1	632	27.3
ASHTABULA . . . . .	101 940	12 339	1 590	12.9	538	33.8	561	37.3
BUTLER . . . . .	244 562	30 370	3 152	10.4	731	23.2	1 095	31.7
CLARK . . . . .	154 884	22 349	3 431	15.4	709	20.7	701	21.4
CLEMONT . . . . .	108 886	16 460	1 433	8.7	501	21.0	618	43.1
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	113 182	10 210	1 694	16.6	345	20.4	657	35.8
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	1 592 613	315 620	45 719	14.5	3 185	7.0	13 102	20.7
FRANKLIN . . . . .	858 239	119 095	18 804	15.8	5 874	31.2	5 967	31.7
GREENE . . . . .	124 779	19 162	2 249	11.7	578	25.7	724	32.2
HAMILTON . . . . .	900 284	127 538	20 564	16.1	6 503	31.6	6 255	30.5
LAKE . . . . .	206 881	42 360	3 204	7.6	844	26.3	1 079	33.7
LICKING . . . . .	113 316	14 816	1 795	12.1	441	24.6	659	36.7
LORAIN . . . . .	268 579	29 973	4 065	13.6	799	19.7	1 138	28.3
LUCAS . . . . .	476 657	57 896	12 208	21.1	1 509	12.4	3 265	26.7
MAHONING . . . . .	307 339	65 126	3 876	6.0	706	16.2	1 465	37.3
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	587 507	99 244	15 668	15.8	3 315	21.2	3 839	24.3
PORTAGE . . . . .	132 257	34 039	2 531	7.4	724	28.6	955	37.7
RICHLAND . . . . .	130 915	13 891	2 767	19.9	675	24.4	823	29.7
STARK . . . . .	376 047	40 115	6 020	15.0	1 790	29.7	1 541	25.6
SUMMIT . . . . .	534 900	75 606	8 456	11.2	1 967	23.2	2 681	31.0
TRUMBULL . . . . .	241 219	30 041	3 983	13.3	1 431	35.9	1 396	35.7
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE . . . . .	105 059	14 401	1 647	11.4	315	19.1	616	37.4
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	537 939	29 162	4 303	14.8	312	7.3	1 736	40.3
TULSA . . . . .	416 892	27 577	5 629	20.4	827	14.7	2 320	41.2
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	206 014	25 606	4 982	19.5	1 961	39.4	1 037	20.8
JACKSON . . . . .	112 235	20 570	4 553	22.1	1 462	32.1	749	16.5
LAKE . . . . .	237 937	55 807	10 075	18.1	2 629	26.1	3 706	36.8
MARION . . . . .	166 920	16 564	4 390	26.5	1 221	27.8	1 176	26.8
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	530 412	77 155	23 972	31.1	8 658	36.1	5 688	23.7
WASHINGTON . . . . .	191 741	41 637	4 251	10.2	1 819	42.8	721	17.0
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	1 517 996	218 164	32 635	15.0	5 733	17.6	11 718	35.9
BEAVER . . . . .	209 328	16 449	3 953	24.0	570	14.4	1 813	45.9
BERKS . . . . .	305 017	27 925	4 719	16.9	155	3.3	1 895	40.2
BLAIR . . . . .	134 661	8 354	1 569	18.8	32	2.0	783	49.9
BUCKS . . . . .	460 978	49 777	9 192	18.5	681	7.4	3 438	37.4
BUTLER . . . . .	138 152	13 514	1 944	10.7	64	4.4	633	43.4
CAMBRIA . . . . .	187 851	19 589	2 222	11.3	89	4.0	1 079	48.6
CENTRE . . . . .	110 118	8 924	1 120	12.6	15	1.3	488	43.6
CHESTER . . . . .	293 074	29 835	7 686	25.8	898	11.7	2 054	26.7
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	171 294	13 072	1 941	14.8	210	10.8	704	36.3
DAUPHIN . . . . .	223 343	26 278	4 915	18.7	164	3.3	1 750	35.6
DELAWARE . . . . .	591 671	73 397	14 337	19.5	527	3.7	5 792	40.4
ERIE . . . . .	273 396	25 855	6 157	23.8	179	2.9	1 694	27.5
FAYETTE . . . . .	156 607	6 664	1 891	28.4	389	20.6	822	43.5
FRANKLIN . . . . .	105 372	6 451	1 406	21.8	46	3.3	480	34.1
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	234 771	17 288	2 360	13.7	50	2.1	1 153	48.9
LANCASTER . . . . .	342 797	23 886	7 117	29.8	264	3.7	3 523	49.5
LAWRENCE . . . . .	106 623	7 648	1 276	16.7	30	2.4	547	42.9

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure  
(direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE . . . . .	3 129	11.7	1 011	3.8	12 028	45.0	128	0.3
MONROE . . . . .	1 972	8.4	704	3.0	8 067	34.5	936	4.0
NASSAU . . . . .	6 774	4.7	1 951	1.4	19 147	13.4	197	0.1
NIAGARA . . . . .	403	7.7	129	2.5	1 633	31.3	176	3.4
ONEIDA . . . . .	458	10.9	152	3.6	1 329	31.7	15	0.4
ONONDAGA . . . . .	1 422	8.3	725	4.2	8 308	48.7	292	1.7
ORANGE . . . . .	503	12.3	277	5.7	1 983	41.0	12	0.3
OSWEGO . . . . .	222	9.6	32	1.4	692	38.7	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	293	10.2	160	5.6	1 324	46.0	32	1.1
ROCKLAND . . . . .	1 058	11.5	2 239	24.4	2 767	30.1	87	0.9
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	151	8.4	84	4.7	613	34.2	-	-
SARATOGA . . . . .	209	10.3	56	2.8	650	32.1	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	276	10.0	78	3.1	1 293	50.8	4	0.2
SUFFERN . . . . .	198	11.3	90	5.1	742	42.2	-	-
SUFFOLK . . . . .	4 490	4.4	1 528	1.5	11 546	11.3	625	0.6
ULSTER . . . . .	346	9.2	111	2.9	1 347	35.8	102	2.7
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	4 239	15.1	1 666	5.9	10 236	16.3	268	1.3
NORTH CAROLINA:								
HUNCOMBE . . . . .	62	2.9	-	-	477	22.6	31	1.5
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	106	1.3	-	-	614	10.2	8	0.1
DURHAM . . . . .	57	1.6	-	-	281	8.1	2 329	67.3
FORSYTH . . . . .	99	3.1	-	-	429	26.2	6	0.2
GASTON . . . . .	48	2.5	17	0.9	559	29.2	39	2.1
GUILFORD . . . . .	70	6.2	-	-	1 515	34.8	198	4.6
MECKLENBURG . . . . .	89	1.1	18	0.2	1 358	16.9	587	7.3
ONSLOW . . . . .	33	2.1	-	-	366	23.4	-	-
WAKE . . . . .	104	5.0	-	-	469	22.6	61	2.9
OHIO:								
ALLEN . . . . .	224	10.3	71	3.2	772	35.1	-	-
ASHTABULA . . . . .	110	6.9	30	1.9	351	22.1	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	220	7.0	61	1.9	818	26.0	227	7.2
CLARK . . . . .	164	4.8	122	3.6	1 679	40.9	56	1.0
CLEMONT . . . . .	96	6.7	36	2.5	323	22.5	59	4.1
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	180	10.6	107	6.3	362	21.4	43	2.5
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	1 790	3.9	1 541	3.4	8 502	18.6	17 599	38.5
FRANKLIN . . . . .	1 633	8.7	967	5.1	4 305	22.9	58	0.3
GREENE . . . . .	186	8.3	131	5.8	630	28.0	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	934	4.5	595	2.9	6 095	29.6	172	0.6
LAKE . . . . .	321	10.0	139	4.3	749	23.4	72	2.2
LICKING . . . . .	196	10.9	74	4.1	412	23.0	13	0.7
LORAIN . . . . .	352	8.7	63	1.5	1 703	41.9	10	0.2
LUCAS . . . . .	478	3.9	611	5.0	6 385	52.0	-	-
MAHONING . . . . .	333	8.6	147	3.8	1 217	31.4	8	0.2
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	980	6.3	450	2.9	4 102	26.2	2 932	18.7
PORTAGE . . . . .	195	7.7	82	3.2	570	22.5	5	0.2
RICHLAND . . . . .	344	12.4	38	1.4	870	31.4	17	0.6
STARK . . . . .	401	6.7	251	4.2	2 037	33.8	-	-
SUMMIT . . . . .	701	8.3	125	1.5	2 616	30.8	406	4.8
TRUMBULL . . . . .	290	7.3	123	3.1	743	18.7	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE . . . . .	264	16.0	86	5.2	366	22.2	-	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	620	14.4	331	7.7	1 304	30.3	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	725	12.9	165	2.9	1 592	28.3	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	587	11.8	145	2.9	1 057	21.2	195	3.9
JACKSON . . . . .	314	6.9	158	3.5	1 180	25.9	690	15.2
LANE . . . . .	1 199	11.9	482	4.8	2 059	20.4	-	-
MARION . . . . .	464	12.8	212	4.8	1 217	27.7	-	-
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	2 799	11.7	1 412	5.9	5 040	21.0	375	1.6
WASHINGTON . . . . .	439	10.3	296	7.0	976	23.0	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	2 704	8.3	931	2.9	11 499	35.2	50	0.2
BEAVER . . . . .	314	7.9	114	2.9	1 107	26.0	35	0.9
BERKS . . . . .	351	7.4	114	2.4	2 204	46.7	-	-
BLAIR . . . . .	108	6.9	59	3.8	587	37.4	-	-
BUCKS . . . . .	1 016	11.1	318	3.5	3 739	40.7	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	125	8.7	44	3.0	578	40.0	-	-
CAMBRIA . . . . .	230	10.4	85	3.8	739	33.3	-	-
CENTRE . . . . .	73	6.5	69	6.2	475	42.4	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	979	12.7	373	4.9	3 382	44.0	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	137	7.1	48	2.5	824	42.5	18	0.9
DAUPHIN . . . . .	499	10.2	181	3.7	2 257	45.9	64	1.3
DELAWARE . . . . .	1 722	12.0	549	3.8	5 699	39.8	48	0.3
ERIE . . . . .	471	7.6	334	5.4	3 408	55.4	71	1.2
FAYETTE . . . . .	138	7.3	58	3.1	484	25.6	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	90	6.4	50	3.6	740	52.6	-	-
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	259	11.0	102	4.3	796	33.7	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	503	7.1	311	4.4	2 516	35.4	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	123	9.6	39	3.1	537	42.1	-	-

Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expendi- ture	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON	122 309	8 328	1 444	17.4	154	10.6	567	39.2
LEHIGH	263 566	31 466	4 371	13.9	49	2.0	1 622	37.1
LUZERNE	345 645	19 657	3 753	19.1	123	3.3	1 782	47.5
LYCOMING	114 897	8 995	2 093	23.3	30	1.4	759	36.3
MERCER	127 741	9 267	2 718	29.3	30	1.1	745	27.4
MONTGOMERY	634 001	63 628	11 935	18.6	1 295	10.9	5 307	44.5
NORTHAMPTON	224 883	25 483	3 636	14.3	50	1.4	1 289	35.6
SCHUYLKILL	160 118	14 966	2 573	17.2	43	1.7	875	34.3
WASHINGTON	214 611	15 077	2 285	15.2	57	2.5	1 104	40.3
WESTMORELAND	380 289	32 981	4 603	14.0	223	4.8	2 041	44.3
YORK	285 667	19 403	4 358	22.5	424	9.7	1 747	40.1
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	114 965	5 714	1 792	31.4	626	34.9	479	26.7
CHARLESTON	260 426	29 371	6 155	21.0	3 220	52.3	1 662	27.0
GREENVILLE	265 573	27 577	6 386	23.2	3 183	49.8	1 579	24.7
LEXINGTON	117 603	24 116	2 293	9.5	1 016	44.3	823	35.9
RICHLAND	247 553	47 670	6 571	13.8	2 392	36.4	2 597	39.5
SPARTANBURG	191 587	41 785	3 813	8.6	2 086	57.7	967	26.8
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	264 909	116 014	5 021	4.3	1 545	30.8	1 631	32.5
KNOX	293 405	80 021	6 382	8.0	1 615	25.3	3 701	58.0
SHREVEPORT	736 754	217 494	19 297	8.9	4 334	22.5	5 683	29.5
SULLIVAN	134 447	43 492	1 563	3.6	660	42.2	603	38.6
TEXAS:								
BELL	156 781	4 986	2 224	44.6	556	16.0	651	29.3
BEXAR	912 934	68 418	15 657	22.9	2 630	17.1	4 563	24.1
BRAZORIA	124 380	11 445	3 753	32.8	1 177	31.7	1 334	34.5
CAMEROON	176 931	8 751	4 177	47.7	197	4.7	870	20.8
DALLAS	1 405 126	122 373	31 707	25.9	3 350	10.6	14 032	44.3
EL PASO	424 479	28 733	5 792	20.2	1 229	21.2	1 749	30.2
GALVESTON	183 244	19 755	4 425	22.4	1 064	24.5	1 384	31.3
HARRIS	1 944 431	224 660	49 699	22.1	7 536	15.2	17 327	34.9
HIDALGO	227 853	10 218	4 371	42.8	514	11.8	574	13.1
JEFFERSON	241 246	12 158	5 021	41.3	982	19.6	1 714	34.1
LUBBOCK	197 248	13 252	2 290	17.3	448	19.6	875	38.2
MCKENNA	154 267	10 487	2 659	25.4	667	25.1	602	22.0
MUESES	248 422	47 436	7 476	15.8	1 138	15.2	1 440	24.6
SMITH	107 597	4 022	1 351	33.6	459	34.0	426	31.5
TARRANT	728 951	50 510	11 453	22.7	2 277	19.9	3 930	34.3
TAYLOR	105 390	3 108	1 156	37.2	184	15.9	473	40.9
TRAVIS	361 839	18 081	9 049	50.0	1 401	15.5	2 246	24.4
WICHITA	119 515	4 003	1 476	36.9	318	21.5	487	33.0
UTAH:								
DAVIS	114 652	6 100	1 379	22.6	475	34.4	169	12.3
SALT LAKE	512 130	70 342	12 373	17.6	5 498	45.2	2 169	17.3
UTAH	165 745	7 432	1 730	23.4	360	20.7	414	23.8
VERMONT	133 127	13 097	1 921	14.7	536	27.9	279	14.0
VERMONT: CHITTENDEN								
	105 559	205	103	50.2	4	3.9	97	94.2
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	155 518	117 634	11 074	9.4	7 351	66.4	1 336	12.1
FAIRFAX	512 915	431 371	28 049	6.5	16 244	57.9	3 851	13.7
HENRICO	167 728	100 616	10 231	10.2	5 672	55.4	2 112	20.6
PRINCE WILLIAM	123 376	82 002	4 721	5.8	3 272	69.3	655	13.9
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	154 590	21 924	4 800	21.9	1 700	38.5	1 017	21.2
KING	1 142 844	203 511	31 072	15.3	10 619	34.2	7 034	22.6
KITSAP	116 710	16 365	2 960	18.1	1 102	37.2	702	23.7
PIERCE	415 707	53 277	10 568	19.8	4 103	30.8	1 999	18.9
SHOHOHISH	264 202	30 983	6 807	22.0	2 866	42.1	1 609	23.6
SPOKANE	306 338	40 425	7 697	19.0	2 624	34.1	1 489	19.3
YAKIMA	155 516	23 046	3 932	17.1	1 070	27.2	1 091	27.7
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CARELL	103 654	5 819	1 226	21.1	314	25.6	324	26.4
KANAWHA	225 037	13 384	2 896	21.6	440	15.2	1 543	53.3
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	169 467	34 501	3 413	9.9	1 893	55.5	613	18.0
DANE	301 668	58 313	7 384	12.7	2 815	38.1	1 899	23.7
KENOSHA	122 621	23 877	2 795	11.7	1 526	54.6	651	23.3
MARATHON	104 854	28 501	1 973	6.9	1 040	52.7	432	21.9
MILWAUKEE	1 012 335	361 348	27 612	7.6	3 765	13.6	10 533	38.1
OUTAGAMIE	124 414	24 492	2 324	9.5	1 158	49.8	686	29.5
RACINE	175 781	40 209	4 555	11.3	1 648	40.6	1 199	26.3
ROCK	133 993	42 371	4 434	10.5	1 043	23.5	784	17.7
WAUKESHA	255 779	40 599	4 434	10.9	2 217	50.0	1 181	26.6
WINNEBAGO	130 248	25 323	1 962	7.7	975	49.7	546	27.8
- Represents zero or rounds to zero.								

<sup>1</sup> - Represents zero or rounds to zero.<sup>2</sup> - Less than half the unit of measurement shown.<sup>3</sup> - Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.



Table 15. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON . . . . .	76	6.4	28	1.9	621	42.9	-	-
LEHIGH . . . . .	437	10.0	117	2.7	2 106	46.2	-	-
LUZERN . . . . .	377	10.0	194	5.2	1 277	34.0	-	-
LYCOMING . . . . .	156	7.5	59	2.8	1 680	51.6	9	0.4
MERCER . . . . .	155	5.7	135	5.0	1 585	58.3	66	2.3
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	1 222	10.2	490	4.1	3 621	31.3	-	-
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	262	7.2	149	4.1	1 686	51.9	-	-
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	222	8.6	104	4.0	1 329	51.7	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	213	9.3	67	2.9	539	36.7	5	0.2
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	497	10.8	136	3.0	1 706	37.1	-	-
YORK . . . . .	222	5.1	78	1.8	1 587	43.3	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON . . . . .	72	4.0	19	1.1	596	33.3	-	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	214	3.5	87	1.4	939	15.3	33	0.5
GREENVILLE . . . . .	121	5.0	93	1.5	886	13.9	326	5.1
LEXINGTON . . . . .	46	2.0	7	0.3	338	14.7	65	2.7
RICHLAND . . . . .	470	10.2	147	2.2	763	11.6	2	(7)
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	127	3.5	56	1.5	215	6.0	162	4.5
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON . . . . .	194	3.9	-	-	1 473	29.3	174	3.5
KNOX . . . . .	145	2.3	5	0.1	362	13.5	54	0.8
SHELBY . . . . .	656	3.4	608	3.2	7 039	36.6	977	5.1
SULLIVAN . . . . .	37	2.4	4	0.3	259	10.6	-	-
TEXAS:								
PELL . . . . .	447	20.1	71	3.2	661	29.7	38	1.7
REXAR . . . . .	1 724	11.0	475	3.1	3 673	24.7	2 334	14.9
BRAZORIA . . . . .	346	0.2	31	0.8	524	21.9	43	1.1
CAMERON . . . . .	255	6.1	69	1.7	750	10.0	2 036	48.7
DALLAS . . . . .	3 375	10.6	1 022	3.2	9 854	31.1	74	0.2
EL PASO . . . . .	547	9.4	214	3.7	2 026	35.6	27	0.5
GALVESTON . . . . .	466	10.5	81	1.8	1 314	29.7	97	2.2
HARRIS . . . . .	5 700	11.5	897	1.8	15 023	30.2	3 216	6.5
KIDALGO . . . . .	268	6.1	51	1.2	2 964	67.8	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	646	12.9	172	3.4	689	17.7	618	12.3
LUPBOCK . . . . .	360	15.7	27	1.2	519	22.7	61	2.7
MCLENNAN . . . . .	295	11.1	30	1.1	908	34.1	157	5.9
RUECES . . . . .	490	6.6	129	1.7	936	12.5	2 943	39.4
SMITH . . . . .	149	11.0	39	2.9	235	17.4	43	3.2
TARRANT . . . . .	2 283	20.0	349	3.0	2 483	21.7	126	1.1
TAYLOR . . . . .	106	9.2	71	6.1	289	25.0	33	2.9
TRAVIS . . . . .	798	6.8	159	1.8	4 064	44.9	381	4.2
WICHITA . . . . .	185	12.5	35	2.4	416	28.2	35	2.4
UTAH:								
DAVIS . . . . .	240	17.4	37	2.7	156	11.3	302	21.9
SALT LAKE . . . . .	1 577	12.6	360	2.9	1 665	15.9	724	5.9
UTAH . . . . .	182	10.5	70	4.0	615	35.4	95	5.5
WEVER . . . . .	169	9.8	75	3.9	467	25.4	355	18.5
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	2	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON . . . . .	454	3.5	-	-	1 406	12.7	592	5.3
FAIRFAX . . . . .	432	7.3	87	0.3	6 441	23.0	494	1.6
HENRICO . . . . .	457	4.5	-	-	1 080	19.4	10	0.1
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	247	5.2	-	-	492	10.4	55	1.2
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK . . . . .	366	7.7	286	5.8	1 413	29.4	16	0.3
KING . . . . .	2 546	8.4	2 073	6.7	8 508	27.6	162	0.5
KITSAP . . . . .	407	10.4	163	5.5	654	22.1	32	1.1
PIERCE . . . . .	460	8.1	492	4.7	3 067	29.0	47	0.4
SNOWHISH . . . . .	514	7.6	287	4.2	1 492	21.9	39	0.6
SPOKANE . . . . .	459	7.3	487	6.3	2 137	27.8	401	5.2
YAKIMA . . . . .	358	9.1	210	5.3	1 172	29.6	31	0.3
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL . . . . .	124	10.1	-	-	464	37.6	-	-
KANAWHA . . . . .	284	9.8	-	-	629	21.7	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN . . . . .	168	4.9	85	2.5	654	19.2	-	-
DANE . . . . .	1 457	15.7	284	3.8	1 229	16.6	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	249	8.9	112	4.0	258	0.2	-	-
MARATHON . . . . .	130	6.6	26	1.3	323	16.4	22	1.1
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	2 549	9.2	1 384	5.0	9 267	33.6	114	0.4
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	196	8.4	50	2.2	234	10.1	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	371	8.1	337	7.4	800	17.6	-	-
ROCK . . . . .	275	8.2	269	6.1	1 997	45.0	66	1.5
WAUKESHA . . . . .	335	7.6	167	3.8	500	11.3	34	0.8
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	178	9.1	97	4.9	125	6.4	41	2.1

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL . . . . .	123 048 116	26 658 437	3 902 836	14.6	1 130 482	29.0	997 946	25.6
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN . . . . .	106 491	4 373	930	21.3	188	20.2	479	41.5
JEFFERSON . . . . .	644 688	112 843	11 372	10.1	3 187	28.0	4 696	41.2
MADISON . . . . .	183 285	10 901	2 666	24.2	589	27.1	1 033	38.7
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	335 268	22 374	5 562	24.9	1 526	27.4	2 402	43.2
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	181 207	8 108	2 923	36.1	474	16.2	1 372	46.9
	122 169	8 876	2 045	23.0	489	23.9	1 012	49.5
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA . . . . .	1 221 414	187 726	33 832	18.0	6 933	20.5	9 449	27.9
PIMA . . . . .	443 958	138 721	31 060	22.4	10 625	34.2	6 683	21.5
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI . . . . .	308 294	10 176	4 243	41.7	1 150	27.1	1 283	30.2
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	1 090 353	340 161	75 251	22.1	9 371	12.5	16 748	22.3
BUTTE . . . . .	120 053	40 074	5 820	14.5	1 750	36.1	1 441	24.8
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	584 047	225 389	36 054	16.0	4 894	13.6	7 979	22.1
FRESNO . . . . .	445 727	195 451	27 832	14.2	7 379	26.5	4 976	17.9
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	105 912	41 099	6 566	16.0	2 620	39.9	1 249	19.0
YERN . . . . .	349 874	172 973	28 889	16.7	7 596	20.3	4 916	17.0
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	6 986 898	2 694 061	409 442	15.2	128 437	31.4	94 976	23.2
MARIN . . . . .	220 424	64 109	14 061	21.9	2 424	17.2	3 156	22.5
MERCED . . . . .	118 290	59 018	5 408	9.2	1 458	27.0	1 382	25.6
MONTEREY . . . . .	267 828	90 661	16 070	17.7	4 158	28.9	3 055	19.0
ORANGE . . . . .	1 699 666	340 077	76 454	22.5	12 171	15.9	21 792	20.5
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	529 074	197 448	32 899	16.7	11 027	33.5	6 390	19.4
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	687 888	322 760	46 054	14.3	13 463	29.2	6 582	14.3
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	696 871	259 470	44 098	17.0	13 276	30.1	9 664	22.4
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	1 584 583	399 729	72 595	18.2	12 409	17.1	19 139	25.4
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	299 576	141 332	19 170	13.6	4 485	23.4	4 099	21.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	129 154	49 823	7 505	15.1	2 643	35.2	1 456	19.0
SAN MATEO . . . . .	580 962	162 065	32 947	20.3	7 433	22.6	7 110	21.6
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	279 693	101 798	18 545	18.2	5 615	30.3	3 737	20.2
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	1 174 171	399 588	60 627	15.2	11 031	14.3	13 002	21.4
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	156 108	61 138	10 129	16.6	2 491	24.6	1 842	18.2
SOLANO . . . . .	187 179	57 054	10 707	18.8	2 621	24.5	2 299	21.5
SONOMA . . . . .	246 557	102 529	14 687	14.3	3 813	26.0	3 680	25.1
STANISLAUS . . . . .	223 664	103 132	14 233	13.8	3 313	23.3	2 774	19.0
TULARE . . . . .	210 986	90 623	13 039	14.4	3 519	27.0	2 222	17.0
VENTURA . . . . .	437 853	169 767	25 775	15.2	7 914	30.7	5 515	21.4
YOLO . . . . .	101 201	38 987	6 817	17.5	2 332	34.2	1 269	18.0
COLORADO:								
ADAMS . . . . .	215 460	41 365	3 550	8.6	2 114	59.5	58	1.0
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	216 744	17 688	3 723	21.0	1 855	49.8	457	12.3
BOULDER . . . . .	163 071	22 148	5 146	23.2	1 698	33.0	127	2.5
EL PASO . . . . .	280 929	28 297	4 332	15.3	669	15.4	1 204	27.1
JEFFERSON . . . . .	313 964	34 581	5 474	15.6	3 148	57.5	153	2.3
LARIMER . . . . .	117 738	17 205	1 905	11.1	1 087	57.1	58	3.0
PUEBLO . . . . .	125 665	25 412	1 931	7.6	523	27.1	148	7.7
WELD . . . . .	107 365	36 004	1 451	4.0	816	56.2	9	0.6
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	399 354	66 621	6 383	9.6	4 884	76.5	1 206	18.9
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA . . . . .	121 945	20 585	5 382	26.1	1 942	36.1	1 479	27.5
BREVARD . . . . .	231 918	41 497	4 967	12.0	2 931	59.0	1 335	26.9
PROKARD . . . . .	848 190	75 769	15 646	20.6	6 684	42.7	4 758	30.4
DADE . . . . .	1 439 481	548 844	66 630	11.9	35 957	54.0	14 824	22.7
FSCAMBIA . . . . .	223 120	39 108	10 483	26.8	2 406	23.0	5 092	40.5
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	577 497	138 031	17 232	12.5	6 852	39.8	3 901	22.6
LEE . . . . .	154 161	28 107	4 703	16.7	2 603	55.3	1 210	25.7
LEON . . . . .	124 714	14 937	3 947	26.4	1 700	43.1	1 175	29.4
MANATEE . . . . .	121 471	64 220	2 784	4.3	1 678	60.3	670	24.1
ORANGE . . . . .	409 970	82 035	13 477	16.4	6 869	51.0	3 323	24.7
PALM BEACH . . . . .	455 451	72 649	15 962	22.0	8 303	52.0	3 739	23.4
PASCO . . . . .	126 640	23 480	4 253	18.1	2 245	52.8	1 410	33.2
PINELLAS . . . . .	643 540	68 571	15 337	22.4	5 989	39.0	5 500	35.9
POLK . . . . .	273 996	47 871	6 604	13.6	3 337	50.5	1 602	24.1
SARASOTA . . . . .	160 927	20 717	5 153	24.9	3 824	74.2	738	14.1
SEMINOLE . . . . .	135 001	15 692	3 568	22.7	1 956	58.8	1 044	29.1
VOLUSIA . . . . .	207 206	30 454	6 417	21.1	3 251	50.7	1 189	18.5
GEORGIA:								
BIBB . . . . .	142 978	12 096	5 198	43.0	1 364	26.2	1 057	20.3
CHATHAM . . . . .	183 304	17 462	14 794	84.7	1 624	11.0	7 251	49.0
CLAYTON . . . . .	130 516	19 233	4 740	24.6	2 508	52.9	1 016	21.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of  
333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL . . . . .	159 092	9.2	131 572	3.4	1 130 179	20.0	153 565	3.9
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN . . . . .	57	6.1	-	-	206	22.2	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	708	6.2	35	0.3	2 750	24.2	6	0.1
MADISON . . . . .	381	14.3	-	-	663	24.9	-	-
MORILE . . . . .	369	6.6	-	-	1 265	22.7	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	247	8.5	-	-	830	24.4	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	113	5.5	-	-	431	21.1	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA . . . . .	3 301	9.8	2 548	7.5	11 447	33.6	154	0.9
PIMA . . . . .	3 052	9.8	2 293	7.4	8 407	27.1	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI . . . . .	483	11.4	145	3.4	1 182	27.9	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	8 239	10.9	5 459	7.5	29 980	34.8	5 254	7.0
BUTTE . . . . .	4 995	11.9	333	5.7	1 601	27.5	-	-
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	4 878	13.5	2 386	6.6	15 439	42.2	481	1.3
FRESNO . . . . .	4 875	17.5	1 293	4.6	9 206	33.1	103	0.4
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	511	13.9	239	3.6	1 369	20.8	178	2.7
KERN . . . . .	2 735	9.5	929	3.2	12 317	42.6	396	1.4
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	46 404	11.3	19 678	4.8	119 247	24.3	-	-
MARIN . . . . .	1 124	8.0	810	5.8	4 474	31.8	2 063	14.7
MERCED . . . . .	547	10.1	140	2.7	1 629	30.1	244	4.2
MONTFERY . . . . .	1 200	12.2	739	4.6	6 126	38.1	30	0.2
ORANGE . . . . .	4 182	12.0	3 696	4.8	28 423	37.2	1 230	1.0
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	4 181	12.7	1 086	3.3	10 067	30.6	146	7.4
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	6 760	14.7	2 560	5.6	15 726	34.1	954	2.1
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	4 315	9.8	1 758	4.0	14 746	33.4	139	0.3
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	8 427	11.6	3 790	5.2	28 475	39.2	355	0.5
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	2 391	12.5	1 270	6.4	5 996	31.3	929	4.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	1 076	14.3	262	3.5	1 995	26.6	63	0.8
SAN MATEO . . . . .	2 709	8.2	1 207	3.7	13 471	41.2	917	2.8
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	2 322	12.3	812	4.4	6 359	32.7	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	6 817	10.7	2 885	4.8	22 010	36.3	5 132	8.5
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	1 380	13.6	398	3.9	3 993	39.4	25	0.2
SONOMA . . . . .	1 076	10.0	614	5.7	4 597	38.3	-	-
SONOMA . . . . .	1 389	9.5	489	3.3	5 169	35.2	147	1.1
STANISLAUS . . . . .	1 843	12.9	370	2.6	5 976	39.2	357	2.3
TULARE . . . . .	1 816	13.9	575	6.7	3 981	30.5	624	4.8
VENTURA . . . . .	3 572	13.9	514	3.5	7 253	28.1	607	2.4
YOLO . . . . .	643	12.4	432	6.3	1 941	28.5	-	-
COLORADO:								
ADAMS . . . . .	948	26.7	-	-	430	12.1	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	747	20.1	-	-	664	17.8	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	546	10.6	-	-	794	15.4	1 981	34.5
EL PASO . . . . .	1 267	20.2	-	-	1 192	27.5	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 186	21.7	5	0.1	465	8.5	514	9.1
LARIMER . . . . .	326	17.1	-	-	800	21.0	24	1.3
PUEBLO . . . . .	711	34.8	8	0.4	438	22.7	103	5.3
WELD . . . . .	430	29.6	-	-	168	11.6	28	1.9
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	293	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA . . . . .	154	2.9	34	0.6	1 723	32.0	50	0.9
BREVARD . . . . .	134	3.9	41	0.8	424	8.5	42	0.4
BROWARD . . . . .	363	2.3	142	0.9	2 804	17.9	895	5.7
DADE . . . . .	1 479	2.2	422	0.8	8 959	13.4	4 889	7.3
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	139	1.3	89	0.6	2 797	26.7	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	392	2.3	332	1.9	4 907	28.5	848	4.4
LEE . . . . .	147	3.1	74	1.6	4 475	10.1	194	4.1
LEON . . . . .	101	2.6	22	0.6	949	24.0	-	-
MANATTE . . . . .	113	4.1	-	-	316	11.4	7	0.3
ORANGE . . . . .	214	1.6	46	0.3	2 761	20.5	260	1.9
PALM BEACH . . . . .	455	2.9	82	0.5	2 938	18.4	445	2.6
PASCO . . . . .	191	4.5	5	0.1	387	9.1	15	0.4
PINELLAS . . . . .	413	2.7	35	0.2	2 896	18.4	504	3.3
POLK . . . . .	131	2.0	32	0.5	1 349	20.4	153	2.3
SARASOTA . . . . .	154	3.0	16	0.3	421	6.2	-	-
SEMINOLE . . . . .	200	6.6	3	0.1	319	8.9	46	1.3
VOLUSIA . . . . .	141	2.2	4	0.1	1 618	28.3	14	0.2
GEORGIA:								
BIBB . . . . .	377	7.3	61	1.2	2 339	45.0	-	-
CHATHAM . . . . .	349	2.4	179	1.2	5 369	36.4	2	(2)
CLAYTON . . . . .	348	7.3	78	1.6	790	16.7	-	-

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
COBB . . . . .	239 832	35 570	8 202	23.1	3 403	47.6	2 737	33.4
DE KALB . . . . .	450 599	92 087	6 516	9.2	1 041	12.2	3 421	40.2
FULTON . . . . .	580 600	65 591	19 271	29.4	2 559	13.3	8 597	44.6
RICHMOND . . . . .	156 151	14 064	4 700	33.4	1 689	35.9	1 057	22.7
IDAHO:								
ADA . . . . .	134 653	14 253	6 130	43.0	1 123	18.3	637	10.4
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	162 304	10 822	2 488	23.0	670	25.9	572	25.0
COOK . . . . .	5 369 328	470 518	154 447	32.8	14 228	9.2	44 735	29.0
DU PAGE . . . . .	553 670	55 119	9 628	17.5	2 762	26.7	2 588	26.4
KANE . . . . .	262 675	13 650	4 180	30.6	1 303	31.2	744	17.4
LAKE . . . . .	407 373	40 693	6 824	16.7	2 399	35.2	1 136	16.6
LA SALLE . . . . .	109 771	10 239	1 522	15.5	408	25.8	355	22.4
MC HENRY . . . . .	125 981	10 358	2 386	23.0	1 304	54.7	338	14.2
MCLEAN . . . . .	114 284	8 899	1 761	20.0	579	32.5	478	26.8
MACON . . . . .	126 439	7 607	2 021	26.6	823	40.7	460	22.8
MADISON . . . . .	249 685	13 478	3 911	29.0	1 024	26.2	1 041	26.6
PEORIA . . . . .	199 023	16 061	4 340	27.0	1 355	31.2	778	17.9
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	165 313	11 726	2 588	22.1	924	35.7	448	17.3
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	280 946	13 885	3 350	24.1	881	26.3	937	28.0
SANGAMON . . . . .	169 753	8 761	3 135	35.8	1 245	39.7	525	16.7
TAZEWELL . . . . .	125 189	5 985	1 670	27.9	615	36.8	409	24.5
WILL . . . . .	296 224	27 060	4 529	20.5	1 917	42.3	1 243	27.4
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	245 040	24 009	4 913	20.5	1 591	32.4	1 161	24.0
INDIANA:								
ALLEN . . . . .	288 796	27 653	6 074	21.8	1 734	28.5	1 857	30.6
DELAWARE . . . . .	128 989	18 777	2 018	10.7	551	27.3	571	28.3
ELKHART . . . . .	131 730	16 056	2 491	15.5	886	35.6	723	29.0
LAKE . . . . .	546 757	77 797	10 452	13.4	2 430	27.1	3 768	26.1
LA PORTE . . . . .	105 857	16 205	19 780	122.1	510	2.6	886	4.5
MADISON . . . . .	138 548	10 459	2 099	20.1	536	25.5	798	38.0
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	240 431	28 940	4 380	15.1	1 170	26.7	1 418	32.4
TIPPECANOE . . . . .	112 408	8 991	1 692	18.8	584	34.5	494	29.2
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	162 848	17 743	3 107	17.5	847	27.3	1 062	34.2
VIGO . . . . .	110 509	10 580	1 402	18.0	378	19.9	768	40.4
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK . . . . .	133 589	12 490	3 425	27.4	354	10.3	1 329	38.0
LINN . . . . .	164 145	16 540	3 768	22.8	752	20.1	1 166	30.9
POLK . . . . .	296 881	42 811	9 993	23.3	1 037	10.4	3 557	35.0
SCOTT . . . . .	149 082	12 245	2 301	18.8	679	29.5	600	34.9
WOODBURY . . . . .	103 692	12 129	2 340	19.3	409	17.5	924	39.5
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON . . . . .	238 326	32 567	5 333	16.4	2 371	44.5	1 255	23.5
SEDGWICK . . . . .	345 224	27 199	9 258	34.0	1 568	16.9	3 006	32.5
SHAWNEE . . . . .	150 994	13 574	3 772	27.8	463	12.3	1 308	34.7
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	177 570	10 772	3 131	29.1	542	17.3	1 325	42.3
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON . . . . .	696 832	97 524	23 687	24.5	9 950	41.7	4 707	19.7
KENTON . . . . .	129 819	16 964	1 413	8.3	651	46.1	345	24.4
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO . . . . .	239 078	15 106	6 332	41.9	2 763	43.6	803	12.7
CALCASIEU . . . . .	151 334	39 800	5 764	14.5	3 202	55.6	800	13.9
JEFFERSON . . . . .	399 016	145 307	22 642	15.6	9 318	41.2	4 098	18.1
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	125 233	14 103	3 367	23.9	2 013	59.8	633	18.8
OUACHITA . . . . .	125 447	14 413	2 895	20.1	1 312	45.3	650	22.5
RAPIDES . . . . .	121 088	15 674	4 178	26.7	1 345	32.2	916	21.9
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	208 111	6 117	1 488	24.3	536	36.0	296	19.2
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	133 671	1 384	797	57.6	121	15.2	385	48.3
YORK . . . . .	121 662	1 441	621	43.1	161	25.9	219	35.3
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	344 056	232 898	11 540	5.0	8 988	77.5	1 057	9.1
BALTIMORE . . . . .	637 114	428 460	28 254	6.6	22 836	80.8	1 827	6.5
HARFORD . . . . .	136 381	93 481	2 781	3.0	1 053	37.9	503	18.1
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	571 558	516 267	33 210	6.4	21 575	65.0	4 351	13.1
PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	677 848	506 231	34 392	6.8	24 884	72.4	2 756	4.0
WASHINGTON . . . . .	108 045	59 307	939	1.6	299	31.9	229	24.4
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE . . . . .	127 932	6 734	3 236	48.1	402	12.4	1 180	36.5
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	148 969	3 526	1 961	55.6	43	2.2	889	45.3
BRISTOL . . . . .	463 813	571	5 612	65.5	57	1.0	2 930	52.2
ESSEX . . . . .	631 182	62	9 612	54.7	73	0.8	5 018	52.2
HAMPDEN . . . . .	463 804	13 820	10 993	79.5	76	0.7	4 339	39.5
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	133 600	5 074	2 017	39.8	19	0.9	649	32.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of  
333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
COBB . . . . .	38	0.5	164	2.0	1 249	15.2	111	1.4
DE KALB . . . . .	783	9.2	189	2.2	2 958	34.7	124	1.5
FULTON . . . . .	1 488	8.8	363	1.9	5 761	29.9	303	1.6
RICHMOND . . . . .	274	5.8	57	1.2	1 245	26.5	368	7.6
IDAHO:								
ADA . . . . .	542	8.8	129	2.1	494	8.1	3 205	52.3
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	399	16.0	95	3.8	752	30.2	-	-
COOK . . . . .	13 062	8.5	5 963	3.9	46 893	30.4	29 566	19.1
DU PAGE . . . . .	1 242	13.0	493	5.1	2 486	25.8	51	0.5
KANE . . . . .	769	8.8	161	3.9	1 600	38.3	3	0.1
LAKE . . . . .	479	12.9	178	2.6	2 171	31.8	61	0.9
LA SALLE . . . . .	204	13.1	44	2.8	298	18.8	269	17.0
MC HENRY . . . . .	271	11.4	66	2.8	407	17.1	-	-
MCLEAN . . . . .	195	10.9	75	4.2	424	23.8	30	1.7
MACON . . . . .	241	11.9	94	4.7	403	19.9	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	386	9.9	154	3.9	1 306	33.4	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	382	8.8	116	2.7	1 709	39.4	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	316	12.2	34	1.3	690	22.8	276	10.7
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	433	12.9	189	5.6	892	26.6	18	0.5
SANGAMON . . . . .	174	11.9	94	3.0	697	22.2	200	6.4
TAZEYELL . . . . .	243	14.6	59	3.5	304	16.2	40	2.4
WILL . . . . .	435	9.6	239	5.3	672	14.8	23	0.5
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	556	11.3	244	5.0	1 320	26.9	21	0.4
INDIANA:								
ALLEN . . . . .	369	6.1	173	2.8	1 942	32.0	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	109	5.4	53	2.6	543	26.9	192	9.5
ELKHART . . . . .	120	4.8	46	1.8	655	28.3	61	2.4
LAKE . . . . .	990	9.5	289	2.8	2 433	23.3	142	1.4
LA PORTE . . . . .	153	0.8	51	0.5	572	2.9	17 508	39.0
MADISON . . . . .	149	7.6	78	3.7	528	25.2	-	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	333	7.6	138	3.2	1 099	25.1	222	5.1
TIPPECANOE . . . . .	226	13.4	29	1.7	317	18.7	42	2.2
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	320	10.3	126	4.1	573	18.4	179	5.8
VIGO . . . . .	148	7.8	75	3.9	477	25.1	56	2.7
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK . . . . .	196	5.7	350	10.2	1 196	34.9	-	-
LINN . . . . .	283	7.5	268	7.1	1 293	34.3	-	-
POLK . . . . .	637	6.4	501	5.0	3 416	38.2	445	4.5
SCOTT . . . . .	224	9.8	143	6.2	453	19.7	-	-
WOODBURY . . . . .	292	12.5	208	8.9	502	21.5	5	0.2
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON . . . . .	627	15.5	35	0.7	845	15.5	-	-
SEDGWICK . . . . .	1 807	10.9	32	0.3	1 693	18.3	1 949	21.1
SHAWNEE . . . . .	473	12.5	-	-	1 014	26.9	514	13.6
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	406	13.0	31	1.0	810	25.9	17	0.5
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 590	6.7	96	0.4	6 045	25.3	1 499	6.3
KENTON . . . . .	82	5.8	32	2.3	268	19.0	35	2.5
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO . . . . .	228	3.6	124	2.0	2 414	38.1	-	-
CALCASIEU . . . . .	518	9.0	28	0.5	1 216	21.1	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	994	4.4	315	1.4	7 670	33.9	247	1.1
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	67	2.0	64	1.9	590	17.5	-	-
OUACHITA . . . . .	414	14.3	30	1.0	489	16.9	-	-
RAPIDES . . . . .	250	6.0	42	1.0	1 625	38.9	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	69	4.6	30	2.6	547	36.8	12	0.6
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	94	11.8	30	3.8	167	21.0	-	-
YORK . . . . .	43	6.9	4	0.6	160	25.8	34	5.5
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	885	7.6	-	-	640	5.5	20	0.2
BALTIMORE . . . . .	1 514	5.4	-	-	1 935	6.8	142	0.5
HARFORD . . . . .	468	16.8	20	0.7	724	26.0	13	0.5
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	1 587	5.1	-	-	5 324	16.0	273	0.8
PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	2 034	5.9	5	(2)	4 323	12.6	390	1.1
WASHINGTON . . . . .	116	12.4	-	-	286	30.5	9	0.9
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE . . . . .	204	6.3	86	2.7	1 362	42.1	2	0.1
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	69	3.5	38	1.9	922	47.0	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	224	4.0	309	5.5	2 092	37.3	-	-
ESSEX . . . . .	299	3.1	183	1.9	4 037	42.0	2	(?)
HAMPDEN . . . . .	573	6.2	265	2.6	4 079	37.1	1 641	14.9
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	87	4.3	33	1.6	1 058	52.5	171	8.5

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>MASSACHUSETTS—CONTINUED</b>								
MIDDLESEX	1 398 987	43 369	21 387	49.3	151	0.7	10 110	47.3
NORFOLK	619 994	12 210	7 033	57.6	65	0.9	3 772	53.6
PLYMOUTH	379 778	12 473	7 847	62.9	257	3.3	3 962	50.5
WORCESTER	648 095	17 029	9 366	55.0	51	0.5	4 848	51.5
<b>MICHIGAN</b>								
WAY	120 099	28 265	4 419	15.6	845	19.1	900	20.4
CLAY	170 549	24 810	6 025	24.3	1 304	21.6	1 645	27.3
DEARBORN	143 498	18 707	5 380	34.3	1 015	10.8	2 312	42.4
DEARBORN	449 518	82 046	14 047	17.1	2 040	10.8	4 608	32.6
DETROIT	267 581	44 732	7 515	15.4	2 122	20.9	2 505	33.3
JACKSON	146 542	37 617	4 428	11.8	1 123	25.4	1 236	27.9
KALAMAZOO	201 366	20 315	6 977	34.3	1 886	27.0	1 630	23.4
KENT	423 601	52 503	10 786	20.5	1 976	10.3	2 007	14.6
<b>MADISON</b>								
MADISON	669 813	73 415	13 976	19.0	2 322	16.6	5 355	36.3
MUSKOGEE	127 094	22 539	3 970	17.6	1 613	40.6	1 009	25.1
MUSKOGEE	157 646	37 070	4 536	12.2	1 192	26.3	1 292	24.3
OKLAHOMA	972 916	163 612	23 467	14.3	5 922	25.2	5 639	24.0
OTTAWA	140 556	13 044	2 877	22.1	1 182	41.1	742	25.0
SAGINAW	226 682	45 561	6 192	13.6	1 353	21.9	1 718	27.7
ST. CLAIR	130 749	38 509	3 963	10.3	975	24.6	1 124	20.4
WASHINGTON	244 724	34 280	9 760	28.5	2 815	24.8	2 176	24.3
WAYNE	2 517 837	470 367	78 144	16.3	11 006	14.1	24 802	31.7
<b>MINNESOTA</b>								
ANOKA	186 328	29 473	3 910	13.3	1 633	41.8	994	25.4
DAKOTA	166 793	23 039	2 007	11.3	756	29.0	1 008	30.7
HENNEPIN	915 603	244 921	32 423	13.2	3 712	11.4	8 546	26.4
RAMSEY	456 006	154 431	17 543	11.2	2 124	12.1	4 947	20.2
ST. LOUIS	216 220	67 409	6 072	9.0	1 757	26.9	1 904	31.0
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>								
HARRISON	143 528	30 439	4 377	14.4	678	20.1	2 887	60.0
HINDS	228 521	25 991	5 064	19.5	646	12.7	1 134	22.4
JACKSON	105 186	34 767	1 818	5.2	553	30.4	433	45.6
<b>MISSOURI</b>								
CLAY	133 198	5 577	1 954	35.0	402	20.6	463	23.7
GREENE	167 956	6 067	1 911	31.3	685	35.8	600	31.4
JACKSON	634 589	63 327	14 871	23.5	1 249	8.4	4 012	31.0
JEFFERSON	121 769	4 109	1 511	36.8	621	41.1	398	26.3
ST. CHARLES	115 994	4 713	1 776	37.7	896	50.5	429	20.2
ST. LOUIS	960 451	96 160	25 239	26.2	14 047	55.7	5 847	23.2
<b>NEBRASKA</b>								
DOUGLAS	411 878	22 759	7 657	14.5	1 355	17.7	2 007	26.2
LANCASTER	181 659	17 671	3 480	19.7	508	16.3	1 564	44.9
<b>NEVADA</b>								
CLARK	330 714	122 316	34 001	27.8	18 637	54.6	4 745	14.6
WASHOE	144 750	70 809	7 596	10.7	2 995	39.4	1 514	19.7
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>								
HILLSBOROUGH	241 874	11 806	1 840	15.6	74	4.0	933	50.7
ROCKINGHAM	161 336	6 370	1 268	19.9	256	20.2	666	42.5
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>								
ATLANTIC	188 106	54 290	5 437	10.0	409	7.5	1 580	29.1
BERGEN	880 260	135 419	16 413	12.1	3 697	23.7	5 233	31.9
BUCKINGHAM	345 696	58 657	5 521	9.4	322	5.8	1 218	22.1
CAMDEN	476 511	132 696	15 428	11.6	1 729	11.2	3 310	21.5
CUMBERLAND	132 938	31 586	3 148	10.0	231	7.3	642	20.4
ESSEX	882 487	300 263	40 188	13.4	4 975	12.4	12 202	30.4
GLoucester	189 562	26 814	3 118	11.6	364	11.7	721	23.1
MUNSON	577 519	129 108	15 170	11.7	2 954	19.5	4 333	28.6
<b>MERCER</b>								
MIDDLESEX	318 374	100 957	12 333	12.2	663	5.4	2 301	18.7
MONMOUTH	592 771	128 314	16 223	12.6	1 615	10.0	6 508	40.1
MORRIS	492 030	94 289	7 050	7.5	901	12.8	1 987	28.2
OCEANO	393 624	88 020	6 555	7.4	1 045	25.1	1 588	24.2
PASSAIC	292 991	52 137	5 726	11.0	1 093	19.1	1 251	21.0
SOMERSET	452 664	86 517	12 457	14.4	1 049	8.4	3 708	29.6
UNION	202 091	35 766	4 532	12.7	646	18.7	983	21.7
	520 823	80 241	13 228	16.5	1 339	10.1	4 266	32.2
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>								
BERNALILLO	362 087	16 674	3 913	23.5	2 650	67.7	142	3.6
<b>NEW YORK</b>								
ALBANY	287 580	136 344	8 200	6.0	987	12.0	1 544	18.8
BROOME	219 376	76 620	3 324	4.3	1 057	31.8	698	21.0
CHAUTAUQUA	147 156	46 225	2 671	5.8	910	34.1	515	19.3
CHEMUNG	100 377	32 236	2 202	6.8	606	27.5	418	14.0
CUTCHESSE	234 511	67 770	5 059	7.5	1 274	25.2	1 287	25.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of  
333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX	739	3.5	654	2.6	7 450	34.8	2 383	11.1
NORFOLK	429	6.1	93	1.3	2 650	37.7	24	0.3
PLYMOUTH	707	9.0	268	3.4	2 853	33.8	-	-
WORCESTER	282	3.0	100	1.1	4 105	43.8	-	-
MICHIGAN								
BAY	276	6.2	100	2.3	2 298	52.0	-	-
BERRIEN	635	10.5	232	3.9	2 209	36.7	-	-
CALHOUN	350	6.5	179	3.3	1 533	38.4	-	-
GENESEE	2 133	15.2	386	2.7	4 212	30.0	68	0.5
INGHAM	645	11.2	475	6.3	1 668	22.2	-	-
JACKSON	397	9.0	364	8.2	1 308	29.5	-	-
KALAMAZOO	421	11.8	261	3.7	2 379	34.1	-	-
KENT	745	6.9	479	4.4	5 389	50.0	190	1.3
MACOMB	1 451	10.4	885	6.3	3 900	27.9	63	0.2
MONROE	302	7.6	152	4.6	864	21.8	-	-
MUSKEGON	320	7.2	135	3.0	1 590	35.1	1	(7)
OAKLAND	2 123	9.0	1 175	5.0	7 845	33.4	763	3.3
OTTAWA	131	4.6	106	3.7	687	23.9	29	1.0
SAGINAW	455	7.3	462	7.5	2 140	34.6	64	1.0
ST. CLAIR	349	8.8	227	5.7	929	23.4	359	9.1
WASHTENAW	520	6.4	454	4.7	2 681	29.5	514	6.3
WAYNE	6 279	6.0	4 835	6.2	24 610	31.5	6 610	8.2
MINNESOTA								
ANOKA	520	13.3	157	4.0	606	15.5	-	-
DAKOTA	319	12.2	82	2.4	452	17.7	-	-
HENNEPIN	3 162	9.8	1 694	5.2	14 913	46.0	396	1.2
RAMSEY	1 114	6.4	522	3.0	8 821	50.3	15	0.1
ST. LOUIS	645	10.6	328	5.4	1 438	23.7	-	-
MISSISSIPPI								
HARRISON	203	4.6	43	1.0	366	8.4	-	-
WINDS	84	1.7	126	2.5	2 938	58.0	138	2.7
JACKSON	79	4.3	28	1.5	304	16.7	21	1.2
MISSOURI								
CLAY	181	9.3	-	-	420	42.0	88	4.5
CRFENE	184	9.6	11	0.6	363	19.0	68	3.0
JACKSON	1 476	9.9	19	0.1	7 515	50.5	-	-
JEFFERSON	143	9.5	-	-	265	17.2	84	5.0
ST. CHARLES	139	7.8	-	-	293	16.5	19	1.1
ST. LOUIS	1 262	5.0	-	-	4 063	16.1	20	0.1
NEBRASKA								
DOUGLAS	683	8.9	319	4.2	3 293	43.0	-	-
LANCASTER	432	18.2	239	6.9	477	13.7	-	-
NEVADA								
CLARK	2 684	7.9	966	2.8	6 969	20.5	-	-
WASHOE	1 062	14.0	390	5.1	1 588	20.9	47	0.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE								
HILLSBOROUGH	154	7.3	-	-	699	38.0	-	-
ROCKINGHAM	60	4.7	-	-	285	22.5	1	0.1
NEW JERSEY								
ATLANTIC	1 195	22.0	-	-	2 073	38.1	180	3.3
BERGEN	2 905	17.7	-	-	4 224	25.7	154	0.9
BURLINGTON	1 062	19.2	-	-	2 835	51.3	84	1.5
CAMDEN	2 494	19.4	-	-	7 237	46.9	158	1.0
CUMBERLAND	339	10.8	-	-	1 085	59.9	51	1.6
ESSEX	4 930	12.3	89	0.2	17 720	44.1	272	0.7
GLoucester	608	19.5	-	-	1 379	44.2	46	1.5
HUDSON	3 165	20.9	-	-	4 718	31.1	-	-
MERCER	1 482	12.0	-	-	7 557	63.7	30	0.2
MIDDLESEX	2 766	17.0	33	0.2	5 193	32.0	108	0.7
MONMOUTH	1 239	17.6	3	(2)	2 846	40.4	74	1.1
MORRIS	1 258	19.2	-	-	1 949	29.7	115	1.7
OCEAN	1 204	21.0	-	-	2 128	37.2	50	0.9
PASSAIC	2 511	20.2	2	(2)	5 005	40.2	182	1.5
SOMERSET	440	20.7	5	0.1	1 679	37.0	79	1.7
UNION	2 938	22.2	-	-	4 174	31.6	511	3.9
NEW MEXICO								
BERNALILLO	117	3.0	-	-	442	11.3	562	14.4
NEW YORK								
ALBANY	739	9.0	283	3.5	4 647	56.7	-	-
BROOME	346	11.6	140	4.2	985	29.6	58	1.8
CHAUTAUQUA	311	11.6	131	4.9	804	30.1	-	-
CHEMUNG	254	11.5	82	3.7	842	38.2	-	-
DUTCHESS	520	10.3	250	4.9	1 700	33.6	28	0.6

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE	1 089 327	461 909	25 868	5.6	5 169	20.0	5 272	20.4
MONROE	708 642	388 382	22 602	5.8	5 572	26.4	5 740	25.3
NASSAU	1 403 289	748 297	142 505	19.0	90 948	63.8	24 055	16.9
NIAGARA	237 521	60 915	5 000	5.0	1 339	26.8	1 935	30.7
ONEIDA	266 077	77 918	4 051	5.2	691	17.0	1 548	38.1
ONONDAGA	472 708	201 120	16 539	8.2	3 310	20.0	3 020	18.3
ORANCE	241 811	74 520	4 409	5.9	74	1.7	1 894	43.0
OSWEGO	109 651	36 846	2 060	5.6	563	27.3	596	28.9
RENSSELAER	153 377	53 264	2 782	5.2	390	14.0	682	24.5
ROCKLAND	251 114	108 895	9 179	8.4	1 326	14.4	1 702	18.5
ST. LAWRENCE	117 048	33 964	1 771	5.2	458	25.9	487	27.5
SAPATOGA	143 980	48 535	1 917	3.9	482	25.1	630	32.9
SCHENECTADY	157 348	46 308	2 388	5.2	129	5.4	767	32.1
STEUBEN	101 003	28 594	1 743	6.1	200	11.5	528	30.3
SUFFOLK	1 253 550	609 768	101 735	16.7	72 904	71.7	11 011	10.8
ULSTER	153 761	51 923	3 664	7.1	444	12.1	1 414	38.5
WESTCHESTER	879 241	462 273	28 155	6.1	5 671	16.0	6 675	23.7
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BRUNSWICK	150 952	64 758	2 098	3.2	1 661	50.6	467	22.3
CUMBERLAND	226 146	121 310	7 847	6.5	1 958	25.0	4 951	63.2
DURHAM	139 320	69 864	3 480	5.0	421	12.2	372	10.0
FORSYTH	226 332	116 074	2 995	2.6	1 095	36.6	1 121	37.4
GASTON	156 529	70 328	1 913	2.7	999	52.2	251	13.1
GUILFORD	299 484	134 474	4 272	3.2	1 567	36.7	801	18.7
HECKLENBURG	373 925	196 559	7 639	3.9	2 652	34.7	2 053	26.7
ONCLOW	109 827	33 836	1 561	4.6	444	28.4	710	46.0
WAKE	261 868	113 732	2 072	1.8	658	31.8	760	37.6
OHIO:								
ALLEN	108 734	17 624	2 193	12.4	531	24.2	692	27.5
ASHTABULA	101 940	11 602	1 590	13.7	538	33.8	561	34.5
PUTLER	244 562	30 329	3 152	10.4	731	23.2	1 095	34.7
CLARK	154 884	22 006	3 431	15.6	709	20.7	701	26.4
CLERMONT	108 886	16 413	1 385	8.4	301	21.7	618	44.0
COLUMBIANA	113 182	10 168	1 561	15.5	345	21.8	657	41.6
CUYAHOGA	1 592 613	308 547	44 903	14.6	3 048	6.8	13 102	29.2
FRANKLIN	858 239	115 638	18 461	16.0	5 874	31.8	5 967	32.3
GREENE	124 779	18 260	2 249	12.3	578	25.7	724	32.2
HAMILTON	900 284	112 672	14 605	17.4	6 503	33.2	6 265	32.0
LAKE	206 881	42 330	3 204	7.6	844	26.3	1 079	33.7
LICKING	113 316	14 791	1 795	12.1	441	24.6	659	36.7
LOPAIN	268 579	29 973	4 065	13.6	799	19.7	1 130	28.0
LUCAS	476 657	57 552	12 145	21.1	1 509	12.4	3 255	26.9
MAHONING	307 339	68 126	3 876	6.0	706	18.2	1 485	37.8
MONTGOMERY	587 507	95 799	15 244	15.9	3 315	21.7	3 889	28.5
PORTAGE	132 257	33 734	2 525	7.5	724	28.7	955	37.4
RICHLAND	130 915	13 875	2 752	19.8	675	24.0	423	25.9
STARK	376 047	30 675	6 010	19.1	1 790	29.8	1 541	24.6
SUMMIT	534 900	73 243	8 442	11.5	1 967	23.3	2 681	31.7
TRUMBULL	241 219	30 041	3 983	13.3	1 431	35.9	1 396	35.0
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE	105 059	13 988	1 321	9.4	315	23.8	435	32.9
OKLAHOMA	537 939	22 112	3 727	16.9	312	8.4	1 736	46.6
TULSA	416 892	23 108	4 667	20.2	827	17.7	2 038	43.7
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS	206 014	24 210	4 982	20.6	1 461	39.4	1 037	20.0
JACKSON	112 235	19 709	4 553	23.1	1 462	32.1	749	16.5
LANE	237 937	42 400	10 056	23.7	2 629	26.1	3 706	36.9
MARION	166 920	15 070	4 344	28.8	1 196	27.5	1 176	27.1
MULTNOMAH	530 412	74 115	23 904	32.3	8 658	36.2	5 620	23.0
WASHINGTON	191 741	41 255	4 251	10.3	1 819	42.8	721	17.0
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY	1 517 996	191 798	31 372	16.4	5 733	18.3	11 718	37.4
BEAVER	209 328	16 042	3 812	23.8	570	15.0	1 813	47.6
BERKS	305 017	27 149	4 253	15.7	155	3.6	1 895	44.6
BLAIR	134 661	8 158	1 554	19.0	73	1.5	783	50.4
BUCKS	460 978	43 748	9 007	20.6	681	7.6	3 438	38.2
BUTLER	138 152	12 208	1 416	11.6	64	4.5	633	44.7
CAMBRIA	187 851	19 054	2 191	11.5	89	4.1	1 079	49.2
CENTRE	110 118	8 723	1 120	12.8	15	1.3	488	43.6
CHESTER	293 074	29 183	7 518	25.8	898	11.9	2 054	27.5
CUMBERLAND	171 294	12 694	1 902	15.0	210	11.0	704	37.0
DAUPHIN	223 343	25 468	4 725	18.6	164	3.5	1 750	37.0
DELAWARE	591 671	70 273	14 335	20.4	527	7.7	5 792	40.4
ERIE	273 396	24 951	5 949	23.8	179	3.0	1 672	24.1
FAYETTE	156 607	5 901	1 877	31.8	389	20.7	822	43.8
FRANKLIN	105 372	6 421	1 406	21.9	46	3.3	480	34.1
LACKAWANNA	234 771	16 766	2 353	14.0	50	2.1	1 153	49.0
LANCASTER	342 797	22 634	6 694	29.6	264	3.9	3 523	52.6
LAWRENCE	106 623	7 415	1 198	16.2	30	2.5	547	45.7

See footnotes at end of table.

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of  
333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE . . . . .	3 129	12.1	1 011	3.9	11 159	43.1	128	0.5
HAMROE . . . . .	1 372	8.7	704	3.1	7 338	32.4	936	4.1
NASSAU . . . . .	6 734	4.7	1 951	1.4	18 620	13.1	197	0.1
NIAGARA . . . . .	403	4.1	129	2.6	1 418	28.4	176	3.5
ONEIDA . . . . .	458	11.3	152	3.7	1 197	29.5	15	0.4
ONONDAGA . . . . .	1 422	8.6	725	4.4	7 770	47.0	292	1.8
ORANGE . . . . .	693	13.4	277	6.3	1 559	35.4	12	0.3
OSWEGO . . . . .	222	10.8	32	1.6	617	31.4	-	-
RENSSELAER . . . . .	293	10.5	160	5.8	1 225	44.0	32	1.1
ROCKLAND . . . . .	1 058	11.5	2 239	24.4	2 767	30.1	87	0.9
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	151	8.5	84	4.7	591	33.4	-	-
SARATOGA . . . . .	709	10.9	56	2.9	540	28.2	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	276	11.6	78	3.3	1 134	47.5	4	0.2
STEBEN . . . . .	198	11.4	90	5.2	727	41.7	-	-
SUFFOLK . . . . .	4 490	4.4	1 528	1.5	11 177	11.0	625	0.6
ULSTER . . . . .	346	9.4	111	3.0	1 247	38.0	102	2.3
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	4 239	15.1	1 666	6.9	10 236	36.4	268	1.0
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	62	3.0	-	-	477	22.7	31	1.5
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	106	1.4	-	-	814	10.4	6	0.1
DURHAM . . . . .	57	1.0	-	-	231	4.1	2 329	67.3
FORSYTH . . . . .	99	3.3	-	-	674	27.5	6	0.2
GASTON . . . . .	46	2.5	17	0.9	559	29.2	39	2.1
GUILFORD . . . . .	270	6.3	-	-	1 436	33.6	198	4.6
HECKLENBURG . . . . .	89	1.2	-	-	1 358	17.8	587	7.7
ONSLOW . . . . .	33	2.1	-	-	366	23.4	-	-
WAKE . . . . .	104	5.0	-	-	469	22.6	61	2.9
OHIO:								
ALLEN . . . . .	228	10.3	71	3.2	763	34.8	-	-
ASHTABULA . . . . .	110	6.9	30	1.9	351	22.1	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	220	7.0	61	1.9	818	26.0	227	7.2
CLARK . . . . .	164	4.8	122	3.6	1 679	48.9	56	1.6
CLERMONT . . . . .	96	6.9	36	2.6	275	19.9	59	4.3
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	180	11.4	107	6.8	249	15.7	43	2.7
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	1 790	4.0	1 541	3.4	7 823	17.4	17 599	34.2
FRANKLIN . . . . .	1 433	8.8	967	5.2	3 962	21.5	58	0.3
GREENE . . . . .	196	8.3	131	5.8	630	28.0	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	819	4.2	595	3.0	5 251	26.4	172	0.9
LAKE . . . . .	321	10.0	139	4.3	749	23.4	72	2.2
LICKING . . . . .	195	10.9	74	4.1	412	23.0	13	0.7
LORAIN . . . . .	352	8.7	63	1.5	1 703	41.9	10	0.2
LUCAS . . . . .	478	3.9	611	5.0	6 282	41.7	-	-
MAHONING . . . . .	733	8.6	147	3.6	1 217	31.4	8	0.2
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	980	6.4	450	3.0	3 678	24.1	2 932	19.2
PORTAGE . . . . .	195	7.7	82	3.2	664	22.3	5	0.2
RICHLAND . . . . .	744	12.5	38	1.4	855	31.1	17	0.6
STARK . . . . .	401	6.7	251	4.2	2 027	33.7	-	-
SUMMIT . . . . .	701	8.3	125	1.5	2 568	30.4	406	4.8
TRUMBULL . . . . .	290	7.3	123	3.1	743	18.7	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE . . . . .	119	9.0	86	6.5	366	27.7	-	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	44	1.2	331	8.9	1 304	35.0	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	45	1.0	165	3.5	1 592	34.1	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	587	11.8	145	2.9	1 057	21.2	195	3.9
JACKSON . . . . .	314	6.9	156	3.5	1 180	25.9	690	15.2
LANE . . . . .	1 199	11.9	463	4.6	2 059	20.5	-	-
MARION . . . . .	564	13.0	212	4.9	1 196	27.5	-	-
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	2 799	11.7	1 412	5.9	5 040	21.1	375	1.6
WASHINGTON . . . . .	439	10.3	296	7.0	476	23.0	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	2 704	8.6	931	3.0	10 238	32.6	46	0.2
BEAVER . . . . .	314	8.2	114	3.0	966	25.3	35	0.4
BERKS . . . . .	751	8.3	114	2.7	1 738	40.9	-	-
BLAIR . . . . .	108	6.9	59	3.8	581	37.4	-	-
BUCKS . . . . .	1 016	11.3	319	3.5	3 554	39.5	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	125	8.8	44	3.1	550	38.6	-	-
CAMBRIA . . . . .	230	10.5	85	3.9	708	32.3	-	-
CENTRE . . . . .	73	6.5	69	6.2	475	42.4	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	979	13.0	373	5.0	3 214	42.6	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	137	7.2	48	2.5	785	41.3	18	0.9
DAUPHIN . . . . .	499	10.6	181	3.8	2 067	43.7	64	1.4
DELAWARE . . . . .	1 722	12.0	549	3.8	5 697	39.7	48	0.3
ERIE . . . . .	471	7.9	334	5.6	3 227	54.2	66	1.1
FAYETTE . . . . .	138	7.4	58	3.1	470	25.0	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	90	6.4	50	3.6	740	52.6	-	-
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	259	11.0	102	4.3	789	33.5	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	403	7.5	311	4.6	2 093	31.3	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	123	10.3	39	3.3	459	38.3	-	-

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON	122 309	8 120	1 413	17.4	154	10.9	567	40.1
LEHIGH	263 566	30 202	4 119	13.6	89	2.2	1 622	39.4
LUZERNE	345 645	18 872	3 627	19.2	123	3.4	1 782	49.1
LYCOMING	114 897	8 737	2 017	23.1	30	1.5	759	37.0
MERCER	127 741	9 166	2 715	29.6	30	1.1	745	27.4
MONTGOMERY	634 001	58 878	11 743	19.9	1 295	11.0	5 307	45.2
NORTHAMPTON	224 883	24 503	3 402	13.9	50	1.5	1 289	37.9
SCHUYLKILL	160 118	14 469	2 536	17.5	43	1.7	875	34.5
WASHINGTON	214 611	14 764	2 195	14.9	57	2.6	1 101	50.2
WESTMORELAND	380 289	31 695	4 436	14.0	223	5.0	2 041	46.3
YORK	285 667	18 095	4 147	22.9	424	10.2	1 747	42.1
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON	114 965	5 465	1 726	31.6	560	32.4	479	27.8
CHARLESTON	260 426	29 371	5 982	20.4	3 167	52.9	1 662	27.8
GREENVILLE	265 573	25 338	6 388	25.2	3 183	49.8	1 579	24.7
LEXINGTON	117 603	23 730	2 270	9.6	993	43.7	823	36.3
RICHLAND	247 553	47 008	6 435	13.7	2 288	35.6	2 597	40.4
SPARTANBURG	191 587	41 036	3 511	8.6	2 050	58.4	967	27.5
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON	264 909	92 684	4 979	5.4	1 303	30.2	1 631	32.0
KNOX	293 405	60 669	6 362	10.5	1 015	25.3	3 701	58.0
SHELBY	736 754	144 193	18 894	13.1	4 018	21.3	5 683	30.1
SULLIVAN	134 447	35 804	1 563	4.4	660	42.2	603	38.6
TEXAS:								
BELL	156 781	4 986	2 223	44.6	355	16.0	651	29.3
BEXAR	912 934	65 535	15 656	23.9	2 680	17.1	4 563	29.1
BRAZORIA	124 380	11 429	3 712	32.5	1 177	31.7	1 334	35.9
CAMERON	176 931	8 670	4 174	48.1	197	4.7	870	20.0
DALLAS	1 405 126	122 261	31 707	25.9	3 350	10.6	14 032	44.3
EL PASO	424 479	27 705	5 792	20.9	1 229	21.2	1 749	30.2
GALVESTON	183 244	19 755	4 389	22.2	1 084	24.7	1 384	31.0
HARRIS	1 944 431	224 680	49 699	22.1	7 536	15.2	17 327	34.9
HIDALGO	227 853	10 208	4 371	42.2	514	11.8	574	13.1
JEFFERSON	241 246	12 158	4 941	40.6	970	19.6	1 711	34.6
LUBBOCK	197 248	12 511	2 232	17.8	448	20.1	875	39.2
MCCLENNAN	154 267	10 487	2 658	25.3	667	25.1	602	22.0
MUECES	248 422	47 436	7 467	15.7	1 130	15.1	1 840	24.0
SMITH	107 597	4 022	1 308	32.5	459	35.1	426	32.6
TARRANT	728 951	50 254	11 448	22.8	2 777	19.9	3 930	34.3
TAYLOR	105 390	3 016	1 123	37.2	184	16.4	473	42.1
TRAVIS	361 839	14 081	8 953	49.5	1 400	15.6	2 246	25.1
WICHITA	119 515	3 986	1 459	36.6	318	21.8	487	33.4
UTAH:								
DAVIS	114 652	6 100	1 336	21.9	475	35.6	159	12.0
SALT LAKE	512 130	69 026	12 373	17.9	5 598	45.2	2 159	17.5
UTAH	165 745	7 432	1 735	23.3	360	20.7	414	23.9
WEBER	133 127	13 097	1 921	14.7	536	27.9	279	14.5
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN	105 559	205	103	50.2	4	3.9	97	94.2
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON	155 518	111 067	10 677	9.6	7 351	68.8	959	8.4
FAIRFAX	512 915	411 514	26 891	6.5	16 240	60.4	2 973	11.1
HENRICO	167 728	100 566	10 109	10.1	5 641	55.8	2 112	20.9
PRINCE WILLIAM	123 376	81 412	4 708	5.8	3 272	69.5	655	15.4
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK	154 590	19 423	4 754	24.5	1 661	34.9	1 017	21.4
KING	1 142 544	138 032	31 072	22.5	10 619	34.2	7 034	22.5
KITSAP	116 710	14 379	2 960	20.6	1 102	37.2	732	23.7
PIERCE	415 707	46 988	10 527	22.4	4 062	38.6	1 999	19.0
SNODGRASS	264 202	25 071	6 433	25.7	2 492	38.7	1 609	26.0
SPOKANE	306 338	36 229	7 697	21.2	2 624	34.1	1 459	19.3
YAKIMA	185 816	21 220	3 922	18.5	1 070	27.3	1 091	27.8
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CAPELLE	103 654	5 769	1 226	21.3	314	25.6	324	26.4
KANAWHA	225 037	13 384	2 872	21.5	440	15.3	1 519	52.9
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN	169 467	34 464	3 343	9.7	1 892	56.6	559	16.7
DANE	301 668	58 236	7 283	12.5	2 721	37.4	1 899	26.1
KENOSHA	122 621	23 828	2 607	11.2	1 518	56.9	584	21.9
MARATHON	104 854	28 442	1 911	6.7	1 040	54.4	386	20.2
MILWAUKEE	1 012 335	362 524	27 477	7.8	3 765	13.7	10 533	38.5
OUTAGAMIE	124 414	24 092	2 246	9.3	1 158	51.6	629	28.0
RACINE	178 781	39 965	4 509	11.3	1 848	41.0	1 199	26.6
ROCK	133 993	42 202	4 327	10.3	1 043	24.1	727	18.8
WAUKESHA	255 779	40 366	4 313	10.7	2 206	51.1	1 082	25.1
WINNEBAGO	130 248	25 272	1 943	7.7	975	50.2	546	28.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

Z Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 16. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON . . . . .	78	5.5	24	2.0	588	41.5	-	-
LEHIGH . . . . .	437	10.6	117	2.8	1 854	45.0	-	-
LUZERNE . . . . .	377	10.4	194	5.3	1 151	31.7	-	-
LYCOMING . . . . .	156	7.7	54	2.9	1 004	49.8	9	0.4
PERCEP . . . . .	155	5.7	133	5.0	1 582	58.3	68	2.5
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	1 222	10.4	490	4.2	3 429	29.2	-	-
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	262	7.7	149	4.4	1 052	48.6	-	-
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	222	8.8	104	4.1	1 292	50.9	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	213	9.7	67	3.1	752	34.3	5	0.2
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	497	11.2	136	3.1	1 539	34.7	-	-
YORK . . . . .	222	5.4	78	1.9	1 676	40.4	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON . . . . .	72	4.2	19	1.1	596	34.5	-	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	214	3.6	-	-	939	15.7	-	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	321	5.0	93	1.5	686	13.9	326	5.1
LEXINGTON . . . . .	46	2.0	7	0.3	738	14.9	63	2.4
RICHLAND . . . . .	470	10.4	147	2.3	731	11.4	2	(2)
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	127	3.6	56	1.6	215	6.1	96	2.7
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON . . . . .	192	4.0	-	-	1 473	29.6	174	3.5
KNOX . . . . .	145	2.3	5	0.1	862	13.5	54	0.3
SHELBY . . . . .	456	3.5	608	3.2	6 952	36.8	977	5.2
SULLIVAN . . . . .	37	2.4	4	0.3	259	16.6	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL . . . . .	447	20.1	71	3.2	861	29.7	38	1.7
BEXAR . . . . .	1 728	11.0	479	3.1	3 273	24.7	2 333	14.9
BRAZORIA . . . . .	340	9.3	31	0.8	824	22.2	-	-
CAMERON . . . . .	255	6.1	69	1.7	749	17.9	2 034	48.7
DALLAS . . . . .	3 375	10.6	1 022	3.2	9 454	31.1	74	0.2
EL PASO . . . . .	547	9.4	214	3.7	2 026	25.0	27	0.5
GALVESTON . . . . .	465	10.6	81	1.8	1 314	29.9	61	1.4
HARRIS . . . . .	5 700	11.5	697	1.8	15 023	30.2	3 216	6.9
HIDALGO . . . . .	264	6.1	51	1.2	2 464	67.8	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	446	13.1	172	3.5	889	15.0	553	11.2
LUBBOCK . . . . .	360	16.1	27	1.2	519	23.3	3	3.1
MCLENNAN . . . . .	295	11.1	30	1.1	908	34.2	156	2.9
MUEFIS . . . . .	490	6.6	129	1.7	935	12.5	2 943	39.4
SMITH . . . . .	149	11.4	39	3.0	235	16.0	-	-
TARRANT . . . . .	2 288	20.0	349	3.0	2 483	21.7	121	1.1
TAYLOR . . . . .	105	9.4	71	6.3	289	25.7	-	-
TRAVIS . . . . .	789	8.8	159	1.8	4 056	45.3	363	3.4
WICHITA . . . . .	185	12.7	35	2.4	416	28.5	18	1.2
UTAH:								
DAVIS . . . . .	240	18.0	37	2.6	113	2.5	302	22.6
SALT LAKE . . . . .	1 557	12.6	360	2.9	1 965	15.9	724	5.7
UTAH . . . . .	182	10.5	70	4.0	614	35.4	95	5.7
WEBER . . . . .	189	9.8	75	3.9	487	25.4	355	18.5
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	2	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON . . . . .	389	3.6	-	-	1 406	13.2	592	5.5
FAIRFAX . . . . .	932	7.5	87	0.3	6 165	22.9	494	1.0
HENRICO . . . . .	457	4.5	-	-	1 689	18.7	10	0.1
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	247	5.2	-	-	479	10.2	55	1.2
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK . . . . .	367	7.7	280	5.9	1 413	29.7	16	0.3
KING . . . . .	2 596	8.4	2 073	6.7	8 588	27.6	162	0.5
KITSAP . . . . .	307	10.4	163	5.5	654	22.1	32	1.1
PIERCE . . . . .	460	8.2	492	4.7	3 067	29.1	47	0.4
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	514	8.0	287	4.5	1 492	23.2	39	6.6
SPOKANE . . . . .	559	7.3	487	6.3	2 137	27.8	401	4.2
YAKIMA . . . . .	358	9.1	210	5.4	1 172	29.9	21	0.5
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL . . . . .	124	10.1	-	-	464	37.8	-	-
KANAWHA . . . . .	284	9.9	-	-	629	21.9	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN . . . . .	168	5.0	85	2.5	639	19.1	-	-
DANE . . . . .	1 157	15.9	284	3.9	1 222	16.8	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	249	9.3	112	4.2	204	7.6	-	-
MARATHON . . . . .	130	6.8	25	1.4	307	16.1	22	1.2
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	2 549	9.3	1 384	5.0	9 132	33.2	114	0.4
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	196	8.7	50	2.2	213	9.5	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	371	8.2	337	7.5	754	16.7	-	-
ROCK . . . . .	275	6.4	269	6.2	1 947	45.0	66	1.5
WAUKESHA . . . . .	335	7.8	167	3.9	500	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	178	9.2	97	5.0	-	-	-	-

Table 17. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL . . . . .	3 949 150	3 902 836	3 576 870	325 966	64 323	245 234	11 409	46 323	24 448	21 875
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN . . . . .	946	930	907	23	23	-	-	16	-	16
JEFFERSON . . . . .	11 395	11 372	10 963	409	406	3	-	23	-	23
MADISON . . . . .	2 666	2 666	2 600	66	66	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	5 562	5 562	5 373	189	189	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	2 942	2 923	2 865	58	58	-	-	19	-	19
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	2 053	2 045	2 009	36	36	-	-	8	8	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA . . . . .	33 832	33 832	30 083	3 749	1 654	2 095	-	-	-	-
PIMA . . . . .	31 610	31 060	29 723	1 337	341	996	-	550	548	2
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI . . . . .	4 305	4 243	4 159	84	84	-	-	62	62	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA . . . . .	75 778	75 251	73 789	1 462	523	623	316	527	493	234
BUTTE . . . . .	5 879	5 820	5 741	79	79	-	-	59	5	44
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	36 850	36 054	34 172	1 882	58	1 669	155	790	36	753
FRESNO . . . . .	27 912	27 832	25 337	2 495	408	2 007	-	80	56	24
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	6 566	6 566	6 510	56	54	2	-	-	-	-
KERN . . . . .	28 976	28 839	21 595	7 294	620	6 674	-	47	85	2
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	416 044	409 442	403 505	5 937	2 064	3 816	57	607	607	-
MARIN . . . . .	14 062	14 061	13 859	202	190	12	-	8	8	-
MERCED . . . . .	4 429	5 408	4 845	563	162	401	-	21	3	13
MONTREY . . . . .	16 074	16 070	13 984	2 086	318	1 768	-	4	4	-
ORANGE . . . . .	76 549	70 494	73 304	3 190	538	2 652	-	59	50	5
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	32 899	32 899	31 896	1 003	676	200	127	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	46 530	46 054	45 583	471	211	258	2	485	485	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	44 143	44 098	43 168	930	930	-	-	45	45	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	72 595	72 595	69 828	2 767	394	2 373	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	19 173	19 170	18 635	535	196	339	-	3	3	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	7 626	7 505	6 983	522	30	386	106	121	65	56
SAN MATEO . . . . .	32 947	32 947	31 917	1 030	289	741	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	18 573	18 545	17 546	999	967	32	-	20	8	20
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	60 664	60 627	58 557	2 070	973	1 097	-	37	37	-
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	10 154	10 129	9 573	556	47	502	7	25	7	18
SOLANO . . . . .	10 721	10 707	9 899	808	804	3	1	14	14	-
SONOMA . . . . .	14 687	14 687	12 579	2 108	284	1 724	100	-	-	-
STANISLAUS . . . . .	14 395	14 233	12 465	1 768	21	1 747	-	163	163	-
YULARE . . . . .	13 045	13 039	12 750	289	225	46	18	6	6	-
VENTURA . . . . .	25 999	25 775	25 043	732	175	557	-	224	24	200
YOLO . . . . .	6 853	6 817	6 485	332	66	266	-	36	2	34
COLORADO:										
ADAMS . . . . .	3 550	3 550	3 482	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	3 723	3 723	3 711	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	5 146	5 146	5 149	1 997	191	1 806	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	4 332	4 332	4 303	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	5 474	5 474	5 250	224	162	62	-	-	-	-
LARIMER . . . . .	1 905	1 905	1 797	108	108	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	1 931	1 931	1 897	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
WELD . . . . .	1 451	1 451	1 451	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	6 383	6 383	6 310	73	67	6	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA . . . . .	5 382	5 382	4 206	1 176	215	961	-	-	-	-
BREVARD . . . . .	4 983	4 967	4 739	228	219	9	-	16	-	16
BROWARD . . . . .	17 471	15 646	14 858	788	788	-	-	1 825	32	1 793
DADE . . . . .	66 630	66 630	64 000	2 630	682	1 948	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	10 483	10 483	6 418	4 065	270	2 797	998	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	17 377	17 232	16 358	874	824	50	-	145	89	56
LEE . . . . .	4 721	4 703	4 441	262	262	-	-	18	18	-
LEON . . . . .	3 947	3 947	3 175	772	212	560	-	-	-	-
MANATEE . . . . .	2 793	2 784	2 603	181	181	-	-	9	-	9
ORANGE . . . . .	13 477	13 477	12 528	949	187	637	125	-	-	-
PALM BEACH . . . . .	15 991	15 962	14 757	1 203	1 144	61	-	29	-	29
PASCO . . . . .	4 253	4 253	3 937	316	306	10	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS . . . . .	15 440	15 337	13 641	1 696	940	756	-	103	103	-
POLK . . . . .	6 621	6 604	6 112	492	297	195	-	17	17	-
SARASOTA . . . . .	5 153	5 153	4 223	925	367	558	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE . . . . .	3 710	3 568	3 325	243	239	4	-	142	22	120
VOLUSIA . . . . .	6 429	6 417	5 596	821	621	200	-	11	11	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB . . . . .	5 208	5 198	3 321	1 877	136	1 741	-	10	10	-
CHATHAM . . . . .	14 856	14 794	4 473	10 321	163	10 158	-	62	-	62
CLAYTON . . . . .	4 740	4 740	4 597	143	143	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
GEORGIA--CONTINUED										
COBB, . . . . .	8 234	8 202	7 880	322	322	-	-	32	-	32
DE KALB, . . . . .	8 516	8 516	8 397	119	119	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON, . . . . .	19 288	19 271	17 874	1 397	301	1 096	-	17	-	17
RICHMOND, . . . . .	4 701	4 700	4 147	553	185	368	-	1	-	1
IDAHO:										
ADA, . . . . .	6 133	6 130	2 775	3 355	166	3 189	-	3	-	3
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN, . . . . .	2 488	2 488	2 400	88	75	13	-	-	-	-
COOK, . . . . .	158 507	154 447	108 440	46 007	1 654	44 353	-	4 060	-	4 060
DU PAGE, . . . . .	9 628	9 628	9 179	449	432	17	-	-	-	-
KANE, . . . . .	4 223	4 180	4 087	93	73	20	-	43	43	-
LAKE, . . . . .	6 824	6 824	6 503	321	227	94	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE, . . . . .	1 582	1 582	1 271	311	42	269	-	-	-	-
MCHEERY, . . . . .	2 401	2 386	2 252	134	134	-	-	15	-	15
MCLEAN, . . . . .	1 781	1 781	1 722	59	59	-	-	-	-	-
MACON, . . . . .	2 021	2 021	1 987	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON, . . . . .	3 923	3 911	3 751	160	57	70	33	12	7	5
PEORIA, . . . . .	4 340	4 340	4 224	116	83	33	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND, . . . . .	2 624	2 585	2 556	32	32	-	-	36	-	36
ST. CLAIR, . . . . .	3 965	3 950	3 264	66	66	-	-	615	-	615
SANGAMON, . . . . .	3 135	3 135	2 980	155	155	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL, . . . . .	1 670	1 670	1 644	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
WILL, . . . . .	4 529	4 529	4 251	278	167	111	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO, . . . . .	5 944	4 913	4 642	71	70	1	-	1 031	-	1 031
INDIANA:										
ALLEN, . . . . .	6 326	6 074	5 790	284	269	15	-	252	252	-
DELAWARE, . . . . .	2 028	2 018	1 891	127	127	-	-	16	10	-
ELKHART, . . . . .	2 594	2 491	2 112	379	379	-	-	103	103	-
LAKE, . . . . .	11 013	10 452	10 242	210	210	-	-	561	523	33
LA PORTE, . . . . .	19 794	19 780	2 003	17 777	152	17 625	-	14	14	-
MADISON, . . . . .	2 468	2 099	2 034	65	48	17	-	369	369	-
ST. JOSEPH, . . . . .	4 391	4 386	4 263	117	68	49	-	11	11	-
TIPPECANOE, . . . . .	1 703	1 692	1 599	93	93	-	-	11	11	-
VANDERBURGH, . . . . .	3 140	3 107	3 054	53	53	-	-	33	18	15
VIGO, . . . . .	1 921	1 902	1 722	180	177	3	-	19	19	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK, . . . . .	3 464	3 425	3 361	64	64	-	-	44	-	44
LINN, . . . . .	3 890	3 768	3 650	118	97	-	21	122	59	63
POLK, . . . . .	10 106	9 993	9 811	182	174	-	8	115	30	85
SCOTT, . . . . .	2 301	2 301	2 235	65	65	-	1	-	-	-
WOODBURY, . . . . .	2 391	2 340	2 223	117	77	-	40	51	51	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON, . . . . .	5 333	5 333	4 834	499	447	52	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK, . . . . .	9 260	9 255	8 675	580	372	208	-	5	-	5
SHAWNEE, . . . . .	3 772	3 772	3 584	138	159	29	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE, . . . . .	3 133	3 131	3 038	93	3	90	-	2	2	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON, . . . . .	24 082	23 887	21 370	2 517	1 759	78	700	190	3	192
KENTON, . . . . .	1 464	1 413	1 360	53	53	-	-	56	-	56
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO, . . . . .	6 330	6 332	5 355	977	195	782	-	7	-	7
CALCASTEU, . . . . .	5 860	5 764	5 470	294	250	44	-	105	105	-
JEFFERSON, . . . . .	22 642	22 642	15 819	6 823	829	5 994	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE, . . . . .	3 367	3 367	3 132	235	213	22	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA, . . . . .	2 895	2 895	2 808	87	87	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES, . . . . .	4 178	4 178	2 681	1 497	78	1 419	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND, . . . . .	1 488	1 488	1 427	61	38	23	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT, . . . . .	797	797	771	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
YORK, . . . . .	752	621	619	2	2	-	-	131	-	131
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL, . . . . .	11 590	11 590	10 922	668	301	367	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE, . . . . .	28 254	28 254	27 989	265	215	50	-	-	-	-
HARFORD, . . . . .	2 791	2 781	2 683	98	98	-	-	10	10	-
MONTGOMERY, . . . . .	33 274	33 210	27 260	5 950	554	5 396	-	64	-	64
PRINCE GEORGES, . . . . .	34 392	34 392	31 098	3 294	1 685	1 609	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON, . . . . .	939	939	911	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE, . . . . .	3 236	3 236	3 078	158	45	113	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE, . . . . .	1 961	1 961	1 933	28	7	21	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL, . . . . .	5 621	5 612	5 543	69	47	22	-	9	-	9
ESSEX, . . . . .	9 612	9 612	7 925	1 687	40	1 647	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN, . . . . .	10 994	10 993	8 473	2 520	-	2 520	-	1	-	1
HAMPSHIRE, . . . . .	2 019	2 017	1 818	199	13	186	-	2	-	2

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 17. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED										
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	21 387	21 387	21 273	114	16	98	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	7 033	7 033	6 729	304	77	91	136	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	7 853	7 847	6 090	1 757	182	1 434	141	6	-	3
WORCESTER . . . . .	9 366	9 366	9 150	215	37	179	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY . . . . .	4 994	4 419	2 865	1 534	76	1 478	-	175	171	4
BERRIEN . . . . .	6 244	6 025	5 674	351	164	187	-	219	193	26
CALHOUN . . . . .	5 563	5 389	5 035	354	79	275	-	174	82	42
GENESEE . . . . .	14 607	14 047	14 021	26	26	-	-	560	541	19
INGHAM . . . . .	7 583	7 515	7 339	176	165	11	-	68	40	22
JACKSON . . . . .	4 616	4 428	4 388	43	40	-	-	130	130	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	7 154	6 977	6 901	76	55	21	-	177	152	19
KENT . . . . .	10 960	10 786	8 797	1 989	129	1 860	-	174	153	21
MACOMB . . . . .										
MACOMB . . . . .	13 980	13 976	12 147	1 829	128	1 701	-	4	-	4
MONROE . . . . .	4 047	3 970	3 876	94	94	-	-	77	77	-
MUSKEGON . . . . .	4 787	4 536	4 469	67	67	-	-	251	161	90
OAKLAND . . . . .	23 963	23 467	22 228	1 239	376	863	-	496	492	4
OTTAWA . . . . .	2 964	2 877	2 799	79	78	-	-	87	85	2
SAGINAW . . . . .	6 390	6 192	6 117	75	75	-	-	198	198	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	4 034	3 963	3 891	72	62	10	-	71	65	6
WASHTENAW . . . . .	9 921	9 760	9 407	353	353	-	-	161	80	81
WAYNE . . . . .	83 318	76 144	75 587	2 557	810	1 747	-	5 171	1 630	3 541
MINNESOTA:										
ANDOKA . . . . .	3 917	3 910	3 797	113	113	-	-	7	-	7
DAKOTA . . . . .	2 693	2 607	2 547	60	60	-	-	86	-	76
HENNEPIN . . . . .	32 603	32 423	27 451	4 972	797	4 175	-	183	-	140
RAMSEY . . . . .	17 543	17 543	15 240	2 303	518	1 317	468	-	-	740
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	6 808	6 072	5 876	196	196	-	-	736	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON . . . . .	4 377	4 377	2 469	1 908	87	1 346	475	-	-	-
HINDS . . . . .	4 068	5 068	2 635	2 433	176	2 257	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	1 847	1 810	1 690	128	106	22	-	24	-	1
MISSOURI:										
CLAY . . . . .	1 954	1 954	1 864	90	90	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE . . . . .	1 912	1 911	1 684	227	86	141	-	1	-	1
JACKSON . . . . .	15 710	14 871	13 000	1 871	238	1 633	-	839	839	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 511	1 511	1 331	100	44	136	-	7	1	0
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	1 776	1 776	1 507	269	269	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	25 219	25 239	24 692	597	547	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS . . . . .	7 657	7 657	6 257	1 400	100	1 300	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	3 606	3 480	3 452	28	19	9	-	126	-	126
NEVADA:										
CLARK . . . . .	74 001	34 001	33 506	495	493	2	-	-	-	-
WASHOE . . . . .	7 596	7 596	7 091	505	499	6	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	1 973	1 840	1 801	39	28	11	-	133	116	17
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	1 360	1 264	1 232	36	31	5	-	101	94	7
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC . . . . .	5 437	5 437	5 155	282	108	174	-	-	-	-
BERGEN . . . . .	16 413	16 413	16 285	128	79	49	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON . . . . .	5 523	5 521	5 155	366	-	366	-	2	2	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	15 428	15 428	14 397	1 031	-	1 031	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	3 148	3 148	3 090	58	15	43	-	-	-	-
ESSEX . . . . .	40 188	40 188	40 160	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	3 118	3 118	3 118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON . . . . .	15 170	15 170	14 909	261	35	226	-	-	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	12 333	12 333	8 097	4 236	1 096	3 140	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	16 223	16 223	13 475	2 748	228	2 520	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH . . . . .	7 052	7 050	6 958	95	24	71	-	2	2	-
MORRIS . . . . .	6 555	6 555	6 355	200	153	47	-	-	-	-
OCEAN . . . . .	5 726	5 726	5 164	562	182	380	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	12 457	12 457	12 457	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET . . . . .	4 532	4 532	4 371	161	100	61	-	-	-	-
UNION . . . . .	13 228	13 228	13 034	194	153	41	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO . . . . .	4 606	3 913	3 902	11	10	-	-	693	693	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	8 563	8 200	7 704	496	150	346	-	383	-	383
BROOME . . . . .	3 634	3 324	3 202	122	122	-	-	310	309	1
CHAUTAUQUE . . . . .	2 788	2 671	2 604	67	67	-	-	117	117	-
CHEMUNG . . . . .	2 202	2 202	2 151	51	50	1	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS . . . . .	5 379	5 059	5 000	59	44	15	-	320	320	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 17. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEW YORK--CONTINUED										
ERIE . . . . .	26 737	25 865	25 637	229	229	-	-	864	869	-
MONROE . . . . .	23 391	22 662	22 435	227	226	1	-	729	729	-
NASSAU . . . . .	143 032	142 505	139 882	2 623	2 065	558	-	527	527	-
NIAAGARA . . . . .	5 215	5 006	4 896	104	104	-	-	215	215	-
ONFIDA . . . . .	4 195	4 061	3 590	471	45	426	-	132	132	-
ONONDAGA . . . . .	17 077	16 539	14 994	1 545	118	1 391	36	538	538	-
ORANGE . . . . .	4 836	4 409	4 309	100	19	81	-	427	427	-
OSWEGO . . . . .	2 305	2 060	1 895	165	151	14	-	245	245	-
RENSSELAER . . . . .	2 881	2 782	2 730	52	52	-	-	99	99	-
ROCKLAND . . . . .	9 179	9 179	6 868	2 311	90	2 221	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	1 793	1 771	1 727	44	44	-	-	22	22	-
SARATOGA . . . . .	2 027	1 917	1 749	168	53	115	-	110	110	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	2 547	2 384	2 368	20	20	-	-	159	159	-
STUFURN . . . . .	1 758	1 743	1 677	66	66	-	-	15	15	-
SUFFOLK . . . . .	102 104	101 735	99 880	1 855	1 285	555	15	369	369	-
ULSTER . . . . .	3 764	3 664	2 873	791	28	763	-	100	-	100
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	28 165	28 155	27 965	190	159	31	-	10	10	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
SUNCOMBE . . . . .	2 110	2 098	2 031	67	67	-	-	12	-	12
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	7 959	7 847	3 322	4 525	243	70	4 212	112	-	112
DURHAM . . . . .	3 460	3 460	1 128	2 332	30	2 302	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH . . . . .	3 162	2 995	2 624	171	155	16	-	167	-	167
GASTON . . . . .	1 913	1 913	1 821	92	92	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD . . . . .	4 351	4 272	3 794	478	267	211	-	79	-	79
HECKLFBURG . . . . .	8 032	7 639	5 396	2 243	423	1 820	-	393	-	393
OWSLOW . . . . .	1 561	1 561	1 045	516	91	425	-	-	-	303
WAKE . . . . .	2 072	2 072	1 804	268	209	59	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
ALLEN . . . . .	2 202	2 193	2 130	63	63	-	-	9	-	9
ASHTABULA . . . . .	1 590	1 590	1 395	192	182	-	-	-	-	-
AUTLER . . . . .	3 152	3 152	3 061	91	91	10	-	-	-	-
CLARK . . . . .	3 431	3 431	2 276	1 155	79	1 076	-	-	-	-
CLFRMONT . . . . .	1 433	1 385	1 324	61	61	-	-	48	-	48
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	1 694	1 581	1 527	54	54	-	-	113	-	113
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	45 710	44 903	26 816	18 087	239	17 848	-	816	-	816
FRANKLIN . . . . .	18 804	18 461	13 589	4 872	129	4 743	-	343	-	343
GREENE . . . . .	2 249	2 249	2 225	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	20 564	19 605	18 794	811	390	421	-	959	-	959
LAKE . . . . .	3 204	3 204	3 110	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING . . . . .	1 795	1 795	1 766	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	4 065	4 065	3 549	516	33	483	-	-	-	-
LUCAS . . . . .	12 208	12 145	8 875	3 270	164	3 106	-	63	-	-
MAHONING . . . . .	3 876	3 876	3 581	295	18	68	209	-	-	63
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	15 668	15 244	15 179	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE . . . . .	2 531	2 525	2 448	77	60	17	-	424	-	424
RICHLAND . . . . .	2 767	2 752	2 510	233	65	168	-	6	-	6
STARK . . . . .	6 020	6 010	5 702	308	308	-	-	15	-	15
SUMMIT . . . . .	4 496	4 448	8 319	129	129	-	-	10	-	10
TRUMBULL . . . . .	3 903	3 983	3 856	127	125	2	-	40	-	40
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE . . . . .	1 647	1 321	1 272	49	49	-	-	326	326	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	4 303	3 727	3 641	86	86	-	-	576	576	-
TULSA . . . . .	5 629	4 667	4 585	82	61	21	-	962	680	282
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	4 982	4 982	4 718	264	185	79	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	4 553	4 553	3 498	1 055	133	922	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	10 075	10 066	9 144	912	131	778	3	19	19	-
MARION . . . . .	4 390	4 344	4 203	141	141	-	-	46	-	46
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	23 972	23 904	23 157	747	588	159	-	68	45	23
WASHINGTON . . . . .	4 251	4 251	4 172	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	32 635	31 372	30 388	984	533	451	-	1 263	1 261	2
BEAVER . . . . .	3 954	3 812	3 696	116	37	34	45	141	141	-
BERKS . . . . .	4 719	4 253	4 214	39	39	-	-	466	466	-
BLAIR . . . . .	1 569	1 554	1 513	41	41	-	-	15	6	9
BUCKS . . . . .	9 192	9 007	8 335	672	190	482	-	185	185	-
BUTLER . . . . .	1 444	1 416	1 358	58	41	17	-	28	-	28
CAMBRIA . . . . .	2 222	2 191	2 176	15	15	-	-	31	31	-
CENTRE . . . . .	1 120	1 120	1 113	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	7 686	7 518	7 013	505	347	158	-	168	67	101
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	1 941	1 902	1 898	4	4	-	-	39	27	12
DAUPHIN . . . . .	4 915	4 725	4 674	51	51	-	-	190	132	58
DELAWARE . . . . .	14 337	14 335	14 238	97	97	-	-	2	-	2
ERIE . . . . .	6 157	5 949	5 927	22	22	-	-	208	186	22
FAYETTE . . . . .	1 891	1 877	1 793	84	37	47	-	14	14	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	1 406	1 406	1 398	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	2 360	2 353	2 335	18	18	-	-	7	7	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	7 117	6 694	3 836	2 858	24	2 834	-	423	423	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	1 276	1 198	1 107	91	38	53	-	78	70	8

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 17. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED										
LEBANON . . . . .	1 444	1 413	1 338	75	57	18	-	35	35	-
LEHIGH . . . . .	4 371	4 119	3 904	215	104	111	-	252	252	-
LUZERNE . . . . .	3 753	3 627	3 610	17	17	-	-	126	126	-
LYCOMING . . . . .	2 093	2 017	1 967	50	44	6	-	76	68	8
MEHICKER . . . . .	2 718	2 715	1 735	980	47	933	-	3	2	1
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	11 935	11 743	11 114	629	348	281	-	192	192	-
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	3 636	3 402	3 136	266	81	185	-	234	234	-
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	2 573	2 536	2 530	6	6	-	-	37	37	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	2 255	2 195	2 184	11	11	-	-	90	87	3
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	4 603	4 436	3 915	521	69	452	-	167	118	49
YORK . . . . .	4 358	4 147	3 862	285	105	180	-	211	190	21
SOUTH CAROLINA										
ANDERSON . . . . .	1 792	1 726	1 719	7	7	-	-	66	66	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	6 155	5 982	5 074	904	385	387	132	173	53	120
GREENVILLE . . . . .	6 388	6 388	6 026	362	357	5	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	2 293	2 270	2 091	179	179	-	-	23	23	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	6 571	6 435	5 616	819	223	429	167	136	104	32
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	3 613	3 511	3 320	191	191	-	-	102	36	66
TENNESSEE										
HAMILTON . . . . .	5 021	4 979	4 787	192	174	18	-	42	-	42
KNOX . . . . .	6 382	6 382	4 155	2 227	244	1 983	-	-	-	-
SHELBY . . . . .	19 297	18 694	18 543	351	351	-	-	403	403	-
SULLIVAN . . . . .	1 563	1 563	1 494	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS										
BELL . . . . .	2 224	2 223	2 029	194	56	136	2	1	-	1
BEAR . . . . .	15 657	15 656	14 262	1 354	377	953	64	1	-	1
BRAZORIA . . . . .	3 755	3 712	2 277	1 435	406	1 029	-	43	39	4
CAMERON . . . . .	4 177	4 174	2 193	1 981	45	942	994	3	-	3
DALLAS . . . . .	31 707	31 707	31 089	618	613	-	5	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	5 792	5 792	5 688	104	84	-	20	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	4 425	4 389	4 298	91	81	10	-	36	36	-
HARRIS . . . . .	49 699	49 699	46 742	2 957	484	2 232	241	-	-	-
HIDALGO . . . . .	4 371	4 371	2 059	2 312	97	2 215	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	5 021	4 941	4 906	35	24	-	11	80	54	26
LUBBOCK . . . . .	2 290	2 232	1 944	248	59	-	229	58	58	-
MCCLENNAN . . . . .	2 659	2 658	2 562	96	81	-	15	1	-	1
MUEFCS . . . . .	7 476	7 467	4 436	3 031	163	2 868	-	9	-	9
SMITH . . . . .	1 351	1 308	1 259	49	33	16	-	43	43	-
TARRANT . . . . .	11 453	11 448	11 184	264	256	-	8	5	-	5
TAYLOR . . . . .	1 156	1 123	1 099	24	21	-	3	33	33	-
TRAVIS . . . . .	9 049	8 953	7 692	1 261	174	1 074	13	96	88	8
WICHITA . . . . .	1 476	1 459	1 411	48	48	-	-	17	17	-
UTAH										
DAVIS . . . . .	1 379	1 336	1 189	147	87	-	60	43	-	43
SALT LAKE . . . . .	12 373	12 373	11 968	405	405	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	1 736	1 735	1 508	435	34	401	-	1	-	1
WEBER . . . . .	1 921	1 921	1 899	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT										
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	103	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA										
ARLINGTON . . . . .	11 074	10 677	10 501	176	136	40	-	397	-	397
FAIRFAX . . . . .	26 049	26 891	22 847	4 044	132	3 912	-	1 158	878	280
HENRICO . . . . .	10 231	10 109	7 146	2 963	689	2 274	-	122	-	122
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	4 721	4 706	4 518	190	163	27	-	13	-	13
WASHINGTON										
CLARK . . . . .	4 800	4 754	4 594	160	79	81	-	46	-	46
KING . . . . .	31 072	31 072	30 024	1 048	178	870	-	-	-	-
KITSAP . . . . .	2 960	2 960	2 738	222	201	21	-	-	-	-
PIERCE . . . . .	10 564	10 527	10 402	125	125	-	-	41	-	41
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	6 857	6 435	6 254	179	97	82	-	374	-	374
SPOKANE . . . . .	7 697	7 697	7 507	190	118	72	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA . . . . .	3 932	3 922	3 819	103	103	-	-	10	-	10
WEST VIRGINIA										
CARELL . . . . .	1 226	1 226	1 182	44	35	9	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA . . . . .	2 896	2 872	1 966	906	1	16	889	24	24	-
WISCONSIN										
BROWN . . . . .	3 413	3 343	2 984	359	143	216	-	70	69	1
DANE . . . . .	7 384	7 283	7 023	260	260	-	-	101	7	94
KENOSHA . . . . .	2 796	2 667	2 608	59	59	-	-	129	116	13
MARATHON . . . . .	1 913	1 911	1 790	121	113	8	-	62	62	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	27 612	27 477	26 212	1 265	202	1 063	-	135	135	-
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	2 324	2 246	2 083	159	158	-	-	76	78	-
RACINE . . . . .	4 558	4 509	4 146	363	126	237	-	46	46	-
ROCK . . . . .	4 434	4 327	4 236	91	91	-	-	167	50	57
WAUKESHA . . . . .	4 434	4 313	4 076	237	180	57	-	121	11	110
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	1 962	1 943	1 870	73	73	-	-	19	19	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.



**Page left blank to preserve table sequence.**

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution governments,

Line number	County <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	TOTAL . . . . .	1 487 301	214 669	199 440	206 269	13.9	61 646	59 107	60 019	29.1	60 775	55 024	57 962	28.1
2	ALABAMA:													
3	CALHOUN . . . . .	219	57	46	51	23.3	20	18	18	35.3	16	8	13	25.5
4	JEFFERSON . . . . .	4 206	643	571	616	14.6	239	239	239	38.8	167	122	151	24.3
5	MADISON . . . . .	617	167	142	162	26.3	43	42	42	25.9	45	31	43	26.5
6	MOBILE . . . . .	1 154	454	350	419	36.3	177	125	147	35.1	145	122	141	33.7
7	MONTGOMERY . . . . .	555	222	184	212	38.2	47	46	47	22.2	66	44	62	29.2
8	TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	538	120	106	115	21.4	42	40	40	34.8	25	17	24	20.9
9	ARIZONA:													
10	MARICOPA . . . . .	6 540	1 976	1 967	1 970	30.1	366	366	366	18.6	623	619	620	31.5
11	PIMA . . . . .	3 901	1 309	1 267	1 278	32.8	348	326	330	25.8	329	327	328	25.7
12	ARKANSAS:													
13	PULASKI . . . . .	694	334	313	317	45.7	74	71	73	23.0	108	91	93	29.3
14	CALIFORNIA:													
15	ALAMEDA . . . . .	9 435	3 285	2 913	3 048	32.3	383	383	383	12.6	688	674	686	22.2
16	BUTTE . . . . .	1 059	333	297	309	29.2	110	95	98	31.7	84	76	80	25.9
17	CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	6 472	1 583	1 447	1 510	23.3	217	211	215	14.2	377	345	356	23.6
18	FRESNO . . . . .	5 352	1 526	1 349	1 417	26.5	369	363	365	25.8	286	231	246	17.4
19	HUMBOLDT . . . . .	1 439	377	349	358	24.9	136	136	136	38.0	83	72	77	21.5
20	KERN . . . . .	5 532	1 198	1 093	1 153	20.8	362	348	353	30.6	190	165	178	15.4
21	LOS ANGELES . . . . .	78 240	18 800	17 808	18 320	23.4	4 987	4 916	4 948	27.0	4 665	4 069	4 392	24.0
22	MARIN . . . . .	1 874	626	561	577	30.8	106	100	102	17.7	164	156	158	27.1
23	MERCED . . . . .	1 712	311	284	294	17.2	81	68	70	23.8	85	78	82	27.9
24	MONTEREY . . . . .	2 448	730	662	691	28.2	182	175	177	25.6	176	152	164	23.7
25	ORANGE . . . . .	9 849	3 565	3 351	3 431	34.6	624	616	629	18.3	1 010	946	978	28.5
26	RIVERSIDE . . . . .	5 805	1 733	1 656	1 702	29.3	460	459	459	27.0	361	333	347	20.4
27	SACRAMENTO . . . . .	7 102	2 381	2 195	2 293	32.3	795	781	790	34.5	363	328	345	19.3
28	SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	9 154	2 384	2 191	2 286	25.0	643	602	611	26.7	557	506	529	23.1
29	SAN DIEGO . . . . .	12 513	4 055	3 652	3 814	30.5	766	701	704	10.5	1 127	1 015	1 070	28.1
30	SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	4 907	1 130	1 005	1 041	21.2	258	256	257	24.7	247	232	237	22.4
31	SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	1 798	357	355	356	19.8	122	122	122	34.3	92	92	92	25.9
32	SAN MATEO . . . . .	4 306	1 279	1 260	1 269	29.5	256	254	254	20.0	331	330	330	26.0
33	SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	2 977	982	947	960	32.2	262	252	254	26.5	220	207	214	22.3
34	SANTA CLARA . . . . .	11 200	3 363	2 935	3 105	27.7	549	456	497	16.0	778	645	706	22.7
35	SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	1 514	452	426	436	28.6	119	114	114	26.6	107	100	103	23.0
36	SOLANO . . . . .	1 560	545	515	529	33.9	95	89	92	17.4	124	116	119	22.5
37	SONOMA . . . . .	3 373	731	597	661	19.6	225	198	209	31.6	139	118	131	19.0
38	STANISLAUS . . . . .	2 594	694	630	645	24.9	186	165	169	26.2	148	141	143	22.2
39	TULARE . . . . .	2 207	665	619	646	29.3	227	211	220	34.1	134	117	129	20.0
40	VENTURA . . . . .	4 916	1 281	1 173	1 212	24.7	383	377	378	31.2	254	231	243	20.0
41	YOLO . . . . .	1 261	371	342	349	27.7	114	112	112	32.1	70	65	67	19.2
42	COLORADO:													
43	ADAMS . . . . .	1 181	196	192	194	16.4	109	109	109	56.2	-	-	-	-
44	ARAPAHOE . . . . .	653	224	216	219	33.5	84	79	80	36.5	27	27	27	12.3
45	BOULDER . . . . .	871	188	168	176	20.2	82	79	81	46.0	12	7	9	5.1
46	EL PASO . . . . .	1 217	288	282	284	23.3	43	43	43	15.1	81	81	81	28.5
47	JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 418	304	296	299	21.1	149	147	148	49.5	10	10	10	3.3
48	LARIMER . . . . .	563	113	104	105	18.7	65	58	59	56.2	-	-	-	-
49	PUEBLO . . . . .	725	129	126	127	17.5	31	31	31	24.4	13	13	13	10.2
50	WELD . . . . .	1 734	120	110	112	6.5	51	46	47	42.0	-	-	-	-
51	DELAWARE:													
52	NEW CASTLE . . . . .	1 733	344	344	344	19.8	244	244	244	70.9	91	91	91	26.0
53	FLORIDA:													
54	ALACHUA . . . . .	718	300	295	296	41.2	140	140	140	47.3	79	74	76	25.7
55	BREVARD . . . . .	1 925	342	318	323	16.8	197	178	181	56.0	116	111	113	35.0
56	BROWARD . . . . .	4 086	1 247	1 173	1 196	29.3	489	454	462	38.6	488	459	472	39.0
57	DADE . . . . .	20 595	4 063	4 059	4 060	19.7	2 069	2 069	2 069	51.0	1 193	1 189	1 190	29.3
58	ESCAMBIA . . . . .	897	518	502	504	56.2	229	223	224	44.4	165	155	156	31.0
59	HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	6 363	1 042	1 013	1 020	16.0	457	428	435	42.6	251	251	251	24.0
60	LEE . . . . .	1 422	303	302	302	21.2	177	177	177	58.6	86	85	85	28.1
61	LEON . . . . .	582	265	253	258	44.3	122	122	122	47.3	108	96	101	39.1
62	MANATEE . . . . .	1 334	214	206	210	15.7	114	110	112	53.3	61	57	59	28.1
63	ORANGE . . . . .	3 602	1 027	1 026	1 027	28.5	442	441	442	43.0	334	334	334	32.0
64	PALM BEACH . . . . .	3 478	829	812	819	23.5	429	428	429	52.4	158	144	150	18.3
65	PASCO . . . . .	1 098	273	271	272	24.8	166	166	166	61.0	69	67	68	25.0
66	PINELLAS . . . . .	2 841	888	878	881	31.0	356	349	351	39.8	356	353	354	40.2
67	POLK . . . . .	2 844	505	499	499	17.5	273	272	272	54.5	132	125	128	25.7
68	SARASOTA . . . . .	1 015	321	312	316	31.1	192	190	191	60.4	73	67	69	21.0
69	SEMINOLE . . . . .	1 157	231	231	231	20.0	119	119	119	51.5	76	76	76	32.9
70	VOLUSIA . . . . .	1 265	463	422	436	34.5	208	186	193	44.3	120	110	115	26.4

See footnotes at end of table.

of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county  
October 1977

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
21 401	19 722	20 550	10.0	3 896	3 493	3 767	1.8	64 835	60 106	61 938	30.0	2 116	1 988	2 034	1.0	1
5	4	4	7.8	-	-	-	-	16	16	16	31.4	-	-	-	-	2
59	37	51	8.3	-	-	-	-	178	173	175	28.4	-	-	-	-	3
21	12	20	12.3	-	-	-	-	58	57	57	35.2	-	-	-	-	4
29	2	29	6.9	-	-	-	-	103	101	102	24.3	-	-	-	-	5
22	12	20	9.4	-	-	-	-	87	82	83	39.2	-	-	-	-	6
8	4	6	5.2	-	-	-	-	45	45	45	39.1	-	-	-	-	7
209	209	209	10.6	92	92	92	4.7	680	675	677	34.4	6	6	6	0.3	8
158	147	150	11.7	55	53	53	4.1	419	414	417	32.6	-	-	-	-	9
39	39	39	12.3	7	7	7	2.2	107	105	105	33.1	-	-	-	-	10
426	378	391	12.8	200	193	195	6.4	1 481	1 185	1 289	42.3	107	100	104	3.4	11
55	52	53	17.2	4	4	4	1.3	79	70	74	23.9	-	-	-	-	12
261	252	258	17.1	72	66	69	4.6	644	566	604	40.0	10	7	8	0.5	13
240	220	228	16.1	57	52	53	3.7	574	483	525	37.1	-	-	-	-	14
48	45	45	12.6	10	10	10	2.8	100	83	87	24.3	3	3	3	0.8	15
164	157	161	14.0	33	32	32	2.8	449	340	429	37.2	-	-	-	-	16
2 221	2 205	2 214	17.1	562	562	562	3.1	6 365	6 056	6 204	33.9	-	-	-	-	17
49	46	46	8.0	28	27	28	4.9	208	171	173	30.8	71	61	65	11.7	18
41	41	41	13.9	7	7	7	2.4	97	90	94	32.0	-	-	-	-	19
96	94	95	13.7	28	27	27	3.9	248	214	228	33.0	-	-	-	-	20
434	431	432	12.6	113	113	113	3.3	1 345	1 236	1 270	37.0	9	9	9	0.3	21
218	211	214	12.6	60	56	57	3.3	654	597	625	36.7	-	-	-	-	22
380	380	380	16.6	104	95	99	4.3	696	568	636	27.7	43	43	43	1.9	23
260	254	256	11.2	75	74	74	3.2	842	748	809	35.4	7	7	7	0.3	24
482	428	455	11.9	-	-	-	-	1 659	1 489	1 564	41.0	21	19	21	0.6	25
157	152	156	15.0	68	64	66	6.1	396	299	322	30.9	4	2	3	0.3	26
41	41	41	11.5	-	-	-	-	102	100	101	28.4	-	-	-	-	27
136	135	135	10.6	-	-	-	-	554	539	548	43.2	2	2	2	0.2	28
125	125	125	13.0	30	30	30	3.1	345	333	337	35.1	-	-	-	-	29
467	458	461	14.8	117	116	116	3.7	1 244	1 054	1 119	36.0	208	206	206	6.6	30
72	69	70	16.1	-	-	-	-	153	142	146	33.5	1	1	1	0.2	31
62	62	62	11.7	26	26	26	4.9	238	222	230	43.5	-	-	-	-	32
97	85	91	13.8	21	19	20	3.0	247	177	208	31.5	2	-	2	0.3	33
111	104	106	16.4	19	18	18	2.8	217	191	198	30.7	11	11	11	1.7	34
92	89	91	14.1	26	25	25	3.9	186	177	181	28.0	-	-	-	-	35
180	161	167	13.8	32	30	31	2.6	389	361	372	30.7	43	13	21	1.7	36
41	40	40	11.5	18	15	17	4.9	126	110	113	32.4	-	-	-	-	37
60	56	58	29.9	-	-	-	-	27	27	27	13.9	-	-	-	-	38
76	73	75	34.2	-	-	-	-	37	37	37	16.9	-	-	-	-	39
37	28	31	17.6	-	-	-	-	57	54	55	31.3	-	-	-	-	40
86	80	82	28.9	-	-	-	-	78	78	78	27.5	-	-	-	-	41
85	81	82	27.4	-	-	-	-	31	29	30	10.0	29	29	29	9.7	42
23	22	22	21.0	-	-	-	-	25	24	24	22.9	-	-	-	-	43
44	41	42	33.1	-	-	-	-	38	38	38	29.9	3	3	3	2.4	44
37	36	36	32.1	-	-	-	-	29	25	26	23.2	3	3	3	2.7	45
9	9	9	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
4	4	4	1.4	-	-	-	-	77	77	76	25.7	-	-	-	-	47
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	27	27	8.4	2	2	2	0.6	48
35	35	35	2.9	-	-	-	-	216	206	208	17.4	19	19	19	1.6	49
57	57	57	1.4	22	22	22	0.5	524	529	529	13.0	193	193	193	4.8	50
4	4	4	0.8	1	1	1	0.2	119	119	119	23.6	-	-	-	-	51
13	13	13	1.3	7	7	7	0.7	293	293	293	28.7	21	21	21	2.1	52
9	9	9	3.0	6	6	6	2.0	25	25	25	6.3	-	-	-	-	53
3	3	3	1.2	-	-	-	-	32	32	32	12.4	-	-	-	-	54
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	39	39	18.6	-	-	-	-	55
1	1	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	227	227	227	22.1	23	23	23	2.2	56
15	15	15	1.8	-	-	-	-	194	192	192	23.4	33	33	33	4.0	57
5	5	5	1.8	-	-	-	-	33	33	33	12.1	-	-	-	-	58
13	13	13	1.5	13	13	13	1.5	145	145	145	16.5	5	5	5	0.6	59
6	3	5	1.0	3	3	3	0.6	90	90	90	18.0	1	1	1	0.2	60
1	-	1	0.3	-	-	-	-	55	55	55	17.4	-	-	-	-	61
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	36	36	15.6	-	-	-	-	62
8	8	8	1.8	-	-	-	-	127	118	120	27.5	-	-	-	-	63

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution  
governments,

Line number	County <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	GEORGIA:													
2	BIBB . . . . .	597	260	214	236	39.5	87	87	87	36.9	103	67	85	30.0
3	CHATHAM . . . . .	1 052	369	353	356	33.6	146	130	133	37.4	122	122	122	34.3
4	CLAYTON . . . . .	1 056	375	352	359	34.0	189	188	189	52.6	72	68	70	19.5
5	COBB . . . . .	2 006	623	608	622	31.0	279	279	279	44.9	232	225	231	37.1
6	DE KALB . . . . .	3 752	1 043	1 031	1 038	27.7	569	569	569	54.8	206	196	201	19.4
7	FULTON . . . . .	2 759	1 151	1 084	1 121	40.6	159	155	156	13.9	481	454	472	42.1
8	RICHMOND . . . . .	914	384	368	376	41.1	148	146	147	39.1	95	83	93	24.7
9	IDAHO:													
10	ADA . . . . .	503	278	261	266	52.9	119	115	116	43.6	77	70	72	27.1
11	ILLINOIS:													
12	CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	489	164	133	142	29.0	37	35	35	24.6	47	34	37	26.1
13	COOK . . . . .	20 089	7 683	7 143	7 385	36.6	792	777	782	10.6	3 651	3 216	3 426	46.4
14	DU PAGE . . . . .	1 785	632	539	572	32.0	197	163	169	29.5	174	146	160	28.0
15	KANE . . . . .	738	335	319	323	43.6	83	79	80	24.8	84	79	80	24.8
16	LAKE . . . . .	1 624	488	450	456	28.1	172	145	147	32.2	112	105	108	23.7
17	LA SALLE . . . . .	323	116	102	108	33.4	30	28	29	26.9	32	28	29	26.9
18	MCHEENRY . . . . .	363	170	161	167	46.0	70	69	70	41.9	38	27	32	19.2
19	MCLEAN . . . . .	443	185	146	161	36.3	51	47	47	29.2	60	37	43	26.7
20	MACON . . . . .	288	176	164	167	58.0	64	64	64	38.3	64	57	59	35.3
21	MADISON . . . . .	1 628	302	254	283	17.4	77	71	73	25.8	90	74	78	27.6
22	PEORIA . . . . .	748	279	263	271	36.2	82	81	81	29.9	66	61	62	22.9
23	ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	691	160	152	158	22.9	70	65	66	41.8	47	40	41	25.9
24	ST. CLAIR . . . . .	1 130	286	265	274	28.2	71	65	69	25.2	84	79	80	29.2
25	SANGAMON . . . . .	421	252	233	243	57.7	99	97	97	39.9	50	43	45	18.5
26	TAZEWELL . . . . .	311	139	110	122	39.2	41	39	39	32.0	51	31	41	33.6
27	WILL . . . . .	887	300	292	304	34.3	120	116	117	38.5	91	89	90	29.6
28	WINNEBAGO . . . . .	1 001	354	335	341	34.1	92	91	91	26.7	93	92	93	27.3
29	INDIANA:													
30	ALLEN . . . . .	1 634	425	360	405	24.6	129	125	129	31.9	104	94	103	25.4
31	DELAWARE . . . . .	819	175	140	166	20.3	45	42	43	25.9	55	44	42	31.3
32	ELKHART . . . . .	592	210	163	193	32.6	74	63	65	37.7	60	52	57	29.0
33	LAKE . . . . .	3 125	704	616	691	22.1	168	155	159	23.0	240	225	237	34.3
34	LA PORTE . . . . .	508	140	106	131	25.8	37	28	30	22.9	61	52	57	43.5
35	MADISON . . . . .	534	267	199	242	45.3	64	50	54	22.3	100	87	95	39.3
36	ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	1 163	340	277	320	27.5	75	70	72	22.5	117	106	109	30.1
37	TIPPECANOE . . . . .	578	126	92	123	22.9	37	36	37	30.1	40	27	40	32.9
38	VANDERBURGH . . . . .	696	254	180	236	33.9	57	55	56	23.7	108	88	101	42.3
39	VIGO . . . . .	865	147	120	139	16.1	21	20	21	15.1	60	56	57	41.0
40	IOWA:													
41	BLACK HAWK . . . . .	569	210	175	189	33.2	28	21	22	11.6	80	67	70	37.0
42	LINN . . . . .	800	301	251	265	33.1	34	33	33	12.5	101	73	81	30.6
43	POLK . . . . .	1 693	610	549	571	33.7	66	56	58	10.2	196	184	190	33.3
44	SCOTT . . . . .	477	153	148	149	31.2	44	43	43	28.9	57	56	56	37.6
45	WOODBURY . . . . .	401	147	126	132	32.9	19	19	19	14.4	64	57	59	44.7
46	KANSAS:													
47	JOHNSON . . . . .	994	384	362	368	37.0	111	107	108	29.3	161	151	154	41.8
48	SEDGWICK . . . . .	1 470	566	555	559	38.0	98	94	95	17.0	281	276	278	49.7
49	SHAWNEE . . . . .	644	356	318	331	51.4	44	40	41	12.4	132	113	119	36.3
50	WYANDOTTE . . . . .	624	310	253	272	43.6	45	42	43	15.8	139	109	117	43.0
51	KENTUCKY:													
52	JEFFERSON . . . . .	4 131	1 704	1 640	1 675	40.5	565	563	563	33.6	421	408	416	24.8
53	KENTON . . . . .	345	118	104	110	31.9	49	48	49	44.5	35	27	30	27.3
54	LOUISIANA:													
55	CAJODO . . . . .	850	311	275	292	34.4	86	85	85	29.1	71	51	54	18.5
56	CALCASIEU . . . . .	1 306	475	101	450	34.5	288	13	288	64.0	87	29	63	14.0
57	JEFFERSON . . . . .	5 353	1 208	1 146	1 173	21.9	653	651	651	55.5	322	294	304	25.9
58	LAFAYETTE . . . . .	529	284	214	242	45.7	108	105	106	43.8	85	49	65	26.9
59	QUACHITA . . . . .	1 011	218	170	189	18.7	86	85	85	45.0	53	14	32	16.9
60	RAPIDES . . . . .	676	266	177	206	30.5	123	96	101	49.0	71	29	39	18.9
61	MAINE:													
62	CUMBERLAND . . . . .	146	111	75	97	66.4	44	34	39	40.2	5	5	5	5.2
63	PENOBSCOT . . . . .	97	56	53	55	54.6	14	14	14	26.4	12	9	11	20.0
64	YORK . . . . .	101	75	53	60	59.4	33	22	25	41.7	5	5	5	8.3
65	MARYLAND:													
66	ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	9 530	693	690	691	7.3	515	515	515	74.6	90	87	88	12.7
67	BALTIMORE . . . . .	18 507	1 731	1 709	1 718	9.3	1 395	1 390	1 392	81.0	107	102	105	6.1
68	HARFORD . . . . .	4 231	165	147	163	3.9	68	68	68	41.7	33	28	31	19.0
69	MONTGOMERY . . . . .	18 697	1 292	1 281	1 284	6.9	939	939	939	73.1	128	121	124	9.7
70	PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	22 986	1 646	1 643	1 644	7.2	1 167	1 164	1 165	70.9	204	204	204	12.4
71	WASHINGTON . . . . .	2 680	71	59	63	2.4	25	20	22	34.9	17	13	14	22.2

See footnotes at end of table.

of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county  
October 1977—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
29	19	23	9.7	-	-	-	-	41	41	41	17.4	-	-	-	-	1
22	22	22	6.2	6	6	6	1.7	73	73	73	20.5	-	-	-	-	2
29	24	26	7.2	-	-	-	-	85	72	74	20.6	-	-	-	-	3
36	28	36	5.8	-	-	-	-	71	71	71	11.4	5	5	5	0.8	4
53	51	53	5.1	11	11	11	1.1	198	198	198	19.1	6	6	6	0.6	5
107	95	102	9.1	19	19	19	1.7	382	361	369	32.9	3	-	3	0.3	6
23	19	20	5.3	-	-	-	-	118	115	116	30.9	-	-	-	-	7
34	33	33	12.4	-	-	-	-	48	43	45	16.9	-	-	-	-	8
26	25	26	18.3	10	6	8	5.6	44	33	36	25.4	-	-	-	-	9
789	752	763	10.3	401	362	386	5.2	2 030	1 996	2 008	27.2	20	20	20	0.3	10
76	69	72	12.6	31	29	30	5.2	154	130	139	24.3	2	2	2	0.3	11
34	32	32	9.9	9	8	9	2.8	123	120	121	37.5	2	1	1	0.3	12
55	55	55	12.1	11	10	10	2.2	135	132	133	29.2	3	3	3	0.7	13
17	17	17	15.7	3	-	3	2.8	34	29	30	27.8	-	-	-	-	14
18	18	18	10.8	5	5	5	3.0	42	42	42	25.1	-	-	-	-	15
14	14	14	8.7	7	-	7	4.3	39	34	36	22.4	14	14	14	8.7	16
17	15	15	9.0	5	5	5	3.0	26	23	24	14.4	-	-	-	-	17
25	11	25	8.8	15	6	15	5.3	95	92	92	32.5	-	-	-	-	18
29	29	29	10.7	7	-	7	2.6	95	92	92	33.9	-	-	-	-	19
22	21	21	13.3	4	-	4	2.5	26	26	26	16.5	-	-	-	-	20
28	28	28	10.2	14	8	10	3.6	89	85	87	31.8	-	-	-	-	21
30	28	28	11.5	8	-	8	3.3	60	60	60	24.7	5	5	5	2.1	22
14	14	14	11.5	4	4	4	3.3	25	18	20	16.4	4	4	4	3.3	23
34	34	34	11.2	18	8	17	5.6	45	44	45	14.8	1	1	1	0.3	24
30	29	29	8.5	11	11	11	3.2	173	112	117	34.3	-	-	-	-	25
32	18	26	6.4	18	-	18	4.4	142	123	129	31.9	-	-	-	-	26
10	9	10	6.0	8	-	8	4.8	33	21	29	17.5	24	24	24	14.5	27
16	-	16	8.3	4	-	4	2.1	56	48	51	26.4	-	-	-	-	28
94	35	94	13.6	19	19	19	2.7	178	177	177	25.6	5	5	5	0.7	29
16	-	16	12.2	-	-	-	-	30	26	28	21.4	-	-	-	-	30
26	12	19	7.9	9	-	9	3.7	66	50	65	26.9	-	-	-	-	31
37	10	33	10.3	13	-	13	4.1	77	70	72	22.5	21	21	21	6.6	32
13	1	13	10.6	4	-	4	3.3	27	25	25	20.3	3	3	3	3.3	33
30	4	26	11.0	9	-	9	3.8	47	30	41	17.4	3	3	3	1.3	34
15	4	15	10.8	7	2	7	5.0	43	37	38	27.3	1	1	1	0.7	35
14	6	14	7.4	-	-	-	-	88	81	83	43.9	-	-	-	-	36
22	22	22	8.3	-	-	-	-	144	123	129	48.7	-	-	-	-	37
38	37	38	6.7	15	11	13	2.3	266	235	246	43.1	27	26	26	4.6	38
20	20	20	13.4	-	-	-	-	32	29	30	20.1	-	-	-	-	39
17	14	15	11.4	-	4	4	3.0	43	32	35	26.5	-	-	-	-	40
44	44	44	12.0	-	-	-	-	68	60	62	16.8	-	-	-	-	41
69	69	69	12.3	-	-	-	-	112	110	111	19.9	6	6	6	1.1	42
35	29	30	9.1	-	-	-	-	90	86	89	26.9	55	50	52	15.7	43
32	31	31	11.4	-	-	-	-	94	71	81	29.8	-	-	-	-	44
116	105	109	6.5	-	-	-	-	497	464	484	28.9	105	100	103	6.1	45
6	6	6	5.5	4	4	4	3.6	23	18	20	18.2	1	1	1	0.9	46
23	10	23	7.9	8	8	8	2.7	123	121	122	41.8	-	-	-	-	47
33	22	33	7.3	1	-	-	-	66	37	66	14.7	-	-	-	-	48
98	74	89	7.6	6	4	5	0.4	121	115	116	9.9	8	8	8	0.7	49
10	1	6	2.5	3	3	3	1.2	78	56	62	25.6	-	-	-	-	50
31	30	30	15.9	2	-	1	0.5	46	41	41	21.7	-	-	-	-	51
21	9	21	10.2	-	-	-	-	51	43	45	21.8	-	-	-	-	52
5	2	3	3.1	-	-	-	-	57	34	50	51.5	-	-	-	-	53
8	8	8	15.1	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	41.5	-	-	-	-	54
3	3	3	5.0	-	-	-	-	34	23	27	45.0	-	-	-	-	55
51	51	51	7.4	-	-	-	-	37	37	37	5.4	-	-	-	-	56
111	107	109	6.3	-	-	-	-	118	110	112	6.5	-	-	-	-	57
28	15	28	17.2	-	-	-	-	36	36	36	22.1	-	-	-	-	58
78	77	77	6.0	-	-	-	-	143	140	140	10.9	4	4	4	0.3	59
127	127	127	7.7	-	-	-	-	148	148	148	9.0	-	-	-	-	60
10	8	8	12.7	-	-	-	-	19	18	19	30.2	-	-	-	-	61

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution  
governments,

Line number	County <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	MASSACHUSETTS:													
2	BARNSTABLE . . . . .	414	177	169	171	41.3	29	29	29	17.0	60	54	56	32.7
3	Berkshire . . . . .	153	114	37	102	66.7	1	-	-	-	42	36	38	37.3
4	BRISTOL . . . . .	465	381	319	329	70.8	-	-	-	-	225	169	178	54.1
5	ESSEX . . . . .	651	452	416	459	70.5	2	-	-	-	227	183	208	43.3
6	HAMPDEN . . . . .	609	430	393	434	71.3	-	-	-	-	201	160	199	45.9
7	Hampshire . . . . .	265	122	105	109	41.1	-	-	-	-	33	28	30	27.5
8	MIDDLESEX . . . . .	1 894	1 026	1 000	1 009	53.1	-	-	-	-	550	529	533	53.0
9	NORFOLK . . . . .	840	474	432	463	55.1	-	-	-	-	202	166	194	41.9
10	PLYMOUTH . . . . .	589	394	360	371	63.0	8	8	8	2.2	165	136	144	38.8
	WORCESTER . . . . .	973	536	512	517	53.1	-	-	-	-	269	257	260	50.3
11	MICHIGAN:													
12	BAY . . . . .	810	192	181	187	23.1	49	49	49	26.2	65	59	64	34.2
13	Berrien . . . . .	1 092	354	348	357	32.5	73	71	72	20.3	131	127	133	37.3
14	CALHOUN . . . . .	670	294	282	286	42.7	46	46	46	16.1	126	122	125	43.7
15	GENESEE . . . . .	2 005	567	509	451	27.5	87	84	85	15.4	220	187	204	37.0
16	INGHAM . . . . .	2 258	456	423	438	19.4	111	111	111	25.3	178	156	168	38.4
17	JACKSON . . . . .	945	264	238	255	27.0	81	78	79	31.0	84	75	84	32.9
18	Kalamazoo . . . . .	838	352	332	339	40.5	97	89	91	26.8	107	100	103	30.4
19	KENT . . . . .	1 997	554	472	508	25.4	155	124	131	25.8	138	119	134	28.4
20	MACOMB . . . . .	2 206	726	715	717	32.5	131	130	130	18.1	250	249	249	34.7
21	MONROE . . . . .	802	253	237	245	30.5	84	83	83	33.9	81	75	81	33.1
22	MUSKEGON . . . . .	1 058	252	236	246	22.4	56	56	56	22.8	98	90	96	39.0
23	OAKLAND . . . . .	3 541	1 189	989	1 035	29.2	216	212	213	20.6	341	285	315	30.4
24	OTTAWA . . . . .	507	164	152	160	31.6	61	61	61	38.1	62	51	58	36.2
25	Saginaw . . . . .	1 377	377	335	355	25.8	93	93	93	26.2	141	120	135	38.0
26	St. Clair . . . . .	692	257	179	197	28.5	73	37	40	26.3	82	68	77	39.1
27	Washtenaw . . . . .	1 291	549	487	513	39.7	153	134	139	27.1	181	159	172	33.5
	WAYNE . . . . .	8 316	2 683	2 603	2 624	31.5	325	319	321	12.2	1 141	1 107	1 116	42.5
28	MINNESOTA:													
29	ANKOKA . . . . .	815	242	219	226	27.8	81	73	74	32.7	57	54	55	24.5
30	DAKOTA . . . . .	570	155	131	141	24.7	40	38	38	27.0	56	42	50	35.5
31	HENNEPIN . . . . .	4 998	1 430	1 395	1 401	20.0	173	167	168	12.0	413	413	413	29.5
32	RAMSEY . . . . .	4 531	796	770	771	17.1	91	87	87	11.2	259	253	255	32.9
	St. Louis . . . . .	2 442	395	360	379	15.5	100	98	99	26.1	128	120	121	31.9
33	MISSISSIPPI:													
34	HARRISON . . . . .	597	180	144	156	26.1	62	50	54	34.6	77	63	66	42.5
35	HINDS . . . . .	1 457	191	167	179	12.3	41	39	40	22.3	70	62	63	35.2
	JACKSON . . . . .	1 390	159	130	139	10.0	58	54	55	39.6	65	47	50	36.0
36	MISSOURI:													
37	CLAY . . . . .	496	160	153	164	33.1	40	39	40	24.4	46	39	44	26.8
38	GREENE . . . . .	431	161	141	147	34.1	49	47	46	32.7	52	43	46	31.5
39	JACKSON . . . . .	1 726	1 005	1 002	1 005	58.2	82	81	81	8.1	369	365	366	36.4
40	JEFFERSON . . . . .	301	134	126	129	42.9	50	48	50	38.8	47	46	46	35.7
41	St. Charles . . . . .	331	149	132	141	42.6	65	63	63	44.7	42	36	39	27.7
	St. Louis . . . . .	4 658	1 635	1 587	1 611	34.6	762	759	760	47.2	527	499	516	32.9
42	NEBRASKA:													
43	DOUGLAS . . . . .	3 220	393	347	359	11.1	88	86	87	24.2	101	73	80	22.5
	LANCASTER . . . . .	1 050	198	175	178	17.0	31	31	31	17.4	84	73	75	42.1
44	NEVADA:													
45	CLARK . . . . .	4 777	1 944	1 806	1 844	38.6	1 044	914	951	51.6	262	254	255	13.3
	WASHOE . . . . .	2 669	386	374	376	14.1	128	128	128	34.0	86	77	78	20.7
46	NEW HAMPSHIRE:													
47	HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	493	113	100	106	21.5	2	2	2	1.9	48	38	43	40.6
	ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	424	77	74	76	17.9	15	13	14	18.4	36	36	36	47.4
48	NEW JERSEY:													
49	ATLANTIC . . . . .	2 087	426	410	414	19.8	37	35	36	8.7	89	82	83	20.0
50	BERGEN . . . . .	5 088	925	926	928	18.2	213	218	218	23.5	251	251	251	27.0
51	BURLINGTON . . . . .	2 042	446	432	437	21.4	14	14	14	3.2	102	98	98	22.4
52	CAMDEN . . . . .	4 881	872	864	866	17.7	75	75	75	8.7	216	213	213	24.6
53	CUMBERLAND . . . . .	1 096	292	268	274	25.0	20	20	20	7.3	56	45	48	17.3
54	ESSEX . . . . .	9 011	2 824	2 818	2 824	31.3	374	374	374	13.2	809	809	809	28.6
55	GLOUCESTER . . . . .	1 170	300	263	277	23.7	38	32	34	12.3	65	48	54	19.5
56	HUDSON . . . . .	4 447	1 135	1 135	1 135	25.5	237	237	237	20.9	327	327	327	28.8
57	MERCER . . . . .	2 273	555	567	573	25.2	45	39	41	7.2	170	162	164	24.6
58	MIDDLESEX . . . . .	5 045	1 018	978	989	19.6	122	122	122	12.3	319	315	316	32.0
59	MONMOUTH . . . . .	1 564	571	566	568	15.9	61	61	61	10.7	173	171	172	30.3
60	MORRIS . . . . .	2 601	517	473	492	18.9	119	119	119	24.2	125	107	112	22.8
61	PEARL . . . . .	2 166	428	412	417	19.3	73	73	73	17.5	104	104	104	24.9
62	ISSAIC . . . . .	2 774	914	849	892	32.2	75	72	74	8.3	276	278	278	31.2
63	MERSET . . . . .	1 551	366	324	336	21.7	76	72	72	21.4	93	72	79	23.5
64	MON . . . . .	2 690	894	885	893	33.2	76	76	76	7.5	295	295	295	33.0
65	MEXICO:													
66	ERNALILLO . . . . .	824	306	302	305	37.0	198	198	198	64.9	15	15	15	4.9

a footnotes at end of table.

of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county  
October 1977—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
51	39	48	13.8	22	21	21	6.1	179	172	174	50.1	9	9	9	2.6	1
22	22	22	11.5	11	11	11	5.8	100	64	76	39.8	2	2	2	1.0	2
26	13	22	14.8	15	4	15	10.1	55	51	53	35.6	-	-	-	-	3
17	9	15	11.5	6	4	6	4.6	67	56	62	47.3	-	-	-	-	4
30	28	29	9.1	19	19	19	6.0	125	112	117	36.8	-	-	-	-	5
166	157	159	14.5	-	-	-	-	566	528	538	49.2	7	7	7	0.6	6
108	97	104	11.1	35	35	35	3.7	376	364	370	39.6	42	42	42	4.5	7
349	346	346	5.2	10	10	10	0.2	1 087	1 074	1 078	16.2	10	10	10	0.2	8
35	14	35	14.5	14	3	14	5.8	88	85	85	35.3	-	-	-	-	9
30	25	29	15.1	8	8	8	4.2	96	91	93	48.4	-	-	-	-	10
83	76	79	9.9	-	-	-	-	456	417	432	54.0	15	15	15	1.9	11
34	34	34	15.5	-	-	-	-	92	77	84	38.4	-	-	-	-	12
12	7	12	9.6	1	-	1	0.8	62	58	59	47.2	-	-	-	-	13
17	13	15	9.1	9	8	9	5.5	87	80	82	50.0	-	-	-	-	14
57	57	57	15.7	17	17	17	4.7	115	115	115	31.7	-	-	-	-	15
11	7	9	9.4	2	1	2	2.1	51	50	50	52.1	-	-	-	-	16
12	5	9	8.3	5	-	5	4.6	44	39	41	38.0	-	-	-	-	17
20	9	18	16.7	1	-	1	0.9	82	69	73	67.6	-	-	-	-	18
14	8	12	14.6	2	1	2	2.4	65	52	57	69.5	-	-	-	-	19
273	273	273	5.8	-	-	-	-	620	617	617	13.2	19	19	19	0.4	20
19	14	17	9.0	1	-	1	0.5	108	91	100	52.9	4	4	4	2.1	21
213	213	213	16.5	-	-	-	-	504	504	504	39.1	9	9	9	0.7	22
1	1	1	0.7	-	-	-	-	35	32	33	23.6	3	3	3	2.1	23
6	6	6	2.5	2	2	2	0.8	52	49	51	20.9	-	-	-	-	24
2	2	2	2.5	-	-	-	-	26	23	25	30.9	-	-	-	-	25
4	4	4	2.5	-	-	-	-	47	40	42	28.2	-	-	-	-	26
4	3	4	3.1	2	2	2	1.5	29	28	28	21.4	3	2	2	1.5	27
16	16	16	6.5	-	-	-	-	88	77	81	32.8	-	-	-	-	28
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	88	83	85	26.1	40	34	38	11.7	29
3	1	2	2.4	-	-	-	-	28	28	28	33.7	-	-	-	-	30
5	3	4	3.1	-	-	-	-	32	25	26	20.0	3	3	3	2.3	31
20	16	17	10.0	-	-	-	-	61	50	54	31.8	-	-	-	-	32
9	9	9	8.3	-	-	-	-	25	20	21	19.3	-	-	-	-	33
13	13	13	5.5	-	-	-	-	61	70	73	30.8	-	-	-	-	34
16	15	15	8.3	5	5	5	2.8	73	54	56	31.1	21	4	6	3.3	35
11	4	8	6.1	5	1	5	3.8	23	20	21	16.0	2	2	2	1.5	36
16	16	16	12.5	7	7	7	5.5	27	25	25	19.5	5	4	4	3.1	37
126	120	121	5.7	70	20	24	1.1	866	802	830	38.8	-	-	-	-	38
106	95	98	12.6	3	3	3	0.4	241	218	225	28.8	-	-	-	-	39
13	9	13	8.5	4	4	4	2.6	44	36	39	25.5	-	-	-	-	40
56	56	56	5.0	8	8	8	0.7	396	371	379	33.6	-	-	-	-	41
36	31	32	14.2	-	-	-	-	52	43	46	20.4	-	-	-	-	42
17	14	15	11.6	-	-	-	-	42	34	37	28.7	-	-	-	-	43
31	29	31	10.1	-	-	-	-	108	96	102	33.1	-	-	-	-	44
43	38	39	5.0	-	-	-	-	385	338	355	45.7	-	-	-	-	45
29	27	27	8.6	-	-	-	-	85	78	82	26.0	-	-	-	-	46
71	71	71	8.7	29	29	29	3.6	242	227	231	28.3	46	40	43	5.3	47
17	14	15	7.9	5	3	4	2.1	49	39	44	23.2	-	-	-	-	48
22	18	20	11.8	-	-	-	-	84	58	66	38.8	-	-	-	-	49
36	27	30	6.5	18	14	15	3.2	196	164	175	37.8	-	-	-	-	50
62	62	62	9.1	-	-	-	-	213	183	188	27.5	5	5	5	0.7	51
16	15	15	5.6	-	-	-	-	53	53	53	19.7	-	-	-	-	52
-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1.2	37	37	37	46.2	-	-	-	-	53
-	-	-	-	18	18	18	5.9	117	112	114	37.1	-	-	-	-	54
-	-	-	-	9	9	9	2.8	121	119	120	37.2	-	-	-	-	55
38	33	34	11.5	-	-	-	-	65	67	73	24.7	-	-	-	-	56
19	18	18	7.2	-	-	-	-	87	66	69	27.7	-	-	-	-	57
73	62	65	12.6	-	-	-	-	96	84	90	17.5	-	-	-	-	58
50	36	42	15.2	-	-	-	-	92	74	79	28.6	-	-	-	-	59
155	152	153	14.5	-	-	-	-	266	245	250	23.7	10	10	10	0.9	60
35	34	34	11.4	-	-	-	-	89	82	83	27.9	-	-	-	-	61
218	204	210	10.9	77	77	77	4.0	382	382	382	19.9	3	3	3	0.2	62
32	24	25	9.7	11	7	11	4.2	80	53	61	23.6	-	-	-	-	63
31	24	27	9.0	10	10	10	3.3	126	123	124	41.3	-	-	-	-	64
12	6	12	8.9	9	3	9	6.7	49	38	43	31.9	-	-	-	-	65
63	56	58	11.2	27	23	26	5.0	144	144	144	27.9	-	-	-	-	66
20	9	12	11.0	5	4	5	4.6	42	37	39	35.8	-	-	-	-	67
32	20	24	12.2	11	3	11	5.6	61	55	57	28.9	-	-	-	-	68

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution governments,

Line number	County <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NEW YORK:														
1	ALBANY . . . . .	2 768	363	334	347	12.5	75	67	69	10.9	27	26	26	7.5
2	BROOME . . . . .	2 127	281	169	191	9.0	121	62	69	36.1	25	8	11	5.8
3	CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	1 102	157	126	149	12.5	58	55	56	37.6	3	3	3	2.0
4	CHEMUNG . . . . .	1 034	141	116	131	12.7	50	46	47	35.9	1	1	1	0.5
5	OUTCHESSE . . . . .	1 727	340	308	318	18.4	90	73	77	24.2	76	76	76	23.4
6	ERIE . . . . .	10 870	1 135	1 070	1 093	10.1	292	281	287	26.3	104	97	102	9.3
7	MONROE . . . . .	5 026	966	914	934	18.6	330	305	308	33.0	75	71	75	8.9
8	NASSAU . . . . .	21 012	6 676	6 648	6 654	31.7	4 279	4 272	4 273	64.2	941	936	937	14.1
9	NIAGARA . . . . .	1 798	244	209	241	13.4	80	80	80	33.2	27	27	27	11.2
10	ONEIDA . . . . .	1 512	196	186	192	12.7	52	52	52	27.1	10	10	10	5.2
11	ONONDAGA . . . . .	5 011	835	778	800	16.0	213	204	207	25.9	68	66	67	8.4
12	ORANGE . . . . .	2 052	232	209	219	10.7	4	-	2	0.9	102	98	99	45.2
13	OSWEGO . . . . .	1 068	134	116	125	11.7	42	41	41	32.8	17	10	12	9.6
14	RENSSELAER . . . . .	1 825	177	153	164	9.0	47	37	42	25.6	17	15	16	9.8
15	ROCKLAND . . . . .	2 400	363	363	363	15.1	104	104	104	28.7	70	70	70	19.1
16	ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	1 243	108	93	99	7.7	30	30	30	31.3	14	5	8	8.1
17	SARATOGA . . . . .	959	122	93	108	11.3	36	34	35	32.4	25	15	18	16.7
18	SCHENECTADY . . . . .	1 346	127	85	108	8.0	9	5	7	6.5	15	2	9	8.3
19	STEUBEN . . . . .	851	104	70	85	9.6	13	8	10	12.2	10	1	4	4.7
20	SUFFOLK . . . . .	11 417	4 677	4 666	4 667	40.9	3 111	3 111	3 111	66.7	654	646	647	13.9
21	ULSTER . . . . .	1 727	201	176	189	10.9	35	34	34	18.0	34	33	33	17.5
22	WESTCHESTER . . . . .	7 315	1 294	1 284	1 288	17.6	288	278	282	21.9	280	280	280	21.7
NORTH CAROLINA:														
23	BUNCOMBE . . . . .	3 374	143	139	140	4.1	82	81	81	57.9	22	22	22	15.7
24	CUMBERLAND . . . . .	6 081	247	240	244	4.0	162	160	161	66.0	25	23	24	4.8
25	DURHAM . . . . .	3 686	88	76	81	2.2	34	29	31	34.3	26	22	23	26.4
26	FORSYTH . . . . .	5 901	165	158	160	2.7	58	58	58	36.2	56	56	56	14.0
27	GASTON . . . . .	3 774	135	129	131	3.5	81	79	80	61.1	16	15	15	11.5
28	GUILFORD . . . . .	7 814	261	241	247	3.2	116	115	115	46.6	41	33	35	14.2
29	HICKLENBURG . . . . .	9 545	337	316	326	3.4	143	136	138	42.3	69	63	65	19.4
30	ONSLOW . . . . .	2 090	84	82	83	4.0	32	32	32	38.6	21	21	21	25.3
31	WAKE . . . . .	6 092	143	125	130	2.1	42	40	41	31.5	61	54	56	45.1
OHIO:														
32	ALLEN . . . . .	756	186	155	170	22.5	49	47	47	27.6	56	42	52	30.8
33	ASHTABULA . . . . .	666	119	94	109	16.4	32	32	32	29.4	53	33	47	43.1
34	BUTLER . . . . .	1 159	253	216	237	20.4	62	61	61	25.7	97	74	90	38.0
35	CLARK . . . . .	952	217	163	180	18.9	32	27	28	15.6	70	58	70	18.9
36	CLERMONT . . . . .	697	139	109	133	18.6	27	24	24	18.3	71	53	73	54.9
37	COLUMBIANA . . . . .	513	136	111	128	25.0	17	14	15	11.7	64	45	61	47.7
38	CUYAHOGA . . . . .	12 101	2 257	2 037	2 141	17.7	235	230	231	10.8	960	865	935	43.7
39	FRANKLIN . . . . .	3 688	813	719	780	21.1	155	152	154	19.7	306	251	300	38.5
40	GREENE . . . . .	675	165	132	153	22.7	41	39	41	26.8	63	44	56	36.6
41	HAMILTON . . . . .	4 359	1 153	1 082	1 127	25.9	277	266	268	23.8	416	381	416	36.9
42	LAKE . . . . .	1 786	255	196	225	12.6	62	47	49	21.8	105	75	98	45.6
43	LICKING . . . . .	450	130	112	129	28.7	28	25	27	20.9	52	39	50	38.8
44	LORAIN . . . . .	1 040	323	287	308	29.6	64	54	56	18.2	120	88	119	38.6
45	LUCAS . . . . .	2 418	819	724	777	32.1	97	94	95	12.2	294	254	286	37.1
46	MAHONING . . . . .	1 754	346	276	315	18.0	73	52	56	17.8	159	119	150	47.6
47	MONTGOMERY . . . . .	3 570	862	764	815	22.8	160	160	160	19.6	314	237	281	34.5
48	PORTAGE . . . . .	1 988	206	175	190	9.6	50	48	49	25.8	85	71	78	41.1
49	RICHLAND . . . . .	597	195	145	170	28.5	43	32	39	22.9	46	37	45	26.5
50	STARK . . . . .	2 149	502	417	463	21.5	95	88	89	19.2	157	124	154	33.3
51	SUMMIT . . . . .	2 993	717	627	684	22.9	179	179	179	26.2	258	198	250	36.5
52	TRUMBULL . . . . .	1 410	285	239	269	19.1	77	71	75	27.9	139	100	126	46.8
OKLAHOMA:														
53	COMANCHE . . . . .	656	83	75	80	12.2	25	22	22	27.5	20	15	20	25.0
54	OKLAHOMA . . . . .	1 085	315	302	307	28.3	11	11	11	3.6	169	161	164	53.4
55	TULSA . . . . .	1 833	341	295	323	17.6	55	50	51	15.8	156	117	143	44.3
OREGON:														
56	CLACKAMAS . . . . .	855	337	280	295	34.5	140	120	125	42.4	74	60	63	21.4
57	JACKSON . . . . .	859	278	243	249	29.0	99	92	94	37.8	73	67	68	27.3
58	LANE . . . . .	1 922	543	500	515	26.8	176	169	171	33.2	198	185	189	36.7
59	MARION . . . . .	752	323	254	276	36.7	89	71	74	26.8	92	73	81	29.5
60	MULTNOMAH . . . . .	2 548	1 083	1 044	1 056	41.4	357	348	352	33.3	295	289	291	27.6
61	WASHINGTON . . . . .	811	319	294	297	36.6	128	113	115	38.7	67	65	65	21.9
PENNSYLVANIA:														
62	ALLEGHENY . . . . .	8 987	1 928	1 914	1 920	21.4	412	412	412	21.5	836	836	836	43.5
63	BEAVER . . . . .	580	310	231	259	44.7	54	27	38	14.7	133	120	124	47.9
64	BERKS . . . . .	1 470	307	296	300	20.4	10	10	10	3.3	130	129	129	43.0
65	BLAIR . . . . .	549	145	106	135	24.6	2	-	2	1.5	73	59	69	51.1
66	BUCKS . . . . .	1 749	531	503	516	29.5	56	52	54	10.5	241	228	234	45.3
67	BUTLER . . . . .	705	131	99	109	15.5	5	4	5	4.6	59	45	48	44.0
68	CAMBRIA . . . . .	946	214	167	197	20.8	12	8	12	6.1	98	81	93	47.2

See footnotes at end of table.



of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county  
October 1977—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
4	3	3	1.4	-	-	-	-	84	83	83	48.5	-	-	-	-	1
13	-	8	7.8	-	-	-	-	63	51	56	54.9	-	-	-	-	2
19	19	19	5.8	-	-	-	-	137	131	132	40.1	-	-	-	-	3
9	9	9	2.0	10	10	10	2.2	244	214	232	50.5	-	-	-	-	4
21	21	21	4.6	-	-	-	-	217	212	214	49.3	-	-	-	-	5
7	6	6	5.5	3	3	3	2.8	79	68	70	64.2	-	-	-	-	6
35	35	35	3.5	12	12	12	1.2	429	424	425	42.3	-	-	-	-	7
81	78	79	17.1	6	6	6	1.3	185	182	184	39.7	-	-	-	-	8
22	20	21	5.7	-	-	-	-	201	196	198	53.4	-	-	-	-	9
12	12	12	2.3	-	-	-	-	255	243	245	47.4	-	-	-	-	10
15	15	15	8.0	7	7	7	3.7	56	51	52	27.8	-	-	-	-	11
25	25	25	7.0	-	-	-	-	129	125	127	35.8	-	-	-	-	12
29	29	29	10.1	-	-	-	-	89	85	86	30.1	-	-	-	-	13
82	82	82	14.9	-	-	-	-	195	153	177	32.1	3	3	3	0.5	14
63	61	61	13.9	-	-	-	-	104	95	98	22.4	-	-	-	-	15
20	20	20	7.8	-	-	-	-	79	65	72	28.2	-	-	-	-	16
30	30	30	8.8	-	-	-	-	116	113	115	33.9	-	-	-	-	17
41	41	41	8.1	-	-	-	-	218	187	201	39.6	2	1	1	0.2	18
86	86	86	12.0	14	14	14	2.0	242	233	235	32.8	3	3	3	0.4	19
15	14	14	5.7	-	-	-	-	73	65	67	27.3	-	-	-	-	20
19	18	19	7.7	-	-	-	-	79	72	75	30.5	-	-	-	-	21
105	101	102	9.9	-	-	-	-	444	398	402	30.8	3	3	3	0.3	22
8	8	8	5.0	1	1	1	0.6	74	71	72	20.0	-	-	-	-	23
30	30	30	8.5	-	-	-	-	113	92	97	27.3	-	-	-	-	24
15	14	15	7.6	-	-	-	-	85	58	63	32.0	2	2	2	1.0	25
44	41	41	8.0	19	17	18	3.5	152	136	143	27.9	-	-	-	-	26
240	232	233	8.9	-	-	-	-	975	943	952	36.3	2	2	2	0.1	27
34	29	31	13.7	5	5	5	2.2	65	58	61	27.0	-	-	-	-	28
26	21	22	15.6	-	-	-	-	35	30	31	22.0	-	-	-	-	29
120	117	118	8.4	82	82	82	5.9	630	611	615	43.9	6	5	5	0.4	30
58	53	55	7.1	26	26	26	3.4	361	350	352	45.4	1	1	1	0.1	31
44	43	43	11.3	23	2	18	4.7	100	97	98	25.9	-	-	-	-	32
7	4	7	4.5	-	-	-	-	34	27	29	18.6	-	-	-	-	33
8	-	8	4.5	-	-	-	-	65	59	61	34.1	7	7	7	3.9	34
2	-	2	1.4	2	2	2	1.4	32	27	30	21.6	-	-	-	-	35
22	22	22	13.4	-	-	-	-	72	63	58	35.4	-	-	-	-	36
15	15	15	10.2	-	-	-	-	35	28	29	19.7	10	8	9	6.1	37
110	104	106	10.5	1	1	1	0.1	451	451	451	44.9	-	-	-	-	38
16	13	13	10.1	-	-	-	-	11	9	10	7.8	10	10	10	7.8	39
15	15	15	10.6	-	-	-	-	27	18	24	17.0	-	-	-	-	40
80	79	79	4.0	-	-	-	-	255	239	245	15.2	11	11	11	0.7	41
48	43	44	12.3	19	19	19	5.3	137	126	129	35.9	-	-	-	-	42
34	31	31	17.4	11	11	11	6.2	38	29	30	16.9	-	-	-	-	43
168	168	168	9.1	41	41	41	2.2	429	429	429	23.3	-	-	-	-	44
55	55	55	14.6	21	21	21	5.6	95	92	93	24.7	1	1	1	0.3	45
9	9	9	8.5	-	-	-	-	54	51	52	49.1	-	-	-	-	46
6	6	6	7.9	-	-	-	-	20	19	20	26.3	-	-	-	-	47
83	83	83	20.0	-	-	-	-	205	198	200	48.3	12	12	12	2.9	48
157	157	157	16.9	-	-	-	-	299	297	299	32.2	3	3	3	0.3	49
98	98	98	22.4	-	-	-	-	229	219	224	51.3	3	3	3	9.7	50
154	154	154	17.8	-	-	-	-	416	411	413	47.7	11	11	11	1.3	51
28	27	27	9.9	-	-	-	-	184	172	175	63.9	4	4	4	1.5	52
304	304	304	10.8	6	-	6	0.2	1 322	1 322	1 322	46.8	9	9	9	0.3	53
55	55	55	19.9	-	-	-	-	139	125	131	47.3	3	3	3	1.1	54
206	206	206	18.1	-	-	-	-	365	365	365	32.2	-	-	-	-	55
90	89	89	15.5	-	-	-	-	278	275	277	48.3	2	2	2	0.3	56
172	166	167	16.9	-	-	-	-	400	370	379	38.3	5	5	5	0.5	57
72	71	71	12.5	-	-	-	-	261	259	260	45.8	4	4	4	0.7	58
85	78	82	16.7	-	-	-	-	183	165	175	35.6	5	4	4	0.8	59
82	82	82	19.7	-	-	-	-	166	150	155	37.2	3	3	3	0.7	60
169	169	169	18.9	-	-	-	-	377	315	356	39.9	15	15	15	1.7	61
48	48	48	14.3	-	-	-	-	145	128	133	39.6	4	4	4	1.2	62
185	176	184	20.6	-	-	-	-	301	301	301	33.7	37	37	37	4.1	63
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	41	42	13.8	50	48	50	16.4	64

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution  
governments,

Line number	County <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
PENNSYLVANIA--CON.														
1	CENTRE . . . . .	367	90	73	82	22.3	3	-	2	2.4	40	41	43	92.4
2	CHESTER . . . . .	1 266	504	418	452	35.7	73	41	48	10.6	151	121	137	30.3
3	CUMBERLAND . . . . .	754	201	125	150	19.9	27	17	21	14.0	94	52	60	40.0
4	DAUPHIN . . . . .	1 132	412	322	352	31.1	16	15	16	4.5	166	121	142	40.3
5	DELAWARE . . . . .	3 549	995	977	982	27.7	62	62	62	6.3	443	443	443	100.0
6	ERIE . . . . .	688	375	317	348	50.6	19	19	19	5.5	153	129	138	39.7
7	FAYETTE . . . . .	512	164	158	163	31.8	41	41	41	25.2	71	65	68	41.7
8	FRANKLIN . . . . .	331	134	84	108	32.6	7	6	6	5.6	57	34	43	34.8
9	LACKAWANNA . . . . .	889	217	210	211	23.7	3	3	3	1.4	106	105	105	49.0
10	LANCASTER . . . . .	2 082	357	316	328	15.6	25	23	23	7.0	124	107	113	34.3
11	LAWRENCE . . . . .	315	126	85	106	33.7	5	-	3	2.8	56	41	50	47.2
12	LEBANON . . . . .	754	136	109	121	16.0	16	16	16	13.2	51	40	44	36.4
13	LEHIGH . . . . .	1 554	357	325	338	21.8	2	1	1	0.3	156	143	150	44.4
14	LUZERNE . . . . .	1 835	294	285	292	15.9	9	4	9	3.1	135	135	135	46.2
15	LYCOMING . . . . .	503	178	138	156	31.0	5	2	4	2.6	57	50	52	33.3
16	MERCER . . . . .	321	143	119	128	39.9	8	-	3	2.3	64	55	58	45.3
17	MONTGOMERY . . . . .	1 731	703	686	742	42.9	46	46	46	6.2	392	316	359	48.4
18	NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	964	261	224	237	24.6	4	3	3	1.3	111	96	104	43.9
19	SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	870	182	167	173	19.9	3	2	3	1.7	83	80	82	47.4
20	WASHINGTON . . . . .	622	214	172	195	31.4	21	20	20	10.3	98	85	90	46.2
21	WESTMORELAND . . . . .	1 538	365	304	330	22.0	47	42	45	13.3	174	148	161	47.5
22	YORK . . . . .	841	344	279	315	37.5	51	47	50	15.9	152	106	136	47.2
SOUTH CAROLINA:														
23	ANDERSON . . . . .	297	150	135	147	49.5	56	55	56	38.1	51	32	42	20.6
24	CHARLESTON . . . . .	1 594	404	363	378	23.7	198	198	198	52.4	107	74	86	27.8
25	GREENVILLE . . . . .	1 486	484	428	456	30.7	229	226	227	49.8	158	108	134	29.4
26	LEXINGTON . . . . .	1 192	170	149	168	14.1	72	68	70	41.7	68	44	59	35.1
27	RICHLAND . . . . .	2 899	430	392	406	14.0	173	168	170	41.9	143	127	133	32.8
28	SPARTANBURG . . . . .	2 465	313	258	271	11.0	188	164	168	62.0	87	60	67	24.7
TENNESSEE:														
29	HAMILTON . . . . .	6 150	357	338	341	5.5	83	82	82	24.0	115	113	114	33.4
30	KNOX . . . . .	3 468	431	370	386	11.1	130	130	130	33.7	160	132	142	30.3
31	SHELBY . . . . .	8 298	1 136	1 044	1 074	12.9	254	250	251	23.4	378	378	378	35.2
32	SULLIVAN . . . . .	1 967	144	137	139	7.1	77	77	77	55.4	39	34	35	25.2
TEXAS:														
33	BELL . . . . .	321	185	168	185	56.1	34	33	33	18.3	55	47	60	32.4
34	BEXAR . . . . .	4 355	1 072	1 060	1 063	24.4	128	127	127	11.9	330	324	326	30.7
35	BRAZORIA . . . . .	489	195	172	192	37.8	71	67	68	36.8	63	56	70	38.5
36	CAMERON . . . . .	487	224	214	227	46.2	21	20	20	6.9	102	94	104	45.8
37	DALLAS . . . . .	5 821	2 029	1 954	1 971	33.9	200	188	190	9.6	1 000	983	987	50.1
38	EL PASO . . . . .	1 702	511	451	493	29.0	108	94	103	20.9	150	108	140	28.4
39	GALVESTON . . . . .	1 459	339	311	323	22.1	87	87	87	26.9	124	106	115	30.6
40	HARRIS . . . . .	9 116	1 004	2 932	2 939	32.2	465	465	465	15.8	1 187	1 184	1 185	40.3
41	HIDALGO . . . . .	696	215	199	206	29.6	54	47	49	23.8	52	44	49	23.8
42	JEFFERSON . . . . .	700	360	345	372	51.3	84	84	84	23.4	107	93	120	32.3
43	LUBBOCK . . . . .	403	207	178	190	47.1	39	39	39	20.5	78	49	61	12.1
44	MCLENNAN . . . . .	477	218	192	202	42.3	45	42	43	21.3	63	51	57	20.2
45	MUESES . . . . .	2 331	382	365	371	15.9	88	86	86	23.2	142	129	133	35.3
46	SMITH . . . . .	258	134	123	128	49.6	53	51	52	40.6	49	40	44	34.4
47	TARRANT . . . . .	2 637	798	750	761	28.9	143	131	135	17.7	269	256	256	33.9
48	TAYLOR . . . . .	178	115	78	87	48.9	17	16	16	18.4	57	26	35	40.2
49	TRAVIS . . . . .	1 004	644	619	635	63.2	107	106	107	16.9	193	174	186	29.3
50	WICHITA . . . . .	251	130	127	129	51.4	23	23	23	17.8	42	39	41	31.8
UTAH:														
51	DAVIS . . . . .	278	74	68	70	25.2	36	36	36	51.4	14	10	11	15.7
52	SALT LAKE . . . . .	3 431	825	795	805	23.5	469	458	462	57.4	130	128	129	16.0
53	UTAH . . . . .	321	100	78	86	26.8	30	29	29	33.7	24	18	21	24.4
54	WEBER . . . . .	873	110	99	103	11.8	37	37	37	35.9	15	15	15	14.6
VERMONT:														
55	CHITTENDEN . . . . .	6	8	7	7	116.7	1	1	1	14.3	7	6	6	85.7
VIRGINIA:														
56	ARLINGTON . . . . .	4 330	567	529	539	12.4	368	358	360	66.8	57	46	51	9.3
57	FAIRFAX . . . . .	19 739	1 405	1 292	1 312	6.6	963	857	874	66.6	152	148	150	11.4
58	HENRICO . . . . .	560 572	534	510	517	0.1	390	366	373	72.1	60	60	60	11.6
59	PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	4 264	333	253	267	6.3	245	166	180	67.4	44	43	43	16.1

See footnotes at end of table.

of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county  
October 1977—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Lane number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
6	3	5	6.1	3	2	3	3.7	32	27	29	35.4	-	-	-	-	1
64	57	59	13.1	24	22	23	5.1	194	177	185	40.9	-	-	-	-	2
19	8	12	8.0	7	-	7	4.7	54	48	50	33.3	-	-	-	-	3
51	30	36	10.2	21	21	21	6.0	138	135	137	38.9	-	-	-	-	4
110	108	108	11.0	52	52	52	5.3	325	309	314	32.0	3	3	3	0.3	5
26	8	17	4.9	24	14	24	6.9	153	147	150	43.1	-	-	-	-	6
11	11	11	6.7	5	5	5	3.1	40	36	38	23.3	-	-	-	-	7
9	5	8	7.4	6	6	6	5.6	55	33	45	41.7	-	-	-	-	8
28	22	23	10.9	12	12	12	5.7	64	68	68	32.2	-	-	-	-	9
26	21	22	6.7	12	12	12	3.7	166	153	158	48.2	-	-	-	-	10
22	9	16	15.1	4	4	4	3.8	39	31	33	31.1	-	-	-	-	11
8	6	7	5.8	3	3	3	2.5	56	44	51	42.1	-	-	-	-	12
40	27	31	9.2	12	10	11	3.3	147	144	145	42.9	-	-	-	-	13
37	32	34	11.6	19	19	19	6.5	95	95	95	32.5	-	-	-	-	14
17	6	12	7.7	4	3	4	2.6	95	77	84	53.8	-	-	-	-	15
13	11	11	8.6	8	8	8	6.3	50	45	48	37.5	-	-	-	-	16
109	92	99	13.3	31	31	31	4.2	215	201	207	27.9	-	-	-	-	17
30	21	22	9.3	8	8	8	3.4	106	96	100	42.2	-	-	-	-	18
27	16	19	11.0	11	11	11	6.4	58	58	58	33.5	-	-	-	-	19
28	10	20	10.3	9	8	8	4.1	58	53	57	29.2	-	-	-	-	20
43	27	36	10.6	9	4	9	2.7	92	83	88	26.0	-	-	-	-	21
32	21	23	7.3	8	8	8	2.5	101	97	98	31.1	-	-	-	-	22
7	7	7	4.8	3	3	3	2.0	42	38	39	26.5	-	-	-	-	23
16	12	14	3.7	-	-	-	-	83	79	80	21.2	-	-	-	-	24
29	29	29	6.4	-	-	-	-	68	65	66	14.5	-	-	-	-	25
5	5	5	3.0	2	2	2	1.2	27	27	27	16.1	5	5	5	3.0	26
53	41	44	10.8	-	-	-	-	60	55	58	14.3	1	1	1	0.2	27
13	9	11	4.1	4	4	4	1.5	19	19	19	7.0	2	2	2	6.7	28
20	4	6	1.8	-	-	-	-	139	139	139	40.8	-	-	-	-	29
9	4	5	1.3	-	-	-	-	122	94	99	25.6	10	10	10	2.6	30
85	8	30	2.8	41	37	38	3.5	376	369	375	34.9	2	2	2	0.2	31
4	2	3	2.2	-	-	-	-	24	24	24	17.3	-	-	-	-	32
36	35	36	20.0	-	-	-	-	57	52	54	30.0	3	1	2	1.1	33
134	132	132	12.4	-	-	-	-	423	420	421	39.6	57	57	57	5.4	34
17	16	17	9.2	-	-	-	-	44	33	37	20.0	-	-	-	-	35
19	18	19	8.4	-	-	-	-	78	74	76	33.8	8	8	8	3.6	36
180	173	174	8.8	-	-	-	-	631	592	602	30.5	18	18	18	0.9	37
50	48	49	9.9	-	-	-	-	201	199	199	40.4	2	2	2	6.4	38
23	22	23	7.1	-	-	-	-	98	94	95	29.4	7	2	3	0.9	39
406	341	343	11.7	-	-	-	-	786	782	786	26.7	160	160	160	5.4	40
18	17	17	8.3	-	-	-	-	91	91	91	44.2	-	-	-	-	41
48	48	48	13.4	-	-	-	-	81	81	81	22.6	40	39	39	10.9	42
27	27	27	14.2	-	-	-	-	63	63	63	33.2	-	-	-	-	43
18	17	17	8.4	-	-	-	-	81	71	74	36.6	11	11	11	5.4	44
38	36	38	10.2	-	-	-	-	101	101	101	27.2	13	13	13	3.5	45
14	14	14	10.9	-	-	-	-	18	18	18	14.1	-	-	-	-	46
156	146	147	19.3	5	5	5	0.7	225	212	216	28.4	-	-	-	-	47
16	11	11	12.6	-	-	-	-	25	25	25	28.7	-	-	-	-	48
62	56	59	9.3	2	2	2	0.3	279	278	278	43.8	3	3	3	0.5	49
13	13	13	10.1	-	-	-	-	52	52	52	40.3	-	-	-	-	50
14	14	14	20.0	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	8.6	4	2	3	4.3	51
89	82	84	10.4	-	-	-	-	137	127	130	16.1	-	-	-	-	52
14	13	13	15.1	4	2	3	3.5	28	16	20	23.3	-	-	-	-	53
14	14	14	13.6	-	-	-	-	44	33	37	35.9	-	-	-	-	54
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
20	20	20	3.7	-	-	-	-	103	91	94	17.4	19	14	14	2.6	56
40	40	40	3.0	-	-	-	-	224	221	222	16.9	26	26	26	2.0	57
25	25	25	4.8	-	-	-	-	59	59	59	11.4	-	-	-	-	58
19	19	19	7.1	-	-	-	-	24	24	24	9.0	1	1	1	0.4	59

Table 18. Criminal justice system employment and percent distribution  
governments,

County	Total full-time equivalent employees	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
		Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
ALABAMA	788	302	287	291	36.9	99	98	98	33.7	62	57	59	20.3
ALASKA	4 044	1 666	1 555	1 582	39.1	526	523	524	33.1	433	392	402	25.4
ARIZONA	699	215	187	192	27.5	68	62	63	32.8	52	45	46	24.0
ARIZONA	1 864	586	521	531	28.5	209	202	203	38.2	140	117	121	22.8
ARKANSAS	1 600	432	411	415	25.9	125	122	122	29.4	129	121	123	24.6
CALIFORNIA	1 133	483	466	470	41.5	158	158	158	33.6	126	118	119	25.3
CALIFORNIA	797	275	267	268	33.6	71	69	69	25.7	80	77	77	28.7
CONNECTICUT	362	128	87	94	26.0	51	29	32	34.0	19	19	19	20.2
CONNECTICUT	565	175	159	166	29.4	47	45	46	27.7	51	45	47	28.3
DELAWARE	966	216	182	193	20.0	117	116	117	60.6	45	30	34	17.0
FLORIDA	1 464	418	387	395	27.0	133	127	128	32.4	136	128	131	33.2
GEORGIA	788	160	146	153	19.4	82	80	81	52.9	46	35	41	26.4
ILLINOIS	933	144	118	123	13.2	55	55	55	44.7	25	24	25	20.5
ILLINOIS	10 323	1 217	1 100	1 186	11.5	220	214	215	18.1	459	436	440	37.1
INDIANA	724	114	105	109	15.1	54	54	54	49.5	33	28	29	26.6
IOWA	1 135	277	253	262	23.1	107	107	108	41.2	76	69	72	27.5
KANSAS	927	226	217	219	23.6	61	61	61	27.9	46	41	43	19.0
KANSAS	1 292	263	243	257	19.9	126	126	126	49.0	86	68	81	31.5
KANSAS	1 242	177	118	122	9.8	57	56	57	46.7	46	40	42	34.4

- Represents zero or rounds to zero

Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown, see text for data limitations.

of full-time equivalent employees of 333 large county  
October 1977—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
26	24	24	8.2	2	2	2	0.7	112	105	107	36.8	1	1	1	0.3	1
134	124	126	8.0	10	9	9	0.6	560	504	513	32.7	3	3	3	0.2	2
18	18	18	9.4	10	10	10	5.2	67	52	55	28.6	-	-	-	-	3
53	47	48	9.0	10	9	9	1.7	171	143	147	27.7	3	3	3	0.6	4
50	47	48	11.6	2	2	2	0.5	123	116	117	28.2	3	3	3	0.7	5
35	33	34	7.2	24	24	24	5.1	135	128	130	27.7	5	5	5	1.1	6
20	20	20	7.5	-	-	-	-	100	97	98	16.6	4	4	4	1.5	7
10	10	10	10.6	-	-	-	-	48	29	33	35.1	-	-	-	-	8
18	18	18	10.8	-	-	-	-	59	51	55	33.1	-	-	-	-	9
12	11	11	5.7	-	-	-	-	42	25	31	16.1	-	-	-	-	10
58	55	55	13.9	-	-	-	-	91	77	81	20.5	-	-	-	-	11
16	16	16	10.5	-	-	-	-	16	15	15	9.8	-	-	-	-	12
7	7	7	5.7	-	-	-	-	55	30	34	27.6	2	2	2	1.6	13
136	133	133	11.2	-	-	-	-	402	397	398	33.6	-	-	-	-	14
11	10	10	9.2	3	3	3	2.8	13	13	13	11.9	-	-	-	-	15
31	30	30	11.5	10	10	10	3.6	51	37	42	16.0	-	-	-	-	16
24	22	22	10.0	-	-	-	-	95	83	93	42.5	-	-	-	-	17
21	19	20	7.8	-	-	-	-	29	29	29	11.3	1	1	1	0.4	18
11	11	11	9.0	-	-	-	-	13	11	12	9.0	-	-	-	-	19

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL . . . . .	1 436 041	257 650	17.9	90 041	34.9	61 377	23.8
ALABAMA:							
CALHOUN . . . . .	155	42	27.1	17	39.5	11	26.7
JEFFERSON . . . . .	3 859	726	18.8	301	41.4	165	22.8
MARION . . . . .	439	129	29.3	34	26.3	33	25.9
MOBILE . . . . .	998	367	36.8	134	36.6	120	32.7
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	402	187	46.7	45	24.1	56	30.1
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	413	103	24.9	42	40.9	20	19.9
ARIZONA:							
MARICOPA . . . . .	7 180	2 294	31.9	451	19.7	606	26.4
PIMA . . . . .	3 945	1 541	39.1	450	29.2	355	23.0
ARKANSAS:							
PULASKI . . . . .	501	275	54.8	60	21.8	87	31.0
CALIFORNIA:							
ALAMEDA . . . . .	11 831	4 568	38.6	579	12.7	964	21.1
ALTA . . . . .	1 105	337	30.5	112	33.2	77	22.8
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	8 736	2 253	25.8	351	15.6	543	24.1
FRESNO . . . . .	6 037	1 836	30.4	539	20.4	304	16.6
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	1 593	453	28.4	181	40.0	87	19.2
KERN . . . . .	6 496	1 559	24.0	537	34.5	223	14.3
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	107 455	29 322	27.3	9 626	32.8	6 460	22.0
MARIN . . . . .	2 333	827	35.4	167	20.2	196	23.7
MEPCED . . . . .	1 757	332	18.9	88	26.4	82	24.7
MONTREY . . . . .	2 904	930	32.0	258	27.7	179	19.3
ORANGE . . . . .	12 874	5 220	40.5	1 028	19.7	1 325	25.4
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	6 873	2 143	31.2	553	25.8	416	19.4
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	9 173	3 322	36.2	1 109	33.4	464	14.0
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	10 103	3 075	30.3	893	29.0	658	21.4
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	15 436	14 469	93.7	10 332	71.4	1 351	9.3
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	5 007	1 262	25.0	349	27.8	259	20.7
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	2 001	496	24.8	168	33.9	108	21.7
SAN MATEO . . . . .	5 853	1 931	33.0	461	23.9	429	22.2
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	3 583	1 242	34.7	365	28.6	249	20.0
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	13 917	4 424	31.8	746	16.9	938	21.2
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	1 689	555	32.9	155	27.9	121	21.8
SOLANO . . . . .	1 873	681	36.3	120	17.7	141	20.7
SONOMA . . . . .	3 848	847	22.0	313	36.9	144	16.9
STANISLAUS . . . . .	2 620	748	28.5	204	27.2	158	21.1
TULARE . . . . .	2 284	770	33.7	277	36.0	124	16.1
VENTURA . . . . .	5 938	1 771	29.8	594	33.5	313	17.7
YOLO . . . . .	1 411	440	31.2	158	35.8	73	16.6
COLORADO:							
ADAMS . . . . .	1 110	218	19.6	122	56.1	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	628	246	39.2	92	37.5	28	11.4
BOULDER . . . . .	873	212	24.3	98	46.5	9	4.4
EL PASO . . . . .	974	273	28.0	40	14.8	75	27.5
JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 431	349	24.4	179	51.2	10	3.0
LARIMER . . . . .	430	107	24.9	57	53.1	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	611	109	17.8	25	22.6	11	10.0
WELD . . . . .	1 423	103	7.3	45	43.6	-	-
DELAWARE:							
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	1 677	465	27.7	368	79.1	86	18.4
FLORIDA:							
ALACHUA . . . . .	602	280	42.2	147	52.6	63	22.5
BREVARD . . . . .	1 376	302	22.0	191	63.3	83	27.5
BROWARD . . . . .	3 809	1 228	31.8	595	48.5	360	29.1
DADE . . . . .	27 469	5 014	21.8	2 866	57.2	1 132	22.6
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	438	501	59.7	258	51.5	136	27.1
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	5 798	1 124	19.4	543	48.3	224	19.9
LEE . . . . .	1 200	265	22.1	164	61.8	66	24.0
LEON . . . . .	455	221	48.5	117	52.8	70	34.6
MANATEE . . . . .	1 025	182	17.7	109	59.9	45	24.4
ORANGE . . . . .	1 035	1 024	33.7	516	50.4	276	27.0
PALM BEACH . . . . .	3 292	828	25.2	473	57.1	121	14.6
PASCO . . . . .	895	231	25.8	156	67.7	46	20.1
PINELLAS . . . . .	2 768	911	32.9	409	44.8	321	35.2
POLK . . . . .	2 160	428	19.8	243	56.8	99	23.1
SARASOTA . . . . .	719	324	45.0	221	68.2	57	17.7
SEMINOLE . . . . .	929	192	20.7	117	61.0	49	25.5
VOLUSIA . . . . .	970	407	41.9	205	50.5	89	21.9
GEORGIA:							
ALBANY . . . . .	460	216	46.9	83	38.3	72	33.4
CHATHAM . . . . .	878	321	36.6	130	40.5	108	33.1
CLAYTON . . . . .	794	325	40.9	179	55.2	60	18.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL . . . . .	28 024	10.9	6 377	2.5	69 599	27.0	2 232	0.9
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN . . . . .	3	6.0	-	-	12	27.8	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	66	9.0	-	-	194	26.7	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	20	15.6	-	-	41	32.1	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	24	6.5	-	-	88	24.1	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	19	10.3	-	-	67	35.6	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	6	5.7	-	-	34	33.5	-	-
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA . . . . .	323	14.1	160	7.0	746	32.5	9	0.4
PIMA . . . . .	189	12.3	74	4.8	473	30.7	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI . . . . .	43	15.7	9	3.4	75	27.4	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	653	14.3	356	7.8	1 092	41.4	123	2.7
BUTTE . . . . .	66	19.7	4	1.1	78	23.1	-	-
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	369	16.4	120	5.3	656	38.0	14	0.6
FRESNO . . . . .	315	17.2	88	4.8	589	32.1	-	-
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	66	14.5	13	2.9	102	22.5	4	0.9
KERN . . . . .	216	13.9	64	4.1	519	33.3	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	3 570	12.2	1 289	4.4	8 378	28.6	-	-
MARIN . . . . .	84	10.1	46	5.6	258	31.2	76	9.2
MERCED . . . . .	48	14.5	10	3.0	104	31.4	-	-
MONTFREY . . . . .	151	16.2	48	5.2	293	31.5	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	719	13.8	220	4.2	1 915	36.7	13	0.2
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	300	14.0	89	4.2	784	36.6	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	569	17.1	178	5.4	942	28.4	60	1.8
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	387	12.6	135	4.4	988	32.1	14	0.4
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	729	5.0	-	-	2 038	14.1	18	0.1
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	183	14.6	83	6.7	375	29.9	4	0.3
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	79	15.9	-	-	142	28.5	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	214	11.1	-	-	824	42.7	4	0.2
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	178	14.4	58	4.6	402	32.4	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	574	13.0	209	4.7	1 621	36.6	336	7.6
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	98	17.7	-	-	179	32.3	2	0.3
SOLANO . . . . .	90	13.2	37	5.4	293	43.0	-	-
SONOMA . . . . .	119	14.0	33	3.9	236	27.9	3	0.3
STANISLAUS . . . . .	129	17.3	24	3.2	220	29.4	13	1.7
TULARE . . . . .	118	15.4	37	4.8	214	27.8	-	-
VENTURA . . . . .	269	18.2	64	3.6	504	28.5	28	1.6
YOLO . . . . .	57	13.0	25	5.7	126	28.7	-	-
COLORADO:								
ADAMS . . . . .	67	30.8	-	-	29	13.1	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	82	33.2	-	-	44	18.0	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	42	19.8	-	-	62	29.4	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	86	31.5	-	-	71	26.2	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	103	29.4	-	-	31	8.7	27	7.6
LARIMER . . . . .	28	25.8	-	-	22	20.9	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	41	38.0	-	-	29	26.6	3	2.8
WELD . . . . .	33	32.3	-	-	22	21.3	3	2.8
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	12	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA . . . . .	6	2.0	-	-	64	22.9	-	-
BREVARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	26	8.6	2	0.6
BROWARD . . . . .	50	4.1	-	-	202	16.5	21	1.7
DADE . . . . .	103	2.1	28	0.5	662	13.2	222	4.4
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	6	1.2	1	0.1	101	20.1	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	19	1.7	8	0.7	311	27.6	20	1.8
LEE . . . . .	8	3.0	5	2.0	22	8.4	-	-
LEON . . . . .	4	1.9	-	-	23	10.6	-	-
MANATEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	28	15.2	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	2	0.2	-	-	213	20.8	17	1.6
PALM BEACH . . . . .	21	2.5	-	-	183	22.2	30	3.6
PASCO . . . . .	7	3.0	-	-	21	9.2	-	-
PINELLAS . . . . .	22	2.4	10	1.1	143	15.7	8	0.9
POLK . . . . .	10	2.3	2	0.5	73	17.1	1	0.1
SARASOTA . . . . .	1	0.3	-	-	45	13.8	-	-
SEMINOLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	26	13.5	-	-
VOLUSIA . . . . .	13	3.2	-	-	100	24.5	-	-
GEORGIA:								
BIBB . . . . .	26	12.3	-	-	35	16.1	-	-
CHATHAM . . . . .	24	7.5	5	1.5	56	17.3	-	-
CLAYTON . . . . .	26	8.1	-	-	59	18.3	-	-

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED							
CORB, . . . . .	1 752	556	31.8	260	46.7	196	35.3
DE KALB . . . . .	3 220	1 094	34.0	629	57.5	193	17.0
FULTON, . . . . .	2 671	1 225	45.9	176	14.4	508	41.5
RICHMOND, . . . . .	640	289	45.2	121	41.9	71	24.4
IDAHO:							
ADA . . . . .	422	239	56.6	110	46.2	56	23.3
ILLINOIS:							
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	370	122	32.9	38	31.5	24	19.7
COOK, . . . . .	21 707	8 440	38.9	1 055	12.5	3 352	39.7
DU PAGE . . . . .	1 791	639	35.7	206	32.3	150	23.5
KANE, . . . . .	633	307	48.5	84	27.5	61	19.9
LAKE, . . . . .	1 472	439	29.8	162	36.9	78	17.9
LA SALLE, . . . . .	262	96	36.7	30	31.1	21	21.7
MCHENRY . . . . .	332	159	48.0	79	49.7	20	12.6
MCLEAN, . . . . .	336	139	41.4	50	36.2	30	21.2
MACON . . . . .	221	141	64.0	62	44.1	35	24.7
MADISON . . . . .	1 221	272	22.3	80	29.4	63	23.0
PEORIA, . . . . .	653	252	38.6	86	34.1	40	16.0
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	508	137	27.0	61	44.1	29	21.3
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	957	245	25.6	66	26.8	59	24.2
SANGAMON, . . . . .	781	237	30.1	100	43.1	36	15.7
TAZEWELL, . . . . .	254	105	41.4	42	39.9	24	22.7
WILL, . . . . .	701	293	39.0	129	43.9	68	23.2
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	753	359	47.7	112	31.2	72	20.2
INDIANA:							
ALLEN . . . . .	1 298	365	28.1	125	34.3	85	23.4
DELAWARE, . . . . .	524	123	23.5	39	31.4	35	28.3
ELKHART . . . . .	471	150	31.9	51	33.8	44	29.0
LAKE, . . . . .	2 601	665	25.6	149	22.4	224	33.7
LA PORTE, . . . . .	355	107	30.1	30	27.8	44	41.4
MADISON . . . . .	358	147	41.0	33	22.7	53	36.1
ST. JOSEPH, . . . . .	714	270	37.9	63	23.2	89	32.8
TIPPECANOE, . . . . .	319	100	31.3	37	37.0	28	27.9
VANDERBURGH, . . . . .	534	211	39.5	65	30.9	75	35.7
VIGO, . . . . .	525	106	20.2	17	16.4	41	38.3
IOWA:							
BLACK HAWK, . . . . .	431	206	47.8	27	12.9	74	36.1
LINN, . . . . .	788	268	34.0	38	14.2	81	30.1
POLK, . . . . .	1 347	607	45.1	63	10.4	192	31.7
SCOTT, . . . . .	370	146	39.4	49	33.3	49	33.0
WOODBURY, . . . . .	318	140	44.0	19	13.9	63	45.2
KANSAS:							
JOHNSON . . . . .	755	339	44.9	123	36.4	119	35.1
SEDGWICK, . . . . .	1 348	499	37.0	103	20.5	225	45.0
SHAWNEE . . . . .	507	267	52.6	37	13.9	88	33.1
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	482	196	40.7	36	18.2	72	36.7
KENTUCKY:							
JEFFERSON . . . . .	3 437	1 532	44.6	666	43.5	315	20.6
KENTON, . . . . .	233	94	40.5	46	48.3	25	26.5
LOUISIANA:							
CADDO . . . . .	641	259	39.9	90	35.3	49	19.2
CALCASIEU . . . . .	1 842	343	32.9	220	64.0	53	15.5
JEFFERSON . . . . .	4 032	1 257	31.2	791	62.9	271	21.6
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	371	169	45.4	78	46.4	44	26.0
OUACHITA, . . . . .	663	164	24.7	82	50.4	26	16.1
RAPIDES . . . . .	454	174	38.2	91	52.5	30	17.3
MAINE:							
CUMBERLAND, . . . . .	122	88	72.1	35	40.1	3	3.7
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	60	37	60.5	10	26.6	7	18.4
YORK, . . . . .	65	42	63.4	17	42.1	3	7.7
MARYLAND:							
ANNE ARUNDEL, . . . . .	10 926	797	7.3	607	76.1	81	10.1
BALTIMORE . . . . .	28 815	2 110	8.5	1 720	81.5	120	5.7
HARFORD . . . . .	4 214	187	4.4	84	44.9	35	18.9
MONTGOMERY, . . . . .	29 019	1 938	6.7	1 453	75.0	165	8.5
PRINCE GEORGES, . . . . .	28 137	2 391	8.5	1 800	75.3	235	9.8
WASHINGTON, . . . . .	2 759	61	2.2	19	31.6	18	29.2
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BARNSTABLE, . . . . .	419	179	42.8	27	15.1	59	32.7
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	130	117	89.9	-	0.2	48	40.3
BRISTOL . . . . .	458	339	74.0	-	-	181	53.3
ESSEX . . . . .	750	509	67.8	-	(2)	223	43.7
HAMPOEN . . . . .	611	478	78.2	-	-	219	45.8
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	240	110	45.9	-	-	31	27.9

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
CORB.	32	5.7	-	-	64	11.6	4	0.7
DE KALB	42	4.7	16	1.4	198	18.1	7	0.6
FULTON	140	11.4	28	2.3	368	30.1	4	0.4
RICHMOND	18	6.2	-	-	80	27.6	-	-
IDAHO:								
ADA	53	13.8	-	-	40	16.7	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN	25	20.4	9	7.4	26	21.0	-	-
COOK	1 044	12.4	586	6.9	2 371	28.1	28	0.3
DU PAGE	92	14.4	38	6.0	149	23.4	2	0.4
KANE	35	11.5	10	3.3	114	37.2	2	0.3
LAKE	67	15.2	12	2.7	117	26.6	3	0.7
LA SALLE	19	19.6	3	2.9	24	24.7	-	-
MCHENRY	21	13.0	6	3.6	34	21.1	-	-
MCLEAN	15	11.1	5	3.6	29	21.1	9	6.8
MACON	20	14.2	6	4.1	18	13.0	-	-
MADISON	33	12.1	15	5.3	82	30.1	-	-
PEORIA	35	13.7	7	2.6	85	33.5	-	-
ROCK ISLAND	24	17.8	4	2.6	20	14.3	-	-
ST. CLAIR	36	14.7	11	4.6	73	29.7	-	-
SANGAMON	35	15.1	8	3.2	51	21.8	3	1.1
TAZEWELL	17	15.9	4	4.2	16	15.8	3	2.3
WILL	41	14.1	14	4.8	40	13.7	1	0.4
WINNEBAGO	42	11.7	11	3.0	122	34.0	-	-
INDIANA:								
ALLEN	26	7.0	13	3.7	115	31.6	-	-
DELAWARE	7	8.9	4	3.5	22	17.9	16	13.0
ELKHART	9	6.1	3	1.9	44	29.2	-	-
LAKE	87	13.1	27	4.0	173	26.0	5	0.8
LA PORTE	10	0.6	-	-	23	21.2	-	-
MADISON	14	9.8	8	5.1	39	26.3	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	28	10.5	9	3.5	65	24.1	16	5.3
TIPPECANOE	10	10.1	3	3.0	18	18.5	3	3.3
VANDERBURGH	22	10.4	7	3.3	39	18.4	3	1.2
VIGO	11	10.6	6	5.4	30	28.5	1	0.7
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK	15	7.6	-	-	89	43.5	-	-
LINN	25	0.3	-	-	124	46.3	-	-
POLK	54	9.6	20	3.3	247	40.7	26	4.3
SCOTT	22	14.8	-	-	27	18.4	-	-
WOODBURY	18	13.1	8	3.7	34	24.1	-	-
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON	42	12.5	-	-	54	16.0	-	-
SEDGWICK	81	16.2	-	-	84	16.9	7	1.3
SHAWNEE	31	11.7	-	-	62	23.2	48	15.1
WYANDOTT	33	16.8	-	-	56	28.3	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON	122	8.0	-	-	352	23.0	77	5.0
KENTON	5	5.3	2	2.4	16	16.7	1	0.7
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO	20	7.9	6	3.1	88	34.5	-	-
CALCASIEU	26	7.7	-	(2)	44	12.8	-	-
JEFFERSON	64	5.4	4	0.4	111	8.8	11	0.9
LAFAYETTE	6	3.4	3	1.7	38	22.5	-	-
OUACHITA	27	13.4	1	0.4	32	19.8	-	-
RAPIDES	18	10.6	-	-	34	19.6	-	-
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND	2	2.5	-	-	47	53.7	-	-
PENOBSCOT	7	17.9	-	-	14	37.1	-	-
YORK	2	3.6	-	-	19	46.5	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL	70	8.8	-	-	40	5.0	-	-
BALTIMORE	138	6.5	-	-	132	6.2	-	-
HARFORD	32	17.4	-	-	35	18.9	-	-
MONTGOMERY	132	6.8	-	-	179	9.2	9	0.4
PRINCE GEORGES	181	7.6	-	-	175	7.3	-	-
WASHINGTON	8	13.0	-	-	16	26.2	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE	3	1.7	-	-	91	50.5	-	-
BERKSHIRE	4	3.2	-	-	66	56.1	-	-
BRISTOL	16	4.7	10	2.0	142	42.0	-	-
ESSEX	8	1.6	-	-	268	52.6	-	-
FAMPOEN	18	3.8	-	-	241	50.4	-	-
HAMPSHIRE	4	3.5	3	2.7	73	65.9	-	-

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED							
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	1 734	1 139	65.7	-	-	570	50.0
NORFOLK . . . . .	789	473	60.0	-	-	201	42.4
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	577	364	63.1	8	2.1	146	40.1
WORCESTER . . . . .	991	597	60.3	-	-	296	49.6
MICHIGAN:							
BAY . . . . .	747	210	28.1	65	31.2	60	28.7
BERRIEN . . . . .	916	338	36.9	71	20.9	116	34.4
CALHOUN . . . . .	673	356	52.8	63	17.7	151	42.3
GENESEE . . . . .	2 377	784	33.0	135	17.2	263	33.6
INGHAM . . . . .	2 206	481	21.8	133	27.6	169	35.1
JACKSON . . . . .	844	254	30.1	77	30.1	74	29.3
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	882	413	46.8	120	29.1	111	26.9
KENT . . . . .	1 694	604	31.9	186	30.8	132	21.9
MACOMB . . . . .	2 265	895	39.5	178	19.9	264	29.5
MONROE . . . . .	786	260	33.1	108	41.5	77	29.5
MUSKOGON . . . . .	1 007	262	26.0	68	26.0	92	35.2
OAKLAND . . . . .	4 439	1 455	32.8	368	25.3	360	24.7
OTTAWA . . . . .	541	172	31.7	71	41.3	54	31.5
SAGINAW . . . . .	1 341	396	29.5	126	31.8	126	31.8
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	829	245	29.6	70	28.7	79	32.1
WASHTENAW . . . . .	1 760	625	35.5	195	31.3	183	29.3
WAYNE . . . . .	12 689	4 428	34.9	572	12.9	1 705	38.5
MINNESOTA:							
ANOKA . . . . .	878	302	34.3	104	34.6	68	22.6
DAKOTA . . . . .	630	180	28.5	51	28.5	65	36.3
HENNEPIN . . . . .	8 386	2 000	23.9	248	12.4	573	28.6
RAMSEY . . . . .	4 963	2 199	44.3	1 248	56.7	347	15.6
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	2 579	499	19.3	132	26.5	152	30.3
MISSISSIPPI:							
HARRISON . . . . .	364	118	32.3	44	37.3	50	42.6
HINDS . . . . .	1 029	140	13.6	35	25.0	50	35.0
JACKSON . . . . .	1 059	114	10.7	42	36.5	51	44.6
MISSOURI:							
CLAY . . . . .	279	132	47.5	35	26.6	34	25.5
GREENE . . . . .	269	111	41.1	37	33.7	38	30.4
JACKSON . . . . .	1 424	833	58.5	82	9.8	283	33.9
JEFFERSON . . . . .	220	92	41.8	40	43.5	28	30.2
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	279	121	43.3	54	44.7	30	24.6
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	4 588	1 694	36.9	867	51.2	469	27.7
NEBRASKA:							
DOUGLAS . . . . .	2 420	407	16.8	108	26.6	79	19.5
LANCASTER . . . . .	895	201	22.4	36	18.0	79	39.3
NEVADA:							
CLARK . . . . .	5 396	2 326	43.1	1 299	55.9	242	10.4
WASHOE . . . . .	2 831	505	17.8	183	36.2	90	17.8
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	330	89	26.8	2	2.1	32	35.7
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	209	70	25.9	15	22.0	32	45.6
NEW JERSEY:							
ATLANTIC . . . . .	1 967	376	19.1	32	8.5	74	19.6
BERGEN . . . . .	5 505	1 101	20.0	294	26.7	277	25.1
BURLINGTON . . . . .	2 114	397	18.8	14	3.6	95	23.9
CAHONEN . . . . .	4 713	937	19.9	73	7.8	220	23.5
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	892	232	26.0	14	6.2	48	20.6
ESSEX . . . . .	9 531	3 210	33.7	430	13.4	948	29.5
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	1 002	258	25.8	32	12.4	51	19.8
HUDSON . . . . .	3 588	1 140	31.8	248	21.7	305	26.8
MERCER . . . . .	2 344	575	24.5	36	6.3	166	28.9
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	4 773	1 081	22.6	122	11.3	319	29.5
MONMOUTH . . . . .	3 438	534	15.5	58	10.8	150	28.0
MORRIS . . . . .	2 645	517	19.5	135	26.2	111	21.5
OCEAN . . . . .	1 871	421	22.5	88	20.8	96	22.9
PASSAIC . . . . .	2 884	1 018	35.3	90	8.9	288	28.3
SOMERSET . . . . .	1 524	354	23.2	64	18.0	78	22.0
UNION . . . . .	3 010	1 021	33.9	98	9.6	331	32.4
NEW MEXICO:							
BERNALILLO . . . . .	774	290	37.5	204	70.4	14	4.7
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY . . . . .	1 941	338	17.4	62	18.3	25	7.4
BROOME . . . . .	1 779	189	10.7	69	36.4	9	4.6
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	1 013	155	15.3	66	42.6	3	2.0
CHEMUNG . . . . .	844	133	15.8	46	34.4	1	0.9
DUTCHESS . . . . .	1 839	370	20.2	98	26.5	84	22.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	34	3.1	12	1.1	521	45.8	-	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	76	16.0	7	1.4	190	40.1	-	-
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	19	5.3	-	-	191	52.4	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	11	1.9	-	-	290	48.5	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
BAY . . . . .	20	9.6	10	4.6	54	26.0	-	-
BEPRIEN . . . . .	32	9.5	-	-	119	35.2	-	-
CALHOUN . . . . .	37	10.3	-	-	106	29.7	-	-
GENESEE . . . . .	135	17.2	-	-	246	31.4	5	0.6
INNHAM . . . . .	74	15.5	-	-	105	21.8	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	23	9.1	-	-	80	31.5	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	45	10.8	-	-	137	33.1	-	-
KENT . . . . .	56	9.3	-	-	228	37.7	1	0.2
MACOMB . . . . .	125	14.0	21	2.4	303	33.8	3	0.3
MONROE . . . . .	19	7.2	-	-	57	21.8	-	-
MUSKIEGON . . . . .	24	9.2	-	-	77	29.6	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	170	11.7	-	-	553	38.0	4	0.3
OTTAWA . . . . .	11	6.4	1	0.3	35	20.6	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	39	9.8	-	-	105	26.6	-	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	23	9.2	-	-	71	28.9	3	1.1
WASHTENAW . . . . .	54	8.7	24	3.8	168	26.9	-	-
WAYNE . . . . .	481	10.9	-	-	1 666	37.6	4	0.1
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA . . . . .	43	14.3	10	3.2	76	25.3	-	-
DAKOTA . . . . .	29	16.4	-	-	34	18.8	-	-
HENNEPIN . . . . .	228	11.4	152	7.6	791	39.8	8	0.4
RAMSEY . . . . .	97	4.4	33	1.5	473	21.5	1	(2)
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	57	11.5	31	6.3	126	25.3	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON . . . . .	5	4.3	-	-	19	15.7	-	-
HINDS . . . . .	0	2.7	-	-	46	32.9	5	3.9
JACKSON . . . . .	2	2.1	2	2.0	17	14.6	-	-
MISSOURI:								
CLAY . . . . .	17	13.1	-	-	46	34.8	-	-
GRFENE . . . . .	13	11.7	-	-	21	19.0	5	4.8
JACKSON . . . . .	110	13.2	2	0.2	357	42.8	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	12	13.4	-	-	6	6.8	6	6.1
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	16	14.7	-	-	19	16.0	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	108	6.4	-	-	237	14.0	12	0.7
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS . . . . .	59	14.4	28	6.9	132	32.5	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	41	20.6	16	8.0	28	14.1	-	-
NEVADA:								
CLARK . . . . .	214	9.2	64	2.8	506	21.7	-	-
WASHOE . . . . .	83	16.4	32	6.3	117	23.1	1	0.1
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	9	10.4	-	-	46	51.7	-	-
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	6	9.0	-	-	16	23.4	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC . . . . .	93	24.7	-	-	167	44.5	10	2.7
BERGEN . . . . .	215	19.6	-	-	311	28.3	3	0.3
HURLINGTON . . . . .	102	25.7	-	-	103	46.0	3	0.8
CAMDEN . . . . .	201	21.5	-	-	431	46.0	12	1.2
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	24	10.3	-	-	142	61.5	3	1.3
ESSEX . . . . .	405	12.6	3	0.1	1 418	44.2	5	0.2
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	58	22.6	-	-	114	44.1	3	1.1
HUDSON . . . . .	245	21.5	-	-	342	30.0	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	110	19.1	-	-	260	45.2	2	0.4
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	226	20.9	-	-	408	37.8	5	0.5
MONMOUTH . . . . .	89	16.6	-	-	234	43.8	4	0.8
MORRIS . . . . .	94	18.2	-	-	172	33.4	4	0.6
OCEAN . . . . .	87	20.6	-	-	148	35.1	3	0.7
PASSAIC . . . . .	236	23.2	-	-	386	37.9	17	1.7
SOMERSET . . . . .	62	17.5	-	-	146	41.4	4	1.1
UNION . . . . .	235	23.0	-	-	326	31.9	31	3.0
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	31	10.7	41	14.3
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY . . . . .	53	15.8	18	5.4	175	51.8	4	1.3
BROOME . . . . .	50	16.0	12	6.5	67	38.5	2	1.0
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	26	17.1	13	8.3	46	30.0	-	-
CHEMUNG . . . . .	20	15.3	7	5.1	59	44.4	-	-
DUTCHESS . . . . .	49	11.3	23	4.1	124	33.4	-	-

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
ERIE . . . . .	9 983	1 374	13.8	350	25.4	128	9.3
MONROE . . . . .	5 578	1 156	20.7	420	36.3	81	7.0
NASSAU . . . . .	24 671	9 670	39.3	6 363	66.0	1 407	14.6
NIAGARA . . . . .	1 863	279	15.0	91	32.6	31	11.1
ONEIDA . . . . .	1 246	174	14.0	42	24.0	6	4.6
ONONDAGA . . . . .	4 869	873	17.9	238	27.2	75	8.6
ORANGE . . . . .	2 193	242	11.5	1	0.4	115	47.5
OSWEGO . . . . .	854	122	14.2	41	34.0	13	10.4
RENSSELAER . . . . .	1 645	125	7.6	28	22.2	10	8.1
ROCKLAND . . . . .	2 207	389	17.6	105	27.0	82	21.2
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	812	95	11.7	29	30.8	7	7.7
SARATOGA . . . . .	710	104	14.6	33	31.7	16	15.7
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	1 044	668	64.0	6	0.9	7	1.1
STEUBEN . . . . .	655	77	11.7	10	13.3	2	3.1
SUFFOLK . . . . .	13 064	6 873	52.6	4 811	70.0	831	12.1
ULSTER . . . . .	1 218	174	14.3	30	17.5	34	19.6
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	9 021	1 876	20.8	413	22.0	399	21.3
NORTH CAROLINA:							
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	3 112	115	3.7	65	56.7	17	15.0
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	5 414	206	3.8	141	68.5	16	7.8
DURHAM . . . . .	3 409	71	2.1	29	40.9	21	30.2
FORSYTH . . . . .	5 736	169	2.9	63	37.1	61	35.8
GASTON . . . . .	3 596	114	3.2	72	62.6	13	10.9
GUILFORD . . . . .	8 091	223	2.8	109	49.0	32	14.4
HECKLENBURG . . . . .	9 845	354	3.6	179	50.7	62	17.4
ONSLow . . . . .	1 716	64	3.7	27	41.6	18	27.4
WAKE . . . . .	5 059	139	2.3	47	33.4	59	42.5
OHIO:							
ALLEN . . . . .	603	139	23.0	43	31.1	40	28.8
ASHTABULA . . . . .	484	98	20.2	33	33.4	36	36.7
BUTLER . . . . .	918	197	21.5	52	26.5	75	38.3
CLARK . . . . .	607	146	24.0	27	18.8	48	33.0
CLERMONT . . . . .	547	103	18.9	26	25.0	48	46.7
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	409	100	24.4	15	15.4	42	42.3
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	10 572	2 071	19.6	214	10.3	939	45.4
FRANKLIN . . . . .	3 066	800	26.1	164	20.5	272	34.0
GREENE . . . . .	585	143	24.5	43	29.9	49	34.4
HAMILTON . . . . .	4 178	1 207	28.9	332	27.5	425	35.2
LAKE . . . . .	1 250	203	16.3	56	28.6	76	37.2
LICKING . . . . .	342	107	31.2	25	23.2	36	33.9
LORAIN . . . . .	800	266	33.3	55	20.6	91	34.3
LUCAS . . . . .	2 227	735	33.0	103	14.0	252	34.3
MAHONING . . . . .	1 195	273	22.8	55	20.0	123	45.2
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	3 326	884	26.6	215	24.3	270	30.6
PORTAGE . . . . .	1 719	167	9.7	52	31.0	58	34.5
RICHLAND . . . . .	489	154	31.4	33	21.3	40	26.2
STARK . . . . .	1 872	394	25.0	92	23.5	122	30.9
SUMMIT . . . . .	2 282	642	28.2	179	27.9	209	32.5
TRUMBULL . . . . .	1 255	257	20.4	76	29.6	114	44.3
OKLAHOMA:							
COMANCHE . . . . .	438	54	12.4	16	29.4	15	26.7
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	853	241	28.3	10	4.3	116	48.1
TULSA . . . . .	1 171	260	22.2	49	19.0	103	39.7
OREGON:							
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	1 033	392	37.9	173	44.3	83	21.1
JACKSON . . . . .	840	259	30.8	110	42.3	53	20.3
LANE . . . . .	1 997	624	31.2	231	37.1	208	33.4
MARION . . . . .	747	292	39.1	85	29.1	74	25.2
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	3 227	1 339	41.5	491	36.6	302	22.5
WASHINGTON . . . . .	897	356	39.7	155	43.6	65	18.3
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	7 816	2 078	26.6	487	23.5	853	41.0
BEAVER . . . . .	513	205	40.1	33	16.0	87	42.3
BERKS . . . . .	989	234	23.7	8	3.4	89	38.0
BLAIR . . . . .	372	92	24.8	1	1.3	43	46.3
BUCKS . . . . .	1 448	498	34.4	55	11.1	193	38.6
BUTLER . . . . .	446	84	18.9	4	4.4	38	41.4
CAMBRIA . . . . .	646	173	26.7	8	4.4	82	47.6
CENTRE . . . . .	279	62	22.1	1	1.5	30	48.0
CHESTER . . . . .	1 129	407	36.0	45	11.1	101	24.9
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	551	127	23.1	17	13.0	50	39.1
DAUPHIN . . . . .	1 011	300	29.7	9	3.1	104	34.7
DELAWARE . . . . .	2 839	909	32.0	53	5.8	356	39.2
ERIE . . . . .	635	335	52.7	16	4.8	123	36.8
FAYETTE . . . . .	305	107	35.0	22	20.2	47	44.4
FRANKLIN . . . . .	233	81	35.0	4	4.5	31	38.2
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	406	103	26.9	2	1.4	82	60.0
LANCASTER . . . . .	1 307	267	20.4	17	6.4	87	32.5
LAWRENCE . . . . .	207	74	35.7	2	2.2	35	46.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE . . . . .	244	17.8	-	-	644	46.8	10	0.7
MONROE . . . . .	136	11.8	53	4.6	418	36.2	48	4.1
NASSAU . . . . .	510	5.4	7	0.1	1 343	13.9	12	0.1
NIAGARA . . . . .	48	17.1	14	5.2	95	34.0	-	-
ONEIDA . . . . .	38	22.1	11	6.2	75	43.2	-	-
ONONDAGA . . . . .	112	12.8	-	-	432	49.5	17	1.9
ORANGE . . . . .	48	19.9	-	-	78	32.2	-	-
OSWEGO . . . . .	17	13.9	-	0.2	50	41.5	-	-
RENSSELAER . . . . .	20	15.9	7	6.0	60	47.9	-	-
ROCKLAND . . . . .	71	18.2	21	5.3	110	28.2	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	11	12.1	2	1.7	45	47.7	-	-
SARATOGA . . . . .	16	15.9	3	3.2	35	33.4	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	20	3.1	571	85.5	63	9.5	-	-
STEBEN . . . . .	15	19.4	2	2.0	48	62.2	-	-
SUFFOLK . . . . .	408	5.9	-	-	797	11.6	26	0.4
ULSTER . . . . .	21	12.0	-	0.3	84	48.2	4	2.5
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	373	19.9	-	-	679	36.2	11	0.6
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	1	1.0	-	-	29	25.1	3	2.2
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	7	3.6	2	0.9	40	19.2	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	4	5.1	-	-	17	23.9	-	-
FORSYTH . . . . .	7	3.9	-	-	39	23.3	-	-
GASTON . . . . .	3	2.9	1	1.0	25	21.4	1	1.1
GUILFORD . . . . .	15	6.6	-	-	67	30.0	-	-
HECKLENBURG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	79	22.2	34	9.7
ONSLOW . . . . .	2	3.7	-	-	17	26.8	-	-
WAKE . . . . .	6	4.6	-	-	24	17.2	3	2.3
OHIO:								
ALLEN . . . . .	17	11.9	-	-	39	28.1	-	-
ASHTABULA . . . . .	9	9.4	-	-	20	20.5	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	13	6.8	-	-	56	28.4	-	-
CLARK . . . . .	16	10.7	4	3.0	46	31.8	4	2.8
CLERMONT . . . . .	9	8.8	3	2.8	16	15.2	1	1.4
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	13	13.4	6	6.0	19	19.4	4	3.0
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	160	7.7	29	1.4	729	35.2	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	115	14.4	2	0.2	247	30.9	-	-
GREENE . . . . .	12	8.5	4	2.6	35	24.6	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	75	6.2	9	0.8	366	30.3	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	29	14.5	-	-	40	19.7	-	-
LICKING . . . . .	17	15.9	-	-	29	27.1	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	31	11.6	-	-	89	33.5	-	-
LUCAS . . . . .	50	5.7	-	-	330	44.9	-	-
MAHONING . . . . .	29	10.6	-	-	66	24.2	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	84	6.5	33	3.8	729	25.9	53	6.0
PORTAGE . . . . .	16	6.5	4	2.4	38	22.6	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	25	16.2	-	-	56	36.3	-	-
STARK . . . . .	30	7.6	14	3.5	136	34.6	-	-
SUMMIT . . . . .	72	11.3	-	-	178	27.7	4	0.6
TRUMBULL . . . . .	21	8.3	-	-	46	17.8	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE . . . . .	-	-	1	0.9	23	43.0	-	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	-	-	24	9.9	91	37.7	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	-	-	12	4.6	96	36.8	-	-
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	43	11.1	-	-	92	23.6	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	21	9.0	-	-	76	29.2	-	-
LANE . . . . .	83	13.4	-	-	101	16.2	-	-
MARION . . . . .	50	17.2	-	-	83	28.5	-	-
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	199	14.9	-	-	336	25.0	12	0.9
WASHINGTON . . . . .	45	12.5	-	-	91	25.6	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	259	12.5	79	3.8	396	19.0	4	0.2
BEAVER . . . . .	24	11.6	10	4.9	52	25.2	-	-
BERKS . . . . .	25	10.8	9	3.9	103	43.9	-	-
BLAIR . . . . .	9	9.5	6	6.9	33	36.0	-	-
BUCKS . . . . .	77	15.5	28	5.6	145	29.2	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	11	12.9	4	4.9	31	36.4	-	-
CAMBRIA . . . . .	26	15.3	9	5.0	48	27.7	-	-
CENTRE . . . . .	6	9.3	2	4.0	23	37.3	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	71	17.3	24	5.8	166	40.9	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	12	9.0	4	3.5	45	35.4	-	-
DAUPHIN . . . . .	37	12.3	18	6.0	131	43.8	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	140	15.4	46	5.1	310	34.2	3	0.4
FRIE . . . . .	27	8.0	24	7.3	149	43.2	-	-
FAYETTE . . . . .	9	4.6	4	3.4	25	23.6	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	6	7.9	5	6.0	35	43.4	-	-
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	20	12.0	10	5.8	50	30.7	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	18	6.7	10	3.8	135	50.5	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	12	16.3	3	4.2	23	30.5	-	-

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED							
LEBANON . . . . .	497	96	19.3	12	12.1	32	33.4
LEHIGH . . . . .	1 168	279	23.9	1	0.4	98	35.1
LUZERNE . . . . .	1 273	245	19.3	7	3.0	104	42.2
LYCOMING . . . . .	438	127	29.0	3	2.2	43	33.8
MERCER . . . . .	161	105	65.3	1	1.3	46	43.3
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	1 390	708	50.9	45	6.4	321	45.4
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	825	213	25.8	3	1.2	84	39.2
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	619	123	19.9	2	1.6	54	44.0
WASHINGTON . . . . .	445	154	34.6	13	8.7	70	45.6
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	1 300	325	25.0	40	12.2	135	41.6
YORK . . . . .	504	225	44.7	38	16.7	87	38.7
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
ANDERSON . . . . .	211	115	54.3	45	39.4	31	27.3
CHARLESTON . . . . .	1 207	319	26.4	173	54.2	72	22.6
GREENVILLE . . . . .	1 175	385	32.7	196	51.0	106	27.6
LEXINGTON . . . . .	924	122	13.2	56	46.1	41	33.6
RICHLAND . . . . .	2 413	392	16.3	168	42.8	127	32.3
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	1 790	237	13.2	139	58.6	60	25.3
TENNESSEE:							
HAMILTON . . . . .	5 337	302	5.7	83	27.5	105	34.9
KNOX . . . . .	2 997	315	10.5	113	35.9	117	37.2
SHELBY . . . . .	6 837	1 134	16.6	298	26.3	379	33.5
SULLIVAN . . . . .	1 628	115	6.3	64	55.9	33	28.8
TEXAS:							
BELL . . . . .	237	149	63.1	24	15.9	48	32.3
BEXAR . . . . .	3 155	896	28.4	108	12.1	274	30.5
BRAZORIA . . . . .	417	165	39.6	57	34.6	62	37.6
CAMERON . . . . .	328	158	48.0	12	7.9	69	43.8
DALLAS . . . . .	5 307	2 227	41.7	221	9.9	1 100	49.4
EL PASO . . . . .	1 138	394	34.7	81	20.5	123	31.3
GALVESTON . . . . .	1 250	278	22.2	74	26.6	96	34.5
HARRIS . . . . .	8 709	3 242	37.2	521	16.1	1 266	39.0
HIDALGO . . . . .	436	140	32.0	31	22.4	38	27.1
JEFFERSON . . . . .	653	380	58.2	84	22.1	118	31.0
LUBBOCK . . . . .	273	151	55.2	38	25.2	41	27.2
MCLENNAN . . . . .	364	166	45.5	38	24.2	41	24.7
NUECES . . . . .	1 841	331	18.0	82	24.7	115	34.7
SMITH . . . . .	190	89	47.0	33	36.7	33	36.4
TARRANT . . . . .	2 186	778	35.6	135	17.3	250	32.1
TAYLOR . . . . .	130	64	49.5	13	19.6	25	39.4
TRAVIS . . . . .	965	582	60.3	100	17.3	159	27.3
WICHITA . . . . .	209	100	47.5	18	18.3	29	28.9
UTAH:							
DAVIS . . . . .	259	70	26.9	36	51.0	10	14.9
SALT LAKE . . . . .	3 566	904	25.3	521	57.7	122	13.4
UTAH . . . . .	266	91	31.7	32	35.4	21	23.6
WEBER . . . . .	672	94	14.0	35	37.3	14	14.6
VERMONT:							
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	5	5	110.7	1	9.9	5	90.1
VIRGINIA:							
ARLINGTON . . . . .	5 838	785	13.4	574	73.1	56	7.1
FAIRFAX . . . . .	24 100	1 840	7.6	1 352	73.5	142	7.7
HENRICO . . . . .	458 274	550	0.1	409	74.3	54	9.6
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	4 520	320	7.1	227	70.9	43	13.5
WASHINGTON:							
CLARK . . . . .	612	331	40.8	124	37.3	66	19.9
KING . . . . .	5 245	2 010	38.3	751	37.4	475	23.6
KITSAP . . . . .	709	214	30.2	76	35.3	47	21.8
PIERCE . . . . .	1 824	709	38.9	306	43.2	140	19.7
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	1 435	413	28.8	140	33.9	115	27.8
SPOKANE . . . . .	1 191	554	46.6	207	37.3	125	22.6
YAKIMA . . . . .	711	262	36.8	74	28.1	76	29.0
WEST VIRGINIA:							
CABELL . . . . .	252	71	30.4	23	33.0	15	21.8
KANAWHA . . . . .	443	137	31.1	37	26.7	32	23.6
WISCONSIN:							
BROWN . . . . .	874	215	24.5	139	64.9	33	15.2
DANE . . . . .	1 701	503	29.5	197	39.3	144	28.7
KENOSHA . . . . .	723	183	25.3	105	57.3	43	23.5
MAPATHON . . . . .	881	125	14.2	61	49.1	23	10.5
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	10 924	1 590	14.6	313	19.7	593	37.3
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	685	117	17.1	60	51.4	28	24.2
RACINE . . . . .	1 224	315	25.7	151	47.9	68	21.7
ROCK . . . . .	805	226	28.0	76	33.6	36	16.0
WAUKESHA . . . . .	1 232	287	23.3	160	55.6	73	25.5
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	1 073	118	11.0	62	52.3	33	28.3

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>2</sup> Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

<sup>1</sup> Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 19. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON . . . . .	5	5.4	2	2.4	45	46.6	-	-
LEHIGH . . . . .	35	12.6	11	4.0	133	47.9	-	-
LUZERNE . . . . .	33	13.6	16	6.5	65	34.8	-	-
LYCOMING . . . . .	14	10.7	4	3.3	63	50.0	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	11	10.2	7	6.8	40	38.4	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	104	14.6	29	4.1	208	29.4	-	-
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	23	10.8	7	3.3	97	45.5	-	-
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	20	16.3	9	7.6	38	30.5	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	17	11.3	6	4.2	46	30.0	-	-
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	43	13.1	9	2.8	98	30.2	-	-
YORK . . . . .	17	7.7	6	2.8	77	34.0	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON . . . . .	7	6.1	3	2.3	29	25.0	-	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	18	5.7	-	-	56	17.5	-	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	31	8.0	-	-	52	13.5	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	6	4.6	2	1.4	15	12.3	2	2.0
RICHLAND . . . . .	49	12.6	-	-	47	12.0	1	0.3
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	14	6.0	5	2.0	17	7.2	2	0.9
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON . . . . .	10	3.5	-	-	103	34.2	-	-
KNOX . . . . .	0	2.5	-	-	69	21.8	8	2.6
SHELBY . . . . .	43	3.8	47	4.1	366	32.2	2	0.2
SULLIVAN . . . . .	3	2.9	-	-	14	12.4	-	-
TEXAS:								
BELL . . . . .	39	25.9	-	-	37	25.0	1	0.9
BEXAR . . . . .	154	17.2	-	-	323	36.0	38	4.2
BRAZORIA . . . . .	18	11.1	-	-	28	16.7	-	-
CAMERON . . . . .	23	14.7	-	-	47	30.1	6	3.5
DALLAS . . . . .	270	12.1	-	-	617	27.7	19	0.9
EL PASO . . . . .	44	11.3	-	-	144	36.4	2	0.5
GALVESTON . . . . .	33	11.8	-	-	74	26.5	2	0.7
HARRIS . . . . .	488	15.0	-	-	795	24.5	172	5.3
HIDALGO . . . . .	10	11.4	-	-	55	39.1	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	52	13.8	-	-	77	20.3	49	12.9
LUBBOCK . . . . .	26	17.5	-	-	45	30.1	-	-
MCKENNA . . . . .	10	10.7	-	-	60	36.4	8	5.0
MURFESS . . . . .	40	12.2	-	-	83	25.0	11	3.4
SMITH . . . . .	11	12.4	-	-	13	18.5	-	-
TARRANT . . . . .	185	23.8	8	1.1	200	25.7	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	9	14.1	-	-	17	26.9	-	-
TRAVIS . . . . .	72	12.5	2	0.3	245	42.1	3	0.0
WICHITA . . . . .	11	11.1	-	-	42	41.7	-	-
UTAH:								
DAVIS . . . . .	15	21.5	-	-	6	8.4	3	4.2
SALT LAKE . . . . .	129	14.3	-	-	132	14.6	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	16	17.8	4	4.2	17	19.1	-	-
WEAVER . . . . .	16	17.6	-	-	29	30.5	-	-
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON . . . . .	32	4.1	-	-	102	13.0	21	2.7
FAIRFAX . . . . .	64	3.8	-	-	256	13.9	26	1.4
HENRICO . . . . .	34	6.2	-	-	53	9.6	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	25	7.8	-	-	24	7.6	1	0.2
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK . . . . .	35	10.4	2	0.5	104	31.5	1	0.4
KING . . . . .	174	8.7	11	0.5	545	29.6	4	0.2
KITSAP . . . . .	25	11.5	13	5.9	55	25.6	-	-
PIERCE . . . . .	71	10.0	13	1.8	177	24.9	3	0.4
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	50	12.2	2	0.6	103	24.9	3	0.8
SPOKANE . . . . .	47	8.5	30	5.4	139	25.1	5	1.0
YAKIMA . . . . .	25	9.5	-	-	84	32.2	3	1.2
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CARELL . . . . .	11	16.0	-	-	21	29.2	-	-
KANAWHA . . . . .	26	18.9	-	-	42	30.8	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN . . . . .	13	6.0	-	-	29	13.5	-	-
DANE . . . . .	70	13.8	-	-	91	18.2	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	21	11.6	-	-	14	7.6	-	-
MARATHON . . . . .	9	6.8	-	-	30	23.7	2	2.0
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	191	12.0	-	-	493	31.0	-	-
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	12	10.6	3	2.6	13	11.3	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	39	12.4	11	3.5	46	14.5	-	-
ROCK . . . . .	24	10.5	-	-	90	39.9	-	-
WAUKESHA . . . . .	25	8.6	-	-	29	10.0	1	0.3
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	13	11.3	-	-	10	8.2	-	-

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL . . . . .	72 594 658	41 635 996	5 392 663	13.0	4 322 462	80.2	345 466	6.4
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	276 273	92 860	13 766	14.8	11 851	86.1	376	2.7
GADSDEN . . . . .	50 357	10 160	1 294	12.7	1 170	90.4	20	1.5
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	136 419	58 024	4 079	7.0	3 618	88.7	100	2.5
MOBILE . . . . .	196 441	71 914	7 452	10.4	6 282	84.3	340	4.6
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	153 343	36 563	4 906	13.4	4 361	88.9	147	3.0
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	69 425	38 202	2 794	7.3	2 592	92.8	110	3.9
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	161 018	225 378	8 568	3.8	7 415	86.5	3	(2)
ARIZONA:								
GLENDAL . . . . .	65 671	13 302	1 732	13.0	1 530	88.3	64	3.7
MESA . . . . .	99 043	27 072	3 794	14.0	3 520	92.8	113	3.0
PHOENIX . . . . .	664 721	239 615	60 754	25.4	51 202	84.3	2 871	4.7
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	77 529	25 067	3 817	15.2	3 424	89.7	114	3.0
TEMPE . . . . .	84 072	18 820	3 862	20.5	3 335	86.4	131	3.4
TUSCON . . . . .	296 457	109 145	14 932	13.7	13 522	90.6	480	3.2
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH . . . . .	64 734	9 482	1 424	15.0	1 093	76.8	90	6.3
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	141 143	32 866	5 471	16.6	4 399	80.4	173	3.2
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	61 768	17 885	2 181	12.2	1 779	81.6	156	7.2
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	54 631	11 056	1 495	13.5	1 275	85.3	92	6.2
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	72 017	11 890	3 055	25.7	2 963	97.0	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	60 715	22 866	2 271	9.9	2 164	95.3	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	193 616	61 263	9 078	14.8	8 556	94.2	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	77 264	25 607	7 574	29.6	7 317	96.6	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	51 145	5 073	1 380	27.2	1 339	97.0	-	-
BERKELEY . . . . .	110 465	42 480	5 398	12.7	5 162	95.6	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	61 840	10 114	2 509	24.8	2 468	98.4	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	86 001	29 655	5 129	17.3	4 704	91.7	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	78 671	35 643	3 402	9.5	3 088	90.8	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	75 497	14 102	2 835	20.1	2 738	96.6	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	75 143	18 111	4 511	24.9	4 240	94.0	46	1.0
CONCORD . . . . .	95 114	16 439	3 973	21.5	3 834	96.5	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	76 058	15 742	4 097	26.0	3 964	96.8	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	72 741	11 895	2 839	23.9	2 776	97.8	-	-
DOWNNEY . . . . .	85 812	14 583	3 766	25.8	3 475	92.3	-	-
EL CAJON . . . . .	60 404	10 279	2 333	22.7	2 248	96.4	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	67 698	10 412	3 163	30.4	3 006	95.0	-	-
FREMONT . . . . .	117 862	20 238	3 837	19.0	3 713	96.8	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	176 528	65 113	9 192	14.1	8 849	96.3	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	93 692	23 224	4 023	17.3	3 951	98.2	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	118 454	20 326	3 712	18.3	3 387	91.2	-	-
GLENDAL . . . . .	132 360	40 222	5 223	13.0	4 833	92.5	-	-
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	53 953	11 611	2 190	18.9	2 019	92.2	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	92 802	23 139	3 878	16.8	3 734	96.3	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	149 706	33 611	7 181	21.4	6 455	89.9	-	-
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	86 610	39 158	7 120	18.2	6 791	95.4	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	81 802	10 233	1 654	16.2	1 578	95.4	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	338 602	180 538	26 687	14.8	23 016	86.2	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	2 727 399	913 399	263 266	28.8	236 889	90.0	99	(2)
MODESTO . . . . .	83 540	19 691	2 733	13.9	2 637	96.5	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	55 143	16 341	2 108	12.9	2 036	96.6	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	61 853	20 534	4 556	22.2	4 338	95.2	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	86 826	8 969	264	2.9	2	0.8	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	330 651	159 191	22 926	14.4	22 459	98.0	32	0.1
ONTARIO . . . . .	63 140	12 719	2 702	21.2	2 651	98.1	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	82 157	18 373	3 653	19.9	3 502	95.9	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	86 506	21 503	4 971	23.1	4 805	96.7	34	0.7
PALO ALTO . . . . .	52 277	24 021	3 711	15.4	3 489	94.0	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	108 220	55 392	6 896	12.4	6 328	91.8	-	-
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	51 495	7 515	1 475	19.6	1 433	97.2	-	-
POMONA . . . . .	82 275	20 378	4 277	21.0	3 775	88.3	-	-
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	62 400	18 016	3 804	21.1	3 474	91.3	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	54 160	13 763	2 077	15.1	1 948	93.8	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	69 713	42 744	5 716	13.4	5 532	96.8	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	150 612	44 653	6 866	15.4	6 399	93.2	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	260 822	101 721	15 055	14.8	14 818	98.4	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	70 438	16 978	3 143	18.5	2 887	91.9	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	102 076	33 851	5 536	16.4	5 381	97.2	4	0.1
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	63 441	22 435	2 465	11.0	2 335	94.7	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	773 996	195 336	29 418	15.1	26 391	89.7	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	664 520	694 662	88 748	12.8	54 236	61.1	12 556	14.1
SAN JOSE . . . . .	555 707	182 540	25 576	14.0	24 680	96.5	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	66 953	19 146	2 878	15.0	2 741	95.2	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	77 878	19 342	3 342	17.3	3 242	97.0	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	177 304	42 457	11 282	26.6	10 816	95.9	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	72 125	32 898	3 707	11.3	3 528	95.2	2	0.1

<sup>1</sup> end of table.



Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure  
(direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL . . . . .	199 664	3.7	27 834	0.5	410 136	7.6	87 101	1.6
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	401	2.9	134	1.0	1 004	7.3	-	-
GADSDEN . . . . .	36	2.6	2	0.2	66	5.1	-	-
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	90	2.2	22	0.5	249	6.1	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	130	1.7	-	-	408	5.5	292	3.9
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	85	1.7	13	0.3	260	5.3	40	0.8
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	55	2.0	10	0.4	27	1.0	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	1 073	12.5	-	-	77	0.9	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDALE . . . . .	50	2.9	-	-	64	3.7	24	1.4
MESA . . . . .	70	1.8	10	0.3	81	2.1	-	-
PHOENIX . . . . .	2 045	3.4	253	0.4	754	1.2	3 629	6.0
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	185	4.8	1	(2)	-	-	93	2.4
TEMPE . . . . .	284	7.4	10	0.3	20	0.5	82	2.1
TUSCON . . . . .	798	5.3	48	0.3	60	0.4	24	0.2
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH . . . . .	85	6.0	6	0.4	150	10.5	-	-
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	148	2.7	27	0.5	259	4.7	465	8.5
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	77	3.5	15	0.7	154	7.1	-	-
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	50	3.3	-	-	67	4.5	11	0.7
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	92	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	107	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	401	4.4	-	-	121	1.3	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	257	3.4	-	-	2	(2)	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	41	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY . . . . .	236	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	41	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	342	6.7	-	-	83	1.6	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	314	9.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	97	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	187	4.1	-	-	-	-	38	0.8
CONCORD . . . . .	139	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	124	3.0	-	-	9	0.2	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	63	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY . . . . .	51	1.4	-	-	26	0.7	214	5.7
EL CAJON . . . . .	85	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	68	2.1	-	-	-	-	89	2.8
FREMONT . . . . .	124	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	343	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	72	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	57	1.5	-	-	-	-	268	7.2
GLENDALE . . . . .	240	4.6	-	-	150	2.9	-	-
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	168	7.7	-	-	3	0.1	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	133	3.4	-	-	11	0.3	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	296	4.1	-	-	332	4.6	98	1.4
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	329	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	76	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	1 875	7.0	-	-	1 779	6.7	17	0.1
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	11 949	4.5	-	-	422	0.2	13 907	5.3
MODESTO . . . . .	96	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	72	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	150	3.3	-	-	68	1.5	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	39	14.8	-	-	-	-	223	84.5
OAKLAND . . . . .	438	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	51	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	151	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	132	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO . . . . .	222	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	337	4.9	-	-	120	1.7	111	1.6
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	42	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
POHONA . . . . .	75	1.8	-	-	224	5.2	203	4.7
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	75	2.0	-	-	255	6.7	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	129	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	184	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	460	6.7	7	0.1	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	237	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	89	2.8	-	-	-	-	167	5.3
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	151	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	130	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	1 895	6.4	125	0.4	131	0.4	876	3.0
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	5 989	6.7	1 522	1.7	13 658	15.4	787	0.9
SAN JOSE . . . . .	896	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	137	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	100	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	402	3.6	-	-	64	0.6	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	161	4.3	16	0.4	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure  
(direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	82 822	37 328	3 914	10.5	3 816	97.5	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	92 115	25 620	4 710	18.4	4 290	91.1	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	65 087	34 020	1 971	5.8	1 878	95.3	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	70 086	6 661	1 694	25.4	1 601	94.5	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	56 560	10 452	2 592	24.8	2 178	84.0	-	-
STOCKTON . . . . .	117 600	63 078	7 270	11.5	6 999	96.3	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	102 462	31 650	4 034	12.7	3 880	96.2	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	139 776	27 585	7 661	27.8	7 192	93.9	-	-
VALLEJO . . . . .	70 681	16 161	2 918	18.1	2 786	95.5	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	75 783	13 059	2 744	21.0	2 641	96.2	-	-
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	66 758	10 026	2 471	24.6	2 440	98.7	-	-
WHITTIER . . . . .	72 059	12 140	2 730	22.5	2 660	97.4	-	-
COLORADO:								
ARVADA . . . . .	74 254	12 474	2 693	21.6	2 521	93.6	78	2.9
AURORA . . . . .	118 060	30 560	4 900	16.0	4 393	89.7	192	3.9
BOULDER . . . . .	78 560	18 846	2 901	15.4	2 601	89.7	157	5.4
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	179 584	63 940	7 985	12.5	7 418	92.9	306	3.8
DENVER . . . . .	484 531	356 392	55 597	15.6	41 038	73.8	4 074	7.3
FORT COLLINS . . . . .	55 984	14 268	1 503	10.5	1 379	91.7	59	3.9
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	120 350	15 646	6 063	38.8	5 365	88.5	244	4.0
PUEBLO . . . . .	105 312	20 536	5 475	26.7	5 107	93.3	104	1.9
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	142 960	86 656	8 434	9.7	8 014	95.0	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	58 560	30 921	2 158	7.0	2 052	95.1	2	0.1
DANBURY . . . . .	54 512	30 821	2 118	6.9	2 061	97.3	6	0.3
HARTFORD . . . . .	138 152	167 529	9 784	5.8	9 226	94.3	9	0.1
MERIDEN . . . . .	57 697	35 471	1 824	5.1	1 666	91.3	3	0.2
MILFORD . . . . .	49 704	33 633	2 590	7.7	2 485	95.9	1	(Z)
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	78 556	36 479	2 812	7.7	2 629	93.5	5	0.2
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	126 845	86 714	8 395	9.7	8 127	96.8	2	(Z)
NORWALK . . . . .	76 688	51 599	2 853	5.5	2 674	93.7	6	0.2
STAMFORD . . . . .	105 151	90 126	5 824	6.5	5 403	92.8	8	0.1
WATERBURY . . . . .	107 065	71 153	5 198	7.3	4 863	93.6	18	0.3
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	53 002	29 603	2 103	7.1	1 798	85.5	1	(Z)
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON . . . . .	76 152	82 374	6 708	8.1	5 950	88.7	410	6.1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON . . . . .	711 518	1 449 436	184 640	12.7	90 345	48.9	22 224	12.0
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER . . . . .	67 069	34 139	3 361	9.8	3 114	92.7	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	152 959	59 987	11 580	19.3	10 330	89.2	653	5.8
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	72 236	30 709	3 229	10.5	3 073	95.2	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	117 682	22 950	5 931	25.8	5 753	97.0	11	0.2
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	119 002	42 138	6 493	15.4	6 025	92.8	153	2.4
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	535 030	220 173	32 045	14.6	18 395	57.4	5 372	16.8
MIAMI . . . . .	365 082	116 860	23 150	19.8	22 563	97.5	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	94 063	66 855	7 204	10.8	6 795	94.3	115	1.6
ORLANDO . . . . .	113 179	51 922	10 420	20.1	8 846	84.9	258	2.5
PENSACOLA . . . . .	64 168	29 716	641	2.2	373	58.2	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	234 389	71 421	11 525	16.1	10 633	92.3	-	-
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	83 725	55 649	2 971	5.3	2 860	96.3	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	280 340	163 513	14 783	9.0	13 555	91.7	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	61 471	25 363	3 870	15.3	3 447	89.1	122	3.2
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY . . . . .	73 373	16 505	2 705	16.4	2 543	94.0	13	0.5
ATLANTA . . . . .	456 057	211 250	33 223	15.7	25 555	76.9	1 214	3.7
AUGUSTA . . . . .	54 019	18 686	2 489	13.3	2 204	88.5	53	2.1
COLUMBUS . . . . .	159 352	34 821	8 059	23.1	5 407	67.1	1 326	16.5
MACON . . . . .	121 187	21 467	4 075	19.0	3 586	88.0	142	3.5
SAVANNAH . . . . .	110 348	33 143	4 374	13.2	3 920	89.6	147	3.4
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU . . . . .	705 381	311 082	37 654	12.1	34 978	92.9	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY . . . . .	99 771	29 090	3 105	10.7	2 700	87.0	214	6.9
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS . . . . .	70 019	12 813	2 121	16.6	2 020	95.2	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	76 955	19 565	4 311	22.0	4 173	96.8	-	-
BERWYN . . . . .	49 618	5 159	1 344	26.1	1 270	94.5	1	(Z)
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	58 398	22 565	2 400	10.6	2 333	97.2	-	-
CHICAGO . . . . .	3 099 391	1 170 987	312 933	26.7	307 884	98.4	330	0.1
CICERO . . . . .	63 444	9 582	2 497	26.1	2 370	94.9	-	-
DECATUR . . . . .	89 604	14 998	2 178	14.5	2 093	96.1	-	-
DES PLAINES . . . . .	55 828	14 612	2 467	16.9	2 383	96.6	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS . . . . .	57 929	19 030	3 242	17.0	3 187	98.3	-	-
ELGIN . . . . .	59 754	14 013	2 215	15.8	2 118	95.6	14	0.6
See footnotes at end of table.								

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED</b>								
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	98	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	420	8.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	93	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIHI VALLEY . . . . .	93	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	114	4.4	-	-	136	5.2	164	6.3
STOCKTON . . . . .	212	2.9	-	-	-	-	59	0.8
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	154	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	300	3.9	-	-	169	2.2	-	-
VALLEJO . . . . .	132	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	33	1.2	-	-	-	-	70	2.6
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	27	1.1	-	-	2	0.1	2	0.1
WHITTIER . . . . .	65	2.4	-	-	-	-	5	0.2
<b>COLORADO:</b>								
ARVADA . . . . .	94	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	315	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	143	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	242	3.0	-	-	19	0.2	-	-
DENVER . . . . .	3 042	5.5	-	-	6 181	11.1	1 262	2.3
FORT COLLINS . . . . .	65	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	316	5.2	5	0.1	133	2.2	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	138	2.5	8	0.1	62	1.1	56	1.0
<b>CONNECTICUT:</b>								
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	168	2.0	-	-	125	1.5	127	1.5
BRISTOL . . . . .	51	2.4	-	-	-	-	53	2.5
DANBURY . . . . .	51	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	549	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	77	4.2	-	-	-	-	78	4.3
MILFORD . . . . .	88	3.4	-	-	16	0.6	-	-
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	92	3.3	-	-	-	-	86	3.1
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	266	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	127	4.5	-	-	-	-	46	1.6
STAMFORD . . . . .	288	4.9	12	0.2	-	-	113	1.9
WATERBURY . . . . .	195	3.8	-	-	13	0.3	109	2.1
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	163	7.8	-	-	-	-	141	6.7
<b>DELAWARE:</b>								
WILMINGTON . . . . .	348	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:</b>								
WASHINGTON . . . . .	3 989	2.2	4 254	2.3	63 062	34.2	766	0.4
<b>FLORIDA:</b>								
CLEARWATER . . . . .	164	4.9	-	-	83	2.5	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	203	1.8	24	0.2	370	3.2	-	-
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	156	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	38	0.6	-	-	129	2.2	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	186	2.9	-	-	129	2.0	-	-
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	944	2.9	20	0.1	6 931	21.6	383	1.2
MIAMI . . . . .	587	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	263	3.7	-	-	26	0.4	5	0.1
ORLANDO . . . . .	165	1.6	25	0.2	886	8.5	240	2.3
PENSACOLA . . . . .	155	24.2	-	-	113	17.6	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	308	2.7	-	-	368	3.2	216	1.9
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	111	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	420	2.8	-	-	-	-	808	5.5
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	112	2.9	-	-	189	4.9	-	-
<b>GEORGIA:</b>								
ALBANY . . . . .	56	2.1	-	-	93	3.4	-	-
ATLANTA . . . . .	583	1.8	50	0.2	3 009	9.1	2 812	8.5
AUGUSTA . . . . .	56	2.2	-	-	117	4.7	59	2.4
COLUMBUS . . . . .	308	3.8	40	0.5	978	12.1	-	-
MACON . . . . .	113	2.8	-	-	234	5.7	-	-
SAVANNAH . . . . .	59	1.3	-	-	162	3.7	86	2.0
<b>HAWAII:</b>								
HONOLULU . . . . .	2 889	6.9	-	-	-	-	87	0.2
<b>IDAH0:</b>								
BOISE CITY . . . . .	137	4.4	-	-	54	1.7	-	-
<b>ILLINOIS:</b>								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS . . . . .	101	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	137	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN . . . . .	74	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	67	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO . . . . .	4 389	1.4	-	-	91	(2)	239	0.1
CICERO . . . . .	127	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR . . . . .	85	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES . . . . .	84	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS . . . . .	55	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN . . . . .	83	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
EVANSTON . . . . .	76 665	22 645	3 624	16.0	3 485	96.2	-	-
JOLIET . . . . .	74 401	16 336	4 118	25.2	3 963	96.2	-	-
OAK LAWN . . . . .	62 317	11 884	2 254	19.0	2 190	97.2	-	-
OAK PARK . . . . .	59 773	15 435	3 313	21.5	3 234	97.6	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	125 983	39 728	7 399	18.6	7 002	94.6	-	-
ROCKFORD . . . . .	145 459	24 945	6 756	27.1	5 759	85.2	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	49 031	16 837	1 529	9.1	1 490	97.4	16	1.0
SKOKIE . . . . .	67 674	12 952	3 159	24.4	3 040	96.2	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	87 418	24 954	3 376	13.5	3 067	90.8	-	-
WAUKEGAN . . . . .	65 133	15 015	2 990	19.9	2 911	97.4	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON . . . . .	69 486	12 621	2 183	17.3	2 035	93.2	67	3.1
EVANSVILLE . . . . .	133 566	34 256	4 495	13.1	4 321	96.1	-	-
FORT WAYNE . . . . .	185 299	59 244	5 777	9.8	5 610	97.1	-	-
GARY . . . . .	167 546	29 272	7 063	24.1	5 684	80.5	376	5.3
HAMMOND . . . . .	104 892	19 200	3 628	18.9	3 259	89.8	252	6.9
INDIANAPOLIS . . . . .	714 878	299 314	48 680	16.3	32 229	66.2	6 430	13.2
MUNCIE . . . . .	78 329	16 634	2 200	13.2	2 088	94.9	84	3.8
SOUTH BEND . . . . .	117 478	26 294	4 260	16.2	4 077	95.7	-	-
TERRE HAUTE . . . . .	63 998	11 513	1 706	14.8	1 538	90.2	96	5.6
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS . . . . .	108 998	44 283	3 041	6.9	2 892	95.1	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS . . . . .	58 660	16 231	2 322	14.3	2 120	91.3	-	-
DAVENPORT . . . . .	99 941	33 430	2 484	7.4	2 315	93.2	-	-
DES MOINES . . . . .	194 168	66 709	7 489	11.2	6 637	88.6	-	-
DUBUQUE . . . . .	61 764	16 421	1 533	9.3	1 431	93.3	-	-
SIOUX CITY . . . . .	85 719	34 091	3 130	9.2	2 643	84.4	16	0.5
WATERLOO . . . . .	77 681	21 492	2 571	12.0	2 505	97.4	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	168 153	39 070	7 491	19.2	6 523	87.1	180	2.4
OVERLAND PARK . . . . .	81 013	9 662	2 512	26.0	1 824	72.6	115	4.6
TOPEKA . . . . .	119 203	59 743	5 014	8.4	4 528	90.3	105	2.1
WICHITA . . . . .	264 901	106 339	9 047	8.5	7 828	86.5	348	3.8
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON . . . . .	44 467	16 187	2 289	14.1	2 021	88.3	49	2.1
LEXINGTON . . . . .	186 048	49 141	10 846	22.1	7 417	68.4	1 007	9.3
LOUISVILLE . . . . .	335 984	161 653	25 086	15.5	23 169	92.4	732	2.9
OWENSBORO . . . . .	50 788	25 894	1 769	6.8	1 592	90.0	71	4.0
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	294 394	112 071	16 673	14.9	8 851	53.1	3 958	23.7
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	78 430	23 630	2 120	9.0	1 701	80.2	224	10.6
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	76 087	22 275	2 071	9.3	1 552	74.9	229	11.1
MONROE . . . . .	61 016	16 547	1 963	11.9	1 705	86.9	68	3.3
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	559 770	231 612	50 278	21.7	27 952	55.6	5 845	11.6
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	185 711	45 265	6 640	14.7	5 808	87.5	394	5.9
MAINE:								
PORTLAND . . . . .	59 857	46 879	2 894	6.2	2 776	95.9	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE . . . . .	851 698	1 102 445	89 241	8.1	66 140	74.1	5 990	6.7
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON . . . . .	636 725	747 082	105 943	14.2	69 171	65.3	18 621	17.6
BROCKTON . . . . .	95 878	74 474	5 478	7.4	5 211	95.1	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	102 420	99 782	6 884	6.9	6 236	90.6	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	57 771	32 732	2 232	6.8	2 164	97.0	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	100 430	66 653	5 456	8.2	4 898	89.8	-	-
HOLYOKE . . . . .	46 435	36 620	2 087	5.7	2 015	96.6	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	67 390	36 273	2 916	8.0	2 787	95.6	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	91 493	60 805	3 877	6.4	3 634	93.7	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	79 327	71 268	4 839	6.8	4 546	93.9	-	-
MALDEN . . . . .	55 778	45 361	2 354	5.2	2 303	97.8	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	60 769	45 458	3 176	7.0	3 086	97.2	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	100 133	80 305	4 609	5.7	4 436	96.2	-	-
NEWTON . . . . .	88 659	75 799	5 028	6.6	4 841	96.3	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	54 893	42 427	1 746	4.1	1 707	97.8	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	91 494	87 997	5 203	5.9	5 108	98.2	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	80 798	45 009	3 063	6.8	2 663	86.9	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	170 790	120 672	8 108	6.7	7 739	95.4	-	-
WALTHAM . . . . .	86 251	42 046	3 539	8.4	3 420	96.6	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	171 866	146 423	11 193	7.6	10 779	96.3	1	(2)
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	103 542	29 682	4 741	16.0	4 016	84.7	415	8.6
DEARBORN . . . . .	98 986	42 925	5 646	13.2	4 929	87.3	250	4.4
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	79 239	14 414	3 028	21.0	2 604	86.0	158	5.2
DETROIT . . . . .	1 335 085	690 570	136 807	19.8	118 589	86.7	9 836	7.2

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
EVANSTON . . . . .	116	3.2	23	0.6	-	-	-	-
JOLIET . . . . .	110	2.7	-	-	-	-	45	1.1
OAK LAWN . . . . .	64	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK . . . . .	79	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	397	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD . . . . .	167	2.5	-	-	-	-	830	12.3
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	23	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE . . . . .	119	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	225	6.7	-	-	84	2.5	-	-
WAUKEGAN . . . . .	79	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON . . . . .	71	3.3	6	0.3	4	0.2	-	-
EVANSVILLE . . . . .	174	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE . . . . .	167	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY . . . . .	180	2.5	-	-	81	1.1	742	10.5
HAMMOND . . . . .	85	2.3	2	0.1	30	0.8	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS . . . . .	2 967	6.1	165	0.3	6 691	13.7	198	0.4
MUNCIE . . . . .	28	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND . . . . .	175	4.1	-	-	-	-	8	0.2
TERRE HAUTE . . . . .	72	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS . . . . .	139	4.6	-	-	10	0.3	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS . . . . .	76	3.4	-	-	98	4.2	26	1.1
DAVENPORT . . . . .	122	4.9	-	-	-	-	47	1.9
DES MOINES . . . . .	374	5.0	-	-	424	5.7	54	0.7
DUBUQUE . . . . .	62	4.0	-	-	12	0.8	28	1.8
SIOUX CITY . . . . .	211	6.7	-	-	93	3.0	167	5.3
WATERLOO . . . . .	65	2.5	-	-	-	-	1	(2)
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	315	4.2	-	-	378	5.0	95	1.3
OVERLAND PARK . . . . .	134	5.3	4	0.2	1	(2)	434	17.3
TOPEKA . . . . .	221	4.4	55	1.1	40	0.8	65	1.3
WICHITA . . . . .	268	3.0	-	-	603	6.7	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON . . . . .	162	7.1	-	-	57	2.5	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	416	3.8	107	1.0	1 893	17.5	6	0.1
LOUISVILLE . . . . .	797	3.2	-	-	214	0.9	174	0.7
OWENSBORO . . . . .	47	2.7	-	-	59	3.3	-	-
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	1 229	7.4	38	0.2	2 252	13.5	345	2.1
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	91	4.3	5	0.2	99	4.7	-	-
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	227	11.0	-	-	63	3.0	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	104	5.3	-	-	86	4.4	-	-
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	2 374	4.7	373	0.7	12 956	28.8	778	1.5
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	105	1.6	-	-	333	5.0	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND . . . . .	118	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE . . . . .	5 079	5.7	-	-	10 100	11.3	1 932	2.2
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON . . . . .	3 998	3.8	-	-	9 627	9.1	4 526	4.3
BROCKTON . . . . .	92	1.7	-	-	-	-	175	3.2
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	404	5.9	-	-	-	-	244	3.5
CHICOPEE . . . . .	68	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	107	2.0	-	-	365	6.7	86	1.6
HOLYOKE . . . . .	72	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	89	3.1	-	-	-	-	40	1.4
LOWELL . . . . .	227	5.9	-	-	-	-	16	0.4
LYNN . . . . .	91	1.9	-	-	-	-	202	4.2
MALDEN . . . . .	51	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	90	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	90	2.0	-	-	41	0.9	42	0.9
NEWTON . . . . .	187	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	39	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	95	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOHMERVILLE . . . . .	79	2.6	-	-	-	-	321	10.5
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	232	2.9	-	-	-	-	137	1.7
WALTHAM . . . . .	119	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	253	2.3	-	-	-	-	160	1.4
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	148	3.1	64	1.3	98	2.1	-	-
DEARBORN . . . . .	214	3.8	9	0.2	227	4.0	17	0.3
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	57	1.9	3	0.1	206	6.8	-	-
DETROIT . . . . .	2 208	1.6	147	0.1	6 027	4.4	-	-

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN—CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	54 124	10 830	2 144	19.8	1 854	86.5	152	7.1
FLINT . . . . .	174 218	127 786	10 670	8.3	9 222	86.4	643	6.0
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	187 946	70 330	10 055	14.3	8 090	80.5	836	8.3
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	79 542	22 568	3 636	16.1	2 913	80.1	361	9.9
LANSING . . . . .	126 805	56 291	7 593	13.5	6 009	79.1	621	8.2
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	49 514	9 247	1 942	21.0	1 535	79.0	113	5.8
LYONIA . . . . .	114 881	27 068	4 045	14.9	3 441	85.1	280	6.9
PONTIAC . . . . .	76 027	93 480	8 419	9.0	6 354	75.5	1 470	17.5
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	58 141	11 846	2 687	22.7	2 347	87.3	223	6.3
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	79 191	14 553	2 868	19.7	2 512	87.6	202	7.0
SAGINAW . . . . .	86 202	36 007	5 120	14.2	4 920	96.1	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	75 978	23 843	6 103	25.6	4 655	76.3	648	10.6
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	85 934	14 779	2 887	19.5	2 685	93.0	142	4.9
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	86 932	25 416	5 088	20.0	3 485	68.5	286	5.6
TAYLOR . . . . .	76 626	19 377	2 899	15.0	2 636	90.9	182	6.3
WARREN . . . . .	172 755	46 400	6 726	14.5	5 662	84.2	730	10.9
WESTLAND . . . . .	92 689	15 805	3 508	22.2	2 908	82.9	396	11.3
WYOMING . . . . .	57 918	12 850	4 076	31.7	3 316	81.4	500	12.3
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	79 210	15 869	2 289	14.4	2 128	93.0	22	1.0
DULUTH . . . . .	93 971	45 346	3 345	7.4	3 050	91.2	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	378 112	186 965	23 665	12.7	20 995	88.7	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	56 211	12 913	1 829	14.2	1 741	95.2	-	-
ST. PAUL . . . . .	279 535	136 466	14 657	10.7	13 401	91.4	1	(2)
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI . . . . .	46 407	9 251	1 174	12.7	1 072	91.3	29	2.5
JACKSON . . . . .	166 512	65 090	6 274	9.6	5 196	82.8	326	5.2
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA . . . . .	63 227	15 750	1 997	12.7	1 852	92.7	58	2.9
FLORISSANT . . . . .	70 465	5 008	1 536	30.7	1 494	97.3	26	1.7
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	111 481	28 207	2 964	10.5	2 640	89.1	182	6.1
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	472 529	234 906	37 341	15.9	32 174	86.2	1 451	3.9
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	77 679	23 627	2 077	8.8	1 939	93.4	43	2.1
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	524 964	268 714	60 186	22.4	42 917	71.3	6 083	10.1
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	131 557	47 555	3 561	7.5	3 316	93.1	98	2.8
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS . . . . .	68 987	16 691	2 496	15.0	2 325	93.1	93	3.7
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	60 868	18 804	1 951	10.4	1 808	92.7	39	2.0
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN . . . . .	163 112	60 376	6 699	11.1	5 104	76.2	775	11.6
OMAHA . . . . .	371 455	111 291	12 776	11.5	10 742	84.1	1 131	8.9
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	146 030	34 541	13 822	40.0	12 615	91.3	651	4.7
RENO . . . . .	78 097	28 535	6 665	23.4	5 581	83.7	350	5.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER . . . . .	83 417	58 432	4 967	8.5	4 616	92.9	180	3.6
NASHUA . . . . .	61 002	31 197	2 742	8.8	2 340	85.3	212	7.7
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE . . . . .	73 574	18 440	3 634	19.7	3 342	92.0	135	3.7
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	52 162	10 180	2 443	24.0	2 303	94.3	58	2.4
CAMDEN . . . . .	89 214	31 029	8 770	28.3	8 207	93.6	322	3.7
CLIFTON . . . . .	79 467	28 428	3 087	10.9	2 878	93.2	105	3.4
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	73 420	46 285	5 550	12.0	4 811	86.7	432	7.8
ELIZABETH . . . . .	104 405	66 980	6 573	9.8	6 165	93.6	153	2.3
IRVINGTON . . . . .	58 196	21 412	3 185	14.9	2 845	89.3	181	5.7
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	243 756	162 920	19 974	12.3	18 751	93.9	620	3.1
NEWARK . . . . .	339 568	328 105	31 325	9.5	28 842	92.1	894	2.9
PASSAIC . . . . .	49 900	14 438	2 625	18.2	2 238	85.3	97	3.7
PATERSON . . . . .	136 098	80 232	8 118	10.1	7 649	94.2	223	2.7
TRENTON . . . . .	101 365	30 644	8 184	26.7	7 722	94.4	272	3.3
UNION CITY . . . . .	52 648	15 535	3 307	21.3	3 093	93.5	133	4.0
VINELAND . . . . .	53 637	29 301	2 030	6.9	1 868	92.0	87	4.3
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	279 401	98 450	14 831	15.1	11 681	78.8	842	5.7
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY . . . . .	110 311	48 460	6 691	13.8	6 160	92.1	287	4.3
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	60 666	41 520	2 455	5.9	2 344	95.5	1	(2)
BUFFALO . . . . .	407 160	385 494	26 775	6.9	21 953	82.0	1 274	4.8
HOUNT VERNON . . . . .	67 687	24 331	3 870	15.9	3 413	88.2	276	7.1
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	71 841	25 810	4 511	17.5	4 011	88.9	316	7.0
NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	7 481 615	12 029 807	1 115 770	9.3	799 049	71.6	100 574	9.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure  
(direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS	134	6.3	-	-	4	0.2	-	-
FLINT	300	2.8	36	0.3	469	4.4	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	333	3.3	22	0.2	329	3.3	445	4.4
KALAMAZOO	258	7.1	4	0.1	85	2.3	15	0.4
LANSING	199	2.6	26	0.3	738	9.7	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	49	2.5	-	-	38	2.0	207	10.7
LIVONIA	143	3.5	15	0.4	166	4.1	-	-
PONTIAC	336	4.0	40	0.5	219	2.6	-	-
ROSEVILLE	114	4.2	3	0.1	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	78	2.7	9	0.3	67	2.3	-	-
SAGINAW	200	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	239	3.9	11	0.2	550	9.0	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	60	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	140	2.8	11	0.2	19	0.4	1 147	22.5
TAYLOR	64	2.2	-	-	17	0.6	-	-
WARREN	280	4.2	13	0.2	41	0.6	-	-
WESTLAND	65	1.9	9	0.3	130	3.7	-	-
WYOMING	120	2.9	4	0.1	136	3.3	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON	125	5.5	-	-	14	0.6	-	-
DULUTH	262	7.8	20	0.6	13	0.4	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	1 337	5.6	-	-	663	2.8	670	2.8
ROCHESTER	88	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	745	5.1	-	-	-	-	510	3.5
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI	55	4.7	-	-	18	1.5	-	-
JACKSON	326	5.2	-	-	390	6.2	36	0.6
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA	87	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	16	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	133	4.5	-	-	9	0.3	-	-
KANSAS CITY	1 246	3.3	303	0.8	1 713	4.6	454	1.2
ST. JOSEPH	94	4.5	-	-	1	(2)	-	-
ST. LOUIS	2 598	4.3	-	-	8 016	13.3	572	1.0
SPRINGFIELD	104	2.9	3	0.1	12	0.3	28	0.8
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS	55	2.2	7	0.3	16	0.6	-	-
GREAT FALLS	40	2.1	1	0.1	63	3.2	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN	366	5.5	-	-	414	6.2	40	0.6
OMAHA	660	5.2	-	-	129	1.0	114	0.9
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS	494	3.6	62	0.4	-	-	-	-
RENO	284	4.3	4	0.1	434	6.5	12	0.2
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER	79	1.6	-	-	92	1.9	-	-
NASHUA	68	2.5	-	-	120	4.4	2	0.1
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE	147	4.0	10	0.3	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	82	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	241	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	104	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	160	2.9	-	-	-	-	147	2.6
ELIZABETH	156	2.4	-	-	-	-	99	1.5
IRVINGTON	159	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	336	1.7	-	-	-	-	268	1.3
NEWARK	555	1.8	15	(2)	-	-	1 019	3.3
PASSAIC	116	4.4	-	-	-	-	174	4.6
PATERSON	180	2.2	-	-	-	-	66	0.8
TRENTON	128	1.6	11	0.1	-	-	51	0.6
UNION CITY	59	1.8	-	-	-	-	22	0.7
VINELAND	75	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE	593	4.0	-	-	1 679	11.3	36	0.2
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY	244	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	110	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	938	3.5	55	0.2	-	-	2 555	9.5
MOUNT VERNON	181	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	170	3.8	-	-	-	-	14	0.3
NEW YORK CITY	50 135	4.5	13 730	1.2	137 333	12.3	14 949	1.3

**Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA FALLS.	80 773	53 433	3 800	7.1	3 384	89.1	235	6.2
ROCHESTER.	267 173	213 244	16 526	7.7	13 735	83.1	1 041	6.3
ROME . . . . .	49 014	28 624	1 071	3.7	934	87.2	89	8.3
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	74 995	20 625	3 221	15.6	2 981	92.5	120	3.7
SYRACUSE . . . . .	182 543	106 055	10 165	9.6	9 009	88.6	723	7.1
TROY . . . . .	60 312	24 697	2 467	10.0	2 211	89.6	122	4.9
UTICA . . . . .	82 443	22 067	3 135	14.2	2 654	84.7	295	9.4
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	48 327	34 279	4 936	14.4	4 384	88.8	269	5.4
YONKERS . . . . .	192 509	125 752	11 524	9.2	9 685	84.0	795	6.9
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	59 591	15 378	2 125	13.8	1 939	91.2	-	-
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	281 417	94 338	12 023	12.7	11 508	95.7	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	101 224	34 195	5 871	17.2	5 693	97.0	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	65 915	12 160	2 330	19.2	2 242	96.2	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	155 848	55 455	7 826	14.1	7 635	97.6	-	-
HIGH POINT . . . . .	61 330	5 829	2 390	41.0	2 325	97.3	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	134 231	52 562	5 501	10.5	5 275	95.9	-	-
WILMINGTON . . . . .	53 818	15 002	1 785	11.9	1 726	96.7	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	141 018	51 836	7 570	14.6	7 367	97.3	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO . . . . .	56 058	21 380	2 343	11.0	2 186	93.3	61	2.6
OHIO:								
AKRON . . . . .	251 747	103 521	11 460	11.1	9 166	80.0	1 106	9.7
CANTON . . . . .	101 852	35 322	4 828	13.7	3 860	80.0	582	12.1
CINCINNATI . . . . .	412 564	460 969	36 530	7.9	26 914	73.7	4 002	11.0
CLEVELAND . . . . .	638 793	319 512	66 878	20.9	54 824	82.0	8 315	12.4
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	51 141	11 852	1 913	16.1	1 639	65.7	121	6.3
COLUMBUS . . . . .	535 610	165 637	34 202	20.6	26 801	78.4	3 153	9.2
DAYTON . . . . .	205 986	93 485	15 246	16.3	11 810	77.5	1 247	8.2
ELYRIA . . . . .	52 474	13 432	2 011	15.0	1 394	69.3	378	18.8
EUCLID . . . . .	63 307	16 921	2 439	14.4	2 149	88.1	154	6.3
HAMILTON . . . . .	66 469	14 811	3 270	22.1	2 298	70.3	154	4.7
KETTERING . . . . .	69 949	9 621	2 156	22.4	1 567	72.7	281	13.0
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	65 395	27 466	1 674	6.1	1 424	85.1	166	9.9
LIMA . . . . .	51 372	23 855	1 988	8.3	1 435	72.2	315	15.8
LORAIN . . . . .	84 907	20 206	2 306	11.4	1 733	75.2	248	10.8
MANSFIELD . . . . .	56 916	14 341	4 978	34.7	1 827	36.7	395	7.9
PARMA . . . . .	98 883	10 870	2 269	20.9	1 719	75.8	392	17.3
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	77 317	16 444	3 315	20.2	2 427	73.2	522	15.7
TOLEDO . . . . .	367 650	138 250	23 721	17.2	16 251	68.5	5 514	23.2
WARREN . . . . .	60 486	9 950	2 474	24.9	1 769	71.5	456	18.4
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	132 203	35 958	6 532	18.2	4 998	76.5	599	9.2
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON . . . . .	76 421	16 128	2 441	15.1	2 091	85.7	137	5.6
MIDWEST . . . . .	50 105	13 587	1 439	10.6	1 327	92.2	33	2.3
NORMAN . . . . .	69 948	17 062	1 684	9.9	1 541	91.5	68	4.0
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	365 916	150 120	17 561	11.7	11 359	64.7	445	2.5
TULSA . . . . .	331 726	124 989	13 438	10.8	12 226	91.0	337	2.5
OREGON:								
EUGENE . . . . .	92 451	38 768	4 066	10.5	3 484	85.7	229	5.6
PORTLAND . . . . .	356 732	129 049	22 344	17.3	21 111	94.5	18	0.1
SALEM . . . . .	78 168	32 059	3 166	9.9	2 849	90.0	95	3.0
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	106 624	24 347	3 395	13.9	3 322	97.8	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	59 692	10 194	1 792	17.6	1 765	98.5	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	73 827	21 383	2 344	11.0	2 290	97.7	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	48 529	14 540	3 000	20.6	2 909	97.0	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	127 895	33 784	3 967	11.7	3 668	92.5	77	1.9
HARRISBURG . . . . .	58 274	15 352	2 999	19.5	2 909	97.0	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	86 669	14 654	2 187	14.9	2 149	98.3	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	1 815 808	1 096 672	270 971	24.7	167 981	62.0	41 052	15.1
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	458 651	154 404	22 412	14.5	21 404	95.5	374	1.7
READING . . . . .	81 592	21 131	2 806	13.3	2 718	96.9	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	95 884	14 456	2 524	17.5	2 457	97.3	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	87 040	35 634	1 762	4.9	1 713	97.2	-	-
YORK . . . . .	48 587	8 978	1 928	21.5	1 900	98.5	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON . . . . .	74 381	39 520	2 317	5.9	2 259	97.5	8	0.3
PAWUCKET . . . . .	72 024	47 511	2 567	5.4	2 463	95.9	25	1.0
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	167 724	86 867	8 517	9.8	8 047	94.5	206	2.4
WARWICK . . . . .	85 875	48 643	3 793	7.8	3 622	95.5	5	0.1
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	57 470	13 468	2 728	20.3	2 644	96.9	42	1.5
COLUMBIA . . . . .	111 616	25 108	4 211	16.8	3 456	82.1	264	6.3
GREENVILLE . . . . .	58 518	13 732	2 552	18.6	2 265	88.8	83	3.3

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	181	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	698	4.2	-	-	-	-	1 052	6.4
ROME . . . . .	48	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	120	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE . . . . .	338	3.3	-	-	-	-	95	0.9
TROY . . . . .	134	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA . . . . .	176	5.6	-	-	10	0.3	-	-
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	283	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS . . . . .	377	3.3	-	-	434	3.8	233	2.0
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	97	4.6	-	-	-	-	89	4.2
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	361	3.0	-	-	154	1.3	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	168	2.9	-	-	-	-	10	0.2
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	88	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	134	1.7	-	-	57	0.7	-	-
HIGH POINT . . . . .	65	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	174	3.2	14	0.3	15	0.3	23	0.4
WILMINGTON . . . . .	59	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	181	2.4	6	0.1	16	0.2	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO . . . . .	96	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON . . . . .	469	4.1	-	-	713	6.2	6	0.1
CANTON . . . . .	245	5.1	-	-	141	2.9	-	-
CINCINNATI . . . . .	893	2.4	279	0.8	4 190	11.5	252	0.7
CLEVELAND . . . . .	1 183	1.6	160	0.2	2 366	3.5	30	(2)
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	86	4.5	-	-	63	3.3	4	0.2
COLUMBUS . . . . .	1 128	3.3	285	0.8	2 218	6.5	617	1.8
DAYTON . . . . .	433	2.8	11	0.1	1 745	11.4	-	-
ELYRIA . . . . .	126	6.3	18	0.9	77	3.8	18	0.9
EUCLID . . . . .	125	5.1	-	-	1	(2)	10	0.4
HAMILTON . . . . .	151	4.6	12	0.4	144	4.4	511	15.6
KETTERING . . . . .	139	6.4	15	0.7	154	7.1	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	75	4.5	-	-	9	0.5	-	-
LIMA . . . . .	67	3.4	23	1.2	148	7.4	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	199	8.6	5	0.2	121	5.2	-	-
MANSFIELD . . . . .	108	2.2	3	0.1	132	2.7	2 513	50.5
PARMA . . . . .	153	6.7	-	-	5	0.2	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	156	4.7	3	0.1	70	2.1	137	4.1
TOLEDO . . . . .	453	1.9	67	0.3	1 436	6.1	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	101	4.1	-	-	89	3.6	59	2.4
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	426	6.5	10	0.2	406	6.2	93	1.4
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON . . . . .	100	4.1	20	0.8	93	3.8	-	-
MIDWEST . . . . .	24	1.7	-	-	55	3.8	-	-
NORMAN . . . . .	69	4.1	-	-	6	0.4	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	684	3.9	-	-	715	4.1	4 358	24.8
TULSA . . . . .	428	3.2	85	0.6	291	2.2	71	0.5
OREGON:								
EUGENE . . . . .	262	6.4	21	0.5	70	1.7	-	-
PORTLAND . . . . .	449	2.0	-	-	-	-	766	3.4
SALEM . . . . .	162	5.1	5	0.2	55	1.7	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	73	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	27	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	54	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	91	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	138	3.5	-	-	-	-	84	2.1
HARRISBURG . . . . .	56	1.9	-	-	-	-	34	1.1
LANCASTER . . . . .	38	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	10 004	3.7	3 612	1.3	46 604	17.2	1 718	0.6
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	622	2.8	-	-	-	-	12	0.1
READING . . . . .	88	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	67	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	49	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	28	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON . . . . .	50	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	77	3.0	-	-	-	-	2	0.1
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	264	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK . . . . .	52	1.4	-	-	-	-	114	3.0
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	42	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA . . . . .	87	2.1	-	-	350	8.3	54	1.3
GREENVILLE . . . . .	78	3.1	-	-	-	-	126	4.9

**Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure (direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total general expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total general expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA:</b>								
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	73 925	18 525	2 177	11.8	2 105	96.7	3	0.1
<b>TENNESSEE:</b>								
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	161 978	114 569	8 360	7.3	7 898	94.5	227	2.7
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	183 383	97 176	7 154	7.4	6 509	88.2	73	1.0
MEMPHIS . . . . .	661 319	353 371	29 039	8.2	24 784	85.3	1 027	3.5
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	423 426	310 439	29 040	9.4	19 778	68.1	3 844	13.2
<b>TEXAS:</b>								
ABILENE . . . . .	96 459	12 250	2 028	16.6	1 848	91.1	78	3.8
AMARILLO . . . . .	138 743	49 353	4 200	8.5	3 850	91.7	180	4.3
ARLINGTON . . . . .	110 543	22 934	3 295	14.4	2 939	89.2	159	4.8
AUSTIN . . . . .	301 147	130 847	12 737	9.7	10 984	86.2	564	4.4
BEAUMONT . . . . .	113 696	30 403	3 958	13.0	3 512	88.7	137	3.5
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	72 157	11 498	1 597	13.9	1 384	86.7	42	2.6
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	214 838	40 439	6 842	16.9	6 033	88.2	322	4.7
DALLAS . . . . .	812 797	248 334	41 944	16.9	37 761	90.0	1 550	3.7
EL PASO . . . . .	385 691	69 875	11 206	16.0	10 180	90.8	421	3.8
FORT WORTH . . . . .	358 364	114 016	17 244	15.1	15 651	90.8	533	3.1
GALVESTON . . . . .	60 125	28 483	2 238	7.9	2 064	92.2	104	4.6
GARLAND . . . . .	111 322	26 813	2 819	10.5	2 605	92.4	50	1.8
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	56 842	14 381	1 503	10.5	1 322	88.0	80	5.3
HOUSTON . . . . .	1 326 809	390 371	77 241	19.8	72 395	93.7	2 789	3.6
IRVING . . . . .	103 703	20 645	2 308	11.2	2 059	89.2	123	5.3
LAREDO . . . . .	76 998	19 232	1 311	6.8	1 122	85.6	85	6.5
LUBBOCK . . . . .	163 525	40 270	5 107	12.7	4 650	91.1	233	4.6
MESQUITE . . . . .	61 933	9 980	2 021	20.3	1 873	92.7	49	2.4
MIDLAND . . . . .	62 950	11 985	1 927	16.1	1 636	84.9	105	5.4
ODESSA . . . . .	84 476	10 176	2 114	20.8	1 845	87.3	126	6.0
PASADENA . . . . .	94 670	14 784	3 007	20.3	2 730	90.8	148	4.9
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	53 557	12 287	1 705	13.9	1 540	90.3	57	3.3
RICHARDSON . . . . .	59 190	11 720	1 464	12.5	1 399	95.6	64	4.4
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	66 099	11 747	2 022	17.2	1 793	88.7	92	4.5
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	773 248	187 087	32 632	17.4	28 740	88.1	851	2.6
TYLER . . . . .	61 434	11 588	1 629	14.1	1 480	90.9	74	4.5
WACO . . . . .	97 607	24 469	3 177	13.0	2 863	90.1	76	2.4
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	95 008	13 771	2 208	16.0	1 846	83.6	151	6.8
<b>UTAH:</b>								
OGDEN . . . . .	68 978	12 017	2 510	20.9	2 070	82.5	286	11.4
PROVO . . . . .	55 593	18 189	1 334	7.3	1 105	82.8	124	9.3
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	169 917	47 954	9 359	19.5	8 003	85.5	775	8.3
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>								
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	105 220	115 448	8 126	7.0	5 146	63.3	1 193	14.7
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	104 459	61 612	6 450	10.5	3 287	51.0	573	8.9
HAMPTON . . . . .	125 013	81 346	8 772	10.8	5 992	68.3	728	8.3
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	63 066	49 218	3 619	7.4	2 466	68.1	170	4.7
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	138 760	87 589	8 493	9.7	3 954	46.5	1 176	13.8
NORFOLK . . . . .	286 694	209 421	15 925	7.6	10 769	67.6	1 801	11.3
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	108 674	85 133	6 183	7.3	3 507	56.7	793	12.8
RICHMOND . . . . .	232 652	222 443	17 501	7.9	10 896	62.3	2 620	15.0
ROANOKE . . . . .	100 985	71 140	5 757	8.1	2 796	48.6	1 069	18.6
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	213 984	133 694	15 066	11.3	6 960	46.2	898	6.0
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>								
BELLEVUE . . . . .	65 365	19 623	2 747	14.0	2 300	83.7	71	2.6
EVERETT . . . . .	48 371	14 443	2 270	15.7	2 088	92.0	-	-
SEATTLE . . . . .	487 091	232 303	36 770	15.8	30 202	82.1	2 402	6.5
SPOKANE . . . . .	173 698	59 104	6 407	10.8	5 617	87.7	299	4.7
TACOMA . . . . .	151 267	72 322	8 375	11.6	6 640	79.3	510	6.1
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	67 348	20 976	2 883	13.7	2 647	91.8	62	2.2
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	68 811	16 466	2 729	16.6	2 523	92.5	19	0.7
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>								
APPLETON . . . . .	59 182	38 784	1 940	5.0	1 899	97.9	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	91 189	72 319	2 994	4.1	2 843	95.0	54	1.8
KENOSHA . . . . .	80 727	16 512	2 815	17.0	2 686	95.4	44	1.6
LA CROSSE . . . . .	49 082	27 222	1 462	5.4	1 390	95.1	17	1.2
MADISON . . . . .	168 196	109 687	7 468	6.8	7 126	95.4	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	665 796	232 254	47 228	20.3	45 020	95.3	995	2.1
OSHKOSH . . . . .	50 107	31 713	1 474	4.6	1 408	95.5	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	94 744	35 594	4 605	12.9	4 429	96.2	29	0.6
WAUKESHA . . . . .	56 514	33 305	2 199	6.6	2 115	96.2	17	0.8
WEST ALLIS . . . . .	69 084	40 933	2 736	6.7	2 634	96.3	20	0.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero

2 Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 20. Percent distribution of criminal justice system total expenditure  
(direct and intergovernmental) of 395 large city governments,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	65	3.0	-	-	4	0.2	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	235	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	273	3.8	-	-	499	7.0	-	-
MEMPHIS . . . . .	564	1.9	-	-	2 209	7.6	455	1.6
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	855	2.9	308	1.1	4 171	14.4	84	0.3
TEXAS:								
ABILENE . . . . .	95	4.7	-	-	-	-	7	0.3
AMARILLO . . . . .	170	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON . . . . .	197	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN . . . . .	802	6.3	33	0.3	139	1.1	215	1.7
BEAUMONT . . . . .	141	3.6	-	-	150	3.8	18	0.5
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	75	4.7	-	-	58	3.6	38	2.4
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	321	4.7	-	-	165	2.4	1	(2)
DALLAS . . . . .	1 203	2.9	-	-	1 337	3.2	87	0.2
EL PASO . . . . .	408	3.6	-	-	73	0.7	124	1.1
FORT WORTH . . . . .	402	2.3	-	-	542	3.1	116	0.7
GALVESTON . . . . .	68	3.0	-	-	-	-	2	0.1
GARLAND . . . . .	114	4.0	-	-	-	-	50	1.8
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	101	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON . . . . .	843	1.1	-	-	974	1.3	240	0.3
IRVING . . . . .	126	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO . . . . .	89	6.8	-	-	2	0.2	13	1.0
LUBBOCK . . . . .	206	4.0	-	-	-	-	18	0.4
MESQUITE . . . . .	99	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND . . . . .	66	3.4	-	-	120	6.2	-	-
ODESSA . . . . .	74	3.5	-	-	69	3.3	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	129	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	102	6.0	-	-	-	-	6	0.4
RICHARDSON . . . . .	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	71	3.5	-	-	38	1.9	28	1.4
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	692	2.1	-	-	501	1.5	1 048	5.7
TYLER . . . . .	70	4.3	-	-	5	0.3	-	-
WACO . . . . .	121	3.8	-	-	78	2.5	39	1.2
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	79	3.6	-	-	132	6.0	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN . . . . .	84	3.3	12	0.5	-	-	58	2.3
PROVO . . . . .	48	3.6	1	0.1	4	0.3	52	3.9
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	287	3.1	-	-	-	-	294	3.1
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	485	6.0	52	0.6	963	11.9	287	3.5
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	269	4.2	-	-	1 638	25.4	683	10.6
HAMPTON . . . . .	344	3.9	6	0.1	1 435	16.4	267	3.0
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	184	5.1	27	0.7	725	20.0	47	1.3
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	346	4.1	25	0.3	2 890	34.0	104	1.2
NORFOLK . . . . .	949	6.0	12	0.1	2 370	14.9	24	0.2
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	395	6.4	22	0.4	1 021	16.5	445	7.2
RICHMOND . . . . .	820	4.7	31	0.2	2 999	17.1	135	0.8
ROANOKE . . . . .	273	4.7	-	-	1 475	25.6	144	2.5
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	514	3.4	-	-	4 755	31.6	1 939	12.9
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE . . . . .	237	8.6	17	0.6	122	4.4	-	-
EVERETT . . . . .	101	4.4	-	-	42	1.9	39	1
SEATTLE . . . . .	1 242	3.4	465	1.3	1 848	5.0	611	-
SPOKANE . . . . .	305	4.8	12	0.2	174	2.7	-	-
TACOMA . . . . .	391	4.7	73	0.9	715	8.5	46	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	106	3.7	-	-	34	1.2	34	-
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	55	2.0	-	-	43	1.6	89	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON . . . . .	41	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	90	3.0	-	-	7	0.2	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	84	3.0	-	-	1	(2)	-	-
LA CROSSE . . . . .	48	3.3	-	-	7	0.5	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	334	4.5	-	-	8	0.1	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	1 209	2.6	-	-	4	(2)	-	-
OSHKOSH . . . . .	66	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	142	3.1	-	-	5	0.1	-	-
WAUWATOSA . . . . .	65	3.0	-	-	2	0.1	-	-
WEST ALLIS . . . . .	79	2.9	-	-	3	0.1	-	-

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL . . . . .	72 594 658	40 277 668	5 347 391	13.3	4 299 573	80.4	336 242	6.3
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	276 273	90 289	13 766	15.2	11 851	86.1	376	2.7
GADSDEN . . . . .	50 357	9 851	1 292	13.1	1 170	90.6	20	1.5
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	136 419	55 424	3 989	7.2	3 618	90.7	100	2.5
MOBILE . . . . .	196 441	71 749	7 222	10.1	6 282	87.0	254	3.5
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	153 343	36 139	4 866	13.5	4 361	89.6	147	3.0
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	69 425	37 065	2 757	7.4	2 592	94.0	110	4.0
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	161 018	225 378	8 488	3.8	7 415	87.4	3	(2)
ARIZONA:								
GLENDAL . . . . .	65 671	13 106	1 732	13.2	1 530	88.3	64	3.7
MESA . . . . .	99 043	26 955	3 782	14.0	3 520	93.1	113	3.0
PHOENIX . . . . .	664 721	239 176	60 362	25.2	51 202	84.8	2 871	4.8
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	77 529	25 067	3 817	15.2	3 424	89.7	114	3.0
TEMPE . . . . .	84 072	18 323	3 842	21.0	3 335	86.8	131	3.0
TUSCON . . . . .	296 457	108 787	14 872	13.7	13 522	90.9	480	3.2
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH . . . . .	64 734	9 482	1 377	14.5	1 093	79.4	90	6.5
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	141 143	32 758	5 471	16.7	4 399	80.4	173	3.2
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	61 768	17 885	2 131	11.9	1 779	83.5	106	5.0
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	54 631	10 951	1 457	13.3	1 262	86.6	79	5.4
CALIFORNIA:								
ALANEDA . . . . .	72 017	11 890	3 055	25.7	2 963	97.0	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	60 715	21 854	2 262	10.4	2 155	95.3	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	193 616	60 745	9 060	14.9	8 538	94.2	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	77 264	25 607	7 574	29.6	7 317	96.6	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	51 145	3 612	63	1.7	24	38.1	-	-
BERKELEY . . . . .	110 465	42 480	5 376	12.7	5 140	95.6	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	61 840	10 114	2 501	24.7	2 461	98.4	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	86 001	29 655	5 119	17.3	4 694	91.7	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	78 671	26 937	437	1.6	128	29.3	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	75 497	13 604	2 835	20.8	2 738	96.6	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	75 143	18 111	4 494	24.8	4 223	94.0	46	1.0
CONCORD . . . . .	95 114	18 439	3 973	21.5	3 834	96.5	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	76 058	15 742	4 086	26.0	3 962	97.0	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	72 741	11 895	2 839	23.9	2 776	97.8	-	-
DOWNEY . . . . .	85 812	14 583	3 727	25.6	3 463	92.9	-	-
EL CAJON . . . . .	60 404	10 279	2 333	22.7	2 248	96.4	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	67 698	9 888	2 993	30.3	2 838	94.8	-	-
FREMONT . . . . .	117 862	20 238	3 837	19.0	3 713	96.8	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	176 528	65 113	9 192	14.1	8 849	96.3	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	93 692	23 224	4 023	17.3	3 951	98.2	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	118 454	20 326	3 675	18.1	3 350	91.2	-	-
GLENDAL . . . . .	132 360	40 222	5 202	12.9	4 813	92.5	-	-
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	53 953	11 611	2 180	18.8	2 012	92.3	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	92 802	22 730	3 878	17.1	3 734	96.3	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	149 706	33 611	7 163	21.3	6 439	89.9	-	-
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	86 610	39 158	6 959	17.8	6 630	95.3	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	81 802	10 233	260	2.5	184	70.8	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	335 602	179 923	26 673	14.8	23 002	86.2	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	2 727 399	893 439	262 776	29.4	236 889	90.1	99	(2)
MODESTO . . . . .	83 540	19 589	2 733	14.0	2 637	96.5	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	55 143	16 341	2 108	12.9	2 036	96.6	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	61 853	19 230	4 537	23.6	4 322	95.3	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	86 826	4 872	40	0.8	2	5.0	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	330 651	159 106	22 926	14.4	22 459	98.0	32	0.1
ONTARIO . . . . .	63 140	12 719	2 665	21.0	2 614	98.1	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	82 157	18 373	3 640	19.8	3 489	95.9	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	86 506	21 503	4 957	23.1	4 791	96.7	34	0.7
PALO ALTO . . . . .	52 277	24 021	3 711	15.4	3 489	94.0	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	108 220	55 392	6 876	12.4	6 308	91.7	-	-
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	51 495	5 635	41	0.7	-	-	-	-
POMONA . . . . .	82 275	20 378	4 277	21.0	3 775	88.3	-	-
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	62 400	18 016	3 293	18.3	2 964	90.0	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	54 160	13 763	2 077	15.1	1 948	93.8	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	69 713	42 744	5 716	13.4	5 532	96.8	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	150 612	44 653	6 866	15.4	6 399	93.2	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	260 822	100 841	15 055	14.9	14 818	98.4	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	70 438	16 978	3 049	18.0	2 793	91.6	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	102 076	33 851	5 431	16.0	5 276	97.1	4	0.1
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	63 441	21 739	2 454	11.3	2 324	94.7	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	773 996	182 603	29 353	16.1	26 376	89.9	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	664 520	444 398	88 748	13.8	54 236	61.1	12 556	14.1
SAN JOSE . . . . .	555 707	182 413	25 576	14.0	24 680	96.5	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	66 953	19 146	2 878	15.0	2 741	95.2	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	77 878	19 342	3 342	17.3	3 242	97.0	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	177 304	42 457	11 179	26.3	10 777	96.4	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	72 125	32 898	3 695	11.2	3 518	95.2	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL . . . . .	199 556	3.7	27 335	0.5	398 384	7.5	86 301	1.6
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	401	2.9	134	1.0	1 004	7.3	-	-
GADSDEN . . . . .	36	2.8	-	-	66	5.1	-	-
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	90	2.3	22	0.6	159	4.0	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	130	1.8	-	-	264	3.7	292	4.0
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	85	1.7	13	0.3	260	5.3	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	55	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	1 070	12.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDALE . . . . .	50	2.9	-	-	64	3.7	24	1.4
MESA . . . . .	70	1.9	10	0.3	69	1.8	-	-
PHOENIX . . . . .	2 045	3.4	-	-	615	1.0	3 629	6.0
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	185	4.8	1	(2)	-	-	93	2.4
TEMPE . . . . .	284	7.4	10	0.3	-	-	82	2.1
TUSCON . . . . .	798	5.4	48	0.3	-	-	24	0.2
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH . . . . .	85	6.2	6	0.4	103	7.5	-	-
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	148	2.7	27	0.5	259	4.7	465	8.5
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	77	3.6	15	0.7	154	7.2	-	-
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	49	3.4	-	-	67	4.6	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	92	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	107	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	401	4.4	-	-	121	1.3	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	257	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	39	61.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY . . . . .	236	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	40	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	342	6.7	-	-	83	1.6	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	309	70.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	97	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	187	4.2	-	-	-	-	38	0.8
CONCORD . . . . .	139	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	124	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	63	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY . . . . .	50	1.3	-	-	-	-	214	5.7
EL CAJON . . . . .	85	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	66	2.2	-	-	-	-	89	3.0
FREMONT . . . . .	124	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	343	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	72	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	57	1.6	-	-	-	-	268	7.3
GLENDALE . . . . .	240	4.6	-	-	149	2.9	-	-
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	168	7.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	133	3.4	-	-	11	0.3	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	296	4.1	-	-	332	4.6	96	1.3
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	329	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	76	29.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	1 875	7.0	-	-	1 779	6.7	17	0.1
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	11 881	4.5	-	-	-	-	13 907	5.3
MODESTO . . . . .	96	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	72	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	147	3.2	-	-	68	1.5	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	38	95.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	435	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	51	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	151	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	132	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO . . . . .	222	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	337	4.9	-	-	120	1.7	111	1.6
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	41	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA . . . . .	75	1.8	-	-	224	5.2	203	4.7
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	75	2.3	-	-	254	7.7	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	129	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	184	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	460	6.7	7	0.1	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	237	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	89	2.9	-	-	-	-	167	5.5
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	151	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	130	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	1 895	6.5	125	0.4	81	0.3	876	3.0
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	5 989	6.7	1 522	1.7	13 658	15.4	787	0.9
SAN JOSE . . . . .	896	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	137	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	100	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	402	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	161	4.4	16	0.4	-	-	-	-

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of  
395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	82 822	36 318	3 914	10.8	3 816	97.5	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	92 115	25 620	4 678	18.3	4 258	91.0	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	65 087	34 020	1 971	5.8	1 878	95.3	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	70 086	6 661	1 694	25.4	1 601	94.5	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	56 560	10 452	2 582	24.7	2 169	84.0	-	-
STOCKTON . . . . .	117 600	63 078	7 270	11.5	6 999	96.3	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	102 462	31 650	4 034	12.7	3 880	96.2	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	139 776	27 585	7 625	27.6	7 166	94.0	-	-
VALLEJO . . . . .	70 681	16 161	2 918	18.1	2 786	95.5	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	75 783	12 528	2 726	21.8	2 624	96.3	-	-
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	66 758	10 026	2 437	24.5	2 428	98.8	-	-
WHITTIER . . . . .	72 059	10 003	2 719	27.2	2 650	97.5	-	-
COLORADO:								
ARVADA . . . . .	74 254	12 474	2 693	21.6	2 521	93.6	78	2.9
AURORA . . . . .	118 060	30 560	4 900	16.0	4 393	89.7	192	3.9
BOULDER . . . . .	78 560	18 577	2 901	15.6	2 601	89.7	157	5.4
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	179 584	62 042	7 985	12.9	7 418	92.9	306	3.8
DENVER . . . . .	484 531	348 859	55 597	15.9	41 038	73.8	4 074	7.3
FORT COLLINS . . . . .	55 984	14 268	1 503	10.5	1 379	91.7	59	3.9
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	120 350	15 646	6 063	38.8	5 365	88.5	244	4.0
PUEBLO . . . . .	105 312	20 536	5 467	26.6	5 107	93.4	104	1.9
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	142 960	86 656	8 434	9.7	8 014	95.0	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	58 560	30 881	2 158	7.0	2 052	95.1	2	0.1
DANBURY . . . . .	54 512	30 821	2 118	6.9	2 061	97.3	6	0.3
HARTFORD . . . . .	138 152	164 561	9 775	5.9	9 226	94.4	-	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	57 697	35 448	1 824	5.1	1 666	91.3	3	0.2
MILFORD . . . . .	49 704	33 633	2 590	7.7	2 485	95.9	1	(2)
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	78 556	35 387	2 809	7.9	2 629	93.6	5	0.2
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	126 845	86 714	8 387	9.7	8 119	96.8	2	(2)
NORWALK . . . . .	76 688	51 599	2 853	5.5	2 674	93.7	6	0.2
STAMFORD . . . . .	105 151	90 126	5 817	6.5	5 396	92.8	8	0.1
WATERBURY . . . . .	107 065	70 954	5 198	7.3	4 863	93.6	18	0.3
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	53 002	29 603	2 103	7.1	1 798	85.5	1	(2)
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON . . . . .	76 152	82 161	6 708	8.2	5 950	88.7	410	6.1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON . . . . .	711 518	1 424 014	184 640	13.0	90 345	48.9	22 224	12.0
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER . . . . .	67 069	34 139	3 342	9.8	3 095	92.6	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	152 959	59 987	11 354	18.9	10 330	91.0	610	5.4
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	72 236	30 709	3 229	10.5	3 073	95.2	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	117 682	22 950	5 931	25.8	5 753	97.0	11	0.2
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	119 002	42 138	6 493	15.4	6 025	92.8	153	2.4
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	535 030	218 172	31 943	14.6	18 395	57.6	5 270	16.5
MIAMI . . . . .	365 082	116 811	23 150	19.8	22 563	97.5	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	94 063	66 855	7 204	10.8	6 795	94.3	115	1.6
ORLANDO . . . . .	113 179	51 148	10 399	20.3	8 846	85.1	258	2.5
PENSACOLA . . . . .	64 168	28 978	641	2.2	373	58.2	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	234 389	71 421	11 520	16.1	10 628	92.3	-	-
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	83 725	55 649	2 971	5.3	2 860	96.3	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	280 340	163 513	14 783	9.0	13 555	91.7	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	61 471	25 363	3 853	15.2	3 430	89.0	122	3.2
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY . . . . .	73 373	16 505	2 621	15.9	2 543	97.0	13	0.5
ATLANTA . . . . .	436 057	204 217	33 070	16.2	25 537	77.2	1 214	3.7
AUGUSTA . . . . .	54 019	18 686	2 489	13.3	2 204	88.5	53	2.1
COLUMBUS . . . . .	159 352	32 386	8 059	24.9	5 407	67.1	1 326	16.5
MACON . . . . .	121 157	21 467	4 036	18.8	3 547	87.9	142	3.5
SAVANNAH . . . . .	110 348	33 105	4 366	13.2	3 920	89.8	147	3.4
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU . . . . .	705 381	304 666	37 654	12.4	34 978	92.9	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY . . . . .	99 771	29 022	3 051	10.5	2 700	88.5	214	7.0
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS . . . . .	70 019	12 746	2 121	16.6	2 020	95.2	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	76 955	19 565	4 304	22.0	4 173	97.0	1	(2)
BERWYN . . . . .	49 618	4 977	1 344	27.0	1 270	94.5	-	-
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	58 398	22 565	2 400	10.6	2 333	97.2	-	-
CHICAGO . . . . .	3 099 391	1 158 177	312 933	27.0	307 884	98.4	330	0.1
CICERO . . . . .	63 444	9 582	2 497	26.1	2 370	94.9	-	-
DECATUR . . . . .	89 604	14 804	2 178	14.7	2 093	96.1	-	-
DES PLAINES . . . . .	55 828	14 612	2 467	16.9	2 383	96.6	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS . . . . .	57 929	19 030	3 242	17.0	3 187	98.3	-	-
ELGIN . . . . .	59 754	14 013	2 215	15.8	2 118	95.6	14	0.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	98	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	420	9.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	93	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	93	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	113	4.4	-	-	136	5.3	164	6.4
STOCKTON . . . . .	212	2.9	-	-	-	-	59	0.8
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	154	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	300	3.9	-	-	159	2.1	-	-
VALLEJO . . . . .	132	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	32	1.2	-	-	-	-	70	2.6
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	27	1.1	-	-	-	-	2	0.1
WHITTIER . . . . .	64	2.4	-	-	-	-	5	0.2
COLORADO:								
ARVADA . . . . .	94	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	315	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	143	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	242	3.0	-	-	19	0.2	-	-
DENVER . . . . .	3 042	5.5	-	-	6 181	11.1	1 262	2.3
FORT COLLINS . . . . .	65	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	316	5.2	5	0.1	133	2.2	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	138	2.5	-	-	62	1.1	56	1.0
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	168	2.0	-	-	125	1.5	127	1.5
BRISTOL . . . . .	51	2.4	-	-	-	-	53	2.5
DANBURY . . . . .	51	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	549	5.6	-	-	-	-	78	4.3
MERIDEN . . . . .	77	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD . . . . .	88	3.4	-	-	16	0.6	-	-
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	92	3.3	-	-	-	-	83	3.0
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	266	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	127	4.5	-	-	-	-	46	1.6
STAMFORD . . . . .	288	5.0	12	0.2	-	-	113	1.9
WATERBURY . . . . .	195	3.8	-	-	13	0.3	109	2.1
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	163	7.8	-	-	-	-	141	6.7
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON . . . . .	348	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON . . . . .	3 989	2.2	4 254	2.3	63 062	34.2	766	0.4
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER . . . . .	164	4.9	-	-	83	2.5	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	203	1.8	24	0.2	187	1.6	-	-
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	156	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	38	0.6	-	-	129	2.2	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	186	2.9	-	-	129	2.0	-	-
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	944	3.0	20	0.1	6 931	21.7	383	1.2
MIAMI . . . . .	587	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	263	3.7	-	-	26	0.4	5	0.1
ORLANDO . . . . .	165	1.6	4	(2)	886	8.5	240	2.3
PENSACOLA . . . . .	155	24.2	-	-	113	17.6	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	308	2.7	-	-	368	3.2	216	1.9
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	111	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	420	2.8	-	-	-	-	808	5.5
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	112	2.9	-	-	189	4.9	-	-
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY . . . . .	56	2.1	-	-	9	0.3	-	-
ATLANTA . . . . .	583	1.8	46	0.1	2 886	8.7	2 804	8.5
AUGUSTA . . . . .	56	2.2	-	-	117	4.7	59	2.4
COLUMBUS . . . . .	308	3.8	40	0.5	978	12.1	-	-
MACON . . . . .	113	2.8	-	-	234	5.8	-	-
SAVANNAH . . . . .	59	1.4	-	-	154	3.5	86	2.0
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU . . . . .	2 589	6.9	-	-	-	-	87	0.2
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY . . . . .	137	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS . . . . .	101	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	130	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN . . . . .	74	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	67	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO . . . . .	4 389	1.4	-	-	91	(2)	239	0.1
CICERO . . . . .	127	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR . . . . .	85	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES . . . . .	84	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS . . . . .	55	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN . . . . .	83	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED								
EVANSTON . . . . .	76 665	22 645	3 624	16.0	3 485	96.2	-	-
JOLIET . . . . .	74 401	16 336	4 118	25.2	3 963	96.2	-	-
OAK LAWN . . . . .	62 317	11 884	2 254	19.0	2 190	97.2	-	-
OAK PARK . . . . .	59 773	15 435	3 313	21.5	3 234	97.6	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	125 983	39 728	7 393	18.6	6 996	94.6	-	-
ROCKFORD . . . . .	145 459	24 945	6 756	27.1	5 759	85.2	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	49 031	16 837	1 529	9.1	1 490	97.4	16	1.0
SKOKIE . . . . .	67 674	12 952	3 159	24.4	3 040	96.2	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	87 418	24 954	3 376	13.5	3 067	90.8	-	-
WAUKEGAN . . . . .	65 133	15 015	2 974	19.8	2 895	97.3	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON . . . . .	69 486	12 621	2 183	17.3	2 035	93.2	67	3.1
EVANSVILLE . . . . .	133 566	34 256	4 495	13.1	4 321	96.1	-	-
FORT WAYNE . . . . .	185 299	59 244	5 777	9.8	5 610	97.1	-	-
GARY . . . . .	167 546	29 272	7 063	24.1	5 684	80.5	376	5.3
HAMMOND . . . . .	104 892	18 938	3 628	19.2	3 259	89.8	252	6.9
INDIANAPOLIS . . . . .	714 878	295 874	48 072	16.2	32 229	67.0	6 231	13.0
MUNCIE . . . . .	78 329	16 634	2 200	13.2	2 088	94.9	84	3.8
SOUTH BEND . . . . .	117 478	26 294	4 260	16.2	4 077	95.7	-	-
TERRE HAUTE . . . . .	63 998	11 409	1 706	15.0	1 538	90.2	96	5.6
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS . . . . .	108 998	44 260	3 031	6.8	2 892	95.4	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS . . . . .	58 660	15 842	2 312	14.6	2 120	91.7	-	-
DAVENPORT . . . . .	99 941	33 430	2 484	7.4	2 315	93.2	-	-
DES MOINES . . . . .	194 168	65 570	7 489	11.4	6 637	88.6	-	-
DUBUQUE . . . . .	61 754	16 421	1 493	9.1	1 431	95.8	-	-
SIOUX CITY . . . . .	85 719	34 091	3 108	9.1	2 643	85.0	-	-
WATERLOO . . . . .	77 681	21 492	2 570	12.0	2 505	97.5	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	168 153	58 706	7 216	18.6	6 265	86.8	180	2.5
OVERLAND PARK . . . . .	81 013	9 654	2 511	26.0	1 824	72.6	115	4.6
TOPEKA . . . . .	119 203	59 131	5 009	8.5	4 528	90.4	105	2.1
WICHITA . . . . .	264 901	105 211	8 949	8.5	7 828	87.5	348	3.9
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON . . . . .	44 467	16 187	2 289	14.1	2 021	88.3	49	2.1
LEXINGTON . . . . .	186 048	49 141	10 701	21.8	7 417	69.3	1 007	9.4
LOUISVILLE . . . . .	335 954	147 396	24 872	16.9	23 169	93.2	732	2.9
OWENSBORO . . . . .	50 788	25 894	1 710	6.6	1 592	93.1	71	4.2
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	294 394	111 680	16 673	14.9	8 851	53.1	3 958	23.7
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	75 430	23 556	2 004	8.5	1 684	84.0	224	11.2
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	76 087	22 247	2 008	9.0	1 552	77.3	229	11.4
MONROE . . . . .	61 016	16 531	1 963	11.9	1 705	86.9	68	3.5
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	559 770	229 782	50 278	21.9	27 952	55.6	5 845	11.6
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	185 711	45 184	6 640	14.7	5 808	87.5	394	5.9
MAINE:								
PORTLAND . . . . .	59 857	42 639	2 894	6.8	2 776	95.9	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE . . . . .	851 698	1 093 474	89 241	8.2	66 140	74.1	5 990	6.7
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON . . . . .	636 725	694 432	105 943	15.3	69 171	65.3	18 621	17.6
BROCKTON . . . . .	95 878	73 316	5 478	7.5	5 211	95.1	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	102 420	93 722	6 884	7.3	6 236	90.6	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	57 771	32 025	2 232	7.0	2 164	97.0	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	100 430	65 401	5 456	8.3	4 898	89.8	-	-
HOLYOKE . . . . .	46 435	36 522	2 087	5.7	2 015	96.6	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	67 390	33 760	2 916	8.6	2 787	95.6	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	91 493	56 581	3 877	6.9	3 634	93.7	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	79 327	69 425	4 839	7.0	4 546	93.9	-	-
MALDEN . . . . .	55 778	42 119	2 354	5.6	2 303	97.8	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	60 769	41 642	3 145	7.6	3 055	97.1	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	100 133	80 151	4 609	5.8	4 436	96.2	-	-
NEWTON . . . . .	88 559	70 911	5 028	7.1	4 841	96.3	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	54 893	41 987	1 746	4.2	1 707	97.8	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	91 494	83 672	5 203	6.2	5 108	98.2	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	80 798	40 271	3 063	7.6	2 663	86.9	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	170 790	119 781	8 108	6.8	7 739	95.4	-	-
WALTHAM . . . . .	56 251	38 447	3 480	9.1	3 361	96.6	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	171 566	146 352	11 193	7.6	10 779	96.3	1	(2)
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	103 542	28 668	4 741	16.5	4 016	84.7	415	8.8
DEARBORN . . . . .	98 986	42 512	5 557	13.1	4 929	88.7	250	4.5
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	79 239	14 070	3 024	21.5	2 604	86.1	158	5.2
DETROIT . . . . .	1 335 085	672 217	136 311	20.3	118 589	87.0	9 340	6.9

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>ILLINOIS--CONTINUED</b>								
EVANSTON . . . . .	116	3.2	23	0.6	-	-	-	-
JOLIET . . . . .	110	2.7	-	-	-	-	45	1.1
OAK LAWN . . . . .	64	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK . . . . .	79	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	397	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD . . . . .	167	2.5	-	-	-	-	830	12.3
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	23	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE . . . . .	119	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	225	6.7	-	-	84	2.5	-	-
WAUKEGAN . . . . .	79	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>INDIANA:</b>								
ANDERSON . . . . .	71	3.3	6	0.3	4	0.2	-	-
EVANSVILLE . . . . .	174	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE . . . . .	167	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY . . . . .	180	2.5	-	-	81	1.1	742	10.5
HAMMOND . . . . .	85	2.3	2	0.1	30	0.8	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS . . . . .	2 967	6.2	165	0.3	6 289	13.1	191	0.4
MUNCIE . . . . .	28	1.3	-	-	-	-	8	0.2
SOUTH BEND . . . . .	175	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE . . . . .	72	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>IOWA:</b>								
CEDAR RAPIDS . . . . .	139	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS . . . . .	78	3.4	-	-	98	4.2	16	0.7
DAVENPORT . . . . .	122	4.9	-	-	-	-	47	1.9
DES MOINES . . . . .	374	5.0	-	-	424	5.7	54	0.7
DUBUQUE . . . . .	62	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY . . . . .	205	6.6	-	-	93	3.0	167	5.4
WATERLOO . . . . .	65	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>KANSAS:</b>								
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	315	4.4	-	-	378	5.2	78	1.1
OVERLAND PARK . . . . .	134	5.3	4	0.2	-	-	434	17.3
TOPEKA . . . . .	221	4.4	55	1.1	35	0.7	65	1.3
WICHITA . . . . .	268	3.0	-	-	505	5.6	-	-
<b>KENTUCKY:</b>								
COVINGTON . . . . .	162	7.1	-	-	57	2.5	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	416	3.9	-	-	1 061	17.4	-	-
LOUISVILLE . . . . .	797	3.2	-	-	-	-	174	0.7
OWENSBORO . . . . .	47	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>LOUISIANA:</b>								
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	1 229	7.4	38	0.2	2 252	13.5	345	2.1
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	91	4.5	5	0.2	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	227	11.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	104	5.3	-	-	86	4.4	-	-
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	2 374	4.7	373	0.7	12 956	25.8	778	1.5
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	105	1.6	-	-	333	5.0	-	-
<b>MAINE:</b>								
PORTLAND . . . . .	118	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MARYLAND:</b>								
BALTIMORE . . . . .	5 079	5.7	-	-	10 100	11.3	1 932	2.2
<b>MASSACHUSETTS:</b>								
BOSTON . . . . .	3 998	3.8	-	-	9 627	9.1	4 526	4.3
BROCKTON . . . . .	92	1.7	-	-	-	-	173	3.2
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	404	5.9	-	-	-	-	244	3.5
CHICOPEE . . . . .	68	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	107	2.0	-	-	365	6.7	86	1.6
HOLYOKE . . . . .	72	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	89	3.1	-	-	-	-	40	1.4
LOWELL . . . . .	227	5.9	-	-	-	-	16	0.4
LYNN . . . . .	91	1.9	-	-	-	-	202	4.2
MALDEN . . . . .	51	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	90	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	90	2.0	-	-	41	0.9	42	0.9
NEWTON . . . . .	187	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	39	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	95	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	79	2.6	-	-	-	-	321	10.5
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	232	2.9	-	-	-	-	137	1.7
WALTHAM . . . . .	119	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	253	2.3	-	-	-	-	160	1.4
<b>MICHIGAN:</b>								
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	148	3.1	64	1.3	98	2.1	-	-
DEARBORN . . . . .	214	3.9	9	0.2	138	2.5	17	0.3
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	57	1.9	3	0.1	202	6.7	-	-
DETROIT . . . . .	2 208	1.6	147	0.1	6 027	4.4	-	-

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	54 124	10 289	1 982	19.3	1 848	93.2	-	-
FLINT . . . . .	174 218	127 786	10 642	8.3	9 194	86.4	643	6.0
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	187 946	70 330	9 975	14.2	8 090	81.1	836	8.4
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	79 542	22 568	3 636	16.1	2 913	80.1	361	9.9
LANSING . . . . .	126 805	56 291	7 593	13.5	6 009	79.1	621	8.2
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	49 514	9 162	1 936	21.1	1 535	79.3	113	5.8
LIVONIA . . . . .	114 881	24 281	3 959	16.3	3 441	86.9	280	7.1
PONTIAC . . . . .	76 027	89 359	8 325	9.3	6 354	76.3	1 470	17.7
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	58 141	10 952	2 687	24.5	2 347	87.3	223	8.3
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	79 191	14 553	2 868	19.7	2 512	87.6	202	7.0
SAGINAW . . . . .	86 202	35 869	5 120	14.3	4 920	96.1	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	75 978	21 944	6 072	27.7	4 631	76.3	648	10.7
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	85 934	14 113	2 882	20.4	2 680	93.0	142	4.9
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	86 932	22 887	5 088	22.2	3 485	68.5	286	5.6
TAYLOR . . . . .	76 626	17 245	2 881	16.7	2 619	90.9	182	6.3
WARREN . . . . .	172 755	42 511	6 726	15.8	5 662	84.2	730	10.9
WESTLAND . . . . .	92 689	13 799	3 487	25.3	2 908	83.4	396	11.4
WYOMING . . . . .	57 918	12 850	3 972	30.9	3 316	83.5	500	12.6
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	79 210	15 869	2 275	14.3	2 128	93.5	22	1.0
DULUTH . . . . .	93 971	45 290	3 332	7.4	3 050	91.5	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	378 112	171 126	23 373	13.7	20 995	89.8	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	56 211	12 581	1 806	14.4	1 718	95.1	-	-
ST. PAUL . . . . .	279 535	110 308	14 635	13.3	13 401	91.6	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI . . . . .	46 407	9 251	1 156	12.5	1 072	92.7	29	2.5
JACKSON . . . . .	166 512	59 986	6 274	10.5	5 196	82.8	326	5.2
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA . . . . .	63 227	15 750	1 997	12.7	1 852	92.7	58	2.9
FLORISSANT . . . . .	70 465	5 008	1 536	30.7	1 494	97.3	26	1.7
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	111 481	28 207	2 955	10.5	2 640	89.3	182	6.2
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	472 529	212 503	37 283	17.5	32 116	86.1	1 451	3.9
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	77 679	23 627	2 076	8.8	1 939	93.4	43	2.1
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	524 964	256 636	60 186	23.5	42 917	71.3	6 083	10.1
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	131 557	47 555	5 549	7.5	3 316	93.4	98	2.8
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS . . . . .	68 987	16 604	2 480	14.9	2 325	93.8	93	3.7
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	60 868	18 626	1 951	10.5	1 808	92.7	39	2.0
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN . . . . .	163 112	58 867	6 699	11.4	5 104	76.2	775	11.6
OMAHA . . . . .	371 455	109 365	12 709	11.6	10 742	84.5	1 131	8.9
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	146 030	21 933	1 207	5.5	-	-	651	53.9
RENO . . . . .	78 097	27 715	6 646	24.0	5 566	83.7	350	5.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER . . . . .	83 417	58 432	4 967	8.5	4 616	92.9	180	3.6
NASHUA . . . . .	61 002	31 074	2 742	8.8	2 340	85.3	212	7.7
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE . . . . .	73 574	18 440	3 634	19.7	3 342	92.0	135	3.7
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	52 162	10 180	2 443	24.0	2 303	94.3	58	2.4
CAMDEN . . . . .	89 214	31 029	8 770	28.3	8 207	93.6	322	3.7
CLIFTON . . . . .	79 467	28 113	3 087	11.0	2 878	93.2	105	3.4
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	73 420	45 498	5 550	12.2	4 811	86.7	432	7.8
ELIZABETH . . . . .	104 405	66 609	6 573	9.9	6 165	93.8	153	2.3
IRVINGTON . . . . .	58 196	21 412	3 185	14.9	2 845	89.3	181	5.7
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	243 756	158 035	19 974	12.6	18 751	93.9	620	3.1
NEWARK . . . . .	339 568	318 714	31 325	9.8	28 842	92.1	894	2.9
PASSAIC . . . . .	49 900	14 438	2 625	18.2	2 238	85.3	97	3.7
PATERSON . . . . .	136 098	78 702	8 118	10.3	7 649	94.2	223	2.7
TRENTON . . . . .	101 365	30 644	8 184	26.7	7 722	94.4	272	3.3
UNION CITY . . . . .	52 648	15 535	3 307	21.3	3 093	93.5	133	4.0
VINELAND . . . . .	53 637	29 301	2 030	6.9	1 868	92.0	87	4.3
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	279 401	98 450	14 831	15.1	11 681	78.8	842	5.7
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY . . . . .	110 311	47 179	6 691	14.2	6 160	92.1	287	4.3
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	60 666	40 643	2 435	6.0	2 344	95.5	1	(2)
BUFFALO . . . . .	407 160	385 381	26 775	6.9	21 953	82.0	1 274	4.8
MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	67 687	24 331	3 870	15.9	3 413	88.2	276	7.1
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	71 841	25 810	4 511	17.5	4 011	88.9	316	7.0
NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	7 481 613	11 336 108	1 112 698	9.8	799 049	71.8	100 574	9.0

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>MICHIGAN--CONTINUED</b>								
FARMINGTON HILLS	134	6.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT	300	2.8	36	0.3	469	4.4	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS	333	3.3	22	0.2	249	2.5	445	4.5
KALAMAZOO	258	7.1	4	0.1	85	2.3	13	0.4
LANSING	199	2.6	26	0.3	738	9.7	-	-
LINCOLN PARK	49	2.5	-	-	32	1.7	207	10.7
LIVONIA	143	3.6	15	0.4	80	2.0	-	-
PONTIAC	336	4.0	40	0.5	125	1.5	-	-
ROSEVILLE	114	4.2	3	0.1	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK	78	2.7	9	0.3	67	2.3	-	-
SAGINAW	200	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD	239	3.9	11	0.2	543	8.9	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES	60	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS	140	2.8	11	0.2	19	0.4	1 147	22.5
TAYLOR	64	2.2	-	-	16	0.6	-	-
WARREN	280	4.2	13	0.2	41	0.6	-	-
WESTLAND	65	1.9	9	0.3	109	3.1	-	-
WYOMING	120	3.0	4	0.1	32	0.8	-	-
<b>MINNESOTA:</b>								
BLOOMINGTON	125	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH	262	7.9	20	0.6	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS	1 337	5.7	-	-	371	1.6	670	2.9
ROCHESTER	88	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL	745	5.1	-	-	-	-	489	3.3
<b>MISSISSIPPI:</b>								
BILOXI	55	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON	326	5.2	-	-	390	6.2	36	0.6
<b>MISSOURI:</b>								
COLUMBIA	87	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT	16	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE	133	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY	1 246	3.3	303	0.8	1 713	4.6	454	1.2
ST. JOSEPH	94	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS	2 598	4.3	-	-	8 016	13.3	572	1.0
SPRINGFIELD	104	2.9	3	0.1	-	-	28	0.8
<b>MONTANA:</b>								
BILLINGS	55	2.2	7	0.3	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS	40	2.1	1	0.1	63	3.2	-	-
<b>NEBRASKA:</b>								
LINCOLN	366	5.5	-	-	414	6.2	40	0.6
OMAHA	660	5.2	-	-	62	0.5	114	0.9
<b>NEVADA:</b>								
LAS VEGAS	494	40.9	62	5.1	-	-	-	-
RENO	284	4.3	-	-	434	6.5	12	0.2
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE:</b>								
MANCHESTER	79	1.6	-	-	92	1.9	-	-
NASHUA	68	2.5	-	-	120	4.4	2	0.1
<b>NEW JERSEY:</b>								
BAYONNE	147	4.0	10	0.3	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD	82	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN	241	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON	104	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE	160	2.9	-	-	-	-	147	2.6
ELIZABETH	156	2.4	-	-	-	-	99	1.5
IRVINGTON	159	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY	335	1.7	-	-	-	-	268	1.3
NEWARK	555	1.8	15	(2)	-	-	1 019	3.3
PASSAIC	116	4.4	-	-	-	-	174	6.6
PATERSON	180	2.2	-	-	-	-	66	0.8
TRENTON	128	1.6	11	0.1	-	-	51	0.6
UNION CITY	59	1.8	-	-	-	-	22	0.7
VINELAND	75	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>NEW MEXICO:</b>								
ALBUQUERQUE	593	4.0	-	-	1 679	11.3	36	0.2
<b>NEW YORK:</b>								
ALBANY	244	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON	110	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO	938	3.5	55	0.2	-	-	2 555	9.5
MOUNT VERNON	181	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE	170	3.8	-	-	-	-	14	0.3
NEW YORK CITY	50 138	4.5	13 730	1.2	134 261	12.1	14 949	1.3

**Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	80 773	53 398	3 800	7.1	3 384	89.1	235	6.2
ROCHESTER . . . . .	267 173	213 244	16 526	7.7	13 735	83.1	1 041	6.3
ROME . . . . .	49 014	28 624	1 071	3.7	934	87.2	89	8.3
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	74 995	20 625	3 221	15.6	2 981	92.5	120	3.7
SYRACUSE . . . . .	182 543	106 055	10 165	9.6	9 009	88.6	723	7.1
TROY . . . . .	60 312	24 505	2 467	10.1	2 211	89.6	122	4.9
UTICA . . . . .	82 443	20 836	3 135	15.0	2 654	84.7	295	9.4
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	48 327	34 279	4 936	14.4	4 384	88.8	269	5.4
YONKERS . . . . .	192 509	125 752	11 524	9.2	9 685	84.0	795	6.9
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	59 591	15 378	2 110	13.7	1 924	91.2	-	-
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	281 417	93 513	12 023	12.9	11 508	95.7	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	101 224	34 195	5 871	17.2	5 693	97.0	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	65 915	12 160	2 330	19.2	2 242	96.2	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	155 848	53 135	7 818	14.7	7 627	97.6	-	-
HIGH POINT . . . . .	61 330	5 829	2 387	41.0	2 322	97.3	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	134 231	51 969	5 345	10.3	5 171	96.7	-	-
WILMINGTON . . . . .	53 818	15 002	1 785	11.9	1 726	96.7	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	141 018	50 118	7 567	15.1	7 367	97.4	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO . . . . .	56 058	21 380	2 343	11.0	2 186	93.3	61	2.6
OHIO:								
AKRON . . . . .	251 747	102 950	11 352	11.0	9 166	80.7	1 090	9.6
CANTON . . . . .	101 852	35 045	4 709	13.4	3 818	81.1	582	12.4
CINCINNATI . . . . .	412 564	447 673	36 206	8.1	26 914	74.3	3 678	10.2
CLEVELAND . . . . .	638 793	282 440	62 426	22.1	54 824	87.8	3 863	6.2
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	51 141	11 852	1 905	16.1	1 639	86.0	121	6.4
COLUMBUS . . . . .	535 610	164 710	34 142	20.7	26 801	78.5	3 153	9.2
DAYTON . . . . .	205 986	91 404	15 246	16.7	11 810	77.5	1 247	8.2
ELYRIA . . . . .	52 474	13 268	1 952	14.7	1 394	71.4	350	17.9
EUCLID . . . . .	63 307	16 921	2 438	14.4	2 149	88.1	154	6.3
HAMILTON . . . . .	66 469	14 811	3 179	21.5	2 298	72.3	154	4.8
KETTERING . . . . .	69 949	9 481	2 115	22.3	1 567	74.1	279	13.2
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	65 395	27 466	1 674	6.1	1 424	85.1	166	9.9
LIMA . . . . .	51 372	23 735	1 930	8.1	1 435	74.4	269	13.9
LORAIN . . . . .	84 907	19 948	2 207	11.1	1 716	77.8	205	9.3
MANSFIELD . . . . .	56 916	14 341	4 971	34.7	1 827	36.8	395	7.9
PARMA . . . . .	98 883	10 748	2 260	21.0	1 719	76.1	388	17.2
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	77 317	16 439	3 301	20.1	2 427	73.5	522	15.8
TOLEDO . . . . .	367 650	136 696	23 673	17.3	16 251	68.6	5 514	23.3
TOLEDO . . . . .	60 486	9 937	2 451	24.7	1 769	72.2	433	17.7
WARREN . . . . .	132 203	35 958	6 531	18.2	4 998	76.5	599	9.2
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .								
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON . . . . .	76 421	16 128	2 441	15.1	2 091	85.7	137	5.6
MIDWEST . . . . .	50 105	13 587	1 439	10.6	1 327	92.2	33	2.3
NORMAN . . . . .	59 948	17 062	1 678	9.8	1 541	91.8	68	4.1
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	365 916	149 481	17 534	11.7	11 359	64.8	445	2.5
TULSA . . . . .	331 726	124 873	13 433	10.8	12 226	91.0	337	2.5
OREGON:								
EUGENE . . . . .	92 451	38 768	3 996	10.3	3 484	87.2	229	5.7
PORTLAND . . . . .	356 732	127 563	22 344	17.5	21 111	94.5	18	0.1
SALEM . . . . .	78 168	32 059	3 107	9.7	2 849	91.7	91	2.9
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	106 624	23 497	3 395	14.4	3 322	97.8	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	59 692	9 644	1 792	18.6	1 765	98.5	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	73 827	20 004	2 344	11.7	2 290	97.7	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	48 529	14 540	3 000	20.6	2 909	97.0	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	127 895	31 896	3 967	12.4	3 668	92.5	77	1.9
HARRISBURG . . . . .	58 274	13 741	2 999	21.8	2 909	97.0	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	56 669	12 324	2 187	17.7	2 149	98.3	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	1 815 808	1 066 331	269 210	25.2	167 981	62.4	41 052	15.2
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	458 651	152 001	22 412	14.7	21 404	95.5	374	1.7
READING . . . . .	81 592	21 071	2 806	13.3	2 718	96.9	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	95 884	12 405	2 524	20.3	2 457	97.3	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	57 040	35 362	1 762	5.0	1 713	97.2	-	-
YORK . . . . .	48 587	8 536	1 928	22.6	1 900	98.5	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON . . . . .	74 381	39 520	2 317	5.9	2 259	97.5	8	0.3
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	72 024	46 543	2 567	5.5	2 463	95.9	25	1.0
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	167 724	86 867	8 517	9.8	8 047	94.5	206	2.4
WARWICK . . . . .	85 875	48 569	3 793	7.8	3 622	95.5	5	0.1
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	57 470	13 468	2 715	20.2	2 631	96.9	42	1.5
COLUMBIA . . . . .	111 616	25 108	4 137	16.5	3 400	82.2	264	6.4
GREENVILLE . . . . .	58 518	13 732	2 426	17.7	2 265	93.4	83	3.4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	181	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	698	4.2	-	-	-	-	1 052	6.4
ROME . . . . .	48	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	120	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE . . . . .	338	3.3	-	-	-	-	95	0.9
TROY . . . . .	134	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA . . . . .	176	5.6	-	-	10	0.3	-	-
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	283	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS . . . . .	377	3.3	-	-	434	3.8	233	2.0
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	97	4.6	-	-	-	-	89	4.2
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	361	3.0	-	-	154	1.3	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	168	2.9	-	-	-	-	10	0.2
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	88	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	134	1.7	-	-	57	0.7	-	-
HIGH POINT . . . . .	65	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	174	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON . . . . .	59	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	178	2.4	6	0.1	16	0.2	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO . . . . .	96	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON . . . . .	469	4.1	-	-	621	5.5	6	0.1
CANTON . . . . .	245	5.2	-	-	64	1.4	-	-
CINCINNATI . . . . .	893	2.5	279	0.8	4 190	11.6	252	0.7
CLEVELAND . . . . .	1 183	1.9	160	0.3	2 366	3.8	30	(2)
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	86	4.5	-	-	55	2.9	4	0.2
COLUMBUS . . . . .	1 128	3.3	225	0.7	2 218	6.5	617	1.8
DAYTON . . . . .	433	2.8	11	0.1	1 745	11.4	-	-
ELYRIA . . . . .	126	6.5	18	0.9	64	3.3	-	-
EUCLID . . . . .	125	5.1	-	-	-	-	10	0.4
HAMILTON . . . . .	151	4.7	12	0.4	53	1.7	511	16.1
KETTERING . . . . .	139	6.6	15	0.7	115	5.4	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	75	4.5	-	-	9	0.5	-	-
LIMA . . . . .	67	3.5	23	1.2	136	7.0	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	199	9.0	5	0.2	82	3.7	-	-
MANSFIELD . . . . .	108	2.2	3	0.1	125	2.5	2 513	50.6
PARMA . . . . .	153	6.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	156	4.7	3	0.1	56	1.7	137	4.2
TOLEDO . . . . .	453	1.9	67	0.3	1 388	5.9	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	101	4.1	-	-	89	3.6	59	2.4
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	425	6.5	10	0.2	406	6.2	93	1.4
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON . . . . .	100	4.1	20	0.8	93	3.8	-	-
MIDWEST . . . . .	24	1.7	-	-	55	3.8	-	-
NORMAN . . . . .	69	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	684	3.9	-	-	688	3.9	4 358	24.9
TULSA . . . . .	428	3.2	85	0.6	286	2.1	71	0.5
OREGON:								
EUGENE . . . . .	262	6.6	21	0.5	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND . . . . .	449	2.0	-	-	-	-	766	3.4
SALEM . . . . .	162	5.2	5	0.2	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	73	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	27	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	54	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	91	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	138	3.5	-	-	-	-	84	2.1
HARRISBURG . . . . .	56	1.9	-	-	-	-	34	1.1
LANCASTER . . . . .	38	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	10 004	3.7	3 612	1.3	44 843	16.7	1 718	0.6
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	622	2.8	-	-	-	-	12	0.1
READING . . . . .	88	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	67	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	49	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	28	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON . . . . .	50	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	77	3.0	-	-	-	-	2	0.1
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	264	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK . . . . .	52	1.4	-	-	-	-	114	3.0
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	42	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA . . . . .	87	2.1	-	-	350	8.5	36	0.9
GREENVILLE . . . . .	78	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Population	Total direct expenditure	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
			Amount	Percent of total direct expenditure	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA:</b>								
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	73 925	18 489	2 173	11.8	2 105	96.9	3	0.1
<b>TENNESSEE:</b>								
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	161 978	111 756	8 353	7.5	7 891	94.5	227	2.7
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	183 383	97 176	7 154	7.4	6 309	88.2	73	1.0
MEMPHIS . . . . .	661 319	343 808	28 541	8.3	24 784	86.8	955	3.5
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	423 426	309 044	29 040	9.4	19 778	68.1	3 844	13.2
<b>TEXAS:</b>								
ABILENE . . . . .	96 459	12 250	2 028	16.6	1 848	91.1	78	3.8
AMARILLO . . . . .	138 743	49 353	4 200	8.5	3 850	91.7	180	4.3
ARLINGTON . . . . .	110 543	21 825	3 295	15.1	2 939	89.2	159	4.8
AUSTIN . . . . .	301 147	130 742	12 604	9.6	10 984	87.1	564	4.5
BEAUMONT . . . . .	113 696	30 403	3 955	13.0	3 512	88.8	137	3.5
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	72 157	11 498	1 533	13.3	1 383	90.2	42	2.7
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	214 838	40 439	6 825	16.9	6 016	88.1	322	4.7
DALLAS . . . . .	812 797	248 334	41 944	16.9	37 761	90.0	1 556	3.7
EL PASO . . . . .	385 691	69 802	11 133	15.9	10 180	91.4	421	3.8
FORT WORTH . . . . .	358 364	113 293	17 244	15.2	15 651	90.8	533	3.1
GALVESTON . . . . .	60 125	28 180	2 238	7.9	2 064	92.2	104	4.6
GARLAND . . . . .	111 322	26 813	2 819	10.5	2 605	92.4	50	1.8
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	56 842	14 381	1 503	10.5	1 322	88.0	80	5.3
HOUSTON . . . . .	1 326 809	379 092	77 240	20.4	72 395	93.7	2 789	3.6
IRVING . . . . .	103 703	20 645	2 308	11.2	2 059	89.2	123	5.3
LAREDO . . . . .	76 998	19 232	1 293	6.7	1 121	86.7	71	5.5
LUBBOCK . . . . .	163 525	40 270	5 089	12.6	4 650	91.4	233	4.6
MESQUITE . . . . .	61 933	9 980	2 021	20.3	1 873	92.7	49	2.4
MIDLAND . . . . .	62 950	11 985	1 927	16.1	1 636	84.9	105	5.4
ODESSA . . . . .	84 476	10 176	2 114	20.8	1 845	87.3	126	6.0
PASADENA . . . . .	94 670	14 784	3 007	20.3	2 730	90.8	148	4.9
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	53 557	12 287	1 699	13.8	1 540	90.6	57	3.4
RICHARDSON . . . . .	59 190	11 720	1 464	12.5	1 399	95.6	64	4.4
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	66 099	11 747	1 994	17.0	1 793	89.9	92	4.6
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	773 248	183 603	32 108	17.5	28 740	89.5	851	2.7
TYLER . . . . .	61 434	11 178	1 624	14.5	1 480	91.1	74	4.6
WACO . . . . .	97 607	24 469	3 166	12.9	2 853	92.4	76	2.4
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	95 008	13 771	2 208	16.0	1 846	83.6	151	6.8
<b>UTAH:</b>								
OGDEN . . . . .	68 978	12 017	2 510	20.9	2 070	82.5	286	11.4
PROVO . . . . .	55 593	18 154	1 330	7.3	1 105	83.1	124	9.3
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	169 917	47 631	9 359	19.6	8 003	85.5	775	8.3
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>								
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	105 220	109 196	7 831	7.2	5 146	65.7	905	11.6
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	104 459	61 612	6 268	10.2	3 287	52.4	405	6.5
HAMPTON . . . . .	125 013	81 309	8 514	10.5	5 992	70.4	485	5.7
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	63 066	48 174	3 506	7.3	2 466	70.3	57	1.6
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	138 760	86 618	8 316	9.6	3 954	47.5	997	12.0
NORFOLK . . . . .	286 694	209 024	15 305	7.3	10 769	70.4	1 181	7.7
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	108 674	84 937	5 891	6.9	3 507	59.5	523	8.9
RICHMOND . . . . .	232 652	222 443	17 501	7.9	10 896	62.3	2 620	15.0
ROANOKE . . . . .	100 585	71 140	5 412	7.6	2 796	51.7	724	13.4
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	213 954	132 960	14 762	11.1	6 960	47.1	706	4.8
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>								
BELLEVUE . . . . .	65 365	19 236	2 743	14.3	2 300	83.8	71	2.6
EVERETT . . . . .	48 371	14 160	2 105	14.9	1 965	93.3	-	-
SEATTLE . . . . .	487 091	216 516	35 666	16.5	30 202	84.7	2 402	6.7
SPOKANE . . . . .	173 698	59 104	5 981	10.1	5 463	91.3	213	3.6
TACOMA . . . . .	151 267	71 147	7 959	11.2	6 228	78.3	510	6.4
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	67 348	20 508	2 849	13.9	2 647	92.9	62	2.2
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	68 811	15 807	2 689	17.0	2 523	93.8	19	0.7
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>								
APPLETON . . . . .	59 182	38 784	1 940	5.0	1 899	97.9	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	91 189	72 314	2 987	4.1	2 843	95.2	54	1.8
KENOSHA . . . . .	80 727	16 512	2 814	17.0	2 686	95.5	44	1.6
LA CROSSE . . . . .	49 082	27 222	1 455	5.3	1 390	95.5	17	1.2
MADISON . . . . .	168 196	109 670	7 460	6.8	7 126	95.8	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	665 796	224 835	46 661	20.8	45 020	96.5	432	0.9
OSHKOSH . . . . .	50 107	31 713	1 474	4.6	1 408	95.5	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	94 744	35 594	4 605	12.9	4 429	96.2	29	0.6
WAUWATOSA . . . . .	56 514	32 836	2 197	6.7	2 115	96.3	17	0.8
WEST ALLIS . . . . .	69 084	40 811	2 733	6.7	2 634	96.4	20	0.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero. (Z) Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 21. Percent distribution of criminal justice system direct expenditure of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system	Amount	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA:</b>								
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	65	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TENNESSEE:</b>								
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	235	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	273	3.8	-	-	499	7.0	-	-
MEMPHIS . . . . .	564	2.0	-	-	1 753	6.1	445	1.6
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	855	2.9	308	1.1	4 171	14.4	84	0.3
<b>TEXAS:</b>								
ABILENE . . . . .	95	4.7	-	-	-	-	7	0.3
AMARILLO . . . . .	170	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON . . . . .	197	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN . . . . .	802	6.4	33	0.3	139	1.1	82	0.7
BEAUMONT . . . . .	141	3.6	-	-	150	3.8	15	0.4
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	75	4.9	-	-	-	-	33	2.2
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	321	4.7	-	-	165	2.4	1	(2)
DALLAS . . . . .	1 203	2.9	-	-	1 337	3.2	87	0.2
EL PASO . . . . .	408	3.7	-	-	-	-	124	1.1
FORT WORTH . . . . .	402	2.3	-	-	542	3.1	116	0.7
GALVESTON . . . . .	68	3.0	-	-	-	-	2	0.1
GARLAND . . . . .	114	4.0	-	-	-	-	50	1.8
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	101	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON . . . . .	843	1.1	-	-	973	1.3	240	0.3
IRVING . . . . .	126	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO . . . . .	89	6.9	-	-	-	-	12	0.9
LUBBOCK . . . . .	206	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE . . . . .	99	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND . . . . .	66	3.4	-	-	120	6.2	-	-
ODESSA . . . . .	74	3.5	-	-	69	3.3	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	129	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	102	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON . . . . .	1	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	71	3.6	-	-	38	1.9	-	-
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	692	2.2	-	-	-	-	1 825	5.7
TYLER . . . . .	70	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO . . . . .	121	3.8	-	-	78	2.5	28	0.9
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	79	3.6	-	-	132	6.0	-	-
<b>UTAH:</b>								
OGDEN . . . . .	84	3.3	12	0.5	-	-	58	2.3
PROVO . . . . .	48	3.6	1	0.1	-	-	52	3.9
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	287	3.1	-	-	-	-	294	3.1
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>								
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	485	6.2	52	0.7	956	12.2	287	3.7
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	269	4.3	-	-	1 624	25.9	683	10.9
HAMPTON . . . . .	344	4.0	6	0.1	1 420	16.7	267	3.1
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	184	5.2	27	0.8	725	20.7	47	1.3
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	346	4.2	25	0.3	2 890	34.8	104	1.3
NORFOLK . . . . .	949	6.2	12	0.1	2 370	15.5	24	0.2
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	395	6.7	22	0.4	999	17.0	445	7.6
RICHMOND . . . . .	820	4.7	31	0.2	2 999	17.1	135	0.8
ROANOKE . . . . .	273	5.0	-	-	1 475	27.3	144	2.7
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	514	3.5	-	-	4 643	31.5	1 939	13.1
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>								
BELLEVUE . . . . .	237	8.6	17	0.6	118	4.3	-	-
EVERETT . . . . .	101	4.8	-	-	-	-	39	1.9
SEATTLE . . . . .	1 242	3.5	465	1.3	744	2.1	611	1.7
SPOKANE . . . . .	305	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA . . . . .	391	4.9	69	0.9	715	9.0	46	0.6
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	106	3.7	-	-	-	-	34	1.2
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	55	2.0	-	-	3	0.1	89	3.3
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>								
APPLETON . . . . .	41	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	90	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	84	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE . . . . .	48	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	334	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	1 209	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH . . . . .	66	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	142	3.1	-	-	5	0.1	-	-
WAUWATOSA . . . . .	65	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS . . . . .	79	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Table 22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977**

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
<b>TOTAL . . . . .</b>	<b>5 392 663</b>	<b>5 347 391</b>	<b>5 137 087</b>	<b>210 304</b>	<b>105 843</b>	<b>97 898</b>	<b>6 563</b>	<b>45 272</b>	<b>8 548</b>	<b>36 724</b>
<b>ALABAMA:</b>										
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	13 766	13 766	13 073	693	613	80	-	-	-	-
GAOSDEN . . . . .	1 294	1 292	1 257	35	35	-	-	2	2	-
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	4 079	3 989	3 766	223	223	-	-	90	-	90
MOBILE . . . . .	7 452	7 222	6 795	427	281	146	-	230	-	230
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	4 906	4 866	4 792	74	74	-	-	40	-	40
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	2 794	2 757	2 510	247	196	51	-	37	10	27
<b>ALASKA:</b>										
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	8 568	8 488	8 357	131	131	-	-	80	80	-
<b>ARIZONA:</b>										
GLENDAL . . . . .	1 732	1 732	1 664	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
MESA . . . . .	3 794	3 782	3 464	318	318	-	-	12	-	12
PHOENIX . . . . .	60 754	60 362	53 794	6 568	1 255	5 313	-	392	-	392
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	3 817	3 817	3 781	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE . . . . .	3 862	3 842	3 285	557	362	195	-	20	-	20
TUCSON . . . . .	14 932	14 872	13 994	878	80	798	-	60	-	60
<b>ARKANSAS:</b>										
FORT SMITH . . . . .	1 424	1 377	1 289	88	88	-	-	47	-	47
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	5 471	5 471	5 297	174	108	1	65	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	2 181	2 131	1 929	202	202	-	-	50	-	50
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	1 495	1 457	1 362	95	95	-	-	38	25	13
<b>CALIFORNIA:</b>										
ALAMEDA . . . . .	3 055	3 055	2 418	637	56	581	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	2 271	2 262	2 189	73	73	-	-	9	-	9
ANAHEIM . . . . .	9 078	9 060	8 790	270	243	27	-	18	-	18
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	7 576	7 574	4 769	2 805	390	2 307	108	2	-	2
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	1 380	63	63	-	-	-	-	1 317	-	1 317
BERKELEY . . . . .	5 398	5 376	5 373	3	3	-	-	22	-	22
BUENA PARK . . . . .	2 509	2 501	2 439	62	62	-	-	8	-	8
BURBANK . . . . .	5 129	5 119	4 788	331	331	-	-	10	-	10
CARSON . . . . .	3 402	3 437	3 391	46	46	-	-	2 965	-	2 965
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	2 835	2 835	2 593	242	80	162	-	-	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	4 511	4 494	4 473	21	15	6	-	17	-	17
CONCORD . . . . .	3 973	3 973	3 634	339	329	10	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	4 097	4 086	4 041	45	45	-	-	11	-	11
DALY CITY . . . . .	2 839	2 839	2 726	113	17	96	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY . . . . .	3 766	3 727	3 665	62	62	-	-	39	-	39
EL CAJON . . . . .	2 333	2 333	2 325	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	3 163	2 993	2 534	459	106	353	-	170	-	170
FREMONT . . . . .	3 837	3 837	3 756	81	72	9	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	9 192	9 192	9 034	158	157	1	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	4 023	4 023	3 854	169	121	48	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	3 712	3 675	3 639	36	36	-	-	37	-	37
GLENDAL . . . . .	5 223	5 202	5 035	167	167	-	-	21	-	21
HANTHORNE . . . . .	2 190	2 180	2 105	75	75	-	-	10	-	10
HAYWARD . . . . .	3 878	3 878	3 814	64	59	5	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	7 181	7 163	6 678	485	485	-	-	18	-	18
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	7 120	6 959	6 839	120	120	-	-	161	-	161
LAKELAND . . . . .	1 654	260	260	-	-	-	-	1 394	-	1 394
LONG BEACH . . . . .	26 687	26 673	26 545	128	124	4	-	14	-	14
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	263 266	262 776	255 547	7 229	3 236	3 993	-	490	-	490
MODESTO . . . . .	2 733	2 733	2 692	41	40	1	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	2 108	2 108	2 049	59	59	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	4 556	4 537	4 259	278	261	17	-	19	-	19
NORWALK . . . . .	264	40	38	2	2	-	-	224	-	224
OAKLAND . . . . .	22 926	22 926	22 870	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	2 702	2 665	2 641	24	24	-	-	37	-	37
ORANGE . . . . .	3 653	3 640	3 582	58	58	-	-	13	-	13
OXNARD . . . . .	4 971	4 957	3 640	1 317	99	1 218	-	14	-	14
PALO ALTO . . . . .	3 711	3 711	3 671	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	6 896	6 876	6 856	20	20	-	-	20	-	20
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	1 475	41	41	-	-	-	-	1 434	-	1 434
POMONA . . . . .	4 277	4 277	4 111	166	166	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	3 804	3 293	3 214	79	79	-	-	511	-	511
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	2 077	2 077	2 061	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	5 716	5 716	5 538	178	178	-	-	-	-	-
<b>RIVERSIDE:</b>										
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	6 866	6 866	6 513	353	212	-	141	-	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	15 055	15 055	15 006	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	3 143	3 049	2 916	133	133	-	-	94	-	94
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	5 536	5 431	5 256	175	169	6	-	105	-	105
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	2 465	2 454	2 340	114	104	10	-	11	-	11
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	29 418	29 353	28 798	555	449	106	-	65	-	65
SAN JOSE . . . . .	88 748	88 748	87 569	1 179	703	143	333	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	25 576	25 576	23 402	2 174	2 110	64	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	2 878	2 878	2 858	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	3 342	3 342	3 235	107	106	1	-	-	-	-
	11 282	11 179	10 179	1 000	925	75	-	103	-	103

See footnotes at end of table.



**Table 22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	3 707	3 695	3 587	108	101	7	-	12	-	12
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	3 914	3 914	3 630	284	267	17	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	4 710	4 678	4 602	76	76	-	-	32	-	32
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	1 971	1 971	1 858	113	95	-	18	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	1 694	1 694	1 663	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	2 592	2 582	2 509	73	73	-	-	10	-	10
STOCKTON . . . . .	7 270	7 270	7 118	152	55	97	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	4 034	4 034	3 761	273	273	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	7 661	7 625	7 565	60	60	-	-	36	-	36
VALLEJO . . . . .	2 918	2 918	2 869	49	47	-	2	-	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	2 744	2 726	2 665	61	61	-	-	18	-	18
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	2 471	2 457	2 254	203	203	-	-	14	-	14
WHITTIER . . . . .	2 730	2 719	2 702	17	17	-	-	11	-	11
COLORADO:										
ARVADA . . . . .	2 693	2 693	2 600	93	93	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	4 900	4 900	4 757	143	143	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	2 901	2 901	2 884	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	7 985	7 985	7 580	405	405	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER . . . . .	55 597	55 597	48 472	7 125	482	6 643	-	-	-	-
FORT COLLINS . . . . .	1 503	1 503	1 481	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	6 063	6 063	5 986	77	77	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	5 475	5 467	5 336	131	131	-	-	8	-	8
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	8 434	8 434	8 433	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	2 158	2 158	1 685	473	109	364	-	-	-	-
DANBURY . . . . .	2 118	2 118	2 050	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	9 784	9 775	9 603	172	172	-	-	9	9	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	1 824	1 824	1 800	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD . . . . .	2 590	2 590	2 169	421	88	333	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	2 812	2 809	2 783	26	26	-	-	3	-	3
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	8 395	8 387	8 074	313	198	115	-	8	8	-
NORWALK . . . . .	2 853	2 853	2 809	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD . . . . .	5 824	5 817	5 667	150	150	-	-	7	7	-
WATERBURY . . . . .	5 198	5 198	4 448	750	348	402	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	2 103	2 103	2 061	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON . . . . .	6 708	6 708	6 649	59	59	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON . . . . .	184 640	184 640	175 599	9 041	2 291	6 750	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER . . . . .	3 361	3 342	3 238	104	100	4	-	19	-	19
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	11 580	11 354	11 128	226	226	-	-	226	43	183
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	3 229	3 229	3 192	37	28	9	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	5 931	5 931	5 255	676	194	482	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	6 493	6 493	6 312	181	130	51	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	32 045	31 943	31 408	535	133	402	-	102	102	-
MIAMI . . . . .	23 150	23 150	20 949	2 201	129	1 661	411	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	7 204	7 204	7 198	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO . . . . .	10 420	10 399	10 335	64	63	1	-	21	-	21
PENSACOLA . . . . .	641	641	512	129	117	12	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	11 525	11 520	11 092	428	308	120	-	5	-	5
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	2 971	2 971	2 884	87	87	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	14 783	14 783	14 263	520	508	12	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	3 870	3 853	3 759	94	94	-	-	17	17	-
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY . . . . .	2 705	2 621	2 444	177	137	40	-	84	-	84
ATLANTA . . . . .	33 223	33 070	29 112	3 958	1 670	227	2 061	153	-	153
AUGUSTA . . . . .	2 489	2 489	2 376	113	113	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS . . . . .	8 059	8 059	7 798	261	261	-	-	-	-	-
MACON . . . . .	4 075	4 036	3 596	440	268	172	-	39	-	39
SAVANNAH . . . . .	4 374	4 366	4 148	218	211	7	-	8	-	8
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU . . . . .	37 654	37 654	36 950	704	634	70	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY . . . . .	3 105	3 051	2 857	194	116	15	63	54	-	54
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS . . . . .	2 121	2 121	2 064	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	4 311	4 304	3 599	705	135	570	-	7	-	7
BERWYN . . . . .	1 344	1 344	1 310	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	2 400	2 400	2 310	90	90	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO . . . . .	312 933	312 933	304 875	8 058	7 630	428	-	-	-	-
CICERO . . . . .	2 497	2 497	1 849	648	-	648	-	-	-	-
DECATUR . . . . .	2 178	2 178	2 107	71	71	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED										
DES PLAINES . . . . .	2 467	2 467	2 133	334	87	247	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS . . . . .	3 242	3 242	3 242	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN . . . . .	2 215	2 215	2 118	97	97	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON . . . . .	3 624	3 624	3 582	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET . . . . .	4 118	4 118	3 949	169	58	111	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN . . . . .	2 254	2 254	2 126	128	128	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK . . . . .	3 313	3 313	3 232	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	7 399	7 393	7 147	246	212	34	-	6	-	6
ROCKFORD . . . . .	6 756	6 756	5 511	1 245	415	830	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	1 529	1 529	1 526	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE . . . . .	3 159	3 159	3 076	83	83	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	3 376	3 376	3 310	66	62	4	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN . . . . .	2 990	2 974	2 915	59	59	-	-	16	-	16
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON . . . . .	2 183	2 183	2 156	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE . . . . .	4 495	4 495	4 459	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE . . . . .	5 777	5 777	5 587	190	190	-	-	-	-	-
GARY . . . . .	7 063	7 063	6 950	113	108	5	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND . . . . .	3 628	3 628	3 532	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS . . . . .	48 680	48 072	44 555	3 517	3 517	-	-	608	601	7
MUNCIE . . . . .	2 200	2 200	2 039	161	161	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND . . . . .	4 260	4 260	4 065	195	195	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE . . . . .	1 706	1 706	1 596	110	103	7	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS . . . . .	3 041	3 031	2 913	118	118	-	-	10	-	10
COUNCIL BLUFFS . . . . .	2 322	2 312	2 025	287	7	280	-	10	-	10
DAVENPORT . . . . .	2 484	2 484	2 348	136	136	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES . . . . .	7 489	7 489	7 344	145	99	45	1	-	-	-
DUBUQUE . . . . .	1 533	1 493	1 424	69	67	-	2	40	-	40
SIoux CITY . . . . .	3 130	3 108	3 084	24	3	21	-	22	22	-
WATERLOO . . . . .	2 571	2 570	2 532	38	38	-	-	1	1	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	7 491	7 216	6 924	292	292	-	-	275	-	275
OVERLAND PARK . . . . .	2 512	2 511	2 066	445	75	370	-	1	-	1
TOPEKA . . . . .	5 014	5 009	4 788	221	153	68	-	5	-	5
WICHITA . . . . .	9 047	8 949	8 904	45	45	-	-	98	-	98
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON . . . . .	2 289	2 289	2 131	158	158	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	10 846	10 701	10 590	111	111	-	-	145	-	145
LOUISVILLE . . . . .	25 086	24 872	24 435	437	434	3	-	214	-	214
OWENSBORO . . . . .	1 769	1 710	1 641	69	69	-	-	59	-	59
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	16 673	16 673	16 224	449	362	87	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	2 120	2 004	1 826	178	142	36	-	116	17	99
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	2 071	2 008	1 894	114	109	5	-	63	-	63
MONROE . . . . .	1 963	1 963	1 625	338	300	38	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	50 278	50 278	44 652	5 626	2 358	3 268	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	6 640	6 640	5 649	991	529	462	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND . . . . .	2 894	2 894	2 813	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE . . . . .	89 241	89 241	88 049	1 192	543	649	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS										
BOSTON . . . . .	105 943	105 943	104 577	1 366	1 346	20	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON . . . . .	5 478	5 478	5 342	136	44	92	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	6 884	6 884	6 724	160	160	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	2 232	2 232	2 192	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	5 456	5 456	5 455	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE . . . . .	2 087	2 087	2 079	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	2 916	2 916	2 888	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	3 877	3 877	3 826	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	4 839	4 839	4 766	73	73	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN . . . . .	2 354	2 354	2 329	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	3 176	3 145	3 085	60	54	6	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	4 609	4 609	4 514	95	93	2	-	31	-	31
NEWTON . . . . .	5 028	5 028	4 552	476	476	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	1 746	1 746	1 739	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	5 203	5 203	5 138	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	3 063	3 063	3 038	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	8 108	8 108	8 093	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM . . . . .	3 539	3 480	3 213	267	267	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	11 193	11 193	8 652	2 541	57	2 484	-	59	-	59

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	4 741	4 741	4 637	104	100	4	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN . . . . .	5 646	5 557	5 488	69	69	-	-	89	-	89
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	3 028	3 024	2 961	63	63	-	-	4	-	4
DETROIT . . . . .	136 807	136 311	134 583	1 728	910	818	-	496	-	496
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	2 144	1 982	1 806	176	176	-	-	162	-	162
FLINT . . . . .	10 670	10 642	10 624	18	3	15	-	28	-	28
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	10 055	9 975	9 955	20	20	-	-	80	-	80
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	3 636	3 636	3 525	111	03	18	-	-	-	-
LANSING . . . . .	7 593	7 593	7 170	423	423	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	1 942	1 936	1 725	211	4	207	-	6	-	6
LIVONIA . . . . .	4 045	3 959	3 948	11	5	6	-	86	-	86
PONTIAC . . . . .	8 419	8 325	6 951	1 374	1 358	16	-	94	-	94
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	2 687	2 687	2 580	107	67	40	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	2 868	2 868	2 859	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	5 120	5 120	4 958	162	-	162	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	6 103	6 072	4 939	1 133	195	938	-	31	-	31
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	2 887	2 882	2 768	114	114	-	-	5	-	5
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	5 088	5 088	3 751	1 337	190	1 147	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	2 899	2 881	2 799	82	82	-	-	18	-	18
WARREN . . . . .	6 726	6 726	6 570	156	107	49	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND . . . . .	3 508	3 487	3 364	123	105	18	-	21	-	21
WYOMING . . . . .	4 076	3 972	2 543	1 429	112	1 317	-	104	-	104
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	2 289	2 275	2 260	15	15	-	-	14	-	14
DULUTH . . . . .	3 345	3 332	3 120	212	212	-	-	13	-	13
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	23 665	23 373	22 800	573	573	-	-	292	-	292
ROCHESTER . . . . .	1 829	1 806	1 756	50	50	-	-	23	-	23
ST. PAUL . . . . .	14 657	14 635	13 529	1 106	856	250	-	22	22	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI . . . . .	1 174	1 156	1 018	138	134	2	2	18	-	18
JACKSON . . . . .	6 274	6 274	6 174	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA . . . . .	1 997	1 997	1 779	218	162	56	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT . . . . .	1 536	1 536	1 271	265	254	-	11	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	2 964	2 955	2 860	95	23	-	72	9	-	9
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	37 341	37 283	34 819	2 464	523	1 941	-	58	-	58
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	2 077	2 076	1 924	152	152	-	-	1	-	1
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	60 186	60 186	59 168	1 018	830	188	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	3 561	3 549	3 396	153	153	-	-	12	-	12
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS . . . . .	2 496	2 480	2 386	94	94	-	-	16	-	16
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	1 951	1 951	1 638	313	80	233	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN . . . . .	6 699	6 699	6 477	222	220	2	-	-	-	-
OMAHA . . . . .	12 776	12 709	12 489	220	220	-	-	67	-	67
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	13 822	1 207	1 195	12	12	-	-	12 615	-	12 615
RENO . . . . .	6 665	6 646	6 418	228	69	159	-	19	1	18
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER . . . . .	4 967	4 967	3 139	1 828	-	1 828	-	-	-	-
NASHUA . . . . .	2 742	2 742	2 668	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE . . . . .	3 634	3 634	3 568	66	66	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	2 443	2 443	2 408	35	-	35	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	8 770	8 770	8 638	132	-	132	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON . . . . .	3 087	3 087	3 087	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	5 550	5 550	5 550	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH . . . . .	6 573	6 573	6 458	115	115	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON . . . . .	3 185	3 185	3 185	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	19 974	19 974	19 844	130	130	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK . . . . .	31 325	31 325	30 957	368	186	182	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	2 625	2 625	2 625	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON . . . . .	8 118	8 118	8 118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON . . . . .	8 184	8 184	8 057	127	127	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY . . . . .	3 307	3 307	3 270	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND . . . . .	2 030	2 030	1 973	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	14 831	14 831	14 089	742	629	-	113	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	6 691	6 691	6 432	259	87	172	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	2 455	2 455	2 394	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO . . . . .	26 775	26 775	26 499	276	241	35	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	3 870	3 870	3 852	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	4 511	4 511	4 415	96	96	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEW YORK--CONTINUED										
NEW YORK CITY	1 115 770	1 112 698	1 093 124	19 574	10 646	6 208	2 670	3 072	3 072	-
NIAGARA FALLS	3 800	3 800	3 734	66	66	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER	16 526	16 526	16 496	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
ROME	1 071	1 071	1 032	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY	3 221	3 221	3 218	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE	10 165	10 165	9 931	234	234	-	-	-	-	-
TROY	2 467	2 467	2 254	213	41	172	-	-	-	-
UTICA	3 135	3 135	3 002	133	133	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS	4 936	4 936	4 404	532	1	531	-	-	-	-
YONKERS	11 524	11 524	11 524	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE	2 125	2 110	2 065	45	45	-	-	15	-	15
CHARLOTTE	12 023	12 023	10 793	1 230	1 230	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM	5 871	5 871	5 158	713	273	440	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	2 330	2 330	2 208	122	122	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO	7 826	7 818	7 350	468	468	-	-	8	-	8
HIGH POINT	2 390	2 387	2 194	193	189	4	-	3	-	3
RALEIGH	5 501	5 345	5 149	196	196	-	-	156	-	156
WILMINGTON	1 785	1 785	1 706	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	7 570	7 567	7 266	301	301	-	-	3	-	3
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO	2 343	2 343	2 229	114	13	101	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON	11 460	11 352	11 100	252	153	99	-	108	-	108
CANTON	4 828	4 709	4 570	139	139	-	-	119	42	77
CINCINNATI	36 530	36 206	35 818	388	343	45	-	324	-	324
CLEVELAND	66 878	62 426	50 010	12 416	1 405	11 011	-	4 452	-	4 452
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	1 913	1 905	1 875	30	30	-	-	8	-	8
COLUMBUS	34 202	34 142	32 887	1 255	888	366	1	60	-	60
DAYTON	15 246	15 246	15 085	161	161	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA	2 011	1 952	1 677	275	130	145	-	59	13	46
EUCLEID	2 439	2 438	2 356	82	77	5	-	1	-	1
HAMILTON	3 270	3 179	2 623	556	45	511	-	91	-	91
KETTERING	2 156	2 115	2 033	82	82	-	-	41	-	41
LAKEWOOD	1 674	1 674	1 674	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA	1 988	1 930	1 859	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN	2 306	2 207	2 174	33	33	-	-	58	-	58
MANSFIELD	4 978	4 971	2 407	2 564	99	2 455	10	99	17	82
PARMA	2 269	2 260	2 256	4	4	-	-	7	-	7
SPRINGFIELD	3 315	3 301	3 103	196	106	3	87	9	-	9
TOLEDO	23 721	23 673	19 029	4 644	661	3 983	-	14	-	14
WARREN	2 474	2 451	2 374	77	77	-	-	48	-	48
YOUNGSTOWN	6 532	6 531	6 424	107	107	-	-	23	-	23
OKLAHOMA:										
LANTON	2 441	2 441	2 287	154	154	-	-	1	-	-
MIDWEST	1 439	1 439	1 421	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN	1 684	1 678	1 603	75	75	-	-	6	-	6
OKLAHOMA CITY	17 561	17 534	17 123	411	411	-	-	27	-	27
TULSA	13 438	13 433	12 276	1 157	1 157	-	-	5	-	5
OREGON:										
EUGENE	4 066	3 996	3 921	75	75	-	-	70	-	70
PORTLAND	22 344	22 344	21 903	441	441	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM	3 166	3 107	3 052	55	55	-	-	59	-	59
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN	3 395	3 395	3 301	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA	1 792	1 792	1 577	215	215	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM	2 344	2 344	2 295	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER	3 000	3 000	2 878	122	122	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE	3 967	3 967	3 884	83	83	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG	2 999	2 999	2 892	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER	2 187	2 187	2 033	154	154	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA	270 971	269 210	260 659	8 551	2 353	6 073	125	1 761	1 761	-
PITTSBURGH	22 412	22 412	22 379	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
READING	2 806	2 806	2 784	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON	2 524	2 524	2 515	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE	1 762	1 762	1 556	206	52	91	63	-	-	-
YORK	1 928	1 928	1 863	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON	2 317	-	2 234	83	78	5	-	-	-	-
PARTUCKET	2 567	-	2 520	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE	8 517	8 511	401	116	115	1	-	-	-	-
WARWICK	3 793	3 793	571	122	122	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 22. Total criminal justice system expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA:</b>										
CHARLESTON . . . . .	2 728	2 715	2 585	130	130	-	-	13	13	-
COLUMBIA . . . . .	4 211	4 137	3 942	195	181	-	14	74	56	18
GREENVILLE . . . . .	2 552	2 426	2 300	126	126	-	-	126	-	126
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA:</b>										
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	2 177	2 173	2 080	93	93	-	-	4	-	4
<b>TENNESSEE:</b>										
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	8 360	8 383	7 093	1 260	564	696	-	7	-	7
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	7 154	7 154	6 959	195	188	7	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS . . . . .	29 039	28 541	27 323	1 218	1 058	137	23	498	-	498
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	29 040	29 040	26 037	3 003	2 607	396	-	-	-	-
<b>TEXAS:</b>										
ABILENE . . . . .	2 028	2 028	1 968	60	59	-	1	-	-	-
AMARILLO . . . . .	4 200	4 200	4 116	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON . . . . .	3 295	3 295	3 180	115	115	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN . . . . .	12 737	12 604	12 402	202	151	51	-	133	133	-
BEAUMONT . . . . .	3 958	3 955	3 811	144	144	-	-	3	-	3
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	1 597	1 533	1 457	76	76	-	-	64	-	64
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	6 842	6 825	6 480	345	345	-	-	17	-	17
DALLAS . . . . .	41 944	41 944	41 780	164	164	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	11 206	11 133	10 466	667	667	-	-	73	-	73
FORT WORTH . . . . .	17 244	17 244	16 753	491	46	445	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	2 238	2 238	2 144	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND . . . . .	2 819	2 819	2 652	167	164	-	3	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	1 503	1 503	1 427	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON . . . . .	77 241	77 240	72 912	4 328	3 265	1 063	-	1	-	1
IRVING . . . . .	2 308	2 308	2 229	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO . . . . .	1 311	1 293	1 289	4	4	-	-	18	14	4
LUBBOCK . . . . .	5 107	5 089	4 763	326	267	-	59	18	-	18
MESQUITE . . . . .	2 021	2 021	1 839	182	182	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND . . . . .	1 927	1 927	1 917	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA . . . . .	2 114	2 114	2 060	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	3 007	3 007	2 997	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	1 705	1 699	1 590	109	106	-	-	6	-	6
RICHARDSON . . . . .	1 464	1 464	1 364	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	2 022	1 994	1 822	172	71	-	101	28	-	28
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	32 632	32 108	30 853	1 255	1 038	197	-	524	23	501
TYLER . . . . .	1 629	1 624	1 487	137	13	124	-	5	-	5
WACO . . . . .	3 177	3 166	3 020	146	146	-	-	11	11	-
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	2 208	2 208	2 118	90	90	-	-	-	-	-
<b>UTAH:</b>										
OGDEN . . . . .	2 510	2 510	2 299	211	211	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO . . . . .	1 334	1 330	1 206	124	124	-	3	4	-	4
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	9 359	9 359	8 874	485	482	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>										
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	8 126	7 831	7 304	527	380	147	-	295	295	-
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	6 450	6 268	5 911	357	269	88	-	182	168	14
HAMPTON . . . . .	8 772	8 514	5 243	3 271	420	2 851	-	258	243	15
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	3 619	3 506	3 315	191	182	9	-	113	113	-
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	8 495	8 316	7 602	714	273	441	-	179	179	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	15 925	15 305	14 809	496	445	51	-	620	620	-
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	6 183	5 891	5 720	171	171	-	-	292	270	22
RICHMOND . . . . .	17 501	17 501	16 824	677	287	390	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE . . . . .	5 757	5 412	4 905	507	136	371	-	345	345	-
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	15 066	14 762	10 969	3 793	354	3 439	-	304	192	112
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>										
BELLEVUE . . . . .	2 747	2 743	2 676	67	67	-	-	4	-	4
EVERETT . . . . .	2 270	2 105	2 100	5	5	-	-	165	-	165
SEATTLE . . . . .	36 770	35 666	35 351	315	296	19	-	1 104	-	1 104
SPOKANE . . . . .	6 407	5 981	5 969	12	12	-	-	426	-	426
TACOMA . . . . .	8 375	7 959	7 887	72	72	-	-	416	-	416
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>										
CHARLESTON . . . . .	2 883	2 849	2 778	71	71	-	-	34	-	34
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	2 729	2 689	2 539	150	150	-	-	40	-	40
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>										
APPLETON . . . . .	1 940	1 940	1 599	341	341	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	2 994	2 987	2 828	159	159	-	-	7	-	7
KENOSHA . . . . .	2 815	2 814	2 704	110	110	-	-	1	-	1
LA CROSSE . . . . .	1 462	1 455	1 413	42	42	-	-	7	-	7
MADISON . . . . .	7 468	7 460	7 215	245	245	-	-	8	-	8
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	47 228	46 661	46 082	579	545	34	-	567	-	567
OSHKOSH . . . . .	1 474	1 474	1 438	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	4 605	4 605	4 506	99	99	-	-	2	-	2
WAUWATOSA . . . . .	2 199	2 197	2 187	10	10	-	-	3	-	3
WEST ALLIS . . . . .	2 736	2 733	2 632	101	41	60	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	TOTAL . . . .	1 354 148	279 282	273 341	277 687	20.4	228 541	224 392	225 790	81.9	20 085	19 302	19 810	7.2
2	ALABAMA:													
3	BIRMINGHAM . . . .	3 689	899	898	899	24.4	785	785	785	87.3	34	34	34	3.8
4	GADSDEN . . . . .	819	114	113	114	13.9	102	102	102	89.5	4	3	4	3.5
5	HUNTSVILLE . . . .	2 740	293	291	293	10.7	262	262	262	89.4	11	9	11	3.8
6	MOBILE . . . . .	2 265	481	473	481	21.2	436	436	436	96.6	14	11	14	2.9
7	MONTGOMERY . . . .	2 176	436	430	434	20.4	393	391	392	96.3	16	15	15	3.5
8	TUSCALOOSA . . . .	1 971	207	201	203	10.3	192	190	191	94.1	9	5	6	3.0
9	ALASKA:													
10	ANCHORAGE . . . .	5 453	259	259	259	4.7	227	227	227	87.6	-	-	-	-
11	ARIZONA:													
12	GLENDAL . . . . .	571	142	141	142	24.9	129	129	129	90.8	5	4	5	3.5
13	MESA . . . . .	896	191	189	191	21.3	173	173	173	90.6	7	7	7	3.7
14	PHOENIX . . . . .	8 088	2 311	2 295	2 300	28.4	2 018	2 008	2 010	87.4	150	148	149	6.5
15	SCOTTSDALE . . . .	745	181	180	180	24.2	163	163	163	90.6	9	9	9	5.0
16	TEMPE . . . . .	608	178	165	167	27.5	161	152	153	91.6	4	6	7	4.2
17	TUCSON . . . . .	3 932	772	761	764	19.4	703	694	697	91.2	25	25	25	3.3
18	ARKANSAS:													
19	FORT SMITH . . . .	569	137	126	132	23.2	111	107	108	81.8	10	5	8	6.1
20	LITTLE ROCK . . . .	1 729	394	391	392	22.7	344	344	344	87.8	18	17	17	4.3
21	NORTH LITTLE ROCK .	673	167	167	167	24.8	143	143	143	85.6	7	7	7	4.2
22	PINE BLUFF . . . .	546	119	115	117	21.4	107	103	105	89.7	-	-	-	-
23	CALIFORNIA:													
24	ALAMEDA . . . . .	507	121	100	116	22.9	117	105	112	96.6	-	-	-	-
25	ALHAMBRA . . . . .	415	113	113	113	27.2	111	111	111	98.2	-	-	-	-
26	ANAHEIM . . . . .	1 942	472	415	426	21.9	446	389	400	93.9	-	-	-	-
27	BAKERSFIELD . . . .	739	235	232	233	31.5	228	225	226	97.0	-	-	-	-
28	BELLFLOWER . . . .	126	11	-	4	3.2	10	-	3	75.0	-	-	-	-
29	BERKELEY . . . . .	1 498	400	277	294	19.6	389	267	283	96.3	-	-	-	-
30	BUENA PARK . . . .	400	175	143	149	37.2	174	143	148	99.3	-	-	-	-
31	BURBANK . . . . .	1 058	243	226	235	22.2	227	210	219	93.2	-	-	-	-
32	CARSON . . . . .	300	3	3	3	1.0	3	3	3	100.0	-	-	-	-
33	CHULA VISTA . . . .	642	130	126	127	19.8	126	122	123	96.9	-	-	-	-
34	COMPTON . . . . .	704	218	214	216	30.7	205	202	204	94.4	3	2	2	0.9
35	CONCORD . . . . .	596	213	210	211	35.4	209	206	207	98.1	-	-	-	-
36	COSTA MESA . . . .	603	210	167	173	28.7	205	162	168	97.1	-	-	-	-
37	DALY CITY . . . . .	490	135	127	129	26.3	133	126	128	98.2	-	-	-	-
38	DOWNEY . . . . .	534	139	136	138	25.8	136	133	135	97.8	-	-	-	-
39	EL CAJON . . . . .	479	156	143	148	30.9	151	142	144	97.3	-	-	-	-
40	EL MONTE . . . . .	381	145	121	127	33.3	143	119	125	98.4	-	-	-	-
41	FREMONT . . . . .	681	262	248	249	36.6	257	243	244	98.0	-	-	-	-
42	FRESNO . . . . .	2 157	463	457	458	21.2	449	443	444	96.9	-	-	-	-
43	FULLERTON . . . . .	698	182	182	182	26.1	180	180	180	98.9	-	-	-	-
44	GARDEN GROVE . . . .	753	243	216	217	28.8	224	197	198	91.2	-	-	-	-
45	GLENDAL . . . . .	1 140	231	219	221	19.4	214	202	204	97.3	-	-	-	-
46	HAWTHORNE . . . .	313	116	93	96	30.7	111	87	90	93.8	-	-	-	-
47	HAYWARD . . . . .	716	241	199	208	29.1	236	194	203	97.6	-	-	-	-
48	HUNTINGTON BEACH .	1 065	306	283	288	27.0	277	255	259	89.9	-	-	-	-
49	INGLEWOOD . . . . .	721	267	227	232	32.2	257	217	222	95.7	-	-	-	-
50	LAKEWOOD . . . . .	202	6	3	4	2.0	5	3	3	75.0	-	-	-	-
51	LONG BEACH . . . .	4 484	1 097	1 097	1 097	24.5	930	930	930	84.8	-	-	-	-
52	LOS ANGELES . . . .	35 631	10 776	10 776	10 776	30.2	10 275	10 275	10 275	95.4	-	-	-	-
53	MODESTO . . . . .	760	204	193	198	26.1	196	186	191	96.5	-	-	-	-
54	MOUNTAIN VIEW . . .	466	93	93	93	20.0	90	90	90	96.8	-	-	-	-
55	NEWPORT BEACH . . .	686	199	187	188	27.4	190	178	179	95.2	-	-	-	-
56	NORWALK . . . . .	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	OAKLAND . . . . .	4 879	1 165	1 165	1 165	23.9	1 141	1 141	1 141	97.9	-	-	-	-
58	ONTARIO . . . . .	596	144	132	137	23.0	144	132	137	100.0	-	-	-	-
59	ORANGE . . . . .	626	195	173	177	28.3	187	166	170	96.0	-	-	-	-
60	OXNARD . . . . .	743	183	163	166	22.3	176	157	160	96.4	-	-	-	-
61	PALO ALTO . . . . .	1 700	146	119	121	7.1	139	112	114	94.2	2	2	2	1.2
62	PASADENA . . . . .	1 331	311	307	308	23.1	286	282	283	91.9	-	-	-	-
63	PICO RIVERA . . . .	162	1	-	1	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
64	POMONA . . . . .	791	231	193	197	24.9	174	173	173	87.8	-	-	-	-
65	REDDING BEACH . . .	474	168	135	143	30.2	160	130	136	95.1	-	-	-	-
66	REDDOOD CITY . . .	498	89	87	88	17.7	82	82	82	93.2	-	-	-	-
67	RICHMOND . . . . .	933	257	234	245	26.3	249	226	237	96.7	-	-	-	-
68	RIVERSIDE . . . . .	1 390	302	301	301	21.7	294	293	293	97.3	-	-	-	-
69	SACRAMENTO . . . .	3 185	751	683	698	21.9	737	673	687	98.4	-	-	-	-
70	SALINAS . . . . .	599	172	162	167	27.9	157	149	153	91.6	-	-	-	-
71	SAN BERNARDINO . . .	1 427	270	270	270	18.9	262	262	262	97.0	-	-	-	-
72	SAN BUENAVENTURA .	499	131	120	121	24.2	128	117	118	97.5	-	-	-	-
73	SAN DIEGO . . . . .	5 783	1 494	1 483	1 487	25.7	1 383	1 376	1 379	92.7	-	-	-	-
74	SAN FRANCISCO . . .	18 104	4 432	4 381	4 414	24.4	2 563	2 561	2 563	58.1	641	593	624	14.1
75	SAN JOSE . . . . .	4 286	1 091	981	1 029	24.0	1 053	944	992	96.4	-	-	-	-
76	SAN LEANDRO . . . .	544	123	112	116	21.3	118	107	111	95.7	-	-	-	-
77	SAN MATEO . . . . .	676	172	164	166	24.6	167	159	161	97.0	-	-	-	-
78	SANTA ANA . . . . .	1 465	463	461	461	31.5	444	443	443	96.1	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
10 374	9 075	10 178	3.7	232	213	224	0.1	18 565	18 197	18 298	6.6	1 485	1 362	1 386	0.5	1
22	22	22	2.4	-	-	-	-	58	57	58	6.5	-	-	-	-	2
2	2	2	1.8	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	5.3	-	-	-	-	3
3	5	5	1.7	-	-	-	-	15	15	15	5.1	-	-	-	-	4
8	3	8	1.7	-	-	-	-	15	15	15	3.1	8	8	8	1.7	5
5	2	5	1.2	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	5.1	-	-	-	-	6
6	6	6	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
32	32	32	12.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
4	4	4	2.8	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	2.8	-	-	-	-	9
4	4	4	2.3	2	-	2	1.0	5	5	5	2.6	-	-	-	-	10
112	109	110	4.8	-	-	-	-	20	19	20	0.9	11	11	11	0.5	11
9	8	8	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
8	7	7	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
42	40	40	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.3	14
2	-	2	1.5	-	-	-	-	14	14	14	10.6	-	-	-	-	15
8	8	8	2.0	-	2	2	0.5	22	20	21	5.4	-	-	-	-	16
5	5	5	3.0	2	2	2	1.2	10	10	10	6.0	-	-	-	-	17
4	4	4	3.4	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	6.8	-	-	-	-	18
4	4	4	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
2	2	2	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
17	17	17	4.0	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	2.1	-	-	-	-	21
7	7	7	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22
1	-	1	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
11	10	11	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
1	-	1	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
12	12	12	5.1	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	1.7	-	-	-	-	26
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27
4	4	4	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28
10	10	10	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29
4	4	4	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
5	5	5	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31
2	1	1	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	2.2	32
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
5	1	4	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34
2	2	2	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
5	5	5	2.0	-	-											

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	CALIFORNIA--CON.													
2	SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	853	197	190	191	22.4	191	184	185	96.9	-	-	-	-
3	SANTA CLARA . . . . .	765	212	176	182	23.8	206	172	178	97.8	-	-	-	-
4	SANTA MONICA . . . . .	1 027	258	235	240	23.4	237	214	219	91.2	-	-	-	-
5	SANTA ROSA . . . . .	524	168	144	149	28.4	163	139	144	96.6	-	-	-	-
6	SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	219	98	96	96	43.8	92	91	91	94.8	-	-	-	-
7	SOUTH GATE . . . . .	366	125	124	125	34.2	115	115	115	92.0	-	-	-	-
8	STOCKTON . . . . .	1 508	320	319	319	21.2	310	309	309	96.9	-	-	-	-
9	SUNNYVALE . . . . .	527	174	142	156	29.6	167	136	150	96.2	-	-	-	-
10	TORRANCE . . . . .	1 308	297	292	293	22.4	273	269	270	92.2	-	-	-	-
11	VALLEJO . . . . .	432	148	130	133	30.8	143	126	129	97.0	-	-	-	-
12	WEST COVINA . . . . .	482	154	108	119	24.7	151	105	116	97.5	-	-	-	-
13	WESTMINSTER . . . . .	275	126	111	114	41.5	126	111	114	100.0	-	-	-	-
14	WHITTIER . . . . .	345	124	121	122	35.4	123	121	121	99.2	-	-	-	-
15	COLORADO:													
16	ARVADA . . . . .	320	128	125	125	39.1	119	117	117	97.6	4	4	4	3.2
17	AURORA . . . . .	1 065	738	255	260	24.4	705	228	228	87.7	16	10	15	5.8
18	BOULDER . . . . .	1 031	192	160	182	17.7	174	146	166	91.2	10	8	9	4.9
19	COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	1 927	361	360	360	18.7	326	325	325	90.3	20	20	20	5.6
20	DENVER . . . . .	11 293	2 364	2 349	2 357	20.9	1 698	1 695	1 696	72.0	258	254	255	10.8
21	FT. COLLINS . . . . .	490	99	94	94	19.2	89	86	86	93.6	5	3	3	3.2
22	LAKEWOOD . . . . .	673	332	324	324	48.1	285	285	285	88.0	16	12	12	3.7
23	PUEBLO . . . . .	808	258	255	255	31.6	249	246	246	96.5	9	9	9	3.5
24	CONNECTICUT:													
25	BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	4 002	426	420	422	10.5	416	410	412	97.6	-	-	-	-
26	BRISTOL . . . . .	1 550	107	100	102	6.6	98	95	95	93.1	-	-	-	-
27	DANBURY . . . . .	1 664	128	102	110	6.4	124	102	106	96.4	-	-	-	-
28	HARTFORD . . . . .	6 402	523	523	523	8.2	504	504	504	96.4	-	-	-	-
29	MERIDEN . . . . .	1 094	124	119	121	6.4	108	104	105	86.8	-	-	-	-
30	MILFORD . . . . .	1 663	133	119	123	7.4	128	115	118	95.9	-	-	-	-
31	NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	1 609	188	181	184	11.4	170	165	166	90.2	-	-	-	-
32	NEW HAVEN . . . . .	3 890	491	485	485	6.2	477	476	476	94.6	-	-	-	-
33	NORWALK . . . . .	2 350	211	202	204	8.7	200	191	193	94.6	-	-	-	-
34	STAMFORD . . . . .	3 264	272	270	270	8.3	250	249	249	92.2	-	-	-	-
35	WATERBURY . . . . .	3 083	305	304	305	9.9	294	294	294	96.4	1	1	1	0.3
36	WEST HAVEN . . . . .	1 373	114	110	111	8.1	106	102	103	92.8	-	-	-	-
37	DELAWARE:													
38	WILMINGTON . . . . .	3 026	380	372	375	12.4	315	315	315	84.0	36	32	34	9.1
39	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:													
40	WASHINGTON . . . . .	44 543	8 648	8 633	8 648	19.4	4 783	4 772	4 783	55.3	773	769	773	8.9
41	FLORIDA:													
42	CLEARWATER . . . . .	1 349	253	253	253	18.8	241	241	241	95.3	-	-	-	-
43	FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	2 135	602	602	602	28.2	586	586	586	97.3	-	-	-	-
44	GAINESVILLE . . . . .	1 060	222	222	222	20.9	221	221	221	99.5	-	-	-	-
45	HALLEAH . . . . .	1 028	341	341	341	33.2	339	339	339	99.4	-	-	-	-
46	HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	1 357	403	401	401	29.6	383	383	383	95.5	-	-	-	-
47	JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	9 363	2 066	1 988	2 026	21.6	1 134	1 129	1 132	55.9	370	299	333	16.4
48	MIAMI . . . . .	4 423	1 186	1 186	1 186	26.6	1 148	1 148	1 148	96.8	-	-	-	-
49	MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	1 437	318	318	318	22.1	311	311	311	97.8	-	-	-	-
50	ORLANDO . . . . .	2 583	586	570	572	22.1	569	553	555	97.0	-	-	-	-
51	PENSACOLA . . . . .	870	174	172	174	20.0	163	163	163	93.7	-	-	-	-
52	ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	3 091	665	663	664	21.5	598	597	598	90.1	-	-	-	-
53	TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	2 514	200	194	196	7.8	199	194	195	90.5	-	-	-	-
54	TAMPA . . . . .	4 931	791	789	789	16.0	770	770	770	97.6	-	-	-	-
55	WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	1 112	201	198	198	17.8	176	176	176	88.9	-	-	-	-
56	GEORGIA:													
57	ALBANY . . . . .	822	201	199	201	24.5	199	199	199	99.0	1	-	1	0.3
58	ATLANTA . . . . .	9 448	2 043	2 039	2 040	21.6	1 732	1 732	1 732	84.9	93	89	90	4.4
59	AUGUSTA . . . . .	865	214	211	212	24.5	194	192	192	90.6	5	4	5	2.4
60	COLUMBUS . . . . .	3 210	651	640	646	20.1	453	452	452	70.0	108	104	107	16.6
61	MACON . . . . .	1 605	322	315	316	19.7	279	277	277	87.7	14	10	11	3.5
62	SAVANNAH . . . . .	1 786	303	302	302	16.9	276	276	276	91.4	14	14	14	4.6
63	HAWAII:													
64	HONOLULU . . . . .	9 585	1 971	1 971	1 971	20.6	1 863	1 863	1 863	94.5	-	-	-	-
65	IDAHO:													
66	BOISE CITY . . . . .	884	224	193	195	22.1	202	171	173	88.7	13	13	13	6.7
67	ILLINOIS:													
68	ARLINGTON HEIGHTS . . . . .	374	115	113	113	30.2	113	112	112	99.1	-	-	-	-
69	AURORA . . . . .	564	198	181	187	33.2	192	179	182	97.3	1	-	-	-
70	BERWYN . . . . .	273	70	66	68	24.9	68	66	66	97.1	-	-	-	-
71	CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	1 066	106	106	106	9.9	104	104	104	98.1	-	-	-	-
72	CHICAGO . . . . .	42 952	15 955	15 891	15 940	37.1	15 671	15 626	15 671	98.3	16	16	16	0.1
73	CICERO . . . . .	386	114	104	111	28.8	107	103	104	93.7	-	-	-	-
74	DECATUR . . . . .	550	135	135	135	24.5	132	132	132	97.8	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
6	6	6	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
6	4	4	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
21	21	21	8.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
5	5	5	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
6	5	5	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
2	1	2	1.6	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	3.2	4	4	4	3.2	6
10	10	10	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
7	6	6	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
16	15	15	5.1	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	2.7	-	-	-	-	9
5	4	4	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	2.5	11
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
1	-	1	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
5	4	4	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
17	17	17	6.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
8	6	7	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
15	15	15	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
169	169	169	7.2	-	-	-	-	239	231	237	10.1	-	-	-	-	18
5	3	3	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
23	18	19	5.9	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	2.5	-	-	-	-	20
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21
10	10	10	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22
5	3	4	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	2	3	2.9	23
4	-	4	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
19	19	19	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
4	3	4	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	12	9.9	26
4	3	4	3.3	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.8	-	-	-	-	27
8	6	8	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	5.4	28
14	9	14	22.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29
7	7	7	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	2.0	30
13	13	13	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	8	8	3.0	31
9	8	9	3.0	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.3	-	-	-	-	32
5	5	5	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	2.7	33
29	25	26	6.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34
177	177	177	2.0	1	1	1	(2)	2 876	2 878	2 878	33.3	36	36	36	0.4	35
7	7	7	2.8	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	2.0	-	-	-	-	36
10	10	10	1.7	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	1.0	-	-	-	-	37
1																

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED														
1	DES PLAINES . . . . .	383	108	108	108	28.2	106	106	106	96.1	-	-	-	-
2	EAST ST. LOUIS . . . . .	903	167	167	167	18.5	162	162	162	97.0	-	-	-	-
3	ELGIN . . . . .	389	139	112	115	29.6	135	109	111	96.5	1	1	1	0.9
4	EVANSTON . . . . .	867	193	182	190	21.9	186	176	183	96.3	-	-	-	-
5	JOLIET . . . . .	567	205	204	204	36.0	197	196	196	96.1	-	-	-	-
6	OAK LAWN . . . . .	365	108	107	107	29.3	108	107	107	100.0	-	-	-	-
7	OAK PARK . . . . .	609	183	180	183	30.0	178	178	178	97.3	-	-	-	-
8	PFORIA . . . . .	973	344	340	341	35.0	334	330	331	97.1	-	-	-	-
9	ROCKFORD . . . . .	1 176	290	289	289	24.6	279	279	279	96.5	-	-	-	-
10	ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	447	102	99	102	22.8	96	96	96	94.1	3	3	3	2.9
11	SKOKIE . . . . .	512	144	138	144	28.1	136	136	136	94.4	-	-	-	-
12	SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	931	272	272	272	29.2	253	253	253	93.0	-	-	-	-
13	WAUKEGAN . . . . .	791	151	147	150	19.0	146	146	146	97.3	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:														
14	ANDERSON . . . . .	591	169	150	162	27.4	148	142	143	88.3	9	6	9	5.0
15	EVANSVILLE . . . . .	1 692	379	317	338	20.0	366	312	328	96.2	-	-	-	-
16	FORT WAYNE . . . . .	1 580	375	363	373	23.6	365	362	363	97.3	-	-	-	-
17	GARY . . . . .	2 406	530	514	515	21.4	437	437	437	84.9	65	49	50	9.7
18	HAMMOND . . . . .	1 181	254	246	252	21.3	217	217	217	86.1	27	21	26	10.3
19	INDIANAPOLIS . . . . .	11 464	2 976	2 864	2 872	25.1	1 781	1 771	1 773	61.7	517	517	517	18.0
20	MUNCIE . . . . .	651	226	151	169	26.0	214	142	157	92.9	9	8	9	5.3
21	SOUTH BEND . . . . .	1 242	297	292	295	23.8	286	285	285	96.6	-	-	-	-
22	TRENTON . . . . .	600	141	133	141	23.5	130	130	130	92.2	6	5	6	4.3
IOWA:														
23	CEDAR RAPIDS . . . . .	1 040	219	199	207	19.9	210	193	200	96.6	-	-	-	-
24	COUNCIL BLUFFS . . . . .	488	131	129	129	26.4	125	124	124	96.1	-	-	-	-
25	DAVENPORT . . . . .	833	187	186	186	22.3	181	180	180	96.8	-	-	-	-
26	DES MOINES . . . . .	2 137	419	416	416	19.5	378	376	376	90.4	-	-	-	-
27	DUBUQUE . . . . .	504	96	94	95	18.8	92	92	92	96.8	-	-	-	-
28	SIOUX CITY . . . . .	868	174	164	166	19.1	154	147	148	89.2	-	-	-	-
29	WATERLOO . . . . .	656	173	154	162	24.7	168	153	157	96.9	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:														
30	KANSAS CITY . . . . .	1 976	511	503	504	25.5	439	433	434	86.1	9	9	9	1.8
31	OVERLAND PARK . . . . .	325	142	137	141	43.4	118	118	118	83.7	14	12	13	9.2
32	TOPEKA . . . . .	1 548	357	343	350	22.6	319	315	316	90.3	6	4	5	1.4
33	WICHITA . . . . .	2 962	585	581	584	19.7	519	519	519	88.9	48	47	47	8.0
KENTUCKY:														
34	COVINGTON . . . . .	446	127	124	124	27.8	117	115	115	92.7	4	3	3	2.4
35	LEXINGTON . . . . .	2 530	697	646	681	26.9	434	418	426	62.6	92	88	89	13.1
36	LOUISVILLE . . . . .	5 656	1 119	1 099	1 106	19.5	1 010	1 003	1 006	91.0	54	54	54	4.9
37	OWENSBORO . . . . .	1 539	115	106	108	7.0	104	99	101	93.5	7	5	5	4.6
LOUISIANA:														
38	BATON ROUGE . . . . .	3 656	1 600	964	1 574	43.1	981	385	981	62.3	351	314	327	20.0
39	LAFAYETTE . . . . .	960	172	160	168	17.5	151	148	149	88.7	20	12	18	10.7
40	LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	868	145	26	139	16.0	120	25	120	86.3	18	-	12	8.6
41	MONROE . . . . .	1 085	145	35	145	13.4	119	16	119	82.1	12	4	12	8.3
42	NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	9 362	3 627	3 572	3 583	38.3	2 010	1 970	1 974	55.1	713	698	705	19.7
43	SHREVEPORT . . . . .	2 633	521	133	520	19.7	452	86	452	86.9	34	26	34	6.5
MAINE:														
44	PORTLAND . . . . .	2 882	210	203	205	7.1	201	198	196	95.6	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:														
45	BALTIMORE . . . . .	29 770	4 999	4 999	4 999	16.8	3 781	3 781	3 781	75.6	395	395	395	7.9
MASSACHUSETTS:														
46	BOSTON . . . . .	24 675	4 528	4 525	4 526	18.3	2 730	2 730	2 730	60.3	1 131	1 131	1 131	25.0
47	BROCKTON . . . . .	3 227	240	233	236	7.3	223	221	222	94.1	-	-	-	-
48	CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	3 640	334	333	333	9.1	309	309	309	92.8	-	-	-	-
49	CHICOPEE . . . . .	1 643	131	131	131	8.0	126	126	126	96.2	-	-	-	-
50	FALL RIVER . . . . .	3 135	393	389	393	12.5	362	362	362	92.1	-	-	-	-
51	HOLYOKE . . . . .	1 447	126	121	124	8.6	119	116	117	94.4	-	-	-	-
52	LAWRENCE . . . . .	1 866	151	151	151	8.1	148	148	148	98.0	-	-	-	-
53	LOWELL . . . . .	2 913	240	240	240	8.2	218	218	218	90.8	-	-	-	-
54	LYNN . . . . .	2 722	221	219	219	8.0	203	202	202	92.2	-	-	-	-
55	MALDEN . . . . .	1 498	138	132	133	8.9	134	130	130	97.7	-	-	-	-
56	MEDFORD . . . . .	1 510	142	142	142	9.4	139	139	139	97.9	-	-	-	-
57	NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	3 139	342	338	342	10.9	334	334	334	97.7	-	-	-	-
58	NEWTON . . . . .	2 960	274	272	272	9.2	264	262	262	96.3	-	-	-	-
59	PITTSFIELD . . . . .	1 809	115	114	115	6.4	113	113	113	98.3	-	-	-	-
60	QUINCY . . . . .	3 840	290	281	283	7.3	286	277	279	98.6	-	-	-	-
61	SOMERVILLE . . . . .	2 243	196	193	194	8.6	154	154	154	79.4	-	-	-	-
62	SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	6 067	590	505	510	8.4	500	491	493	96.7	-	-	-	-
63	WALTHAM . . . . .	1 742	152	152	152	8.7	148	148	148	97.4	-	-	-	-
64	WORCESTER . . . . .	8 116	589	587	588	7.2	567	567	567	96.4	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total original justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total original justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total original justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total original justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
2	2	2	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
5	5	5	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
3	2	3	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
7	6	7	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
5	5	5	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	1.5
5	2	5	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
10	10	10	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7
11	10	10	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
3	-	3	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
8	2	8	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
10	10	10	3.7	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	3.3	-	-	-	-	11
5	1	4	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
10	2	8	4.9	1	-	1	0.6	1	-	1	0.6	-	-	-	-	14
13	5	13	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
10	1	10	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
12	12	12	2.3	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	1.4	9	9	9	1.7	17
6	6	6	2.4	-	-	-	-	4	2	3	1.2	-	-	-	-	18
185	185	185	6.4	-	-	-	-	486	384	390	13.6	7	7	7	0.2	19
3	1	3	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
11	7	10	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21
5	3	5	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
9	6	7	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
6	5	5	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
6	6	6	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
14	13	13	3.1	-	-	-	-	24	24	24	5.8	3	3	3	0.7	27
4	2	3	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28
8	8	8	4.8	-	-	-	-	12	9	10	6.0	-	-	-	-	29
5	1	5	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
20	19	19	3.8	-	-	-	-	36	36	36	7.1	7	6	6	1.2	31
7	7	7	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3	2.1	32
18	14	15	4.3	6	3	6	1.7	4	4	4	1.1	4	3	4	1.1	33
18	15	18	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34
6	6	6	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
33	28	30	4.4	-	-	-	-	138	132	136	20.0	-	-	-	-	36
44	33	36	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	9	10	0.9	37
4	2	2	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38
113	112	113	7.2	9	7	7	0.4	136	136	136	8.6	10	10	10	0.6	39
1	-	1	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40
7	7	7	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41
7	4	7	4.8	-	-	-	-	7	1	7	4.8	-	-	-	-	42
232	232	232	6.5	38	38	38	1.1	607	607	607	16.9	27	27	27	0.8	43
12	2	12	2.3	-	-	-	-	23	21	22	4.2	-	-	-	-	44
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
9	8	9	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
297	297	297	5.9	-	-	-	-	526	526	526	10.5	-	-	-	-	47
200	197	198	4.4	-	-	-	-	467	467	467	10.3	-	-	-	-	48
5	4	4	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	8	10	4.2	49
6	6	6	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	18	18	5.4	50
5	5	5	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
7	4	7	1.8	-	-	-	-	19	18	19	4.8	5	5	5	1.3	52
7	5	7	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
3	3	3	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54
22	22	22	9.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
5	5	5	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	12	12	5.5	56
4	2	3	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57
3	3	3	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
8	4	8	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
10	10	10	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
2	1	2	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62
4	4	4	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63
6	6	6	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64
16	10	13	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	33	34	17.5	65
4	4	4	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	0.8	66
22	20	21	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MICHIGAN:														
1	ANN ARBOR . . . . .	1 048	245	221	228	21.6	192	187	187	82.0	33	22	28	12.3
2	DFARBORN . . . . .	1 355	305	292	297	21.9	268	256	261	87.9	20	18	20	6.7
3	DFARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	355	155	135	145	40.8	118	118	118	81.4	17	12	17	11.7
4	DETROIT . . . . .	19 447	7 637	7 614	7 637	39.3	6 788	6 788	6 788	88.9	502	479	502	6.6
5	FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	240	82	82	82	34.2	82	82	82	100.0	-	-	-	-
6	FLINT . . . . .	3 740	537	531	537	14.4	452	452	452	84.2	49	43	49	9.1
7	GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	2 038	518	470	485	23.8	403	373	379	78.1	65	60	65	13.4
8	KALAMAZOO . . . . .	907	240	240	243	26.8	193	191	192	79.0	35	28	30	12.3
9	LANSING . . . . .	1 384	511	413	436	31.5	371	320	330	75.7	42	37	42	9.6
10	LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	302	89	78	86	28.5	73	72	72	87.7	11	5	11	12.8
11	LIVONIA . . . . .	747	188	175	181	24.2	161	154	156	86.2	15	11	14	7.7
12	PONTIAC . . . . .	2 520	735	330	334	13.3	284	283	283	84.7	33	29	33	9.9
13	ROSEVILLE . . . . .	291	112	110	112	38.5	95	95	95	84.8	17	15	17	15.2
14	ROYAL OAK . . . . .	508	151	135	139	27.4	116	116	116	83.5	15	13	15	10.8
15	SAGINAW . . . . .	1 230	238	235	236	19.2	228	225	226	95.8	-	-	-	-
16	SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	648	229	220	223	34.4	181	178	178	74.8	31	27	30	13.5
17	ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	445	125	119	121	27.2	113	111	111	91.7	10	8	8	6.6
18	STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	521	208	202	206	39.5	184	183	183	88.8	22	18	21	10.2
19	TAYLOR . . . . .	413	126	120	123	29.8	113	113	113	91.9	12	7	9	7.3
20	WARREN . . . . .	1 092	337	330	334	30.6	275	274	274	82.0	44	38	42	12.6
21	WESTLAND . . . . .	380	133	129	131	34.5	109	109	109	83.2	19	15	17	13.0
22	WYOMING . . . . .	365	123	117	119	32.6	110	105	107	89.9	12	11	11	9.2
23	MINNESOTA:													
24	BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	473	106	105	105	22.2	99	98	98	93.3	-	-	-	-
25	DULUTH . . . . .	920	168	168	168	18.3	155	155	155	92.3	-	-	-	-
26	MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	4 977	1 004	984	988	19.9	955	935	939	95.0	-	-	-	-
27	ROCHESTER . . . . .	487	110	110	110	24.1	105	105	105	95.5	-	-	-	-
28	ST. PAUL . . . . .	3 139	769	758	764	24.3	709	699	705	92.3	-	-	-	-
29	MISSISSIPPI:													
30	BILOXI . . . . .	478	92	88	92	19.2	86	86	86	93.5	4	2	4	4.3
31	JACKSON . . . . .	2 631	517	510	513	19.5	437	436	436	85.0	27	25	27	5.3
32	MISSOURI:													
33	COLUMBIA . . . . .	593	140	123	126	21.2	126	110	113	89.7	7	6	6	4.8
34	FLORISSANT . . . . .	213	88	83	85	39.9	82	81	81	95.3	5	2	3	3.5
35	INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	950	207	201	206	21.7	188	188	188	91.3	11	10	11	5.3
36	KANSAS CITY . . . . .	6 356	2 024	2 024	2 024	31.8	1 797	1 797	1 797	88.8	77	77	77	3.8
37	ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	696	173	171	171	24.6	163	162	162	94.7	4	3	3	1.8
38	ST. LOUIS . . . . .	14 002	4 036	4 013	4 021	28.7	2 783	2 776	2 777	69.1	503	495	499	12.4
39	SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	1 204	236	235	235	19.5	219	218	218	92.8	8	8	8	3.4
40	MONTANA:													
41	HILLINGS . . . . .	671	141	140	140	20.9	134	134	134	95.7	7	6	6	4.3
42	GREAT FALLS . . . . .	476	99	99	99	20.8	91	91	91	91.9	2	2	2	2.0
43	NEBRASKA:													
44	LINCOLN . . . . .	2 364	385	367	370	15.7	289	276	278	75.1	54	52	53	14.3
45	OMAHA . . . . .	3 199	792	790	792	24.8	683	683	683	86.2	64	64	64	8.1
46	NEVADA:													
47	LAS VEGAS . . . . .	1 238	71	68	68	5.5	-	-	-	-	43	43	43	63.2
48	RENO . . . . .	1 053	356	355	355	33.7	297	297	297	83.7	25	25	25	7.0
49	NEW HAMPSHIRE:													
50	MANCHESTER . . . . .	2 476	222	222	222	9.0	192	192	192	86.5	14	14	14	6.3
51	NASHUA . . . . .	1 633	167	165	165	10.1	146	146	146	88.5	11	10	10	6.1
52	NEW JERSEY:													
53	BAYONNE . . . . .	1 837	221	221	221	12.0	198	198	198	89.6	11	11	11	5.0
54	BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	420	137	138	137	32.6	127	127	127	92.7	7	7	7	5.1
55	CAMDEN . . . . .	1 765	519	519	519	29.4	459	459	459	88.4	37	37	37	7.1
56	CLIFTON . . . . .	1 470	208	193	170	11.6	195	144	157	92.4	7	7	7	4.1
57	EAST ORANGE . . . . .	2 439	329	329	329	13.5	277	277	277	84.2	35	35	35	10.8
58	ELIZABETH . . . . .	3 191	371	371	371	11.6	333	333	333	89.8	10	10	10	2.7
59	IRVINGTON . . . . .	688	190	190	190	27.6	162	162	162	85.3	18	18	18	9.5
60	JERSEY CITY . . . . .	7 981	1 321	1 312	1 316	16.5	1 238	1 238	1 238	94.1	62	54	58	4.4
61	NEWARK . . . . .	17 208	1 658	1 658	1 658	9.6	1 544	1 544	1 544	93.1	79	79	79	4.8
62	PASSAIC . . . . .	732	181	181	181	24.7	162	162	162	89.5	7	7	7	3.9
63	PATERSON . . . . .	4 110	495	492	493	12.0	462	462	462	93.7	22	19	20	4.1
64	TRENTON . . . . .	1 932	477	477	477	24.7	438	438	438	91.8	28	28	28	5.9
65	UNION CITY . . . . .	1 165	185	181	182	15.6	166	162	163	89.6	14	14	14	7.7
66	VINELAND . . . . .	1 564	143	119	124	7.9	130	112	113	91.1	8	7	7	5.6
67	NEW MEXICO:													
68	ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	3 625	954	937	946	26.1	718	718	718	75.9	69	52	61	6.4
69	NEW YORK:													
70	ALBANY . . . . .	1 817	467	443	458	25.2	439	428	431	94.1	1	1	1	0.2
71	BINGHAMTON . . . . .	1 778	169	168	168	9.4	163	162	162	96.4	-	-	-	-
72	BUFFALO . . . . .	12 378	1 446	1 435	1 439	11.6	1 352	1 347	1 349	93.7	22	22	22	1.5
73	MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	836	240	240	240	28.7	214	214	214	89.2	17	17	17	7.1

See footnotes at end of table.

**distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 395 large city  
October 1977—Continued**

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number	
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees		
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent			
8	6	6	2.6	-	-	-	-	12	6	7	3.1	-	-	-	-	1	
10	9	9	3.0	-	-	-	-	7	5	7	2.4	-	-	-	-	2	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	10	6.9	-	-	-	-	3	
105	105	105	1.4	-	-	-	-	242	242	242	3.2	-	-	-	-	4	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	
10	10	10	1.0	-	-	-	-	26	26	26	4.8	-	-	-	-	6	
17	17	17	3.5	-	-	-	-	27	14	18	3.7	6	6	6	1.2	7	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	
13	13	13	5.3	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	3.3	-	-	-	-	9	
9	9	9	2.1	-	-	-	-	89	47	55	12.6	-	-	-	-	10	
2	-	2	2.3	-	-	-	-	3	1	1	1.2	-	-	-	-	11	
7	7	7	3.9	-	-	-	-	3	3	4	2.2	-	-	-	-	12	
14	14	14	4.2	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	1.2	-	-	-	-	13	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	
3	3	3	2.2	-	-	-	-	17	3	5	3.6	-	-	-	-	15	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	
10	10	10	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	
8	7	7	3.1	-	-	-	-	9	8	8	3.6	-	-	-	-	18	
2	-	2	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	
1	-	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	20	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.8	-	-	-	-	21	
13	13	13	3.9	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	3.8	-	-	-	-	22	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	0.8	-	-	-	-	23	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	24	
7	7	7	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	
13	13	13	7.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	
49	49	49	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	
5	5	5	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	23	23	3.0	28	
37	36	36	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	
2	-	2	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31	
15	13	14	2.7	-	-	-	-	35	34	34	6.6	3	2	2	0.4	32	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	
7	7	7	6.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	
1	-	1	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	
8	8	7	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	
57	57	57	2.8	-	-	-	-	90	90	90	4.4	3	3	3	0.1	37	
6	6	6	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	
158	156	156	3.9	-	-	-	-	525	580	582	14.5	7	7	7	0.2	39	
7	7	7	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.9	40	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	6.1	-	-	-	-	42	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	
21	20	20	6.4	-	-	-	-	21	19	19	5.1	5	5	5	0.6	44	
35	33	35	4.4	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	0.6	-	-	-	-	45	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	
28	25	25	36.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47	
14	14	14	3.0	-	-	-	-	20	19	19	5.4	-	-	-	-	48	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49	
8	8	8	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	8	8	3.6	-	-	-	-	50	
1	1	1	0.6	-	-	-	-	9	8	8	4.8	-	-	-	-	51	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	
11	11	11	8.0	1	1	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53	
3	1	3	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54	
23	23	23	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55	
6	2	6	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56	
9	9	9	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	18	18	2.4	57	
10	10	10	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58	
10	10	10	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60	
16	16	16	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	0.4	61	
35	35	35	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62	
5	5	5	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	3.9	63	
11	11	11	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64	
8	8	8	1.7	1	1	1	0.2	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.4	65	
5	5	5	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66	
5	-	4	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	68	
34	34	34	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	131	131	131	13.8	2	2	2	0.2	69
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70	
22	12	21	4.6	5	2	5	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	71	
6	6	6	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	72	
58	57	57	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	9	11	0.8	73
9	9	9	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	74	

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments.

Line number	City*	Total full-time equivalent employees†	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees†	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NEW YORK--CONTINUED														
1	NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	958	243	235	237	24.7	218	210	212	89.5	19	19	19	8.0
2	NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	270 942	48 576	48 672	48 672	18.0	35 527	35 527	35 527	73.0	5 099	5 099	5 099	10.5
3	NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	1 049	227	216	222	21.2	216	211	213	95.9	1	1	1	0.5
4	ROCHESTER . . . . .	8 372	882	858	860	10.3	834	810	812	94.4	15	15	15	1.7
5	ROME . . . . .	913	81	76	78	8.5	74	72	73	93.6	2	2	2	2.0
6	SCHENECTADY . . . . .	721	177	170	176	24.4	167	165	166	94.3	-	-	-	-
7	SYRACUSE . . . . .	5 748	562	559	559	9.7	532	531	531	95.0	9	9	9	1.6
8	TROY . . . . .	664	170	159	164	24.7	160	152	154	93.9	-	-	-	-
9	UTICA . . . . .	1 294	208	201	207	16.0	198	197	197	95.2	-	-	-	-
10	WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	1 018	266	253	260	25.5	233	227	231	88.8	24	17	20	7.7
11	YONKERS . . . . .	4 561	575	575	575	12.6	491	491	491	85.4	42	42	42	7.3
NORTH CAROLINA:														
12	ASHEVILLE . . . . .	952	146	143	144	15.1	138	135	136	94.4	-	-	-	-
13	CHARLOTTE . . . . .	4 033	719	717	718	17.8	707	705	706	94.3	-	-	-	-
14	DURHAM . . . . .	1 500	359	356	357	23.8	350	347	348	97.5	-	-	-	-
15	FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	862	194	187	191	22.2	192	186	189	90.0	-	-	-	-
16	GREENSBORO . . . . .	1 917	469	461	466	24.3	461	453	456	98.3	-	-	-	-
17	HIGH POINT . . . . .	1 021	170	168	169	16.6	167	165	166	98.2	-	-	-	-
18	RALEIGH . . . . .	1 633	367	367	367	22.5	364	364	364	99.2	-	-	-	-
19	WILMINGTON . . . . .	616	122	120	121	19.6	120	119	119	98.3	-	-	-	-
20	WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	1 875	422	394	404	21.5	417	389	399	98.8	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:														
21	FARGO . . . . .	465	124	115	116	24.9	113	104	105	90.5	4	4	4	3.4
OHIO:														
22	AKRON . . . . .	2 833	661	655	661	23.3	517	517	517	78.2	94	88	94	14.2
23	CANTON . . . . .	1 214	323	300	322	26.5	251	249	250	77.6	47	42	47	14.6
24	CINCINNATI . . . . .	5 007	1 719	1 657	1 694	33.8	1 236	1 227	1 229	72.6	209	181	209	12.3
25	CLEVELAND . . . . .	9 310	2 816	2 776	2 803	30.1	2 379	2 372	2 375	84.7	255	235	255	9.1
26	CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	436	133	121	128	29.4	102	101	101	78.9	17	14	17	13.3
27	COLUMBUS . . . . .	6 627	1 751	1 725	1 739	26.2	1 337	1 323	1 325	76.2	256	244	256	14.7
28	DAYTON . . . . .	2 717	871	863	870	31.8	656	656	656	75.4	91	84	91	10.5
29	ELYRIA . . . . .	479	105	92	102	21.3	68	66	67	65.7	23	18	22	21.6
30	EUCLID . . . . .	870	143	133	140	16.1	120	119	119	85.0	13	6	13	9.3
31	HAMILTON . . . . .	620	136	131	136	21.9	115	115	115	84.6	14	10	14	10.3
32	KETTERING . . . . .	369	121	112	118	32.0	84	84	84	71.2	23	14	20	16.7
33	LAKEWOOD . . . . .	1 455	118	103	111	7.6	97	89	91	82.0	15	9	15	13.9
34	LIMA . . . . .	551	164	137	151	27.4	117	108	110	72.8	27	20	27	17.9
35	LORAIN . . . . .	705	144	135	142	20.1	103	102	102	71.8	22	15	22	15.9
36	MANSFIELD . . . . .	579	192	177	191	33.0	138	138	138	72.3	37	27	36	18.8
37	PARMA . . . . .	603	158	150	156	25.9	110	109	109	69.0	38	32	38	24.4
38	SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	733	207	195	205	28.0	155	155	155	75.6	32	24	32	15.6
39	TOLEDO . . . . .	3 555	1 138	1 121	1 135	31.9	903	900	902	79.5	144	133	144	12.7
40	WARREN . . . . .	536	152	143	150	28.0	99	97	98	65.3	39	33	39	26.0
41	YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	1 619	415	411	415	25.6	312	312	312	75.2	55	51	55	13.3
OKLAHOMA:														
42	LAWTON . . . . .	708	176	172	173	24.4	149	149	149	86.1	9	8	8	4.0
43	MIDWEST . . . . .	838	110	107	109	13.0	99	99	99	90.8	4	3	3	2.0
44	NORMAN . . . . .	1 162	128	126	127	10.9	115	115	115	90.6	8	6	7	5.5
45	OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	3 950	935	932	933	23.6	791	791	791	84.8	56	56	56	6.0
46	TULSA . . . . .	3 054	893	889	891	29.2	797	795	796	89.3	49	49	49	5.9
OREGON:														
47	EUGENE . . . . .	907	216	216	216	23.8	198	198	198	91.7	18	18	18	8.3
48	PORTLAND . . . . .	3 903	967	967	967	24.8	940	940	940	97.2	1	1	1	0.1
49	SALEM . . . . .	1 020	181	176	177	17.4	161	161	161	91.0	6	6	6	3.4
PENNSYLVANIA:														
50	ALLENTOWN . . . . .	844	218	214	215	25.5	212	209	210	97.7	-	-	-	-
51	ALTOONA . . . . .	497	115	115	115	23.1	111	111	111	96.5	-	-	-	-
52	BETHLEHEM . . . . .	714	166	163	164	23.0	162	159	160	97.6	-	-	-	-
53	CHESTER . . . . .	649	162	162	162	25.0	155	155	155	95.7	-	-	-	-
54	ERIE . . . . .	1 089	258	258	258	23.7	238	238	238	92.2	11	11	11	4.3
55	HARRISBURG . . . . .	774	193	192	192	24.8	189	188	188	97.9	-	-	-	-
56	LANCASTER . . . . .	603	140	140	140	23.2	140	140	140	100.0	-	-	-	-
57	PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	36 880	15 668	15 668	15 668	42.5	10 694	10 694	10 694	68.3	2 529	2 529	2 529	16.1
58	PITTSBURGH . . . . .	5 522	1 509	1 509	1 509	27.3	1 434	1 434	1 434	95.0	36	36	36	2.4
59	READING . . . . .	746	194	194	194	26.0	185	185	185	95.4	-	-	-	-
60	SCRANTON . . . . .	984	197	197	197	20.0	192	192	192	97.5	-	-	-	-
61	WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	616	113	113	113	18.3	109	109	109	96.5	-	-	-	-
62	YORK . . . . .	742	137	134	137	18.5	133	133	133	97.1	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:														
63	CRANSTON . . . . .	2 140	146	141	145	6.8	142	141	141	97.2	1	-	1	0.7
64	PAWUCKET . . . . .	1 890	184	181	183	9.7	177	177	177	96.7	4	1	3	1.6
65	PROVIDENCE . . . . .	4 283	562	561	561	13.1	535	534	534	95.2	18	18	18	3.2
66	WARWICK . . . . .	2 429	245	243	244	10.0	231	230	230	94.3	1	-	1	0.4

See footnotes at end of table.

# distribution of full-time equivalent employees of 395 large city October 1977—Continued

Legal services and prosecution				Public defense				Corrections				Other criminal justice				Line number
Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
6	6	6	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
2 506	2 506	2 506	5.1	-	-	-	-	4 931	4 931	4 931	10.1	613	609	609	1.3	2
10	4	8	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
30	30	30	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	0.3	4
5	2	3	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
10	5	10	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
17	15	15	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	0.7	7
10	7	10	6.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
9	3	9	4.3	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	9
9	9	9	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
22	22	22	3.8	-	-	-	-	15	15	15	2.6	5	5	5	0.9	11
1	1	1	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	4.9	12
12	12	12	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
9	9	9	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14
2	1	2	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
8	8	8	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
3	3	3	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
3	3	3	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
2	1	2	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
5	5	5	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
7	7	7	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21
19	19	19	2.9	-	-	-	-	31	31	31	4.7	-	-	-	-	22
22	6	22	6.8	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	0.9	-	-	-	-	23
76	59	63	3.7	15	15	15	0.9	182	175	178	10.5	-	-	-	-	24
64	58	59	2.1	-	-	-	-	114	107	110	3.9	4	4	4	0.1	25
9	2	6	4.7	-	-	-	-	5	4	4	3.1	-	-	-	-	26
64	64	64	3.7	-	-	-	-	86	86	86	4.9	8	8	8	0.5	27
27	27	27	3.1	-	-	-	-	97	96	96	11.0	-	-	-	-	28
11	5	10	9.8	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	2.9	-	-	-	-	29
10	6	8	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
5	4	5	3.7	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	1.5	-	-	-	-	31
7	7	7	5.9	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	5.9	-	-	-	-	32
5	4	4	3.6	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	33
11	2	6	4.0	3	1	2	1.3	6	6	6	4.0	-	-	-	-	34
15	14	14	9.9	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	2.8	-	-	-	-	35
9	4	9	4.7	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	2.6	3	3	3	1.6	36
10	9	9	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37
12	9	11	5.4	-	-	-	-	5	4	4	2.0	3	3	3	1.5	38
28	26	26	2.3	-	-	-	-	63	62	63	5.6	-	-	-	-	39
8	7	7	4.7	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	4.0	-	-	-	-	40
22	22	22	5.3	-	-	-	-	26	26	26	6.3	-	-	-	-	41
6	6	6	3.5	2	2	2	1.2	10	7	8	4.6	-	-	-	-	42
2	-	2	1.8	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	4.6	-	-	-	-	43
5	5	5	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44
40	37	38	4.1	-	-	-	-	38	38	38	4.1	10	10	10	1.1	45
34	32	33	3.7	-	-	-	-	13	13	13	1.5	-	-	-	-	46
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47
19	19	19	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	0.7	48
12	9	10	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49
6	5	5	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50
4	4	4	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
4	4	4	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
7	7	7	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
8	8	8	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	0.4	54
4	4	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56
535	535	535	3.4	-	-	-	-	1 910	1 910	1 910	12.2	-	-	-	-	57
37	37	37	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	0.1	58
4	9	9	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
5	5	5	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60
4	4	4	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
4	1	4	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62
3	-	3	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	63
3	3	3	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64
9	9	9	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	65
5	5	5	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	6	8	3.3	66

Table 23. Criminal justice system employment and percent governments,

Line number	City <sup>1</sup>	Total full-time equivalent employees <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system				Police protection				Judicial			
			Number of employees			Percent of total full-time equivalent employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees
			Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	SOUTH CAROLINA:													
2	CHARLESTON . . . . .	1 125	285	264	276	24.5	273	253	265	96.0	6	4	6	2.2
3	COLUMBIA . . . . .	1 432	328	316	321	22.4	261	257	259	80.7	24	24	24	7.5
4	GREENVILLE . . . . .	720	164	164	164	22.8	154	153	153	93.3	6	6	6	3.7
5	SOUTH DAKOTA:													
6	SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	696	140	140	140	20.1	137	137	137	97.9	-	-	-	-
7	TENNESSEE:													
8	CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	5 903	490	471	482	8.2	471	452	463	96.1	17	17	17	3.5
9	KNOXVILLE . . . . .	4 363	475	466	469	10.7	430	421	424	90.4	6	6	6	1.3
10	MEMPHIS . . . . .	21 830	1 902	1 902	1 902	8.7	1 547	1 547	1 547	81.3	79	79	79	4.2
11	NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON	16 057	1 934	1 890	1 908	11.9	1 229	1 229	1 229	64.4	300	296	297	15.6
12	TEXAS:													
13	ARILENE . . . . .	907	171	166	168	18.5	156	153	153	91.1	7	7	7	4.2
14	AMARILLO . . . . .	1 339	340	339	339	25.3	314	314	314	92.6	19	18	18	5.3
15	ARLINGTON . . . . .	1 972	226	221	222	22.8	209	205	206	92.8	9	8	8	3.6
16	AUSTIN . . . . .	5 363	823	805	814	15.2	733	731	732	89.9	58	43	51	6.3
17	BEAUMONT . . . . .	1 221	269	267	269	22.0	237	237	237	88.1	19	17	19	7.1
18	BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	781	128	128	128	16.4	118	118	118	92.2	6	6	6	4.7
19	CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	2 350	447	445	446	19.0	403	402	402	90.1	27	26	27	6.1
20	DALLAS . . . . .	10 975	2 688	2 879	2 882	26.3	2 555	2 555	2 555	88.7	156	156	156	5.4
21	EL PASO . . . . .	3 766	922	911	913	24.2	836	825	827	90.6	46	46	46	5.0
22	FORT WORTH . . . . .	4 441	983	983	983	22.1	866	866	866	88.1	57	57	57	5.8
23	GALVESTON . . . . .	1 875	163	160	161	8.6	149	149	149	92.5	12	9	10	6.2
24	GARLAND . . . . .	950	179	174	175	18.4	168	163	164	93.7	6	6	6	3.4
25	GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	403	105	96	98	24.3	94	85	87	88.8	6	6	6	6.1
26	HOUSTON . . . . .	14 503	4 005	3 989	4 004	27.6	3 624	3 623	3 623	90.5	225	210	225	5.6
27	IRVING . . . . .	843	192	189	190	22.5	172	172	172	90.5	14	11	12	6.3
28	LAREDO . . . . .	643	94	94	94	11.2	82	82	82	87.2	9	9	9	9.6
29	LUBBOCK . . . . .	1 458	285	285	285	19.5	258	258	258	90.5	16	16	16	5.6
30	MESQUITE . . . . .	494	115	114	114	23.1	107	107	107	93.9	4	4	4	3.5
31	MIDLAND . . . . .	627	160	157	157	25.0	144	143	143	91.1	10	8	8	5.1
32	ODESSA . . . . .	565	159	159	159	28.1	137	137	137	86.2	13	13	13	8.2
33	PASADENA . . . . .	695	219	216	217	31.2	193	193	193	88.9	18	15	16	7.4
34	PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	640	122	121	122	19.1	113	113	113	92.6	5	4	5	4.1
35	RICHARDSON . . . . .	542	106	105	105	19.4	100	99	99	94.3	6	6	6	5.7
36	SAN ANGELO . . . . .	649	167	165	165	25.4	146	145	145	87.9	12	12	12	7.3
37	SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	7 428	1 571	1 571	1 571	21.1	1 469	1 469	1 469	93.5	62	62	62	3.9
38	TYLER . . . . .	579	118	118	118	20.4	107	107	107	90.7	7	7	7	5.9
39	WACO . . . . .	1 261	285	274	280	22.2	254	250	254	90.7	8	8	8	2.9
40	WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	1 171	172	172	172	14.7	145	145	145	84.3	15	15	15	8.7
41	UTAH:													
42	OGDEN . . . . .	565	175	167	168	29.7	135	135	135	80.4	33	25	26	15.3
43	PROVO . . . . .	356	86	77	79	22.2	73	66	67	84.8	9	8	9	11.4
44	SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	2 144	676	549	583	27.2	617	490	524	89.9	41	41	41	7.0
45	VIRGINIA:													
46	ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	3 342	397	376	387	11.6	269	268	268	69.3	53	34	45	11.6
47	CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	4 055	172	355	360	8.9	220	220	220	61.1	22	21	21	5.8
48	HAMPTON . . . . .	4 296	318	310	310	7.2	219	215	215	69.4	9	7	7	2.3
49	LYNCHBURG . . . . .	2 119	248	237	241	11.4	168	166	167	69.3	1	1	1	0.4
50	NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	5 399	504	499	503	9.3	274	274	274	54.5	48	48	48	9.5
51	NORFOLK . . . . .	10 340	696	978	983	9.5	701	701	701	71.3	67	67	67	6.8
52	PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	4 061	391	391	391	9.6	250	250	250	63.9	39	39	39	10.0
53	RICHMOND . . . . .	10 366	1 007	1 011	1 011	9.8	648	645	646	63.9	87	87	87	8.6
54	ROANOKE . . . . .	3 552	373	361	368	10.4	225	223	225	61.1	49	48	49	13.3
55	VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	7 934	700	684	690	8.7	488	488	488	70.7	61	55	57	8.3
56	WASHINGTON:													
57	BELLEVUE . . . . .	571	140	113	124	21.7	120	99	108	87.1	4	3	3	2.4
58	EVERETT . . . . .	503	117	111	114	22.7	110	108	109	95.6	-	-	-	-
59	SEATTLE . . . . .	6 575	1 589	1 523	1 536	23.4	1 290	1 290	1 290	84.0	131	111	117	7.6
60	SPOKANE . . . . .	1 723	345	343	343	19.9	304	304	304	88.6	23	21	21	6.1
61	TACOMA . . . . .	2 222	364	364	364	16.4	292	292	292	80.2	24	24	24	6.6
62	WEST VIRGINIA:													
63	CHARLESTOWN . . . . .	1 029	204	204	204	19.8	192	192	192	94.1	10	10	10	4.9
64	HUNTINGTON . . . . .	901	185	181	185	20.5	178	178	178	96.2	3	2	3	1.6
65	WISCONSIN:													
66	APPLETON . . . . .	1 305	97	93	93	7.1	94	92	92	98.9	-	-	-	-
67	GREEN BAY . . . . .	2 669	193	192	193	7.2	184	184	184	95.3	4	4	4	2.1
68	KENOSHA . . . . .	703	176	176	176	25.0	167	167	167	94.9	4	4	4	2.3
69	LA CROSSE . . . . .	1 230	103	98	102	8.3	97	96	96	94.1	1	1	1	1.0
70	MADISON . . . . .	4 459	360	360	360	8.1	341	341	341	94.7	-	-	-	-
71	MILWAUKEE . . . . .	8 475	2 394	2 384	2 386	26.9	2 311	2 301	2 303	96.5	21	21	21	0.9
72	OSHKOSH . . . . .	1 439	98	97	97	6.7	94	94	94	96.9	-	-	-	-
73	RACINE . . . . .	1 042	295	294	295	28.3	285	285	285	96.6	2	1	2	0.7
74	WAUKESHA . . . . .	1 379	115	108	110	8.0	110	105	106	96.4	3	1	2	1.8
75	WEST ALLIS . . . . .	1 649	156	153	155	9.4	150	150	150	96.8	1	-	1	0.6

\* Represents zero or rounds to zero.

† Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.<sup>2</sup>Employees of city-operated utilities are excluded.





**Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>TOTAL . . . . .</b>	<b>1 614 917</b>	<b>377 261</b>	<b>23.4</b>	<b>313 557</b>	<b>83.1</b>	<b>22 579</b>	<b>6.0</b>
<b>ALABAMA:</b>							
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	3 672	1 094	29.8	960	87.8	33	3.0
GAOSDEN . . . . .	638	103	16.1	93	90.6	3	2.9
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	2 146	300	14.0	271	90.3	10	3.5
MOBILE . . . . .	2 135	539	25.3	494	91.6	11	2.1
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	1 766	394	22.3	357	90.6	13	3.2
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	1 670	210	12.6	197	94.0	6	2.9
<b>ALASKA:</b>							
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	10 091	602	6.0	543	90.2	-	-
<b>ARIZONA:</b>							
GLENDAL . . . . .	645	190	29.5	174	91.8	6	3.0
MESA . . . . .	995	242	24.3	224	92.7	7	3.0
PHOENIX . . . . .	9 496	3 413	35.9	3 074	90.1	150	4.4
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	931	220	23.7	198	89.8	9	4.1
TEMPE . . . . .	706	200	28.4	182	91.0	7	3.4
TUSCON . . . . .	4 235	939	22.2	857	91.2	25	2.6
<b>ARKANSAS:</b>							
FORT SMITH . . . . .	478	108	22.6	92	85.0	7	6.0
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	1 420	368	26.0	323	87.7	18	4.9
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	561	161	28.8	139	86.1	7	4.5
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	354	93	26.3	84	90.4	-	-
<b>CALIFORNIA:</b>							
ALAMEDA . . . . .	756	186	24.6	180	96.4	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	549	172	31.3	169	98.6	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	2 703	666	24.7	625	93.7	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	1 023	352	34.4	338	96.1	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	129	4	3.1	2	42.2	-	-
BERKELEY . . . . .	2 132	507	23.8	489	96.5	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	569	239	42.1	237	99.1	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	1 484	425	28.7	401	94.4	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	387	3	0.8	3	100.0	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	758	194	25.5	187	96.6	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	804	344	42.7	324	94.2	4	1.0
CONCORD . . . . .	852	303	35.5	296	97.7	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	837	283	33.8	275	97.2	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	642	201	31.3	197	98.3	-	-
DOWNNEY . . . . .	733	222	30.3	218	98.1	-	-
EL CAJON . . . . .	594	189	31.9	186	98.0	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	521	215	41.2	210	97.7	-	-
FREMONT . . . . .	934	299	32.0	290	96.9	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	3 083	866	28.1	839	97.0	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	910	267	29.4	263	98.3	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	1 187	310	26.2	286	92.2	-	-
GLENDAL . . . . .	1 563	338	21.7	316	93.3	-	-
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	515	182	35.3	169	92.8	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	981	295	30.0	285	96.8	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	1 850	559	33.9	511	91.4	-	-
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	994	414	41.7	397	95.9	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	241	7	3.1	5	68.3	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	6 605	1 636	24.8	1 377	84.2	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	51 389	17 834	34.7	16 964	95.1	-	-
MODESTO . . . . .	988	251	25.4	240	95.7	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	648	140	21.7	135	96.0	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	976	517	52.9	502	97.3	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	146	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	7 389	1 931	26.1	1 887	97.7	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	787	218	27.7	218	100.0	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	854	289	33.9	279	96.2	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	1 008	284	28.1	271	95.7	3	0.9
PALO ALTO . . . . .	2 263	223	9.8	209	93.8	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	1 885	621	32.9	585	94.3	-	-
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	167	2	1.2	-	-	-	-
POMONA . . . . .	1 172	316	26.9	289	91.5	-	-
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	704	235	33.4	226	96.2	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	634	139	22.0	128	92.3	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	1 396	380	27.2	366	96.3	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	1 818	551	30.3	537	97.4	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	4 247	1 197	28.2	1 176	98.3	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	758	238	31.4	221	92.9	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	1 925	410	21.3	397	96.8	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	693	186	26.8	179	96.4	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	7 793	2 303	29.6	2 135	92.7	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	23 530	6 570	27.9	3 965	60.4	892	13.6
SAN JOSE . . . . .	6 374	1 851	29.0	1 786	96.5	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	780	209	26.9	198	94.6	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	876	280	31.9	271	96.8	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	1 871	710	37.9	681	96.0	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	1 181	339	28.7	328	96.7	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
TOTAL . . . . .	14 260	3.8	289	0.1	24 889	6.6	1 686	0.4
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	32	2.9	-	-	68	6.3	-	-
GADSDEN . . . . .	2	1.6	-	-	5	4.9	-	-
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	7	2.3	-	-	12	3.9	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	10	1.9	-	-	16	2.9	8	1.5
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	6	1.6	-	-	18	4.6	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	7	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	59	9.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDALE . . . . .	5	2.6	-	-	5	2.6	-	-
MESA . . . . .	5	2.0	1	0.5	4	1.8	-	-
PHOENIX . . . . .	154	4.5	-	-	21	0.6	14	0.4
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	13	6.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE . . . . .	11	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCON . . . . .	56	5.9	-	-	-	-	2	0.2
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH . . . . .	1	1.0	-	-	9	8.0	-	-
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	8	2.2	2	0.6	17	4.7	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	5	2.8	2	1.3	9	5.3	-	-
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	4	4.0	-	-	5	5.5	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	7	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	29	4.3	-	-	13	1.9	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	14	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	2	57.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY . . . . .	18	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	2	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	20	4.6	-	-	4	1.0	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	7	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	16	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD . . . . .	7	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	8	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	3	1.7	-	-	-	-	4	1.9
DOWNEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON . . . . .	4	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	5	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT . . . . .	9	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	26	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	4	1.7	-	-	-	-	19	6.2
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	5	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE . . . . .	14	4.1	-	-	9	2.7	-	-
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	13	7.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	9	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	22	3.9	-	-	26	4.7	-	-
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	17	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	2	31.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	134	8.2	-	-	125	7.6	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	870	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO . . . . .	11	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	6	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	8	1.5	-	-	6	1.2	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	44	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	11	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	10	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO . . . . .	14	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	27	4.3	-	-	8	1.3	1	0.1
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	2	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA . . . . .	4	1.2	-	-	10	3.2	13	4.0
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	4	1.6	-	-	5	2.2	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	11	7.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	14	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	14	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	21	1.7	-	-	-	-	11	4.6
SALINAS . . . . .	6	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	13	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	7	3.6	-	-	-	-	10	0.4
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	158	6.8	-	-	-	-	12	0.2
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	642	9.8	167	2.5	892	13.6	-	-
SAN JOSE . . . . .	65	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	11	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	9	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	28	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	11	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED							
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	1 107	309	27.9	300	97.2	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	1 400	348	24.9	318	91.3	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	681	193	28.4	185	95.6	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	244	134	55.0	126	94.3	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	455	202	44.3	190	94.5	-	-
STOCKTON . . . . .	2 089	590	28.2	573	97.2	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	867	186	21.5	178	95.4	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	1 962	616	31.4	583	94.7	-	-
VALLEJO . . . . .	624	204	32.7	197	96.5	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	599	178	29.7	173	97.6	-	-
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	449	205	45.7	205	100.0	-	-
WHITTIER . . . . .	488	203	41.6	201	99.2	-	-
COLORADO:							
ARVADA . . . . .	354	167	47.0	156	93.9	3	2.0
AURORA . . . . .	1 264	37	2.9	-	0.9	14	38.0
BOULDER . . . . .	1 025	198	19.3	177	89.4	10	5.0
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	2 053	447	21.8	411	92.1	16	3.6
DENVER . . . . .	13 561	3 154	23.3	2 354	74.6	291	9.2
FORT COLLINS . . . . .	495	103	20.9	96	92.8	4	3.6
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	801	409	51.1	361	88.3	16	3.9
PUEBLO . . . . .	776	253	32.6	246	97.3	7	2.7
CONNECTICUT:							
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	3 808	545	14.3	533	97.8	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	1 898	143	7.5	137	96.0	-	-
DANBURY . . . . .	1 783	164	9.2	161	98.2	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	6 835	788	11.5	759	96.3	-	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	1 968	181	9.2	165	91.4	-	-
MILFORD . . . . .	1 904	196	10.3	191	97.6	-	-
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	1 880	231	12.3	216	93.5	-	-
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	4 090	533	13.0	518	97.1	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	2 774	269	9.7	255	94.9	-	-
STAMFORD . . . . .	3 808	344	9.0	320	93.0	-	-
WATERBURY . . . . .	3 223	351	10.9	339	96.6	1	0.1
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	1 371	158	11.5	149	94.7	-	-
DELAWARE:							
WILMINGTON . . . . .	3 569	448	12.5	383	85.6	35	7.8
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:							
WASHINGTON . . . . .	64 187	14 090	22.0	7 910	56.1	1 234	8.8
FLORIDA:							
CLEARWATER . . . . .	1 226	263	21.5	250	95.0	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	2 395	790	33.0	770	97.4	-	-
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	1 059	229	21.6	226	98.8	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	1 482	482	32.5	479	99.4	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	1 528	510	33.4	490	96.1	-	-
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	8 987	2 157	24.0	1 317	61.1	307	14.2
MIAMI . . . . .	5 144	1 770	34.4	1 717	97.0	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	1 740	467	26.8	453	97.0	-	-
ORLANDO . . . . .	2 423	675	27.9	655	97.1	-	-
PENSACOLA . . . . .	867	191	22.0	177	92.7	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	2 830	795	28.1	734	92.3	-	-
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	2 027	205	10.1	205	99.7	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	4 795	857	17.9	832	97.2	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	1 003	263	26.2	239	91.0	-	-
GEORGIA:							
ALBANY . . . . .	670	204	30.4	202	99.2	1	0.5
ATLANTA . . . . .	8 245	1 983	24.1	1 691	85.3	95	4.8
AUGUSTA . . . . .	595	165	27.8	152	92.2	4	2.2
COLUMBUS . . . . .	2 106	601	28.6	436	72.5	90	14.9
MACON . . . . .	1 252	286	22.8	253	88.6	7	2.4
SAVANNAH . . . . .	1 297	289	22.3	266	91.9	12	4.1
HAWAII:							
HONOLULU . . . . .	11 294	2 717	24.1	2 549	93.8	-	-
IDAHO:							
BOISE CITY . . . . .	862	231	26.8	209	90.6	11	4.7
ILLINOIS:							
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS . . . . .	553	168	30.4	167	99.2	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	798	275	34.5	269	98.0	-	(2)
BERWYN . . . . .	292	94	32.1	90	96.7	-	-
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	1 168	146	12.5	143	98.2	-	-
CHICAGO . . . . .	59 221	24 045	40.6	23 701	98.6	13	0.1
CICERO . . . . .	343	141	41.1	133	94.5	-	-
DECATUR . . . . .	607	166	27.3	161	97.0	-	-
DES PLAINES . . . . .	545	167	30.7	164	97.9	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS . . . . .	888	170	19.1	164	96.3	-	-
ELGIN . . . . .	525	167	31.8	162	97.2	1	0.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October Payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED</b>								
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	9	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	30	8.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	8	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	8	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	3	1.5	-	-	3	1.6	5	2.5
STOCKTON . . . . .	16	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	9	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	23	3.8	-	-	9	1.4	-	-
VALLEJO . . . . .	7	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	2.4
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER . . . . .	2	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>COLORADO:</b>								
ARVADA . . . . .	7	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	23	61.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	11	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	19	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER . . . . .	235	7.4	-	-	274	8.7	-	-
FORT COLLINS . . . . .	4	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	24	5.8	-	-	8	2.0	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>CONNECTICUT:</b>								
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	12	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	4	2.9	-	-	-	-	2	1.1
DANBURY . . . . .	3	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	30	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	5	2.9	-	-	-	-	10	5.7
MILFORD . . . . .	4	2.0	-	-	1	0.5	-	-
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	9	3.8	-	-	-	-	6	2.7
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	15	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	10	3.8	-	-	-	-	4	1.3
STAMFORD . . . . .	17	4.8	-	-	-	-	7	2.1
WATERBURY . . . . .	10	3.0	-	-	1	0.3	-	-
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	5	3.3	-	-	-	-	3	2.0
<b>DELAWARE:</b>								
WILMINGTON . . . . .	30	6.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:</b>								
WASHINGTON . . . . .	307	2.2	1	(2)	4 594	32.6	45	0.3
<b>FLORIDA:</b>								
CLEARWATER . . . . .	9	3.6	-	-	4	1.4	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	14	1.8	-	-	6	0.8	-	-
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	3	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
HALEAH . . . . .	3	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	11	2.2	-	-	9	1.7	-	-
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	67	3.1	-	-	441	20.4	26	1.2
MIAMI . . . . .	53	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	14	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO . . . . .	11	1.6	-	-	5	0.7	4	0.6
PENSACOLA . . . . .	7	3.5	-	-	7	3.7	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	23	2.9	-	-	20	2.5	18	2.3
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	1	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	23	2.7	-	-	-	-	1	0.1
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	6	2.4	-	-	17	6.6	-	-
<b>GEORGIA:</b>								
ALBANY . . . . .	1	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA . . . . .	50	2.5	5	0.2	130	6.6	12	0.6
AUGUSTA . . . . .	1	0.6	-	-	7	4.5	1	0.5
COLUMBUS . . . . .	24	4.0	1	0.1	51	8.5	-	-
MACON . . . . .	3	1.6	-	-	21	7.4	-	-
SAVANNAH . . . . .	3	1.1	-	-	8	2.9	-	-
<b>HAWAII:</b>								
HONOLULU . . . . .	168	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>IDAHO:</b>								
BOISE CITY . . . . .	11	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>ILLINOIS:</b>								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS . . . . .	1	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	6	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN . . . . .	3	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	3	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO . . . . .	274	1.1	-	-	-	-	58	0.2
CICERO . . . . .	8	5.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR . . . . .	5	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES . . . . .	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS . . . . .	6	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN . . . . .	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED							
EVANSTON . . . . .	1 119	293	26.2	284	96.9	-	-
JOLIET . . . . .	820	300	36.6	288	96.0	-	-
OAK LAWN . . . . .	517	150	28.9	150	100.0	-	-
OAK PARK . . . . .	781	262	33.6	256	97.4	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	1 362	491	36.0	472	96.3	-	-
ROCKFORD . . . . .	1 369	412	30.1	398	96.8	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	503	120	23.8	116	96.8	2	1.8
SKOKIE . . . . .	639	217	33.9	207	95.6	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	935	263	28.2	244	92.6	-	-
WAUKEGAN . . . . .	609	185	30.5	181	97.6	-	-
INDIANA:							
ANDERSON . . . . .	514	150	29.2	139	92.4	5	3.5
EVANSVILLE . . . . .	1 375	344	25.0	332	96.6	-	-
FORT WAYNE . . . . .	1 505	403	26.8	394	97.7	-	-
GARY . . . . .	1 924	483	25.1	425	87.9	34	7.1
HAMMOND . . . . .	989	251	25.4	227	90.5	15	5.8
INDIANAPOLIS . . . . .	9 155	2 860	31.2	1 956	68.4	377	13.2
MUNCIE . . . . .	532	153	28.7	145	94.8	6	3.8
SOUTH BEND . . . . .	1 105	335	30.3	327	97.6	-	-
TERRE HAUTE . . . . .	441	117	26.5	107	91.3	5	4.7
IOWA:							
CEDAR RAPIDS . . . . .	1 068	231	21.7	222	95.9	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS . . . . .	493	138	27.9	131	95.5	-	-
DAVENPORT . . . . .	886	236	26.7	229	96.8	-	-
DES MOINES . . . . .	2 441	519	21.3	461	88.8	-	-
DUBUQUE . . . . .	619	110	17.8	106	95.9	-	-
SIOUX CITY . . . . .	924	195	21.1	173	89.1	-	-
WATERLOO . . . . .	662	191	28.8	186	97.4	-	-
KANSAS:							
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	1 695	461	27.2	396	85.9	8	1.7
OVERLAND PARK . . . . .	345	164	47.4	140	85.8	10	6.2
TOPEKA . . . . .	1 504	377	25.1	342	90.9	5	1.4
WICHITA . . . . .	3 012	618	20.5	555	89.7	35	5.6
KENTUCKY:							
COVINGTON . . . . .	477	143	29.9	133	93.1	3	2.1
LEXINGTON . . . . .	2 054	693	33.7	472	68.1	75	10.8
LOUISVILLE . . . . .	4 828	1 275	26.4	1 174	92.1	48	3.8
OWENSBORO . . . . .	1 222	113	9.2	104	92.0	5	4.4
LOUISIANA:							
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	3 321	1 299	39.1	837	64.5	242	18.6
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	682	124	18.1	111	89.5	12	9.6
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	680	118	17.4	107	90.1	6	5.2
MONROE . . . . .	694	105	15.1	86	81.9	8	7.4
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	6 539	3 255	49.8	1 805	55.5	536	16.5
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	1 906	483	25.3	430	89.0	30	6.3
MAINE:							
PORTLAND . . . . .	2 711	190	7.0	179	94.2	-	-
MARYLAND:							
BALTIMORE . . . . .	35 515	5 908	16.6	4 534	76.7	419	7.1
MASSACHUSETTS:							
BOSTON . . . . .	33 357	5 462	16.4	3 413	62.5	1 281	23.5
BROCKTON . . . . .	3 357	288	8.6	271	94.2	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	4 117	596	14.5	569	95.6	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	1 592	211	13.3	206	97.8	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	3 119	419	13.4	396	94.3	-	-
HOLYOKE . . . . .	1 192	165	13.9	159	96.1	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	2 187	195	8.9	191	97.9	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	3 070	286	9.3	266	93.1	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	3 430	392	11.4	374	95.2	-	-
MALDEN . . . . .	1 715	201	11.7	197	98.0	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	1 615	254	15.7	250	98.6	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	3 114	394	12.7	388	98.3	-	-
NEWTON . . . . .	3 446	433	12.6	421	97.1	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	2 028	186	9.2	185	99.3	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	4 974	467	9.4	462	98.9	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	2 704	278	10.3	241	86.7	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	6 094	585	9.6	562	96.1	-	-
WALTHAM . . . . .	1 953	264	13.5	257	97.3	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	7 894	684	8.7	661	96.6	-	-
MICHIGAN:							
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	1 246	383	30.7	334	87.1	29	7.6
DEARBORN . . . . .	1 827	440	24.1	393	89.4	19	4.4
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	459	215	46.9	186	86.2	18	8.4
DETROIT . . . . .	29 263	11 727	40.1	10 519	89.7	665	5.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>ILLINOIS--CONTINUED</b>								
EVANSTON . . . . .	9	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET . . . . .	8	2.8	-	-	-	-	3	1.2
OAK LAWN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK . . . . .	7	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	18	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD . . . . .	13	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE . . . . .	9	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	14	5.4	-	-	5	2.0	-	-
WAUKEGAN . . . . .	4	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>INDIANA:</b>								
ANDERSON . . . . .	5	3.5	-	0.3	-	0.2	-	-
EVANSVILLE . . . . .	12	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE . . . . .	9	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY . . . . .	11	2.2	-	-	6	1.3	8	1.6
HAMMOND . . . . .	7	2.7	-	-	2	1.0	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS . . . . .	191	6.7	-	-	328	11.5	7	0.3
MUNCIE . . . . .	2	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND . . . . .	8	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE . . . . .	5	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>IOWA:</b>								
CEDAR RAPIDS . . . . .	9	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS . . . . .	6	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT . . . . .	8	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES . . . . .	23	4.4	-	-	31	5.9	4	0.8
DUBUQUE . . . . .	4	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY . . . . .	12	6.3	-	-	9	4.6	-	-
WATERLOO . . . . .	5	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>KANSAS:</b>								
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	25	5.4	-	-	26	5.7	6	1.3
OVERLAND PARK . . . . .	11	6.5	-	-	-	-	2	1.5
TOPEKA . . . . .	19	4.9	5	1.2	2	0.6	4	1.0
WICHITA . . . . .	29	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>KENTUCKY:</b>								
COVINGTON . . . . .	7	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	31	4.5	-	-	115	16.6	-	-
LOUISVILLE . . . . .	43	3.4	-	-	-	-	9	0.7
OWENSBORO . . . . .	4	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>LOUISIANA:</b>								
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	102	7.8	10	0.7	99	7.6	10	0.7
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	5	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	7	6.5	-	-	4	4.1	-	-
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	202	6.2	34	1.1	650	20.0	27	0.8
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	11	2.3	-	-	11	2.3	-	-
<b>MAINE:</b>								
PORTLAND . . . . .	11	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MARYLAND:</b>								
BALTIMORE . . . . .	427	7.2	-	-	527	8.9	-	-
<b>MASSACHUSETTS:</b>								
BOSTON . . . . .	215	3.9	-	-	552	10.1	-	-
BROCKTON . . . . .	5	1.6	-	-	-	-	12	4.2
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	7	1.2	-	-	-	-	19	3.2
CHICOPEE . . . . .	5	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	5	1.3	-	-	13	3.1	5	1.3
HOLYOKE . . . . .	6	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	20	6.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	7	1.8	-	-	-	-	12	3.0
HALDEN . . . . .	4	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	4	1.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	7	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON . . . . .	13	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	1	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	5	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	7	2.4	-	-	-	-	30	10.9
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	18	3.1	-	-	-	-	5	0.8
WALTHAM . . . . .	7	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	23	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>MICHIGAN:</b>								
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	11	2.9	-	-	9	2.4	-	-
DEARBORN . . . . .	17	3.9	-	-	10	2.3	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	11	5.3	-	-
DETROIT . . . . .	198	1.7	-	-	345	2.9	-	-

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED							
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	303	124	40.8	124	100.0	-	-
FLINT . . . . .	4 399	824	18.7	725	88.0	49	6.0
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	2 228	637	28.6	530	83.1	56	8.9
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	1 031	300	29.1	246	81.9	28	9.4
LANSING . . . . .	1 746	579	33.2	460	79.4	44	7.6
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	400	133	33.2	120	90.3	11	8.1
LIVONIA . . . . .	1 062	303	28.5	268	88.5	17	5.5
PONTIAC . . . . .	3 060	521	17.0	453	87.0	35	6.7
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	435	182	41.9	164	89.9	18	10.1
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	687	202	29.4	175	86.5	15	7.5
SAGINAW . . . . .	1 310	353	27.0	338	95.7	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	947	346	36.5	289	83.5	32	9.4
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	524	198	37.9	187	94.4	9	4.6
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	690	273	39.6	250	91.5	20	7.2
TAYLOR . . . . .	549	200	36.4	186	93.1	13	6.5
WARREN . . . . .	1 669	499	29.9	421	84.3	49	9.9
WESTLAND . . . . .	523	191	36.6	166	86.6	20	10.5
WYOMING . . . . .	446	157	35.1	143	91.2	12	7.9
MINNESOTA:							
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	625	176	28.2	167	94.7	-	-
DULUTH . . . . .	1 126	263	23.3	240	91.2	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	7 007	1 582	22.6	1 478	93.4	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	527	130	24.7	124	94.9	-	-
ST. PAUL . . . . .	4 367	1 138	26.1	1 055	92.8	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:							
BILOXI . . . . .	309	65	21.0	62	95.1	2	3.7
JACKSON . . . . .	2 075	504	24.3	436	86.5	24	4.8
MISSOURI:							
COLUMBIA . . . . .	605	187	25.9	141	90.2	6	3.8
FLORISSANT . . . . .	197	99	50.1	96	97.0	2	2.1
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	929	230	24.8	211	91.4	9	3.8
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	7 408	2 755	37.2	2 491	90.4	83	3.0
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	617	164	26.6	154	94.0	3	2.1
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	13 720	4 408	32.1	3 236	73.4	418	9.5
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	1 240	266	21.4	249	93.6	8	3.1
MONTANA:							
BILLINGS . . . . .	715	165	23.1	156	94.3	9	5.7
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	505	116	22.9	110	95.1	1	1.2
NEBRASKA:							
LINCOLN . . . . .	2 213	460	20.8	357	77.6	55	11.9
OMAHA . . . . .	3 546	967	27.3	829	85.7	67	6.9
NEVADA:							
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	1 470	91	6.2	-	-	51	56.1
RENO . . . . .	1 403	500	35.7	422	84.3	28	5.5
NEW HAMPSHIRE:							
MANCHESTER . . . . .	2 464	230	9.4	198	86.0	15	6.7
NASHUA . . . . .	1 611	215	13.3	192	89.2	13	5.9
NEW JERSEY:							
BAYONNE . . . . .	2 342	252	10.8	231	91.4	9	3.6
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	511	184	36.1	176	95.3	4	2.2
CAMDEN . . . . .	1 617	631	39.0	580	92.0	31	5.0
CLIFTON . . . . .	1 923	234	12.2	221	94.3	6	2.8
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	2 959	410	13.9	362	88.1	32	7.8
ELIZABETH . . . . .	3 880	608	15.7	570	93.9	10	1.6
IRVINGTON . . . . .	750	241	32.2	215	89.0	16	6.4
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	9 375	1 524	16.3	1 447	95.0	52	3.4
NEWARK . . . . .	18 077	2 090	11.6	1 978	94.6	73	3.5
PASSAIC . . . . .	626	226	36.2	208	92.0	7	3.0
PATERSON . . . . .	4 382	678	15.5	647	95.4	17	2.5
TRENTON . . . . .	2 162	650	30.1	611	93.9	25	3.9
UNION CITY . . . . .	1 078	207	19.2	193	93.1	10	4.8
VINELAND . . . . .	1 709	137	8.0	128	93.4	7	5.0
NEW MEXICO:							
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	3 827	1 019	26.6	782	76.7	56	5.5
NEW YORK:							
ALBANY . . . . .	1 728	532	30.8	515	96.8	1	0.1
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	1 661	195	11.7	188	96.6	-	-
BUFFALO . . . . .	16 661	1 864	11.2	1 760	94.4	14	0.8
MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	1 021	296	29.0	264	89.1	20	6.7
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	1 131	343	30.3	311	90.9	22	6.3
NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	373 597	80 231	21.5	59 855	74.6	7 126	8.9

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
MICHIGAN--CONTINUED								
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT . . . . .	12	1.5	-	-	37	4.5	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	27	4.3	-	-	17	2.7	7	1.1
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	19	6.4	-	-	7	2.4	-	-
LANSING . . . . .	14	2.4	-	-	61	10.6	-	-
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	1	1.0	-	-	1	0.7	-	-
LIVONIA . . . . .	12	4.0	-	-	6	2.0	-	-
PONTIAC . . . . .	28	5.4	-	-	5	0.9	-	-
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	6	3.1	-	-	6	2.9	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	15	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	15	4.2	-	-	10	2.9	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	2	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	2	0.7	-	-	2	0.6	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	0.4	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	25	5.1	-	-	3	0.7	-	-
WESTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	6	3.0	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	0.9	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	9	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH . . . . .	23	8.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	105	6.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	7	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL . . . . .	59	5.2	-	-	-	-	23	2.0
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI . . . . .	1	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	17	3.3	-	-	25	5.0	2	0.5
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA . . . . .	9	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT . . . . .	1	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	11	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	88	3.2	-	-	90	3.3	4	0.1
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	6	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	184	4.2	-	-	561	12.7	10	0.2
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	7	2.7	-	-	-	-	2	0.6
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	4	3.7	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN . . . . .	30	6.5	-	-	18	3.9	-	-
OMAHA . . . . .	60	6.2	-	-	5	0.5	6	0.6
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	40	43.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO . . . . .	27	5.3	-	-	24	4.8	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER . . . . .	9	3.9	-	-	8	3.3	-	-
NASHUA . . . . .	1	0.5	-	-	9	4.4	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE . . . . .	12	4.6	1	0.3	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	5	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	19	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON . . . . .	7	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	10	2.5	-	-	-	-	6	1.6
ELIZABETH . . . . .	11	1.8	-	-	-	-	16	2.6
IRVINGTON . . . . .	11	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	20	1.3	-	-	-	-	4	0.3
NEWARK . . . . .	39	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	5	2.2	-	-	-	-	6	2.7
PATERSON . . . . .	14	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON . . . . .	11	1.7	1	0.2	-	-	2	0.4
UNION CITY . . . . .	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND . . . . .	2	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	60	5.9	-	-	120	11.8	2	0.2
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY . . . . .	14	2.7	2	0.4	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	7	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO . . . . .	79	4.2	-	-	-	-	11	0.6
MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	12	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	10	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	3 300	4.1	-	-	9 048	11.3	903	1.1

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED							
NIAGARA FALLS, . . . . .	1 200	278	23.2	267	95.9	1	0.3
ROCHESTER, . . . . .	11 297	1 205	10.7	1 145	95.1	14	1.2
ROME, . . . . .	802	82	10.2	77	93.9	1	1.3
SCHENECTADY, . . . . .	851	233	27.4	224	96.3	-	-
SYRACUSE, . . . . .	6 110	672	11.0	643	95.8	5	0.8
TROY, . . . . .	576	164	28.5	154	93.7	-	-
UTICA, . . . . .	1 292	206	15.9	194	94.2	-	-
WHITE PLAINS, . . . . .	1 295	343	26.5	306	89.2	20	5.9
YONKERS, . . . . .	6 540	802	12.3	681	84.9	55	6.8
NORTH CAROLINA:							
ASHEVILLE, . . . . .	672	137	20.3	129	94.5	-	-
CHARLOTTE, . . . . .	4 035	870	21.6	851	97.8	-	-
DURHAM, . . . . .	1 258	422	33.5	410	97.1	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE, . . . . .	656	164	25.0	161	98.4	-	-
GREENSBORO, . . . . .	1 907	515	27.0	503	97.6	-	-
HIGH POINT, . . . . .	864	153	17.8	148	96.7	-	-
RALEIGH, . . . . .	1 350	472	30.4	466	98.9	-	-
WILMINGTON, . . . . .	594	138	23.2	134	97.3	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM, . . . . .	1 582	482	30.5	473	98.1	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:							
FARGO, . . . . .	584	148	25.4	137	92.3	4	2.8
OHIO:							
AKRON, . . . . .	3 492	886	25.4	725	81.8	87	9.9
CANTON, . . . . .	1 119	329	29.4	268	81.4	37	11.1
CINCINNATI, . . . . .	5 696	2 247	39.3	1 656	73.7	249	11.1
CLEVELAND, . . . . .	10 953	3 516	32.1	3 002	85.4	298	8.5
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS, . . . . .	329	143	43.3	120	83.9	12	6.2
COLUMBUS, . . . . .	7 620	2 282	29.9	1 824	80.0	257	11.2
DAYTON, . . . . .	3 473	929	26.8	714	76.9	90	9.7
ELYRIA, . . . . .	626	113	18.0	81	71.6	19	17.0
EUCLID, . . . . .	693	184	26.5	164	89.1	11	5.8
HAMILTON, . . . . .	718	178	24.8	158	88.8	10	5.9
KETTERING, . . . . .	444	150	33.7	112	74.7	18	12.4
LAKEWOOD, . . . . .	1 440	139	9.6	119	86.0	13	9.1
LIMA, . . . . .	351	148	42.2	114	76.8	21	14.4
LORAIN, . . . . .	664	151	22.8	116	76.6	18	11.6
MANSFIELD, . . . . .	528	186	35.3	137	73.4	32	17.0
PARMA, . . . . .	661	173	26.2	132	76.1	30	17.5
SPRINGFIELD, . . . . .	772	235	30.4	188	80.0	27	11.4
TOLEDO, . . . . .	4 733	1 574	33.3	1 331	84.6	120	7.6
WARREN, . . . . .	570	168	29.4	120	71.6	33	19.9
YOUNGSTOWN, . . . . .	1 641	435	26.5	338	77.8	48	11.1
OKLAHOMA:							
LAWTON, . . . . .	627	174	27.8	152	87.0	7	3.8
MIDWEST, . . . . .	676	123	18.2	93	75.6	24	19.3
NORMAN, . . . . .	937	126	13.4	115	90.9	4	3.5
OKLAHOMA CITY, . . . . .	4 009	1 117	27.9	957	85.7	55	5.0
TULSA, . . . . .	2 819	929	33.0	848	91.2	31	3.3
OREGON:							
EUGENE, . . . . .	1 032	266	25.8	251	94.2	15	5.8
PORTLAND, . . . . .	5 752	1 501	26.1	1 455	96.9	2	0.1
SALEM, . . . . .	971	251	25.9	231	91.9	6	2.5
PENNSYLVANIA:							
ALLENTOWN, . . . . .	970	277	28.5	270	97.7	-	-
ALTOONA, . . . . .	435	122	28.0	119	97.4	-	-
BETHLEHEM, . . . . .	741	188	25.4	183	97.6	-	-
CHESTER, . . . . .	644	204	31.7	197	96.5	-	-
ERIE, . . . . .	873	284	32.5	269	94.7	7	2.4
HARRISBURG, . . . . .	672	210	31.2	206	98.2	-	-
LANCASTER, . . . . .	623	150	24.0	150	100.0	-	-
PHILADELPHIA, . . . . .	47 949	17 527	36.6	11 199	63.9	2 969	16.9
PITTSBURGH, . . . . .	6 156	1 977	32.1	1 896	95.9	33	1.6
READING, . . . . .	767	241	31.5	234	96.9	-	-
SCRANTON, . . . . .	928	226	24.4	222	98.1	-	-
WILKES-BARRE, . . . . .	590	127	21.5	123	97.2	-	-
YORK, . . . . .	464	154	33.2	151	98.0	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:							
CRANSTON, . . . . .	2 492	176	7.0	172	97.8	1	0.4
PAWUCKET, . . . . .	2 242	195	8.7	189	96.8	2	1.1
PROVIDENCE, . . . . .	4 663	769	16.5	745	96.9	15	1.9
WARRICK, . . . . .	2 900	268	9.2	255	95.3	-	0.1
SOUTH CAROLINA:							
CHARLESTON, . . . . .	839	232	27.6	223	96.3	4	1.6
COLUMBIA, . . . . .	1 201	309	25.7	253	82.0	21	6.9
GREENVILLE, . . . . .	562	157	28.0	146	92.8	6	3.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	11	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	42	3.4	-	-	-	-	4	0.3
ROME . . . . .	4	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	9	3.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE . . . . .	10	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY . . . . .	10	6.3	-	-	-	-	4	0.6
UTICA . . . . .	11	5.4	-	-	1	0.4	-	-
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	17	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS . . . . .	31	3.8	-	-	30	3.7	6	0.7
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	1	0.4	-	-	-	-	7	5.0
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	19	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	12	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	3	1.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	12	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT . . . . .	5	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	5	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON . . . . .	4	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	9	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO . . . . .	7	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON . . . . .	27	3.1	-	-	46	5.2	-	-
CANTON . . . . .	22	6.0	-	-	3	0.9	-	-
CINCINNATI . . . . .	92	4.1	23	1.0	227	10.1	-	-
CLEVELAND . . . . .	75	2.1	-	-	136	3.9	5	0.1
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	7	4.9	-	-	4	3.0	-	-
COLUMBUS . . . . .	96	4.2	-	-	98	4.3	7	0.3
DAYTON . . . . .	36	3.8	-	-	89	9.6	-	-
ELYRIA . . . . .	9	8.4	-	-	3	3.0	-	-
EUCLID . . . . .	9	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	8	4.4	-	-	2	0.9	-	-
KETTERING . . . . .	12	7.8	-	-	8	5.1	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	6	4.4	-	-	1	0.6	-	-
LIMA . . . . .	5	3.5	2	1.2	6	4.2	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	13	8.7	-	-	5	3.0	-	-
MANSFIELD . . . . .	10	5.5	-	-	5	2.5	3	1.6
PARMA . . . . .	11	6.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	13	5.5	-	-	4	1.9	3	1.2
TOLEDO . . . . .	41	2.6	-	-	81	5.2	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	8	5.0	-	-	6	3.5	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	24	5.6	-	-	24	5.5	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON . . . . .	8	4.5	2	1.3	6	3.4	-	-
MIDWEST . . . . .	2	1.6	-	-	4	3.5	-	-
NORMAN . . . . .	7	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	56	5.0	-	-	37	3.3	12	1.1
TULSA . . . . .	37	3.9	-	-	14	1.5	-	-
OREGON:								
EUGENE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND . . . . .	36	2.4	-	-	-	-	9	0.6
SALEM . . . . .	14	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	6	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	3	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	5	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	7	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	7	2.5	-	-	-	-	1	0.4
HARRISBURG . . . . .	4	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	807	4.6	-	-	2 552	14.6	-	-
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	46	2.4	-	-	-	-	2	0.1
READING . . . . .	7	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	4	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	4	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	3	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON . . . . .	3	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	9	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK . . . . .	6	2.1	-	-	-	-	7	2.5
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	5	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA . . . . .	6	2.0	-	-	25	8.2	3	0.9
GREENVILLE . . . . .	5	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total criminal justice system		Police protection		Judicial	
		October payroll	Percent of total October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA:</b>							
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	780	155	19.8	151	97.6	-	-
<b>TENNESSEE:</b>							
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	5 423	499	9.2	479	96.1	15	3.1
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	3 834	456	11.9	416	91.2	4	1.0
MEMPHIS . . . . .	21 295	2 184	10.3	1 865	85.4	72	3.3
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	15 319	1 892	12.4	1 238	65.4	300	15.9
<b>TEXAS:</b>							
ABILENE . . . . .	782	183	23.4	167	91.4	7	3.7
AMARILLO . . . . .	1 255	355	28.3	331	93.3	15	4.2
ARLINGTON . . . . .	979	255	26.1	234	91.7	10	4.0
AUSTIN . . . . .	5 397	909	16.9	825	90.8	45	5.0
BEAUMONT . . . . .	1 282	290	22.6	262	90.3	12	4.2
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	520	102	19.6	93	91.6	3	3.3
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	2 264	547	24.1	499	91.3	23	4.2
DALLAS . . . . .	12 090	3 718	30.8	3 349	90.1	152	4.1
EL PASO . . . . .	4 151	1 005	24.2	921	91.7	41	4.1
FORT WORTH . . . . .	4 146	1 131	27.3	1 009	89.2	49	4.4
GALVESTON . . . . .	1 770	193	10.9	178	92.1	11	5.8
GARLAND . . . . .	1 021	219	21.4	205	93.5	4	2.1
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	359	97	27.1	88	90.0	4	4.0
HOUSTON . . . . .	15 495	4 975	32.1	4 596	92.4	207	4.2
IRVING . . . . .	849	209	24.6	189	90.5	11	5.2
LAREDO . . . . .	553	86	15.5	78	91.5	5	5.8
LUBBOCK . . . . .	1 183	347	29.3	318	91.7	13	3.8
MESQUITE . . . . .	513	131	25.6	123	93.9	3	2.2
MIDLAND . . . . .	592	173	29.3	157	90.6	8	4.8
ODESSA . . . . .	571	178	31.1	156	87.7	11	6.3
PASADENA . . . . .	681	272	39.9	249	91.5	13	4.7
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	665	155	23.3	145	93.2	4	2.9
RICHARDSON . . . . .	557	112	20.2	108	96.0	5	4.0
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	532	141	26.6	127	89.9	8	5.5
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	6 847	1 892	27.6	1 786	94.4	50	2.6
TYLER . . . . .	504	112	22.3	103	91.9	5	4.6
WACO . . . . .	1 039	241	23.2	219	91.0	5	2.1
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	735	153	20.8	129	84.5	10	6.6
<b>UTAH:</b>							
OGDEN . . . . .	543	159	29.3	130	81.8	21	13.3
PROVO . . . . .	394	98	24.9	85	86.4	9	9.2
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	2 277	678	29.8	616	90.8	40	5.8
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>							
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	4 007	539	13.5	394	73.0	51	9.4
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	3 565	351	9.8	213	60.9	16	4.6
HAMPTON . . . . .	3 864	344	8.9	249	72.3	8	2.2
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	1 990	224	11.2	161	72.0	1	0.4
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	4 498	459	10.2	265	57.6	37	8.1
NORFOLK . . . . .	9 005	958	10.6	705	73.6	55	5.7
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	3 473	396	11.4	259	65.6	32	8.0
RICHMOND . . . . .	10 509	1 176	11.2	802	68.2	85	7.2
ROANOKE . . . . .	3 411	358	10.5	214	59.6	49	13.7
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	6 604	641	9.7	452	70.5	44	6.9
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>							
BELLEVUE . . . . .	763	180	23.6	157	87.0	3	1.9
EVERETT . . . . .	700	174	24.8	165	95.1	-	-
SEATTLE . . . . .	8 941	2 317	25.9	1 987	85.8	138	5.9
SPOKANE . . . . .	2 089	472	22.6	431	91.2	18	3.8
TACOMA . . . . .	2 981	524	17.6	437	83.5	26	5.0
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>							
CHARLESTON . . . . .	894	217	24.3	208	95.7	6	2.6
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	785	170	21.6	163	95.8	2	1.2
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>							
APPLETON . . . . .	1 584	122	7.7	118	97.2	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	2 990	212	7.1	201	94.8	5	2.2
KENOSHA . . . . .	788	248	31.5	237	95.5	5	1.9
LA CROSSE . . . . .	1 168	109	9.2	102	93.4	1	1.4
MADISON . . . . .	5 825	519	8.9	489	94.2	-	-
MTLWAUKEE . . . . .	11 535	3 620	31.4	3 488	96.4	25	0.7
OSHKOSH . . . . .	1 341	117	8.7	112	95.3	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	1 297	379	29.2	365	96.3	2	0.6
WAUMATOSA . . . . .	1 485	149	10.0	144	96.9	1	0.9
WEST ALLIS . . . . .	2 268	214	9.4	207	96.5	1	0.6

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>2</sup> Less than half the unit of measurement shown.<sup>1</sup> Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown, see text for data limitations.<sup>2</sup> Payroll for city-operated utilities excluded.

Table 24. Percent distribution of criminal justice system payroll of 395 large city governments, October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Legal services and prosecution		Public defense		Corrections		Other criminal justice	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA:</b>								
SIOUX FALLS. . . . .	4	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TENNESSEE:</b>								
CHATTANOOGA. . . . .	4	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE. . . . .	21	4.7	-	-	14	3.2	-	-
MEMPHIS. . . . .	48	2.2	-	-	190	8.7	9	0.4
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON. . . . .	54	2.8	30	1.6	265	14.0	5	0.3
<b>TEXAS:</b>								
ABILENE. . . . .	8	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO. . . . .	9	2.5	-	-	-	-	1	0.3
ARLINGTON. . . . .	11	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN. . . . .	39	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT. . . . .	10	3.5	-	-	6	1.9	-	-
BROWNSVILLE. . . . .	5	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI. . . . .	25	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS. . . . .	106	2.8	-	-	111	3.0	-	-
EL PASO. . . . .	25	2.5	-	-	-	-	17	1.7
FORT WORTH. . . . .	33	2.9	-	-	40	3.5	-	-
GALVESTON. . . . .	4	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND. . . . .	10	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE. . . . .	6	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON. . . . .	110	2.2	-	-	62	1.2	-	-
IRVING. . . . .	9	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO. . . . .	2	2.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK. . . . .	16	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	0.6
MESQUITE. . . . .	5	3.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND. . . . .	5	3.0	-	-	3	1.6	-	-
ODESSA. . . . .	7	4.0	-	-	3	1.9	-	-
PASADENA. . . . .	10	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR. . . . .	6	4.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO. . . . .	4	2.7	-	-	3	1.9	-	-
SAN ANTONIO. . . . .	55	2.9	-	-	-	-	1	0.1
TYLER. . . . .	4	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO. . . . .	8	3.3	-	-	5	2.2	3	1.4
WICHITA FALLS. . . . .	7	4.8	-	-	6	4.1	-	-
<b>UTAH:</b>								
OGDEN. . . . .	8	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO. . . . .	4	4.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY. . . . .	23	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>								
ALEXANDRIA. . . . .	37	6.8	-	-	58	10.8	-	-
CHESAPEAKE. . . . .	23	6.7	-	-	91	25.9	7	2.0
HAMPTON. . . . .	28	8.0	-	(2)	60	17.5	-	-
LYNCHBURG. . . . .	14	6.4	-	-	48	21.3	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS. . . . .	25	5.5	1	0.2	129	28.0	2	0.5
NORFOLK. . . . .	69	7.2	-	-	130	13.5	-	-
PORTSMOUTH. . . . .	29	7.2	-	-	59	15.0	16	4.2
RICHMOND. . . . .	65	5.5	-	-	220	18.7	5	0.4
ROANOKE. . . . .	22	6.1	-	-	74	20.6	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH. . . . .	42	6.5	-	-	73	11.4	30	4.7
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>								
BELLEVUE. . . . .	14	8.0	-	-	6	3.1	-	-
EVERETT. . . . .	9	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE. . . . .	95	4.1	-	-	50	2.1	48	2.1
SPOKANE. . . . .	24	5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA. . . . .	32	6.1	-	-	28	5.3	-	-
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>								
CHARLESTON. . . . .	4	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON. . . . .	5	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>								
APPLETON. . . . .	3	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY. . . . .	6	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA. . . . .	7	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE. . . . .	6	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON. . . . .	30	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE. . . . .	107	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH. . . . .	6	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE. . . . .	12	3.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA. . . . .	3	2.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS. . . . .	6	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-

### **Section III. POLICE PROTECTION**

FIGURE 20.

Percent Distribution of Police Protection Direct Expenditure  
by State and Type of Government, Fiscal Year 1977




Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 099 455	10 099 455	9 625 447	474 007	245 158	4 636	240 522
STATES . . . . .	1 963 975	1 799 725	1 675 725	123 997	164 250	(X)	164 250
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 304 366	8 299 730	7 949 719	350 010	80 908	4 636	76 272
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 638 089	1 630 460	1 538 890	91 570	7 629	1 558	6 072
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 742 549	6 669 270	6 410 830	243 387	73 279	3 079	70 200
ALABAMA . . . . .	108 991	108 991	102 840	6 151	20	12	9
STATE . . . . .	27 959	27 959	26 152	1 807	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	81 044	81 032	76 688	4 344	20	12	9
COUNTIES . . . . .	16 560	16 557	15 240	1 317	3	2	1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	64 492	64 475	61 448	3 027	17	10	7
ALASKA . . . . .	42 957	42 957	40 873	2 084	366	-	365
STATE . . . . .	24 244	23 919	22 285	1 634	325	(X)	325
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	19 038	19 038	18 598	450	41	-	40
BOROUGHs . . . . .	1 195	1 195	1 195	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	17 844	17 843	17 393	450	41	-	40
ARIZONA . . . . .	146 508	146 508	130 374	16 134	1 084	102	982
STATE . . . . .	32 921	31 943	28 578	3 365	978	(X)	978
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	114 668	114 565	101 796	12 769	106	102	4
COUNTIES . . . . .	25 558	25 481	22 277	3 204	77	77	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	89 114	89 085	79 519	9 566	29	25	4
ARKANSAS . . . . .	48 051	48 051	44 229	3 822	144	86	57
STATE . . . . .	13 802	13 786	11 699	2 087	16	(X)	16
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	34 352	34 265	32 530	1 735	128	86	41
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 072	9 044	8 651	392	29	18	10
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	25 321	25 222	23 879	1 343	99	68	31
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	1 374 152	1 374 152	1 307 964	66 188	40 001	9	39 993
STATE . . . . .	269 635	259 041	233 553	25 384	10 594	(X)	10 594
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 115 120	1 115 111	1 074 311	40 800	29 407	9	29 399
COUNTIES . . . . .	314 923	314 674	304 909	9 765	249	3	246
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	829 595	800 437	769 402	31 035	29 158	5	29 153
COLOPADO . . . . .	142 039	142 039	128 829	13 210	214	-	214
STATE . . . . .	21 623	21 615	19 202	2 413	8	(X)	8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	120 424	120 424	109 627	10 797	206	-	206
COUNTIES . . . . .	18 947	18 893	17 455	1 438	54	-	54
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	101 683	101 531	92 172	9 359	152	-	152
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	138 283	138 283	129 052	9 231	1 485	926	559
STATE . . . . .	27 492	27 428	24 660	2 768	64	(X)	64
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	111 781	110 855	104 392	6 463	1 421	926	495
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	112 275	110 855	104 392	3 344	1 421	926	495
DELAWARE . . . . .	26 925	26 925	25 467	1 458	76	1	74
STATE . . . . .	11 713	11 713	10 517	1 196	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	15 214	15 212	14 950	262	76	1	74
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 945	4 945	4 672	73	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 343	10 267	10 078	189	76	1	74
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	90 345	90 345	88 695	1 650	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	90 345	90 345	88 695	1 650	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	90 345	90 345	88 695	1 650	-	-	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	411 104	411 104	386 574	24 530	3 315	88	3 227
STATE . . . . .	63 607	62 619	57 660	4 959	988	(X)	988
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	348 573	348 485	328 914	19 571	2 327	88	2 239
COUNTIES . . . . .	128 572	126 519	117 312	9 207	2 053	56	1 997
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	222 240	221 966	211 602	10 364	274	32	242
GEORGIA . . . . .	166 952	166 952	156 651	10 301	801	63	738
STATE . . . . .	38 073	37 598	35 960	1 638	475	(X)	475
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	129 418	129 354	120 691	8 663	326	63	263
COUNTIES . . . . .	39 860	39 817	36 161	3 656	43	17	26
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	89 821	89 538	84 530	5 008	283	46	237

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		total	Direct current	Capital outlay	total	to State governments	to local government
HAWAII . . . . .	47 542	47 542	46 737	805	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	1 472	1 472	1 472	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	46 070	46 070	45 265	805	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	11 092	11 092	10 972	120	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	34 978	34 978	34 293	685	-	-	-
IDaho . . . . .	27 814	27 814	26 093	1 721	427	2	427
STATE . . . . .	6 402	6 275	5 763	512	127	(X)	127
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 542	21 539	20 330	1 209	300	2	300
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 466	8 457	7 855	602	9	-	9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	13 376	13 082	12 475	608	290	2	290
ILLINOIS . . . . .	638 199	638 199	615 539	22 660	1 600	37	1 563
STATE . . . . .	69 626	69 626	65 550	4 070	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	568 610	568 573	549 989	18 590	1 600	37	1 563
COUNTIES . . . . .	50 198	50 126	47 647	2 479	72	3	69
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	518 971	518 447	502 336	16 112	1 528	33	1 494
INDIANA . . . . .	170 882	170 882	158 668	12 214	384	15	369
STATE . . . . .	45 465	45 465	39 799	5 666	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	125 432	125 417	118 869	6 548	384	15	369
COUNTIES . . . . .	20 061	20 046	18 598	1 448	15	14	1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	105 740	105 771	100 271	5 100	370	1	368
IOGA . . . . .	90 779	90 779	84 525	6 254	1 323	91	1 233
STATE . . . . .	23 838	23 838	21 975	1 863	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	67 031	66 941	62 550	4 391	1 323	91	1 233
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 504	15 316	14 005	1 311	188	51	137
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	52 710	51 624	48 545	3 080	1 136	40	1 096
KANSAS . . . . .	71 119	71 119	67 380	3 739	1 845	1	1 844
STATE . . . . .	12 413	12 413	11 659	754	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	58 707	58 706	55 721	2 985	1 845	1	1 844
COUNTIES . . . . .	13 908	13 873	12 466	1 407	35	1	34
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	46 644	44 834	43 255	1 580	1 810	-	1 810
KENTUCKY . . . . .	116 782	116 732	110 902	5 880	7 517	-	7 517
STATE . . . . .	44 972	37 445	34 080	2 565	7 517	(X)	7 517
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	79 337	79 337	76 022	3 315	300	-	300
COUNTIES . . . . .	20 773	20 533	18 953	1 580	240	-	240
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	58 864	56 804	57 069	1 735	60	-	60
LOUISIANA . . . . .	158 110	158 110	149 069	9 041	2 759	220	2 539
STATE . . . . .	40 991	38 591	36 749	1 842	2 400	(X)	2 400
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	119 739	119 519	112 320	7 199	359	220	139
PARISHES . . . . .	47 577	47 256	45 099	2 157	322	194	128
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	72 300	72 263	67 221	5 042	37	26	11
MAINE . . . . .	30 961	30 961	28 933	2 028	85	10	76
STATE . . . . .	8 740	8 740	8 144	596	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	22 230	22 221	20 789	1 432	85	10	76
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 467	2 463	2 341	122	5	-	5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	19 830	19 758	18 448	1 015	81	10	71
MARYLAND . . . . .	215 830	215 830	206 023	9 807	55 657	199	55 658
STATE . . . . .	100 460	45 737	42 311	3 426	54 723	(X)	54 723
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	170 292	170 093	163 712	6 381	1 134	199	935
COUNTIES . . . . .	88 578	87 525	83 141	4 384	1 053	199	854
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	82 047	82 567	80 571	1 998	82	-	82
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	324 679	324 679	316 018	8 661	2 176	-	2 176
STATE . . . . .	44 292	42 664	42 409	255	1 628	(X)	1 628
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	282 015	282 015	273 609	8 406	548	-	548
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 369	1 368	1 249	119	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	281 194	280 647	272 360	5 642	547	-	547
MICHIGAN . . . . .	464 489	464 489	449 017	15 472	6 309	37	6 272
STATE . . . . .	77 616	76 173	72 574	3 599	1 443	(X)	1 443
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	388 353	388 316	376 443	11 873	4 866	37	4 829
COUNTIES . . . . .	60 646	60 511	57 712	2 799	135	27	108
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	332 337	327 806	318 731	8 270	4 731	10	4 721

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA . . . . .	145 675	145 675	137 005	8 670	5 189	33	5 157
STATE . . . . .	30 314	26 399	24 833	1 566	3 915	(X)	3 915
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	119 308	119 276	112 172	7 104	1 274	33	1 242
COUNTIES . . . . .	28 083	28 006	25 314	2 692	77	7	70
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	92 467	91 270	86 858	4 401	1 197	25	1 172
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	64 796	64 796	59 335	5 461	637	23	614
STATE . . . . .	23 329	22 747	20 162	2 585	582	(X)	582
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	42 072	42 049	39 173	2 876	55	23	32
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 633	10 631	9 792	839	2		2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	31 471	31 418	29 381	2 037	53	23	30
MISSOURI . . . . .	201 035	201 035	190 504	10 531	485	1	484
STATE . . . . .	30 729	30 729	27 760	2 969	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	170 307	170 306	162 744	7 562	485	1	484
COUNTIES . . . . .	26 369	26 353	25 251	1 102	15	1	14
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	144 423	143 953	137 493	6 460	470	-	470
MONTANA . . . . .	26 621	26 621	25 191	1 431	494	13	481
STATE . . . . .	6 648	6 581	6 089	492	67	(X)	67
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	20 053	20 040	19 102	939	427	13	414
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 211	8 124	7 765	359	88	3	85
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12 256	11 917	11 337	580	339	10	329
NEBRASKA . . . . .	49 827	49 827	46 884	2 942	262	1	261
STATE . . . . .	13 368	13 368	11 728	1 640	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	36 460	36 459	35 156	1 302	262	1	261
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 921	7 921	7 662	259	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	28 800	28 538	27 494	1 044	262	1	261
NEVADA . . . . .	46 312	46 332	44 424	1 908	13 026	1	13 025
STATE . . . . .	6 879	6 879	6 341	538	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	39 454	39 453	38 083	1 370	13 026	1	13 025
COUNTIES . . . . .	27 170	27 170	26 110	1 060	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	25 309	12 283	11 973	310	13 026	1	13 025
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	30 481	30 481	26 874	3 607	350	12	338
STATE . . . . .	6 393	6 393	5 956	437	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	24 100	24 088	20 918	3 170	350	12	338
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 450	1 429	1 379	50	20	-	20
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	22 989	22 659	19 539	2 385	330	12	318
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	432 740	432 740	425 889	6 851	8 670	214	8 456
STATE . . . . .	65 551	57 151	55 379	1 772	8 400	(X)	8 400
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	375 803	375 589	370 510	5 079	270	214	56
COUNTIES . . . . .	25 459	25 459	24 682	777	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	450 401	350 131	345 828	1 654	270	214	56
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	47 627	47 627	44 761	2 866	230	-	230
STATE . . . . .	13 775	13 609	12 679	930	166	(X)	166
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	34 018	34 018	32 082	1 936	64	-	64
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 808	7 745	7 230	515	63	-	63
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	26 274	26 273	24 852	1 421	2	-	2
NEW YORK . . . . .	1 344 693	1 344 693	1 321 770	22 923	5 018	1 069	3 949
STATE . . . . .	117 160	115 612	113 318	2 294	1 548	(X)	1 548
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 230 151	1 229 081	1 208 452	20 629	3 470	1 069	2 401
COUNTIES . . . . .	204 495	204 428	198 886	5 542	67	-	67
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 028 057	1 024 654	1 009 566	13 612	3 403	1 069	2 334
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	172 816	172 816	159 463	13 353	2 148	24	2 124
STATE . . . . .	46 161	45 342	40 674	4 668	819	(X)	819
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	127 497	127 474	118 789	8 685	1 329	24	1 305
COUNTIES . . . . .	34 814	34 247	31 320	2 927	567	15	552
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	93 988	93 226	87 469	5 760	762	9	753
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	18 570	18 570	17 783	788	229	4	225
STATE . . . . .	4 365	4 356	4 005	351	9	(X)	9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 218	14 214	13 778	437	220	4	216
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 962	3 958	3 897	61	5	4	1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 472	10 257	9 881	376	215	-	215

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO . . . . .	393 936	393 936	364 961	28 975	1 521	131	1 390
STATE . . . . .	54 104	53 253	50 137	3 116	851	(X)	851
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	340 814	340 683	314 824	25 859	670	131	539
COUNTIES . . . . .	49 220	49 000	43 353	5 647	221	?	219
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	292 132	291 683	271 471	20 206	449	129	320
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	91 148	91 148	84 730	6 418	183	35	148
STATE . . . . .	28 038	27 943	25 801	2 142	95	(X)	95
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	63 241	63 205	58 929	4 276	88	35	53
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 556	7 520	7 154	366	36	35	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	55 738	55 685	51 775	3 911	53	-	53
OREGON . . . . .	102 238	102 238	97 963	4 275	1 605	14	1 591
STATE . . . . .	26 310	24 865	23 151	1 714	1 445	(X)	1 445
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	77 397	77 373	74 812	2 561	160	14	146
COUNTIES . . . . .	26 956	26 927	25 607	1 320	29	-	29
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	50 178	50 446	49 205	1 242	132	14	117
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	493 002	493 002	473 297	19 705	42 514	4	42 511
STATE . . . . .	151 421	112 548	111 332	1 216	38 873	(X)	38 873
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	340 457	380 454	361 965	18 489	3 641	4	3 638
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 042	14 033	12 987	1 045	9	-	9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	370 357	366 421	348 978	14 911	3 632	4	3 629
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	40 327	40 327	39 229	1 098	1 283	-	1 283
STATE . . . . .	8 678	7 399	7 331	68	1 279	(X)	1 279
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	32 928	32 928	31 898	1 030	4	-	4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	24 160	24 160	31 898	505	4	-	4
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	87 693	87 693	80 323	7 370	2 343	478	1 865
STATE . . . . .	29 263	27 424	24 742	2 682	1 839	(X)	1 839
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	60 747	60 269	55 581	4 688	504	478	26
COUNTIES . . . . .	24 973	24 626	22 078	2 548	347	347	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	35 800	35 642	33 503	2 139	158	132	26
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	21 107	21 107	18 134	2 973	347	14	333
STATE . . . . .	5 686	5 686	5 405	281	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	15 436	15 421	12 729	2 692	347	14	333
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 750	5 691	3 338	2 302	69	2	67
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 009	9 731	9 341	380	278	13	265
TENNESSEE . . . . .	136 332	136 332	126 444	9 888	960	413	548
STATE . . . . .	25 381	25 381	24 034	1 347	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	111 364	110 951	102 410	8 541	960	413	548
COUNTIES . . . . .	19 311	18 883	17 606	1 277	428	377	51
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	92 662	92 069	84 804	7 265	532	36	497
TEXAS . . . . .	454 573	454 573	426 951	27 512	1 151	202	948
STATE . . . . .	99 453	99 453	87 853	11 600	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	355 322	355 120	339 108	16 012	1 151	202	948
COUNTIES . . . . .	52 466	52 756	48 349	4 407	210	82	127
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	303 306	302 364	290 759	11 606	941	120	821
UTAH . . . . .	42 426	42 426	39 082	3 344	622	5	617
STATE . . . . .	12 624	12 069	10 723	1 346	555	(X)	555
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	30 362	30 357	28 359	1 998	67	5	62
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 263	9 263	8 860	403	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	21 161	21 094	19 499	1 595	67	5	62
VERMONT . . . . .	15 718	15 718	15 080	638	123	-	123
STATE . . . . .	7 724	7 724	7 287	437	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 994	7 994	7 793	201	123	-	123
COUNTIES . . . . .	193	193	164	30	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 863	7 801	7 629	111	123	-	123
VIRGINIA . . . . .	175 836	175 836	167 131	8 735	20 800	-	20 800
STATE . . . . .	61 568	41 395	41 122	273	20 173	(X)	20 173
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	134 441	134 441	125 979	8 462	627	-	627
COUNTIES . . . . .	55 110	55 043	52 335	2 708	67	-	67
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	79 959	79 398	73 644	5 755	560	-	560

See footnotes at end of table.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 25. Police protection expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON . . . . .	152 205	152 205	146 674	5 531	3 576	23	3 553
STATE . . . . .	33 484	33 348	30 949	2 399	136	(X)	136
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	118 880	118 857	115 725	3 132	3 440	23	3 417
COUNTIES . . . . .	34 984	34 423	32 457	1 956	561	11	550
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	87 314	84 434	83 258	1 177	2 879	12	2 867
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	41 261	41 261	38 424	2 837	74	3	70
STATE . . . . .	15 157	15 157	13 254	1 898	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26 107	26 104	25 165	939	74	3	70
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 507	7 504	7 298	216	3	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18 671	13 600	17 877	724	70	-	70
WISCONSIN . . . . .	197 319	197 319	190 754	6 565	3 153	11	3 142
STATE . . . . .	27 261	25 049	24 494	555	2 212	(X)	2 212
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	172 281	172 270	166 260	6 010	941	11	930
COUNTIES . . . . .	38 208	38 042	36 061	1 981	166	6	160
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	134 790	134 228	130 199	3 815	775	5	771
WYOMING . . . . .	19 628	19 628	15 957	3 671	72	9	63
STATE . . . . .	5 805	5 805	5 527	278	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 831	13 823	10 430	3 393	72	9	63
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 395	5 395	3 797	1 599	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8 499	8 427	6 633	1 794	72	9	63

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 26. Total police protection expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	Total
TOTAL . . . . .	1 963 975	1 799 725	1 675 728	123 997	100 043	19 634	4 320	164 250
ALABAMA . . . . .	27 959	27 959	26 152	1 807	1 400	407	-	-
ALASKA . . . . .	24 244	23 919	22 285	1 634	1 629	5	-	325
ARIZONA . . . . .	32 921	31 943	28 578	3 365	3 068	297	-	978
ARKANSAS . . . . .	13 802	13 786	11 699	2 087	2 017	70	-	16
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	269 635	259 041	233 653	25 388	19 514	3 202	2 672	10 594
COLORADO . . . . .	21 623	21 615	19 202	2 413	2 413	-	-	8
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	27 492	27 428	24 660	2 768	2 564	204	-	64
DELAWARE . . . . .	11 713	11 713	10 517	1 196	562	634	-	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	63 607	62 619	57 660	4 959	3 192	1 767	-	988
GEORGIA . . . . .	38 073	37 598	35 960	1 638	1 629	9	-	475
HAWAII . . . . .	1 472	1 472	1 472	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	6 402	6 275	5 763	512	508	-	4	127
ILLINOIS . . . . .	69 626	69 626	65 556	4 070	3 812	249	9	-
INDIANA . . . . .	45 465	45 465	39 799	5 666	4 351	1 315	-	-
IOWA . . . . .	23 838	23 838	21 975	1 863	1 701	148	14	-
KANSAS . . . . .	12 413	12 413	11 659	754	754	-	-	-
KENTUCKY . . . . .	44 962	37 445	34 880	2 565	1 955	610	-	7 517
LOUISIANA . . . . .	40 991	38 591	36 749	1 842	1 842	-	-	2 400
MAINE . . . . .	8 740	8 740	8 144	596	572	24	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	100 460	45 737	42 311	3 426	2 530	624	272	54 723
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	44 292	42 664	42 409	255	94	161	-	1 628
MICHIGAN . . . . .	77 616	76 173	72 574	3 599	3 216	-	383	1 443
MINNESOTA . . . . .	30 314	26 399	24 833	1 566	1 566	-	-	3 915
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	23 329	22 747	20 162	2 585	2 122	463	-	582
MISSOURI . . . . .	30 729	30 729	27 760	2 969	1 988	762	219	-
MONTANA . . . . .	6 648	6 581	6 089	492	492	-	-	67
NEBRASKA . . . . .	13 368	13 368	11 728	1 640	1 594	46	-	-
NEVADA . . . . .	6 879	6 879	6 341	538	538	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	6 393	6 393	5 956	437	437	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	65 551	57 151	55 379	1 772	1 542	230	-	8 400
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	13 775	13 609	12 679	930	889	40	1	166
NEW YORK . . . . .	117 160	115 612	113 318	2 294	1 962	332	-	1 548
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	46 161	45 342	40 674	4 668	3 714	954	-	819
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	4 365	4 366	4 005	351	351	-	-	9
OHIO . . . . .	54 104	53 253	50 137	3 116	3 112	4	-	851
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	28 038	27 943	25 801	2 142	2 130	12	-	95
OREGON . . . . .	26 310	24 865	23 151	1 714	1 714	-	-	1 445
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	151 421	112 548	111 332	1 216	1 216	-	-	38 873
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	8 678	7 399	7 331	68	63	5	-	1 279
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	29 263	27 424	24 742	2 682	2 682	-	-	1 839
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	5 686	5 686	5 405	281	281	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	25 381	25 381	24 034	1 347	1 347	-	-	-
TEXAS . . . . .	99 453	99 453	87 853	11 600	4 586	6 316	698	555
UTAH . . . . .	12 624	12 069	10 723	1 346	1 346	-	-	-
VERMONT . . . . .	7 724	7 724	7 287	437	435	2	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	61 569	41 395	41 122	273	273	-	-	20 173
WASHINGTON . . . . .	33 484	33 348	30 949	2 399	1 990	402	7	136
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	15 157	15 157	13 259	1 898	1 517	340	41	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	27 261	25 049	24 494	555	555	-	-	2 212
WYOMING . . . . .	5 805	5 805	5 527	276	278	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

**Table 27. Police protection sworn and nonsworn employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977**

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total police protection				Sworn				Nonsworn			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) police employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) police employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	613 426	561 798	573 582	55.6	472 366	446 812	449 684	78.4	141 060	114 986	123 898	21.6
STATE . . . . .	101 068	92 065	93 674	31.4	62 477	61 695	61 879	66.1	38 591	30 370	31 795	33.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	512 358	469 733	479 908	65.0	409 889	385 117	387 805	80.8	102 469	84 616	92 103	19.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	101 798	94 764	96 635	33.6	77 350	74 349	75 850	78.5	24 448	20 415	20 785	21.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	410 560	374 969	383 273	86.1	332 539	310 768	311 955	81.4	78 021	64 201	71 318	18.6
ALABAMA . . . . .	8 637	7 961	8 185	63.2	6 643	6 479	6 556	80.1	1 994	1 482	1 629	19.9
STATE . . . . .	1 582	1 526	1 535	38.3	1 123	1 114	1 116	72.7	459	412	419	27.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 055	6 435	6 650	74.3	5 520	5 365	5 440	81.8	1 535	1 070	1 210	18.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 339	1 237	1 273	40.3	1 096	1 076	1 080	84.8	243	161	193	15.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 716	5 198	5 377	92.9	4 424	4 289	4 360	81.1	1 292	909	1 017	18.9
ALASKA . . . . .	1 411	1 327	1 347	48.6	861	852	856	63.5	550	475	491	36.5
STATE . . . . .	696	657	664	33.3	381	381	381	57.4	315	276	283	42.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	715	670	683	87.7	480	471	475	69.5	235	199	208	30.5
BOROUGHES . . . . .	26	25	25	80.6	19	19	19	76.0	7	6	6	24.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	689	645	658	88.0	461	452	456	69.3	228	193	202	30.7
ARIZONA . . . . .	7 115	7 004	7 023	55.8	5 280	5 237	5 242	74.6	1 835	1 767	1 781	25.4
STATE . . . . .	1 634	1 596	1 600	47.4	1 041	1 029	1 030	64.4	593	567	570	35.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 481	5 408	5 423	59.0	4 239	4 208	4 212	77.7	1 242	1 200	1 211	22.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 290	1 258	1 266	28.1	1 067	1 046	1 049	82.9	223	212	217	17.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 191	4 150	4 157	88.6	3 172	3 162	3 163	76.1	1 019	988	994	23.9
ARKANSAS . . . . .	4 354	3 913	4 048	59.4	3 401	3 152	3 245	80.2	953	761	803	19.8
STATE . . . . .	865	824	834	38.1	582	577	577	69.2	283	247	257	30.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 489	3 089	3 214	69.4	2 819	2 575	2 668	83.0	670	514	546	17.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	896	728	770	41.1	719	612	636	82.6	177	116	134	17.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 593	2 361	2 444	88.6	2 100	1 963	2 032	83.1	493	398	412	16.9
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	65 311	61 937	62 745	50.9	44 273	43 456	43 641	69.5	21 038	18 481	19 104	30.4
STATE . . . . .	11 531	11 061	11 195	42.0	6 161	5 892	5 964	53.3	5 370	5 169	5 231	46.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	53 780	50 876	51 550	53.5	38 112	37 564	37 677	73.0	15 668	13 312	13 873	26.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 528	13 920	14 105	25.3	10 175	10 048	10 075	71.3	4 353	3 872	4 030	28.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	39 252	36 956	37 445	91.7	27 937	27 516	27 602	73.7	11 315	9 440	9 843	26.3
COLORADO . . . . .	8 031	7 174	7 290	57.7	5 687	5 599	5 630	77.2	2 344	1 575	1 660	22.8
STATE . . . . .	1 064	996	1 022	25.0	673	649	656	64.2	391	347	366	35.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 967	6 178	6 268	73.2	5 014	4 950	4 974	79.4	1 953	1 228	1 294	20.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 181	1 084	1 106	47.4	911	886	890	80.5	270	198	216	19.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 786	5 094	5 162	82.8	4 103	4 064	4 084	79.1	1 683	1 030	1 078	20.1
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	8 722	7 538	7 716	60.4	7 236	6 321	6 453	83.6	1 486	1 217	1 263	16.4
STATE . . . . .	1 488	1 409	1 418	22.6	943	943	943	66.5	545	466	475	33.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 234	6 129	6 298	96.8	6 293	5 378	5 510	87.5	941	751	788	12.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 234	6 129	6 298	96.8	6 293	5 378	5 510	87.5	941	751	788	12.5
DELAWARE . . . . .	1 557	1 490	1 499	44.6	1 153	1 142	1 143	76.3	404	348	356	23.1
STATE . . . . .	684	643	649	28.3	483	483	483	74.4	201	160	166	25.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	873	847	850	79.7	670	659	660	77.6	203	188	190	22.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	250	250	250	63.8	174	174	174	69.6	76	76	76	30.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	623	597	600	88.9	496	485	486	81.0	127	112	114	19.6
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	4 783	4 772	4 783	55.3	4 157	4 157	4 157	86.9	626	615	626	13.1
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 783	4 772	4 783	55.3	4 157	4 157	4 157	86.9	626	615	626	13.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 783	4 772	4 783	55.3	4 157	4 157	4 157	86.9	626	615	626	13.1
FLORIDA . . . . .	25 123	24 515	24 649	52.0	17 259	17 068	17 105	69.4	7 864	7 447	7 544	30.5
STATE . . . . .	3 151	3 120	3 125	18.6	1 370	1 370	1 370	43.8	1 781	1 750	1 755	56.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 972	21 395	21 524	70.4	15 889	15 698	15 735	73.1	6 083	5 697	5 789	28.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 997	7 820	7 862	50.8	5 739	5 699	5 706	72.6	2 258	2 121	2 156	27.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	13 975	13 575	13 662	90.4	10 150	9 999	10 029	73.4	3 825	3 576	3 633	26.5
GEORGIA . . . . .	13 418	12 755	12 867	55.3	10 721	10 454	10 498	81.6	2 697	2 301	2 369	18.1
STATE . . . . .	2 520	2 432	2 441	32.5	1 574	1 550	1 553	63.6	946	882	888	36.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 898	10 323	10 426	66.2	9 147	8 904	8 945	85.8	1 751	1 419	1 481	14.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 670	3 502	3 537	43.4	3 004	2 954	2 963	83.8	666	548	574	16.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 228	6 821	6 889	90.7	6 143	5 950	5 982	86.8	1 085	871	907	13.6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Police protection sworn and nonsworn employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total police protection				Sworn				Nonsworn			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) police employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) police employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MAI . . . . .	2 556	2 534	2 537	60.2	2 078	2 077	2 078	81.9	478	457	459	18.1
STATE . . . . .	96	95	96	6.2	96	95	96	100.0	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 460	2 439	2 441	91.8	1 982	1 982	1 982	81.2	478	457	459	18.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	597	576	578	83.9	498	498	498	86.2	99	78	80	13.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 863	1 863	1 863	94.5	1 484	1 484	1 484	79.7	379	379	379	20.3
MAHO . . . . .	2 332	2 063	2 132	58.3	1 852	1 703	1 748	82.0	480	360	384	18.0
STATE . . . . .	350	335	338	30.3	249	243	244	72.2	101	92	94	27.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 982	1 728	1 794	70.6	1 603	1 460	1 504	83.8	379	268	290	16.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	806	722	749	52.0	643	599	614	82.0	163	123	135	18.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 176	1 006	1 045	94.8	960	861	890	85.2	216	145	155	14.8
ILLINOIS . . . . .	36 530	33 786	34 472	63.6	29 186	27 457	27 898	80.9	7 344	6 329	6 574	19.1
STATE . . . . .	3 525	3 462	3 475	30.4	2 259	2 259	2 259	65.0	1 266	1 203	1 216	35.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	33 005	30 324	30 997	72.5	26 927	25 198	25 639	82.7	6 078	5 126	5 358	17.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 492	3 250	3 303	22.7	2 550	2 440	2 462	74.5	942	810	841	25.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	29 513	27 074	27 694	98.1	24 377	22 758	23 177	83.7	5 136	4 316	4 517	16.3
INDIANA . . . . .	11 952	11 014	11 187	57.0	9 201	8 912	8 952	80.0	2 751	2 102	2 235	20.0
STATE . . . . .	2 483	2 306	2 327	42.3	1 498	1 439	1 444	62.1	985	867	883	37.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 469	8 708	8 860	62.7	7 703	7 473	7 508	84.7	1 766	1 235	1 352	15.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 664	1 475	1 522	29.3	1 257	1 221	1 230	80.8	407	254	292	19.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 805	7 233	7 338	82.0	6 446	6 252	6 278	85.6	1 359	981	1 060	14.4
IDAHO . . . . .	6 424	5 485	5 654	55.8	5 010	4 500	4 593	81.2	1 414	985	1 061	18.8
STATE . . . . .	1 308	1 207	1 219	38.5	924	919	920	75.5	384	288	299	24.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 116	4 278	4 435	63.7	4 086	3 581	3 673	82.8	1 030	697	762	17.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 120	964	997	29.3	761	742	747	74.9	359	222	250	25.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 996	3 314	3 438	96.5	3 325	2 839	2 926	85.1	671	475	512	14.9
KANSAS . . . . .	5 852	5 128	5 258	48.8	4 445	4 127	4 186	79.6	1 407	1 001	1 072	20.4
STATE . . . . .	869	769	787	22.0	639	635	638	81.1	230	134	149	18.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 983	4 359	4 471	62.1	3 806	3 492	3 548	79.4	1 177	867	923	20.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 288	1 047	1 098	31.7	887	790	808	73.6	401	257	290	26.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 695	3 312	3 373	90.2	2 919	2 702	2 740	81.2	776	610	633	18.8
KENTUCKY . . . . .	7 250	6 705	6 847	53.9	5 431	5 127	5 203	76.0	1 819	1 578	1 644	24.0
STATE . . . . .	1 989	1 882	1 906	43.9	1 138	1 132	1 133	59.4	851	750	773	40.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 261	4 823	4 941	59.1	4 293	3 995	4 070	82.4	968	828	871	17.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 420	1 337	1 353	32.1	1 172	1 129	1 134	83.8	248	208	219	16.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 841	3 486	3 588	86.5	3 121	2 866	2 936	81.8	720	620	652	18.2
LOUISIANA . . . . .	16 088	8 971	11 497	54.6	9 255	7 180	8 776	76.3	6 833	1 791	2 721	23.7
STATE . . . . .	6 178	1 578	2 370	34.8	1 210	1 178	1 187	50.1	4 968	400	1 183	49.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 910	7 393	9 127	64.1	8 045	6 002	7 589	83.1	1 865	1 391	1 538	16.9
PARISHES . . . . .	3 477	2 735	3 118	55.6	2 900	2 390	2 694	86.4	577	345	424	13.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 433	4 658	6 009	69.6	5 145	3 612	4 895	81.5	1 288	1 046	1 114	18.5
MAINE . . . . .	3 059	2 197	2 314	63.1	2 611	1 877	1 970	85.1	448	320	344	14.9
STATE . . . . .	623	602	606	36.7	479	472	473	78.1	144	130	133	21.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 436	1 595	1 708	84.6	2 132	1 405	1 497	87.6	304	190	211	12.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	432	200	232	44.4	419	187	218	94.0	13	13	14	6.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 004	1 395	1 476	98.7	1 713	1 218	1 279	86.7	291	177	197	13.3
MARYLAND . . . . .	12 635	12 355	12 399	55.2	10 834	10 768	10 779	86.9	1 801	1 587	1 620	13.1
STATE . . . . .	2 948	2 799	2 815	28.5	2 621	2 621	2 621	93.1	327	178	194	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 687	9 556	9 584	76.2	8 213	8 147	8 158	85.1	1 474	1 409	1 426	14.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 637	4 587	4 600	72.3	3 950	3 922	3 928	85.4	687	665	672	14.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 050	4 969	4 984	80.2	4 263	4 225	4 230	84.9	787	744	754	15.1
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	18 890	16 654	17 162	61.1	15 959	14 388	14 744	85.9	2 931	2 266	2 418	14.1
STATE . . . . .	2 334	2 268	2 284	33.0	1 775	1 711	1 726	75.6	559	557	558	24.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	16 556	14 386	14 878	70.2	14 184	12 677	13 018	87.5	2 372	1 709	1 860	12.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	50	41	45	1.1	22	20	20	44.4	28	21	25	55.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	16 506	14 345	14 833	86.6	14 162	12 657	12 998	87.6	2 344	1 688	1 835	12.4
MICHIGAN . . . . .	25 532	23 875	24 155	57.3	20 817	19 907	20 074	83.1	4 715	3 968	4 081	16.9
STATE . . . . .	3 827	3 549	3 568	35.6	2 409	2 397	2 399	67.2	1 418	1 152	1 169	32.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 705	20 326	20 587	64.0	18 408	17 510	17 675	85.9	3 297	2 816	2 912	14.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 267	3 019	3 068	24.5	2 498	2 433	2 443	79.6	769	586	625	20.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18 438	17 307	17 519	89.3	15 910	15 077	15 232	86.9	2 528	2 230	2 287	13.1

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 27. Police protection sworn and nonsworn employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued**

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total police protection				Sworn					
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) police employees	Number	
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only
MINNESOTA . . . . .	9 555	7 571	7 740	54.9	7 853	6 296	6 386	82.5	1 702	1 274
STATE . . . . .	1 121	1 078	1 087	34.0	803	803	803	73.9	318	274
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 434	6 493	6 653	61.0	7 050	5 493	5 583	83.9	1 384	1 000
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 762	1 583	1 615	28.8	1 260	1 199	1 210	74.9	502	474
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 672	4 910	5 038	94.9	5 790	4 294	4 373	86.8	882	526
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	5 133	4 547	4 716	63.8	4 139	3 815	3 904	82.8	994	770
STATE . . . . .	1 136	1 111	1 116	45.3	887	878	879	78.8	249	244
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 997	3 436	3 600	73.1	3 252	2 937	3 025	84.0	745	526
COUNTIES . . . . .	998	853	889	44.9	818	737	755	84.9	180	114
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 999	2 583	2 711	92.0	2 434	2 200	2 270	83.7	565	412
MISSOURI . . . . .	14 396	13 100	13 425	60.2	10 953	10 124	10 333	77.0	3 443	2 779
STATE . . . . .	1 730	1 730	1 730	31.4	980	980	980	56.6	750	750
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 666	11 370	11 695	69.7	9 973	9 144	9 353	80.0	2 693	2 029
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 963	1 815	1 845	36.4	1 515	1 448	1 460	79.1	448	448
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 703	9 555	9 850	84.1	8 458	7 696	7 893	80.1	2 245	1 581
MONTANA . . . . .	1 980	1 807	1 839	54.3	1 527	1 437	1 451	78.9	453	424
STATE . . . . .	357	354	357	31.8	285	284	284	79.6	72	72
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 623	1 453	1 482	65.5	1 242	1 153	1 167	78.7	381	352
COUNTIES . . . . .	781	672	685	49.2	553	533	535	78.1	198	179
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	872	781	797	91.5	689	620	632	79.3	183	173
NEBRASKA . . . . .	3 679	3 262	3 337	56.2	2 764	2 511	2 554	76.5	915	751
STATE . . . . .	765	751	758	35.5	505	504	504	66.5	260	247
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 914	2 511	2 579	67.8	2 259	2 007	2 050	79.5	655	504
COUNTIES . . . . .	693	614	630	38.9	488	464	466	74.0	205	197
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 221	1 897	1 949	89.3	1 771	1 543	1 584	81.3	450	307
NEVADA . . . . .	2 625	2 422	2 481	49.2	1 730	1 708	1 716	69.2	895	714
STATE . . . . .	338	321	328	27.2	235	235	235	71.6	103	103
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 287	2 101	2 153	56.1	1 495	1 473	1 481	68.8	792	611
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 546	1 377	1 425	49.6	960	948	952	66.8	586	424
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	741	724	728	75.3	535	525	529	72.7	206	187
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	2 879	1 757	1 918	62.1	2 493	1 505	1 653	86.2	386	288
STATE . . . . .	348	331	336	33.5	260	245	249	74.1	88	81
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 531	1 426	1 582	75.9	2 233	1 260	1 404	88.7	298	207
COUNTIES . . . . .	113	97	104	23.3	82	73	77	74.0	31	24
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 418	1 329	1 478	90.2	2 151	1 187	1 327	89.8	267	183
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	26 792	24 857	25 154	55.9	21 131	20 356	20 375	81.0	5 661	4 797
STATE . . . . .	3 569	3 408	3 426	34.4	2 279	2 210	2 229	65.1	1 290	1 193
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	23 223	21 449	21 728	62.0	18 852	18 146	18 146	83.5	4 371	3 604
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 710	1 685	1 695	13.1	802	798	798	47.1	908	887
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	21 513	19 764	20 033	90.7	18 050	17 348	17 348	86.6	3 463	2 717
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	3 444	3 314	3 336	58.6	2 244	2 215	2 222	66.6	1 200	1 044
STATE . . . . .	904	828	839	32.2	375	375	375	44.7	529	451
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 540	2 486	2 497	80.8	1 869	1 840	1 847	74.0	671	593
COUNTIES . . . . .	621	593	597	72.4	473	450	453	75.9	148	143
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 919	1 893	1 900	83.9	1 396	1 390	1 394	73.4	523	450
NEW YORK . . . . .	66 667	64 176	64 733	55.0	57 030	55 648	56 038	86.6	9 637	9 084
STATE . . . . .	6 507	6 153	6 200	18.6	5 313	5 306	5 309	85.6	1 194	1 147
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	60 160	58 023	58 533	69.3	51 717	50 342	50 729	86.7	8 443	7 937
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 218	9 905	9 980	49.2	8 441	8 299	8 338	83.5	1 777	1 605
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	49 942	48 118	48 553	75.6	43 276	42 043	42 391	87.3	6 666	6 332
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	12 747	12 002	12 158	53.1	10 403	10 074	10 136	83.4	2 344	1 923
STATE . . . . .	2 745	2 654	2 672	22.4	1 993	1 986	1 990	74.5	752	742
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 002	9 348	9 486	86.6	8 410	8 088	8 146	85.9	1 592	1 181
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 679	2 532	2 556	64.8	2 335	2 266	2 279	89.2	344	285
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 323	6 816	6 930	98.9	6 075	5 822	5 867	84.7	1 248	896
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	1 453	1 120	1 141	55.6	1 108	948	955	83.7	345	272
STATE . . . . .	200	163	169	28.5	114	114	114	67.5	86	86
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 253	957	972	66.7	994	834	841	86.5	259	186
COUNTIES . . . . .	357	275	282	39.3	263	227	230	81.6	94	84
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	896	682	690	93.1	731	607	611	88.6	165	102

See footnotes at end of table.



27. Police protection sworn and nonsworn employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total police protection				Sworn				Nonsworn			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) police employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) police employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
ALABAMA	24 351	21 766	22 207	50.1	17 976	16 738	11 779	53.0	6 375	5 028	10 428	47.0
TOTAL	2 734	2 668	2 682	25.0	1 772	1 736	1 744	65.0	962	932	938	35.0
CITIES	21 617	19 098	19 525	58.1	16 204	15 002	10 035	51.4	5 413	4 096	9 490	48.6
COUNTIES	3 369	3 062	3 144	23.3	2 297	2 189	1 277	40.6	1 072	873	1 867	59.4
MUNICIPALITIES	18 248	16 036	16 381	81.5	13 907	12 813	8 758	53.5	4 341	3 223	7 623	46.5
ALASKA	7 090	6 468	6 650	58.4	5 362	5 041	5 128	77.1	1 728	1 427	1 522	22.9
TOTAL	1 647	1 550	1 575	33.9	734	728	730	46.3	913	822	845	53.7
CITIES	5 443	4 918	5 075	75.2	4 628	4 313	4 398	86.7	815	605	677	13.3
COUNTIES	627	584	595	33.0	551	532	533	89.6	76	52	62	10.4
MUNICIPALITIES	4 816	4 334	4 480	90.6	4 077	3 781	3 865	86.3	739	553	615	13.7
ARIZONA	6 097	5 774	5 830	51.1	4 540	4 401	4 423	75.9	1 557	1 373	1 407	24.1
TOTAL	1 374	1 326	1 334	33.8	998	995	996	74.7	376	331	338	25.3
CITIES	4 723	4 448	4 496	60.3	3 542	3 406	3 427	76.2	1 181	1 042	1 069	23.8
COUNTIES	1 608	1 478	1 510	36.4	1 100	1 065	1 071	70.9	508	413	439	29.1
MUNICIPALITIES	3 115	2 970	2 986	90.2	2 442	2 341	2 356	78.9	673	629	630	21.1
ARKANSAS	32 097	28 675	29 627	58.2	25 860	23 478	24 214	81.7	6 237	5 197	5 413	18.3
TOTAL	5 745	5 551	5 570	48.3	4 337	4 337	4 337	77.9	1 408	1 214	1 233	22.1
CITIES	26 352	23 124	24 057	61.1	21 523	19 141	19 877	82.6	4 829	3 983	4 180	17.4
COUNTIES	1 237	1 055	1 132	10.2	642	560	595	52.6	595	495	537	47.4
MUNICIPALITIES	25 115	22 069	22 925	81.1	20 881	18 581	19 282	84.1	4 234	3 488	3 643	15.9
CALIFORNIA	2 707	2 550	2 574	61.3	2 230	2 123	2 137	83.0	477	427	437	17.0
TOTAL	351	337	338	18.0	276	276	276	81.7	75	61	62	18.3
CITIES	2 356	2 213	2 236	96.2	1 954	1 847	1 861	83.2	402	366	375	16.8
COUNTIES	2 356	2 213	2 236	96.2	1 954	1 847	1 861	83.2	402	366	375	16.8
COLORADO	6 715	6 325	6 424	54.5	5 365	5 165	5 224	81.3	1 350	1 160	1 200	18.7
TOTAL	1 710	1 617	1 633	33.7	1 255	1 229	1 238	75.8	455	388	395	24.2
CITIES	5 005	4 708	4 791	69.1	4 110	3 936	3 986	83.2	895	772	805	16.8
COUNTIES	1 901	1 793	1 819	49.8	1 517	1 453	1 465	80.5	364	340	354	19.5
MUNICIPALITIES	3 104	2 915	2 972	90.7	2 593	2 483	2 521	84.8	511	432	451	15.2
CONNECTICUT	1 553	1 257	1 318	57.4	1 236	1 056	1 092	82.9	317	201	226	17.1
TOTAL	265	241	244	24.7	219	200	202	82.8	46	41	42	17.2
CITIES	1 288	1 016	1 074	81.9	1 017	856	890	82.9	271	160	184	17.1
COUNTIES	375	293	302	58.1	276	237	241	79.8	99	56	61	20.2
MUNICIPALITIES	913	723	772	97.6	741	619	649	84.1	172	104	123	15.9
DELAWARE	10 187	9 683	9 810	55.3	8 390	8 093	8 156	83.1	1 797	1 590	1 654	16.9
TOTAL	1 847	1 761	1 783	30.3	1 469	1 466	1 467	82.3	378	295	316	17.7
CITIES	8 340	7 922	8 027	67.8	6 921	6 627	6 689	83.3	1 419	1 295	1 338	16.7
COUNTIES	1 571	1 487	1 506	37.2	1 256	1 168	1 205	80.0	315	299	301	20.0
MUNICIPALITIES	6 769	6 435	6 521	83.6	5 665	5 439	5 484	84.1	1 104	996	1 037	15.9
FLORIDA	31 254	30 050	30 354	59.0	22 237	22 006	22 035	72.6	9 017	8 044	8 319	27.4
TOTAL	5 973	5 693	5 794	50.5	3 039	3 039	3 039	52.5	2 934	2 654	2 755	47.5
CITIES	25 281	24 357	24 560	61.4	19 198	18 996	18 996	77.3	6 083	5 390	5 564	22.7
COUNTIES	4 286	4 013	4 096	23.4	3 334	3 235	3 261	79.6	952	778	833	20.4
MUNICIPALITIES	20 995	20 344	20 464	91.2	15 864	15 732	15 735	76.9	5 131	4 612	4 729	23.1
GEORGIA	3 246	2 818	3 008	61.3	1 952	1 791	1 917	63.7	1 294	1 027	1 091	36.3
TOTAL	758	708	716	40.1	562	562	562	78.5	196	146	154	21.5
CITIES	2 488	2 110	2 292	73.3	1 390	1 229	1 355	59.1	1 098	881	937	40.9
COUNTIES	771	739	749	54.2	526	518	519	69.3	245	221	230	30.7
MUNICIPALITIES	1 717	1 371	1 543	88.4	864	711	836	54.2	853	660	707	45.8
ILLINOIS	1 426	998	1 067	56.2	1 078	792	842	78.9	348	206	225	21.1
TOTAL	479	400	408	34.0	302	302	302	74.0	177	98	106	26.0
CITIES	947	598	659	94.3	776	490	540	81.9	171	108	119	18.1
COUNTIES	67	12	17	33.3	61	7	11	64.7	6	5	6	35.3
MUNICIPALITIES	880	586	642	99.1	715	483	529	82.4	165	103	113	17.6
INDIANA	11 759	11 006	11 149	48.6	8 921	8 674	8 703	78.1	2 838	2 332	2 446	21.9
TOTAL	2 475	2 241	2 286	22.9	1 478	1 439	1 444	63.2	997	802	842	36.8
CITIES	9 284	8 765	8 863	68.3	7 443	7 235	7 259	81.9	1 841	1 530	1 604	18.1
COUNTIES	3 593	3 295	3 355	63.6	2 804	2 682	2 706	80.7	789	613	649	19.3
MUNICIPALITIES	5 691	5 470	5 508	71.6	4 639	4 553	4 553	82.7	1 052	917	955	17.3

Footnotes at end of table.

Table 27. Police protection sworn and nonsworn employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total police protection				Sworn				Nonsworn			
	Number of employees			Per- cent of total (FTEQ) em- ploy- ees	Number of employees			Per- cent of total (FTEQ) police em- ploy- ees	Number of employees			Per- cent of total (FTEQ) police em- ploy- ees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent		Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent		Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiva- lent	
WASHINGTON . . . . .	8 405	7 915	7 981	51.2	6 328	6 118	6 130	76.8	2 077	1 797	1 851	23.1
STATE . . . . .	1 658	1 567	1 581	31.4	900	898	899	56.9	758	669	682	43.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 747	6 348	6 400	60.6	5 428	5 220	5 231	81.7	1 319	1 128	1 169	19.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 010	1 916	1 934	35.4	1 520	1 496	1 500	77.6	490	420	434	22.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 737	4 432	4 466	87.6	3 908	3 724	3 731	83.5	829	708	735	16.9
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	3 463	3 132	3 222	54.5	2 715	2 559	2 615	81.2	748	573	607	18.8
STATE . . . . .	984	966	969	36.1	714	714	714	73.7	270	252	255	26.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 479	2 166	2 253	69.8	2 001	1 845	1 901	84.4	478	321	352	15.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	755	702	717	44.2	609	596	602	84.0	146	106	115	16.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 724	1 464	1 536	95.5	1 392	1 249	1 299	84.6	332	215	237	15.4
WISCONSIN . . . . .	12 940	11 127	11 442	61.3	10 507	9 266	9 469	82.8	2 433	1 861	1 973	17.2
STATE . . . . .	1 372	1 216	1 244	25.4	587	587	587	47.2	785	629	657	52.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	11 568	9 911	10 198	74.0	9 920	8 679	8 882	87.1	1 648	1 232	1 316	12.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 441	2 227	2 266	41.3	2 122	1 984	2 007	88.6	319	243	259	11.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 127	7 684	7 932	95.8	7 798	6 695	6 875	86.7	1 329	989	1 057	13.3
WYOMING . . . . .	1 224	1 166	1 175	57.7	944	934	934	79.5	280	232	241	20.5
STATE . . . . .	231	225	225	34.7	178	178	178	79.1	53	47	47	20.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	993	941	950	68.5	766	756	756	79.6	227	185	194	20.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	349	330	333	48.8	284	280	280	84.1	65	50	53	15.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	644	611	617	87.6	482	476	476	77.1	162	135	141	22.1

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to totals.

Table 28. Police protection payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total police protection		Sworn personnel		Nonsworn personnel	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll <sup>2</sup>	October payroll	Percent of total police protection October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total police protection October payroll
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	723 767	57.6	601 231	83.1	122 537	16.9
STATE . . . . .	116 294	31.4	81 919	70.4	34 375	29.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	607 473	68.5	519 312	85.5	88 162	14.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	122 434	37.4	93 253	82.4	29 181	17.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	485 040	86.7	426 059	87.8	58 981	12.2
ALABAMA . . . . .	7 845	61.3	6 625	84.5	1 220	15.5
STATE . . . . .	1 704	36.1	1 289	75.6	416	24.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 141	76.0	5 337	86.9	804	13.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 150	42.4	1 014	88.2	136	11.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 991	93.0	4 323	86.6	668	13.4
ALASKA . . . . .	2 799	51.5	2 086	74.5	712	25.5
STATE . . . . .	1 456	37.2	1 003	68.9	453	31.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 343	88.5	1 084	80.7	259	19.3
BOROUGHs . . . . .	69	80.0	61	88.8	8	11.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 274	89.0	1 023	80.3	252	19.7
ARIZONA . . . . .	8 988	58.8	7 329	81.5	1 659	18.5
STATE . . . . .	1 976	47.8	1 385	70.1	591	29.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 012	63.0	5 944	84.8	1 068	15.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 437	29.0	1 266	88.2	170	11.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 575	90.2	4 677	83.9	898	16.1
ARKANSAS . . . . .	3 372	61.0	2 688	79.7	688	20.4
STATE . . . . .	734	39.0	552	75.2	182	24.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 638	72.4	2 136	80.9	506	19.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	544	41.4	475	87.3	69	12.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 094	89.9	1 661	79.3	437	20.9
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	106 495	54.7	77 968	80.2	28 527	26.8
STATE . . . . .	15 592	38.1	10 601	68.0	4 992	32.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	90 903	59.1	67 367	82.5	23 535	25.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	32 008	35.6	18 292	80.5	13 716	42.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	58 894	92.1	49 075	83.3	9 819	16.7
COLORADO . . . . .	8 219	55.8	7 109	82.8	1 109	13.5
STATE . . . . .	1 191	22.7	762	63.9	429	36.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 028	74.1	6 348	85.9	680	9.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 065	46.4	905	85.0	160	15.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 963	82.9	5 443	86.0	520	8.7
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	9 745	63.0	8 704	89.3	1 043	10.7
STATE . . . . .	1 791	24.5	1 370	76.5	421	23.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 954	97.2	7 334	92.2	622	7.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 954	97.2	7 334	92.2	622	7.8
DELAWARE . . . . .	1 852	48.9	1 489	80.4	364	19.6
STATE . . . . .	821	32.1	663	80.7	158	19.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 032	83.6	826	80.1	206	19.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	371	75.0	274	73.8	97	26.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	660	89.3	552	83.6	108	16.4
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	7 910	56.1	7 112	89.9	798	10.1
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 910	56.1	7 112	89.9	798	10.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 910	56.1	7 112	89.9	798	10.1
FLORIDA . . . . .	28 588	54.1	22 360	78.2	6 227	21.8
STATE . . . . .	3 528	18.2	1 944	55.1	1 585	44.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	25 059	75.0	20 416	81.5	4 642	18.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 073	56.6	7 244	79.8	1 828	20.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15 987	91.9	13 172	82.4	2 814	17.6
GEORGIA . . . . .	11 688	55.3	9 955	85.2	1 733	14.8
STATE . . . . .	2 475	34.0	1 716	69.4	758	30.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 213	66.6	8 239	89.4	975	10.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 160	44.1	2 784	88.1	376	11.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 053	90.7	5 454	90.1	599	9.9

Table 28. Police protection payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total police protection		Sworn personnel		Nonsworn personnel	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll <sup>2</sup>	October payroll	Percent of total police protection October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total police protection October payroll
HAWAII . . . . .	3 486	61.9	3 331	95.6	154	4.4
STATE . . . . .	119	6.1	119	100.0	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 367	91.2	3 213	95.4	154	4.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	818	84.0	740	90.4	76	9.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 549	93.8	2 473	97.0	76	3.0
IDAHO . . . . .	2 039	57.6	1 759	86.3	280	13.7
STATE . . . . .	366	28.5	286	78.1	80	21.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 674	74.2	1 474	88.1	200	11.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	611	53.6	532	87.0	80	13.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 062	95.1	942	88.7	120	11.3
ILLINOIS . . . . .	47 261	67.5	41 229	87.2	6 031	12.8
STATE . . . . .	4 323	29.6	3 219	74.5	1 104	25.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	42 938	77.4	38 010	88.5	4 926	11.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 520	22.9	2 803	74.6	716	20.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	39 417	98.4	35 207	89.3	4 210	10.7
INDIANA . . . . .	11 001	59.0	9 502	86.4	1 499	13.6
STATE . . . . .	2 534	40.8	1 858	73.3	675	26.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 467	68.1	7 644	90.3	824	9.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 255	31.8	1 091	86.9	164	13.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 212	85.0	6 553	90.9	659	9.1
IOWA . . . . .	6 338	54.6	5 359	84.5	980	15.5
STATE . . . . .	1 789	38.3	1 363	76.2	427	23.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 549	65.6	3 996	87.8	553	12.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	935	29.4	768	82.1	167	17.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 615	96.1	3 229	89.3	386	10.7
KANSAS . . . . .	4 843	50.6	4 088	84.4	755	15.6
STATE . . . . .	840	23.8	718	85.5	122	14.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 003	66.2	3 370	84.2	633	15.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	822	32.3	650	79.1	172	20.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 180	91.1	2 720	85.5	461	14.5
KENTUCKY . . . . .	6 961	57.1	5 716	82.1	1 385	19.9
STATE . . . . .	2 074	46.2	1 442	69.5	772	37.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 887	63.4	4 274	87.5	613	12.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 396	37.4	1 233	88.3	163	11.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 490	87.9	3 041	87.1	450	12.9
LOUISIANA . . . . .	10 364	53.0	8 112	78.3	2 253	21.7
STATE . . . . .	2 536	33.5	1 396	55.0	1 140	45.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 829	65.2	6 716	85.8	1 112	14.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 877	59.4	2 566	89.2	311	10.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 952	69.2	4 150	83.8	802	16.2
MAINE . . . . .	2 190	62.5	1 953	89.2	237	10.8
STATE . . . . .	625	36.6	530	84.7	96	15.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 565	87.0	1 423	91.0	141	9.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	180	46.0	172	95.9	7	4.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 385	98.4	1 251	90.3	134	9.7
MARYLAND . . . . .	15 579	56.7	13 365	85.8	2 214	14.2
STATE . . . . .	3 678	30.4	2 756	74.9	922	25.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	11 901	77.3	10 609	89.1	1 292	10.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 203	74.7	5 589	90.1	614	9.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 698	80.4	5 020	88.1	678	11.9
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	22 294	64.1	20 072	90.0	2 212	9.9
STATE . . . . .	2 895	34.1	2 257	78.0	638	22.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	19 399	73.7	17 815	91.8	1 574	8.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	40	.9	21	52.5	19	47.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	19 360	88.4	17 795	91.9	1 555	8.0
MICHIGAN . . . . .	35 642	58.6	30 692	86.0	4 990	14.0
STATE . . . . .	6 062	36.0	3 980	65.6	2 083	34.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	29 619	67.1	26 712	90.2	2 908	9.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 973	25.1	3 337	84.0	636	16.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	25 646	90.7	23 375	91.1	2 271	8.9

Table 28. Police protection payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total police protection		Sworn personnel		Nonsworn personnel	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll <sup>2</sup>	October payroll	Percent of total police protection October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total police protection October payroll
MINNESOTA . . . . .	11 317	57.6	8 927	78.9	2 391	21.1
STATE . . . . .	1 608	34.2	1 245	77.4	364	22.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 709	65.0	7 682	79.1	2 027	20.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 032	38.3	1 557	51.3	1 475	48.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 677	95.2	6 125	91.7	552	8.3
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	3 884	64.1	3 335	85.9	549	14.1
STATE . . . . .	1 111	46.7	886	79.8	225	20.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 772	75.4	2 448	88.3	324	11.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	649	46.9	570	87.8	79	12.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 123	92.6	1 878	88.5	245	11.5
MISSOURI . . . . .	14 066	64.5	11 584	82.4	2 481	17.6
STATE . . . . .	1 833	35.3	1 235	67.4	598	32.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 233	73.6	10 349	84.6	1 883	15.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 665	38.9	1 382	83.0	284	17.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 568	85.7	8 968	84.9	1 599	15.1
MONTANA . . . . .	1 815	53.8	1 524	84.0	291	16.0
STATE . . . . .	413	31.4	330	79.9	83	20.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 402	68.0	1 194	85.1	208	14.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	617	50.9	519	84.0	99	16.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	784	92.5	675	86.0	109	14.0
NEBRASKA . . . . .	3 493	54.1	2 782	79.6	711	20.4
STATE . . . . .	895	36.6	573	64.0	322	36.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 598	64.7	2 209	85.0	389	15.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	534	31.8	426	79.8	108	20.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 064	88.4	1 783	86.4	281	13.6
NEVADA . . . . .	3 231	51.5	2 659	82.3	572	17.7
STATE . . . . .	415	27.7	317	81.1	78	18.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 815	59.0	2 321	82.5	494	17.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 868	53.0	1 557	83.3	311	16.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	948	75.8	765	80.7	183	19.3
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	1 980	63.4	1 754	88.6	226	11.4
STATE . . . . .	404	36.6	296	73.3	106	26.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 575	78.0	1 457	92.5	118	7.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	92	24.2	73	80.1	18	19.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 484	90.5	1 384	93.3	100	6.7
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	32 748	60.3	26 424	80.7	6 220	19.0
STATE . . . . .	4 348	35.7	1 081	24.9	3 267	75.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	28 400	67.5	25 343	89.2	2 953	10.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 883	13.6	1 033	54.9	849	45.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	26 517	93.8	24 309	91.7	2 104	7.9
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	3 195	57.8	2 365	74.0	831	26.0
STATE . . . . .	851	31.8	400	47.0	451	53.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 344	82.2	1 964	83.8	380	16.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	627	76.7	427	81.0	100	19.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 817	84.0	1 537	84.6	280	15.4
NEW YORK . . . . .	99 577	57.6	88 257	88.6	11 320	11.4
STATE . . . . .	8 751	18.9	7 884	90.1	868	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	90 826	71.7	80 373	88.5	10 453	11.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 093	52.0	12 410	88.1	1 683	11.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	76 733	77.1	67 963	88.6	8 769	11.4
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	11 693	53.2	10 103	86.4	1 590	13.6
STATE . . . . .	2 872	24.1	2 281	79.4	591	20.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 821	87.8	7 822	88.7	999	11.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 216	66.5	2 030	91.6	186	8.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 605	98.5	5 792	87.7	813	12.3
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	1 161	56.0	1 018	87.7	142	12.3
STATE . . . . .	194	29.0	144	74.3	50	25.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	966	69.0	874	90.4	92	9.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	239	39.5	208	87.2	30	12.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	727	91.4	665	91.5	62	8.5

Table 28. Police protection payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total police protection		Sworn personnel		Nonsworn personnel	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll <sup>2</sup>	October payroll	Percent of total police protection October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total police protection October payroll
OHIO . . . . .	26 160	53.1	21 765	83.2	4 395	16.8
STATE . . . . .	3 476	25.5	2 555	73.5	921	26.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	22 684	63.6	19 210	84.7	3 474	15.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 043	24.9	2 224	73.1	819	26.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	19 641	83.9	16 986	86.5	2 655	13.5
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	6 119	58.1	4 961	81.1	1 159	18.9
STATE . . . . .	1 604	34.2	831	51.8	773	48.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 516	77.2	4 130	91.5	386	8.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	407	31.8	371	91.1	36	8.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 109	89.9	3 759	91.5	350	8.5
OREGON . . . . .	7 431	53.9	6 087	81.9	1 344	18.1
STATE . . . . .	1 860	36.4	1 502	80.7	358	19.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 571	64.2	4 586	82.3	985	17.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 855	40.0	1 431	77.1	424	22.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 716	92.2	3 155	84.9	561	15.1
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	34 670	59.2	29 797	85.9	4 873	14.1
STATE . . . . .	7 920	47.9	6 352	80.2	1 568	19.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26 750	63.6	23 445	87.6	3 305	12.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 057	10.9	601	56.9	456	43.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	25 694	79.5	22 844	88.9	2 850	11.1
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	3 118	61.1	2 755	88.4	363	11.6
STATE . . . . .	541	22.2	474	87.6	67	12.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 577	96.8	2 281	88.5	296	11.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 577	96.8	2 281	88.5	296	11.5
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	5 619	55.1	4 800	85.4	819	14.6
STATE . . . . .	1 623	35.3	1 316	81.1	307	18.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 995	71.3	3 483	87.2	512	12.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 508	51.6	1 270	84.2	239	15.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 487	92.8	2 214	89.0	273	11.0
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	1 197	55.9	1 039	86.8	158	13.2
STATE . . . . .	262	25.9	218	83.2	44	16.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	935	83.1	821	87.8	114	12.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	241	58.3	207	86.1	34	13.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	694	97.4	614	88.4	81	11.6
TENNESSEE . . . . .	9 423	54.8	8 112	86.1	1 311	13.9
STATE . . . . .	1 796	29.1	1 492	83.1	304	16.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 627	69.3	6 619	86.8	1 007	13.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 307	37.1	1 112	85.1	194	14.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 320	84.4	5 507	87.1	813	12.9
TEXAS . . . . .	31 543	60.7	25 118	79.6	6 425	20.4
STATE . . . . .	5 844	47.0	3 394	58.1	2 451	41.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	25 699	65.0	21 724	84.5	3 975	15.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 381	22.0	2 766	81.8	615	18.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	22 318	92.2	18 958	84.9	3 359	15.1
UTAH . . . . .	3 198	59.5	2 153	67.3	1 045	32.7
STATE . . . . .	852	39.1	726	85.2	126	14.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 346	73.3	1 427	60.8	919	39.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	786	55.0	611	77.7	176	22.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 560	88.1	816	52.3	744	47.7
VERMONT . . . . .	1 103	54.7	901	81.7	202	18.3
STATE . . . . .	499	36.1	375	75.2	124	24.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	604	95.1	526	87.0	78	13.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	12	35.7	9	76.2	3	23.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	592	98.5	516	87.3	75	12.7
VIRGINIA . . . . .	11 760	48.1	9 788	83.2	1 977	16.8
STATE . . . . .	2 341	20.9	1 627	69.5	715	30.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 419	71.1	8 161	86.6	1 262	13.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 993	68.7	3 415	85.5	582	14.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 426	73.0	4 746	87.5	680	12.5

Table 28 Police protection payroll for sworn and nonsworn personnel and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total police protection		Sworn personnel		Nonsworn personnel	
	October payroll	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll <sup>2</sup>	October payroll	Percent of total police protection October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total police protection October payroll
WASHINGTON . . . . .	10 569	54.4	8 773	83.0	1 796	17.0
STATE . . . . .	2 162	33.6	1 418	65.6	744	34.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 407	64.7	7 355	87.5	1 052	12.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 422	38.6	2 061	85.1	361	14.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 985	89.0	5 294	88.5	691	11.5
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	2 860	55.4	2 492	87.1	369	12.9
STATE . . . . .	958	37.7	807	84.3	151	15.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 902	72.4	1 685	88.5	218	11.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	552	45.4	488	88.5	63	11.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 351	95.6	1 196	88.5	155	11.5
WISCONSIN . . . . .	14 142	62.4	12 387	87.6	1 755	12.4
STATE . . . . .	1 480	23.9	729	49.3	751	50.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 662	76.9	11 658	92.1	1 004	7.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 664	43.8	2 421	90.9	243	9.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 998	96.3	9 237	92.4	761	7.6
WYOMING . . . . .	1 157	59.0	973	84.1	183	15.9
STATE . . . . .	249	35.8	206	76.8	62	23.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	888	73.5	767	86.4	121	13.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	264	53.6	256	90.0	28	10.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	604	89.0	512	84.7	93	15.3

<sup>1</sup> - Represents zero or rounds to zero.<sup>2</sup> Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation, data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation, see text for data limitations.<sup>3</sup> Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to totals.

Table 29. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL . . . . .	1 135 228	1 130 482	1 071 681	58 801	44 575	14 062	164	4 746	867	3 879
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN . . . . .	188	188	170	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	3 187	3 187	2 864	323	323	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	589	589	534	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	1 526	1 526	1 405	121	121	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	474	474	468	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	489	489	458	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA . . . . .	6 933	6 933	5 266	1 667	887	780	-	-	-	-
PIMA . . . . .	10 701	10 625	9 468	1 157	161	996	-	76	76	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI . . . . .	1 153	1 150	1 106	44	44	-	-	3	3	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA . . . . .	9 371	9 371	9 297	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
BUTTE . . . . .	1 750	1 750	1 704	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	5 088	4 894	4 888	6	2	4	-	194	-	194
FRESNO . . . . .	7 379	7 379	6 998	381	345	36	-	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	2 620	2 620	2 580	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
KERN . . . . .	7 596	7 596	7 138	458	440	18	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	128 437	128 437	126 495	1 942	1 799	137	6	-	-	-
MARIN . . . . .	2 424	2 424	2 264	160	156	4	-	-	-	-
MERCED . . . . .	1 458	1 458	1 375	83	83	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY . . . . .	4 158	4 158	3 942	216	216	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	12 171	12 171	11 891	280	267	13	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	11 027	11 027	10 557	470	440	30	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	13 463	13 463	13 320	143	95	46	2	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	13 276	13 276	12 716	560	560	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	12 409	12 409	11 745	664	141	523	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	4 485	4 485	4 382	103	44	59	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	2 643	2 643	2 625	18	-	18	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	7 433	7 433	7 202	231	203	28	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	5 615	5 615	4 793	822	817	5	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	11 081	11 081	10 815	266	39	227	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	2 491	2 491	2 466	25	13	12	-	-	-	-
SOLANO . . . . .	2 621	2 621	1 882	739	739	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA . . . . .	3 813	3 813	3 457	356	166	90	100	-	-	-
STANISLAUS . . . . .	3 313	3 313	3 255	58	1	57	-	-	-	-
TULARE . . . . .	3 519	3 519	3 497	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA . . . . .	7 914	7 914	7 837	77	77	-	-	-	-	-
YOLO . . . . .	2 332	2 332	2 287	45	39	6	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS . . . . .	2 114	2 114	2 083	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	1 855	1 855	1 846	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	1 698	1 698	1 512	186	186	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	669	669	669	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	3 148	3 148	2 947	201	139	62	-	-	-	-
LARIMER . . . . .	1 087	1 087	991	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	523	523	490	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
WELD . . . . .	816	816	816	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	4 884	4 884	4 811	73	67	6	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA . . . . .	1 942	1 942	1 841	101	94	7	-	-	-	-
BREVARD . . . . .	2 931	2 931	2 720	211	211	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD . . . . .	8 509	6 684	6 186	498	498	-	-	1 825	32	1 793
DADE . . . . .	35 957	35 957	34 155	1 802	531	1 271	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	2 406	2 406	2 165	241	241	-	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	6 852	6 852	6 482	370	353	17	-	-	-	-
LEE . . . . .	2 603	2 603	2 402	201	201	-	-	-	-	-
LEON . . . . .	1 700	1 700	1 538	162	162	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 29. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 -Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
MANATEE . . . . .	1 687	1 678	1 506	172	172	-	-	9	-	9
ORANGE . . . . .	6 869	6 869	6 748	121	121	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH . . . . .	8 332	8 303	7 304	999	998	1	-	29	-	29
PASCO . . . . .	2 245	2 245	2 018	227	227	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS . . . . .	5 989	5 989	5 294	695	518	177	-	-	-	-
POLK . . . . .	3 337	3 337	3 077	260	260	-	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA . . . . .	3 824	3 824	2 906	918	360	558	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE . . . . .	2 063	1 956	1 745	211	211	-	-	107	22	85
VOLUSIA . . . . .	3 251	3 251	2 680	571	571	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB . . . . .	1 374	1 364	1 259	105	105	-	-	10	10	-
CHATHAM . . . . .	1 624	1 624	1 499	125	125	-	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON . . . . .	2 508	2 508	2 382	126	126	-	-	-	-	-
COBB . . . . .	3 903	3 903	3 677	226	226	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB . . . . .	1 041	1 041	957	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON . . . . .	2 576	2 559	2 372	187	187	-	-	17	-	17
RICHMOND . . . . .	1 690	1 689	1 529	160	160	-	-	1	-	1
IDAHO:										
ADA . . . . .	1 126	1 123	988	135	135	-	-	3	-	3
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	670	670	612	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
COOK . . . . .	14 228	14 228	13 581	647	647	-	-	-	-	-
DU PAGE . . . . .	2 762	2 762	2 602	160	160	-	-	-	-	-
KANE . . . . .	1 303	1 303	1 255	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	2 399	2 399	2 192	207	207	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE . . . . .	408	408	375	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
MCHEERY . . . . .	1 304	1 304	1 171	133	133	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN . . . . .	579	579	547	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
MACON . . . . .	823	823	789	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	1 029	1 024	993	31	31	-	-	5	-	5
PEORIA . . . . .	1 355	1 355	1 353	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	960	924	893	31	31	-	-	36	-	36
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	886	881	827	54	54	-	-	5	-	5
SANGAMON . . . . .	1 245	1 245	1 202	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL . . . . .	615	615	594	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
WILL . . . . .	1 917	1 917	1 763	154	154	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	1 591	1 591	1 545	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN . . . . .	1 734	1 734	1 565	169	169	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	551	551	486	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART . . . . .	886	886	659	227	227	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	2 830	2 830	2 657	173	173	-	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE . . . . .	510	510	418	92	92	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	546	536	502	34	34	-	-	10	10	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	1 170	1 170	1 103	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE . . . . .	584	584	529	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	847	847	802	45	45	-	-	-	-	-
VIGO . . . . .	378	378	217	161	161	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK . . . . .	354	354	322	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
LINN . . . . .	758	758	687	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
POLK . . . . .	1 042	1 037	929	108	108	-	-	5	-	5
SCOTT . . . . .	679	679	625	54	54	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY . . . . .	409	409	354	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON . . . . .	2 371	2 371	1 962	409	409	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK . . . . .	1 568	1 568	1 444	124	124	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE . . . . .	463	463	444	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	542	542	540	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON . . . . .	10 123	9 950	8 880	1 070	1 002	68	-	173	-	173
KENTON . . . . .	651	651	608	43	43	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO . . . . .	2 763	2 763	2 598	165	165	-	-	-	-	-
CALCASTEU . . . . .	3 305	3 202	3 041	161	161	-	-	103	103	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	9 318	9 318	8 499	819	819	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	2 013	2 013	1 817	196	196	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA . . . . .	1 312	1 312	1 242	70	70	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES . . . . .	1 345	1 345	1 282	63	63	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	536	536	500	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	121	121	108	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	161	161	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	8 988	8 988	8 358	630	263	367	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE . . . . .	22 836	22 836	22 594	242	207	35	-	-	-	-
HARFORD . . . . .	1 053	1 053	982	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	21 639	21 575	20 651	924	524	400	-	64	-	64
PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	24 884	24 884	22 773	2 111	1 443	668	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	299	299	276	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE . . . . .	402	402	393	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	43	43	41	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX . . . . .	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN . . . . .	77	76	76	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	151	151	151	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	257	257	149	108	108	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY . . . . .	845	845	784	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN . . . . .	1 304	1 304	1 190	114	114	-	-	-	-	-
CALHOUN . . . . .	1 015	1 015	951	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
GENESEE . . . . .	2 640	2 640	2 637	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
INGHAM . . . . .	2 022	2 022	1 922	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	1 123	1 123	1 097	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	1 886	1 886	1 835	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
KENT . . . . .	1 976	1 976	1 976	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACOMB . . . . .	2 322	2 322	2 227	95	95	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	1 613	1 613	1 521	92	92	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKEGON . . . . .	1 282	1 192	1 143	49	49	-	-	90	-	90
OAKLAND . . . . .	5 926	5 922	5 624	298	292	6	-	4	-	4
OTTAWA . . . . .	1 182	1 182	1 117	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	1 353	1 353	1 296	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	981	975	930	45	45	-	-	6	-	6
WASHTENAW . . . . .	2 815	2 815	2 462	353	353	-	-	-	-	-
WAYNE . . . . .	11 008	11 008	10 423	585	531	54	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA . . . . .	1 633	1 633	1 566	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA . . . . .	756	756	714	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN . . . . .	3 712	3 712	3 389	323	319	4	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY . . . . .	2 124	2 124	1 713	411	411	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	1 757	1 757	1 602	155	155	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON . . . . .	878	878	802	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS . . . . .	646	646	487	159	159	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	553	553	504	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY . . . . .	402	402	342	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE . . . . .	685	685	610	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	1 249	1 249	1 247	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	621	621	581	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	896	896	656	240	240	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	14 047	14 047	13 541	506	506	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS . . . . .	1 355	1 355	1 337	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	568	568	567	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
CLARK . . . . .	18 637	18 637	18 378	259	259	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE . . . . .	2 995	2 995	2 530	465	461	4	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	91	74	70	4	4	-	-	17	-	17
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	256	256	227	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC . . . . .	409	409	406	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN . . . . .	3 897	3 897	3 864	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON . . . . .	322	322	202	120	-	120	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	1 729	1 729	1 667	62	-	62	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	231	231	216	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX . . . . .	4 975	4 975	4 953	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	364	364	364	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUOSON . . . . .	2 954	2 954	2 927	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	663	663	663	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	1 615	1 615	1 535	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH . . . . .	901	901	858	43	-	43	-	-	-	-
MORRIS . . . . .	1 645	1 645	1 508	137	90	47	-	-	-	-
OCEAN . . . . .	1 093	1 093	966	127	77	50	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	1 049	1 049	1 049	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET . . . . .	846	846	762	84	27	57	-	-	-	-
UNION . . . . .	1 339	1 339	1 328	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO . . . . .	2 650	2 650	2 650	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	987	987	909	78	78	-	-	-	-	-
BROOME . . . . .	1 057	1 057	963	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	910	910	859	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG . . . . .	606	606	563	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS . . . . .	1 274	1 274	1 242	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	5 169	5 169	5 065	104	104	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	5 972	5 972	5 807	165	165	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU . . . . .	90 948	90 948	88 937	2 011	1 698	313	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA . . . . .	1 339	1 339	1 282	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA . . . . .	691	691	657	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA . . . . .	3 310	3 310	2 942	368	67	301	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO . . . . .	563	563	501	62	51	11	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER . . . . .	390	390	362	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND . . . . .	1 326	1 326	1 292	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	458	458	419	39	39	-	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA . . . . .	482	482	451	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	129	129	115	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
STEUBEN . . . . .	200	200	177	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK . . . . .	72 904	72 904	71 126	1 778	1 226	537	15	-	-	-
ULSTER . . . . .	444	444	427	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	5 071	5 071	4 951	120	90	30	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	1 073	1 061	1 001	60	60	-	-	12	-	12
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	2 070	1 958	1 738	220	216	4	-	112	-	112
DURHAM . . . . .	421	421	406	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH . . . . .	1 107	1 095	1 020	75	75	-	-	12	-	12
GASTON . . . . .	999	999	944	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD . . . . .	1 567	1 567	1 432	135	135	-	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG . . . . .	3 027	2 652	2 381	271	271	-	-	375	-	375
ONslow . . . . .	444	444	364	80	80	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE . . . . .	658	658	609	49	49	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
OHIO:										
ALLEN . . . . .	531	531	489	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
ASHTABULA . . . . .	538	538	426	112	102	10	-	-	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	731	731	647	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK . . . . .	709	709	637	72	72	-	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT . . . . .	301	301	254	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	345	345	317	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	3 185	3 048	2 868	180	132	48	-	137	-	137
FRANKLIN . . . . .	5 874	5 874	2 717	3 157	84	3 073	-	-	-	-
GREENE . . . . .	578	578	572	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	6 503	6 503	6 046	457	277	180	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	844	844	770	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING . . . . .	441	441	415	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	799	799	799	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUCAS . . . . .	1 509	1 509	1 386	123	123	-	-	-	-	-
MAHONING . . . . .	706	706	691	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	3 315	3 315	3 255	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE . . . . .	724	724	694	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	675	675	611	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
STARK . . . . .	1 790	1 790	1 547	243	243	-	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT . . . . .	1 967	1 967	1 957	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL . . . . .	1 431	1 431	1 368	63	63	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE . . . . .	315	315	272	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	312	312	239	73	73	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	827	827	808	19	18	1	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	1 961	1 961	1 846	115	103	12	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	1 462	1 462	1 355	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
LANE . . . . .	2 629	2 629	2 562	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
MARION . . . . .	1 221	1 196	1 132	64	64	-	-	25	-	25
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	8 658	8 658	8 143	515	515	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	1 819	1 819	1 787	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	5 733	5 733	5 675	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
BEAVER . . . . .	570	570	552	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
BERKS . . . . .	155	155	155	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLAIR . . . . .	32	23	20	3	3	-	-	9	-	9
BUCKS . . . . .	681	681	658	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	64	64	63	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIA . . . . .	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRE . . . . .	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	898	898	604	294	294	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	210	210	208	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN . . . . .	164	164	146	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	527	527	524	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	179	179	179	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTE . . . . .	389	389	389	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	264	264	262	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	30	30	22	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LEBANON . . . . .	154	154	147	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH . . . . .	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUZERNE . . . . .	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING . . . . .	30	30	20	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	1 295	1 295	784	511	236	275	-	-	-	-
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	223	223	223	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	424	424	363	61	61	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 29. Police protection expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA:</b>										
ANDERSON . . . . .	626	560	557	3	3	-	-	66	66	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	3 220	3 167	2 752	415	377	-	38	53	53	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	3 183	3 183	2 859	324	319	5	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	1 016	993	822	171	171	-	-	23	23	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	2 392	2 288	2 088	200	198	-	2	104	104	-
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	2 086	2 050	1 888	162	162	-	-	36	36	-
<b>TENNESSEE:</b>										
HAMILTON . . . . .	1 545	1 503	1 431	72	72	-	-	42	-	42
KNOX . . . . .	1 615	1 615	1 388	227	227	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY . . . . .	4 334	4 018	3 789	229	229	-	-	316	316	-
SULLIVAN . . . . .	660	660	616	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TEXAS:</b>										
BELL . . . . .	356	355	314	41	41	-	-	1	-	1
BEXAR . . . . .	2 680	2 680	1 603	1 077	132	945	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA . . . . .	1 177	1 177	866	311	182	129	-	-	-	-
CAMERON . . . . .	197	197	187	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS . . . . .	3 350	3 350	2 989	361	361	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	1 229	1 229	1 166	63	63	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	1 084	1 084	1 011	73	63	10	-	-	-	-
HARRIS . . . . .	7 536	7 536	7 375	161	161	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO . . . . .	514	514	496	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	982	970	968	2	1	-	1	12	12	-
LUBBOCK . . . . .	448	448	424	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN . . . . .	667	667	602	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
NUECES . . . . .	1 138	1 130	1 007	123	123	-	-	8	-	8
SMITH . . . . .	459	459	430	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT . . . . .	2 277	2 277	2 175	102	102	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	184	184	175	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS . . . . .	1 401	1 400	1 355	45	45	-	-	1	1	-
WICHITA . . . . .	318	318	297	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
<b>UTAH:</b>										
DAVIS . . . . .	475	475	417	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE . . . . .	5 598	5 598	5 385	213	213	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	360	360	337	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER . . . . .	536	536	530	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VERMONT:</b>										
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>										
ARLINGTON . . . . .	7 351	7 351	7 305	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX . . . . .	16 244	16 240	16 176	64	64	-	-	4	-	4
HENRICO . . . . .	5 672	5 641	4 893	748	586	162	-	31	-	31
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	3 272	3 272	3 134	138	138	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>										
CLARK . . . . .	1 706	1 661	1 596	65	65	-	-	45	-	45
KING . . . . .	10 619	10 619	9 981	638	46	592	-	-	-	-
KITSAP . . . . .	1 102	1 102	899	203	184	19	-	-	-	-
PIERCE . . . . .	4 103	4 062	3 945	117	117	-	-	41	-	41
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	2 866	2 492	2 404	88	88	-	-	374	-	374
SPOKANE . . . . .	2 624	2 624	2 525	99	99	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA . . . . .	1 070	1 070	999	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>										
CABELL . . . . .	314	314	304	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA . . . . .	440	440	440	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>										
BROWN . . . . .	1 893	1 892	1 789	103	103	-	-	1	-	1
DANE . . . . .	2 815	2 721	2 530	191	191	-	-	94	-	94
KENOSHA . . . . .	1 526	1 518	1 466	52	52	-	-	8	-	8
MARATHON . . . . .	1 040	1 040	934	106	106	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	3 765	3 765	3 727	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	1 158	1 158	1 011	147	147	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	1 848	1 848	1 508	340	108	232	-	-	-	-
ROCK . . . . .	1 043	1 043	974	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA . . . . .	2 217	2 206	2 068	138	138	-	-	11	-	11
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	975	975	916	59	59	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL . . . . .	4 322 462	4 299 573	4 144 768	154 805	93 199	59 461	2 145	22 889	191	22 698
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	11 851	11 851	11 248	603	603	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN . . . . .	1 170	1 170	1 137	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	3 618	3 618	3 395	223	223	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	6 282	6 282	6 023	259	113	146	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	4 361	4 361	4 288	73	73	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	2 592	2 592	2 347	245	194	51	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	7 415	7 415	7 318	97	97	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDAL . . . . .	1 530	1 530	1 464	66	66	-	-	-	-	-
MESA . . . . .	3 520	3 520	3 204	316	316	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX . . . . .	51 202	51 202	44 637	6 565	1 252	5 313	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	3 424	3 424	3 398	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE . . . . .	3 335	3 335	2 779	556	361	195	-	-	-	-
TUCSON . . . . .	13 522	13 522	12 644	878	80	798	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH . . . . .	1 093	1 093	1 005	88	88	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	4 399	4 399	4 293	106	105	1	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	1 779	1 779	1 577	202	202	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	1 275	1 262	1 169	93	93	-	-	13	13	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA . . . . .	2 963	2 963	2 329	634	53	581	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	2 164	2 158	2 082	73	73	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	8 556	8 538	8 284	254	239	15	-	18	-	18
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	7 317	7 317	4 512	2 805	390	2 307	108	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	1 339	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY . . . . .	5 162	5 140	5 138	2	2	-	-	1 315	-	1 315
BUENA PARK . . . . .	2 468	2 461	2 400	61	61	-	-	22	-	22
BURBANK . . . . .	4 704	4 694	4 378	316	316	-	-	7	-	7
CARSON . . . . .	3 088	128	82	46	46	-	-	10	-	10
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	2 738	2 738	2 499	239	77	162	-	2 960	-	2 960
COMPTON . . . . .	4 240	4 223	4 202	21	15	6	-	-	-	-
CONCORD . . . . .	3 834	3 834	3 495	339	329	10	-	17	-	17
COSTA MESA . . . . .	3 964	3 962	3 919	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	2 776	2 776	2 663	113	17	96	-	2	-	2
DOWNNEY . . . . .	3 475	3 463	3 401	62	62	-	-	12	-	12
EL CAJON . . . . .	2 248	2 248	2 240	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	3 006	2 838	2 379	459	106	353	-	168	-	168
FREMONT . . . . .	3 713	3 713	3 632	81	72	9	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	8 849	8 849	8 697	152	151	1	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	3 951	3 951	3 782	169	121	48	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	3 387	3 350	3 315	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDAL . . . . .	4 833	4 813	4 646	167	167	-	-	37	-	37
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	2 019	2 012	1 937	75	75	-	-	20	-	20
HAYWARD . . . . .	3 734	3 734	3 670	64	59	5	-	7	-	7
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	6 455	6 439	5 954	485	485	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	6 791	6 630	6 513	117	117	-	-	16	-	16
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	1 578	184	184	-	-	-	-	161	-	161
LONG BEACH . . . . .	23 016	23 002	22 923	79	75	4	-	1 394	-	1 394
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	236 889	236 889	229 694	7 195	3 202	3 993	-	-	-	-
MODESTO . . . . .	2 637	2 637	2 598	39	38	1	-	14	-	14
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	2 036	2 036	1 977	59	59	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	4 338	4 322	4 045	277	260	17	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	2	2	-	2	2	-	-	16	-	16
OAKLAND . . . . .	22 459	22 459	22 406	53	53	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	2 651	2 614	2 590	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	3 502	3 489	3 436	53	53	-	-	37	-	37
. . . . .	4 805	4 791	3 475	1 316	98	1 218	-	13	-	13
. . . . .	3 489	3 489	3 455	34	34	-	-	14	-	14
. . . . .	6 328	6 308	6 288	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
. . . . .	1 433	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	20
. . . . .	3 775	3 775	3 610	165	165	-	-	1 433	-	1 433
CH . . . . .	3 474	2 964	2 885	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
Y . . . . .	1 948	1 948	1 933	15	15	-	-	510	-	510
. . . . .	5 532	5 532	5 356	176	176	-	-	-	-	-
. . . . .	6 399	6 399	6 046	353	212	-	141	-	-	-

s at end of table.

Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	14 818	14 818	14 769	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	2 887	2 793	2 660	133	133	-	-	94	-	94
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	5 381	5 276	5 101	175	169	6	-	105	-	105
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	2 335	2 324	2 210	114	104	10	-	11	-	11
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	26 391	26 376	25 827	549	443	106	-	15	-	15
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	54 236	54 236	53 381	855	522	-	333	-	-	-
SAN JOSE . . . . .	24 680	24 680	22 523	2 157	2 093	64	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	2 741	2 741	2 721	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	3 242	3 242	3 135	107	106	1	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	10 816	10 777	9 784	993	918	75	-	39	-	39
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	3 528	3 518	3 410	108	101	7	-	10	-	10
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	3 816	3 816	3 532	284	267	17	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	4 290	4 258	4 185	73	73	-	-	32	-	32
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	1 878	1 878	1 765	113	95	-	18	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	1 601	1 601	1 571	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	2 178	2 169	2 097	72	72	-	-	9	-	9
STOCKTON . . . . .	6 999	6 999	6 852	147	50	97	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	3 880	3 880	3 607	273	273	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	7 192	7 166	7 112	54	54	-	-	26	-	26
VALLEJO . . . . .	2 786	2 786	2 742	44	42	-	2	-	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	2 641	2 624	2 563	61	61	-	-	17	-	17
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	2 440	2 428	2 227	201	201	-	-	12	-	12
WHITTIER . . . . .	2 660	2 650	2 633	17	17	-	-	10	-	10
COLORADO:										
ARVADA . . . . .	2 521	2 521	2 429	92	92	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	4 393	4 393	4 278	115	115	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	2 601	2 601	2 585	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	7 418	7 418	7 017	401	401	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER . . . . .	41 038	41 038	34 408	6 630	383	6 247	-	-	-	-
FT. COLLINS . . . . .	1 379	1 379	1 359	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	5 365	5 365	5 291	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	5 107	5 107	4 976	131	131	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	8 014	8 014	8 013	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	2 052	2 052	1 579	473	109	364	-	-	-	-
CANBURY . . . . .	2 061	2 061	1 993	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	9 226	9 226	9 054	172	172	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	1 666	1 666	1 642	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD . . . . .	2 485	2 485	2 065	420	87	333	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	2 629	2 629	2 604	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	8 127	8 119	7 807	312	197	115	-	8	8	-
NORWALK . . . . .	2 674	2 674	2 633	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD . . . . .	5 403	5 396	5 247	149	149	-	-	7	7	-
WATERBURY . . . . .	4 863	4 863	4 114	749	347	402	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	1 798	1 798	1 778	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON . . . . .	5 950	5 950	5 895	55	55	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON . . . . .	90 345	90 345	88 695	1 650	1 410	240	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER . . . . .	3 114	3 095	2 993	102	98	4	-	19	-	19
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	10 330	10 330	10 105	225	225	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	3 073	3 073	3 036	37	28	9	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	5 753	5 753	5 077	676	194	482	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	6 025	6 025	5 844	181	130	51	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	18 395	18 395	18 304	91	64	27	-	-	-	-
MIAMI . . . . .	22 563	22 563	20 368	2 195	123	1 661	411	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	6 795	6 795	6 789	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO . . . . .	8 846	8 846	8 782	64	63	1	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA . . . . .	373	373	244	129	117	12	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	10 633	10 628	10 210	418	298	120	-	5	-	5
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	2 860	2 860	2 773	87	87	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	13 555	13 555	13 056	499	487	12	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	3 447	3 430	3 339	91	91	-	-	17	17	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY . . . . .	2 543	2 543	2 366	177	137	40	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA . . . . .	25 555	25 537	24 194	1 343	1 280	63	-	18	-	18
AUGUSTA . . . . .	2 204	2 204	2 096	108	108	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS . . . . .	5 407	5 407	5 186	221	221	-	-	-	-	-
MACON . . . . .	3 586	3 547	3 107	440	268	172	-	39	-	39
SAVANNAH . . . . .	3 920	3 920	3 703	217	210	7	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU . . . . .	34 978	34 978	34 293	685	615	70	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY . . . . .	2 700	2 700	2 586	114	114	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS . . . . .	2 020	2 020	1 963	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	4 173	4 173	3 469	704	134	570	-	-	-	-
BERWYN . . . . .	1 270	1 270	1 236	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	2 333	2 333	2 249	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO . . . . .	307 884	307 884	299 826	8 058	7 630	428	-	-	-	-
CICERO . . . . .	2 370	2 370	1 722	648	-	648	-	-	-	-
DECATUR . . . . .	2 093	2 093	2 022	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES . . . . .	2 383	2 383	2 049	334	87	247	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS . . . . .	3 187	3 187	3 187	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN . . . . .	2 118	2 118	2 021	97	97	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON . . . . .	3 485	3 485	3 443	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET . . . . .	3 963	3 963	3 794	169	58	111	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN . . . . .	2 190	2 190	2 062	128	128	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK . . . . .	3 234	3 234	3 153	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	7 002	6 996	6 750	246	212	34	-	6	-	6
ROCKFORD . . . . .	5 759	5 759	5 344	415	415	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	1 490	1 490	1 487	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE . . . . .	3 040	3 040	2 957	83	83	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	3 067	3 067	3 005	62	58	4	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN . . . . .	2 911	2 895	2 836	59	59	-	-	16	-	16
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON . . . . .	2 035	2 035	2 010	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE . . . . .	4 321	4 321	4 285	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE . . . . .	5 610	5 610	5 420	190	190	-	-	-	-	-
GARY . . . . .	5 684	5 684	5 577	107	102	5	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND . . . . .	3 259	3 259	3 167	92	92	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS . . . . .	32 229	32 229	29 712	2 517	2 517	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE . . . . .	2 088	2 088	1 927	161	161	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND . . . . .	4 077	4 077	3 902	175	175	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE . . . . .	1 538	1 538	1 431	107	100	7	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS . . . . .	2 892	2 892	2 774	118	118	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS . . . . .	2 120	2 120	1 838	282	2	280	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT . . . . .	2 315	2 315	2 179	136	136	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES . . . . .	6 637	6 637	6 500	137	91	45	1	-	-	-
DUBUQUE . . . . .	1 431	1 431	1 362	69	67	-	2	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY . . . . .	2 643	2 643	2 619	24	3	21	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO . . . . .	2 505	2 505	2 467	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	6 523	6 265	5 977	288	288	-	-	258	-	258
OVERLAND PARK . . . . .	1 824	1 824	1 755	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA . . . . .	4 528	4 528	4 314	214	146	68	-	-	-	-
WICHITA . . . . .	7 828	7 828	7 814	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON . . . . .	2 021	2 021	1 865	156	156	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	7 417	7 417	7 318	99	99	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE . . . . .	23 169	23 169	22 753	416	413	3	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO . . . . .	1 592	1 592	1 523	69	69	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	8 851	8 851	8 484	367	280	87	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	1 701	1 684	1 547	137	134	3	-	17	17	-
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	1 552	1 552	1 438	114	109	5	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	1 705	1 705	1 367	338	300	38	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	27 952	27 952	26 275	1 677	1 677	-	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	5 808	5 808	4 837	971	509	462	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND . . . . .	2 776	2 776	2 695	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE . . . . .	66 140	66 140	65 086	1 054	416	638	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON . . . . .	69 171	69 171	68 009	1 162	1 161	1	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON . . . . .	5 211	5 211	5 075	136	44	92	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	6 236	6 236	6 095	141	141	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	2 164	2 164	2 124	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	4 898	4 898	4 897	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE . . . . .	2 015	2 015	2 007	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	2 787	2 787	2 759	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	3 634	3 634	3 583	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	4 546	4 546	4 473	73	73	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN . . . . .	2 303	2 303	2 278	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	3 086	3 055	2 995	60	54	6	-	31	-	31
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	4 436	4 436	4 341	95	93	2	-	-	-	-
NEWTON . . . . .	4 841	4 841	4 365	476	476	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	1 707	1 707	1 700	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	5 108	5 108	5 043	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	2 663	2 663	2 638	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	7 739	7 739	7 726	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM . . . . .	3 420	3 361	3 101	260	260	-	-	59	-	59
WORCESTER . . . . .	10 779	10 779	8 238	2 541	57	2 484	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	4 016	4 016	3 920	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN . . . . .	4 929	4 929	4 868	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	2 604	2 604	2 543	61	61	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT . . . . .	118 589	118 589	116 970	1 619	809	810	-	6	-	6
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	1 854	1 848	1 672	176	176	-	-	28	-	28
FLINT . . . . .	9 222	9 194	9 176	18	3	15	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	8 090	8 090	8 071	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	2 913	2 913	2 818	95	92	3	-	-	-	-
LANSING . . . . .	6 009	6 009	5 610	399	399	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	1 535	1 535	1 531	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA . . . . .	3 441	3 441	3 432	9	3	6	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC . . . . .	6 354	6 354	5 960	394	378	16	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	2 347	2 347	2 241	106	66	40	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	2 512	2 512	2 505	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	4 920	4 920	4 758	162	-	162	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	4 655	4 631	4 108	523	192	331	-	24	-	24
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	2 685	2 680	2 567	113	113	-	-	5	-	5
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	3 485	3 485	3 306	179	179	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	2 636	2 619	2 537	82	82	-	-	17	-	17
WARREN . . . . .	5 662	5 662	5 557	105	105	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND . . . . .	2 908	2 908	2 800	108	90	18	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	3 316	3 316	2 220	1 096	108	988	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	2 128	2 128	2 115	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH . . . . .	3 050	3 050	2 841	209	209	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	20 995	20 995	20 422	573	573	-	-	23	-	23
ROCHESTER . . . . .	1 741	1 718	1 670	48	48	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL . . . . .	13 401	13 401	12 311	1 090	840	250	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI . . . . .	1 072	1 072	934	138	134	2	2	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	5 196	5 196	5 103	93	93	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA . . . . .	1 852	1 852	1 639	213	157	56	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT . . . . .	1 494	1 494	1 229	265	254	-	11	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	2 640	2 640	2 619	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	32 174	32 116	29 669	2 447	506	1 941	-	58	-	58
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	1 939	1 939	1 788	151	151	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	42 917	42 917	42 215	702	702	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	3 316	3 316	3 166	150	150	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS . . . . .	2 325	2 325	2 232	93	93	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	1 808	1 808	1 507	301	77	224	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN . . . . .	5 104	5 104	4 920	184	184	-	-	-	-	-
OMAHA . . . . .	10 742	10 742	10 597	145	145	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	12 615	-	-	-	-	-	-	12 615	-	12 615
RENO . . . . .	5 581	5 566	5 402	164	55	109	-	15	1	14
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER . . . . .	4 616	4 616	2 788	1 828	-	1 828	-	-	-	-
NASHUA . . . . .	2 340	2 340	2 268	72	72	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE . . . . .	3 342	3 342	3 276	66	66	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	2 303	2 303	2 268	35	-	35	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	8 207	8 207	8 075	132	-	132	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON . . . . .	2 878	2 878	2 878	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	4 811	4 811	4 811	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH . . . . .	6 165	6 165	6 051	114	114	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON . . . . .	2 845	2 845	2 845	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	18 751	18 751	18 621	130	130	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK . . . . .	28 842	28 842	28 541	301	181	120	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	2 238	2 238	2 238	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON . . . . .	7 649	7 649	7 649	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON . . . . .	7 722	7 722	7 595	127	127	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY . . . . .	3 093	3 093	3 056	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND . . . . .	1 868	1 868	1 811	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	11 681	11 681	10 999	682	572	-	110	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	6 160	6 160	5 913	247	75	172	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	2 344	2 344	2 284	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO . . . . .	21 953	21 953	21 700	253	222	31	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	3 413	3 413	3 395	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	4 011	4 011	3 915	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	799 049	799 049	789 663	9 386	6 567	2 183	636	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	3 384	3 384	3 319	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	13 735	13 735	13 716	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
ROME . . . . .	934	934	897	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	2 981	2 981	2 978	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE . . . . .	9 009	9 009	8 783	226	226	-	-	-	-	-
TROY . . . . .	2 211	2 211	1 998	213	41	172	-	-	-	-
UTICA . . . . .	2 654	2 654	2 521	133	133	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	4 384	4 384	3 853	531	-	531	-	-	-	-
YONKERS . . . . .	9 685	9 685	9 685	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	1 939	1 924	1 882	42	42	-	-	15	-	15
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	11 508	11 508	10 278	1 230	1 230	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	5 693	5 693	4 980	713	273	440	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	2 242	2 242	2 120	122	122	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	7 635	7 627	7 159	468	468	-	-	8	-	8
HIGH POINT . . . . .	2 325	2 322	2 130	192	188	4	-	3	-	3
RALEIGH . . . . .	5 275	5 171	4 976	195	195	-	-	104	-	104
WILMINGTON . . . . .	1 726	1 726	1 647	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	7 367	7 367	7 073	294	294	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO . . . . .	2 186	2 186	2 074	112	11	101	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON . . . . .	9 166	9 166	9 037	129	129	-	-	-	-	-
CANTON . . . . .	3 860	3 818	3 704	114	114	-	-	42	42	-
CINCINNATI . . . . .	26 914	26 914	26 555	359	341	18	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND . . . . .	54 824	54 824	42 451	12 373	1 377	10 996	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	1 639	1 639	1 609	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS . . . . .	26 801	26 801	25 916	885	881	3	1	-	-	-
DAYTON . . . . .	11 810	11 810	11 668	142	142	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA . . . . .	1 394	1 394	1 186	208	63	145	-	-	-	-
EUCLID . . . . .	2 149	2 149	2 078	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	2 298	2 298	2 255	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING . . . . .	1 567	1 567	1 508	59	59	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	1 424	1 424	1 424	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA . . . . .	1 435	1 435	1 364	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	1 733	1 716	1 683	33	33	-	-	17	17	-
MANSFIELD . . . . .	1 827	1 827	1 732	95	95	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA . . . . .	1 719	1 719	1 717	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	2 427	2 427	2 321	106	106	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO . . . . .	16 251	16 251	15 632	619	619	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	1 769	1 769	1 693	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	4 998	4 998	4 914	84	84	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON . . . . .	2 091	2 091	1 943	148	148	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST . . . . .	1 327	1 327	1 309	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN . . . . .	1 541	1 541	1 466	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	11 359	11 359	11 030	329	329	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	12 226	12 226	11 107	1 119	1 119	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE . . . . .	3 484	3 484	3 409	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND . . . . .	21 111	21 111	20 672	439	439	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM . . . . .	2 849	2 849	2 798	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	3 322	3 322	3 228	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	1 765	1 765	1 550	215	215	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	2 290	2 290	2 241	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	2 909	2 909	2 787	122	122	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	3 668	3 668	3 585	83	83	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG . . . . .	2 909	2 909	2 802	107	107	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	2 149	2 149	1 995	154	154	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	167 981	167 981	165 218	2 763	2 055	583	125	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	21 404	21 404	21 378	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
READING . . . . .	2 718	2 718	2 696	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	2 457	2 457	2 448	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	1 713	1 713	1 508	205	51	91	63	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	1 900	1 900	1 835	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON . . . . .	2 259	2 259	2 176	83	78	5	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	2 463	2 463	2 417	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	8 047	8 047	7 936	111	110	1	-	-	-	-
WARWICK . . . . .	3 622	3 622	3 500	122	122	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON . . . . .	2 644	2 631	2 503	128	128	-	-	13	13	-
COLUMBIA . . . . .	3 456	3 400	3 208	192	178	-	14	56	56	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	2 265	2 265	2 139	126	126	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	2 105	2 105	2 012	93	93	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	7 898	7 891	6 633	1 258	562	696	-	7	-	7
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	6 309	6 309	6 122	187	180	7	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS . . . . .	24 784	24 784	23 752	1 032	1 029	3	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	19 778	19 778	17 370	2 408	2 383	25	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 30. Police protection expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE . . . . .	1 848	1 848	1 794	54	53	-	1	-	-	-
AMARILLO . . . . .	3 850	3 850	3 773	77	77	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON . . . . .	2 939	2 939	2 829	110	110	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN . . . . .	10 984	10 984	10 794	190	146	44	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT . . . . .	3 512	3 512	3 438	74	74	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	1 384	1 383	1 309	74	74	-	-	1	-	1
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	6 033	6 016	5 681	335	335	-	-	17	-	17
DALLAS . . . . .	37 761	37 761	37 604	157	157	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	10 180	10 180	9 526	654	654	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH . . . . .	15 651	15 651	15 160	491	46	445	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	2 064	2 064	1 970	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND . . . . .	2 605	2 605	2 438	167	164	-	3	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	1 322	1 322	1 247	75	75	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON . . . . .	72 395	72 395	69 318	3 077	3 077	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING . . . . .	2 059	2 059	1 980	79	79	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO . . . . .	1 122	1 121	1 117	4	4	-	-	1	-	1
LUBBOCK . . . . .	4 650	4 650	4 332	318	259	-	59	-	-	-
MESQUITE . . . . .	1 873	1 873	1 693	180	180	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND . . . . .	1 636	1 636	1 629	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA . . . . .	1 845	1 845	1 798	47	47	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	2 730	2 730	2 720	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	1 540	1 540	1 431	109	106	-	3	-	-	-
RICHARDSON . . . . .	1 399	1 399	1 299	100	100	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	1 793	1 793	1 628	165	64	-	101	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	28 740	28 740	27 523	1 217	1 020	197	-	-	-	-
TYLER . . . . .	1 480	1 480	1 346	134	11	123	-	-	-	-
WACO . . . . .	2 863	2 863	2 721	142	142	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	1 846	1 846	1 764	82	82	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN . . . . .	2 070	2 070	1 864	206	206	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO . . . . .	1 105	1 105	981	124	124	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	8 003	8 003	7 548	455	455	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	5 146	5 146	4 781	365	365	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	3 287	3 287	3 110	177	168	9	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON . . . . .	5 992	5 992	3 197	2 795	401	2 394	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	2 466	2 466	2 319	147	144	3	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	3 954	3 954	3 860	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	10 769	10 769	10 386	383	381	2	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	3 507	3 507	3 499	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	10 896	10 896	10 380	516	126	390	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE . . . . .	2 796	2 796	2 695	101	101	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	6 960	6 960	6 703	257	235	22	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE . . . . .	2 300	2 300	2 233	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT . . . . .	2 088	1 965	1 960	5	5	-	-	123	-	123
SEATTLE . . . . .	30 202	30 202	29 939	263	252	11	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE . . . . .	5 617	5 463	5 455	8	8	-	-	154	-	154
TACOMA . . . . .	6 640	6 228	6 162	66	66	-	-	412	-	412
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON . . . . .	2 647	2 647	2 576	71	71	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	2 523	2 523	2 373	150	150	-	-	-	-	-
SCONSINI:										
APPLETON . . . . .	1 899	1 899	1 558	341	341	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	2 843	2 843	2 685	158	158	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	2 686	2 686	2 576	110	110	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE . . . . .	1 390	1 390	1 348	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	7 126	7 126	6 882	244	244	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	45 020	45 020	44 456	564	530	34	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH . . . . .	1 408	1 408	1 372	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	4 429	4 429	4 330	99	99	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA . . . . .	2 115	2 115	2 105	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS . . . . .	2 634	2 634	2 535	99	39	60	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

## **Section IV. JUDICIAL**

FIGURE 21.

Percent Distribution of Judicial Direct Expenditure, by  
State and Type of Government, Fiscal Year 1977

States  
Counties  
Municipalities





Table 31. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 348 625	2 348 625	2 239 671	108 954	107 853	9 717	98 136
STATES . . . . .	735 829	650 559	635 329	15 230	85 270	(X)	85 270
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 707 783	1 698 066	1 604 342	93 724	22 583	9 717	12 866
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 296 253	1 286 204	1 210 861	75 343	10 048	5 988	4 061
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	424 397	411 862	393 481	18 381	12 535	3 730	8 805
ALABAMA . . . . .	27 187	27 187	26 997	190	154	-	154
STATE . . . . .	7 383	7 321	7 272	49	62	(X)	62
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	19 866	19 866	19 725	141	92	-	92
COUNTIES . . . . .	18 074	18 074	17 936	138	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 884	1 792	1 789	4	91	-	91
ALASKA . . . . .	15 166	15 166	14 514	652	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	15 047	15 047	14 396	651	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	119	119	118	1	-	-	-
BOROUGHs . . . . .	14	14	14	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	106	106	104	1	-	-	-
ARIZONA . . . . .	29 819	29 819	28 252	1 566	2	-	2
STATE . . . . .	3 884	3 884	3 767	117	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	25 935	25 935	24 485	1 449	2	-	2
COUNTIES . . . . .	21 472	21 470	20 037	1 433	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 466	4 466	4 448	18	-	-	-
ARKANSAS . . . . .	10 564	10 564	10 296	268	214	106	108
STATE . . . . .	2 997	2 997	2 988	9	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 673	7 567	7 308	259	214	106	108
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 152	6 077	5 894	183	75	71	3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 630	1 491	1 414	77	139	35	104
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	314 345	314 345	301 126	13 219	4 448	338	4 110
STATE . . . . .	39 733	36 210	35 985	225	3 523	(X)	3 523
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	278 473	278 135	265 141	12 994	925	338	587
COUNTIES . . . . .	266 259	265 336	252 428	12 908	923	338	585
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12 801	12 799	12 713	86	2	-	2
COLORADO . . . . .	36 861	36 861	35 922	939	18	17	1
STATE . . . . .	27 265	27 265	26 421	844	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 613	9 596	9 501	95	18	17	1
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 653	2 636	2 619	17	17	17	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 961	6 960	6 882	78	1	-	1
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	25 981	25 981	24 841	1 140	13	13	-
STATE . . . . .	25 734	25 734	24 601	1 133	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	260	247	240	7	13	13	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	254	241	240	1	13	13	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	10 607	10 607	10 408	199	980	-	980
STATE . . . . .	9 487	8 511	8 318	193	976	(X)	976
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 096	2 096	2 090	6	4	-	4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 516	1 516	1 512	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	584	580	578	2	4	-	4
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	22 224	22 224	19 132	3 092	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	22 224	22 224	19 132	3 092	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	22 224	22 224	19 132	3 092	-	-	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	108 768	108 768	102 492	6 276	699	370	329
STATE . . . . .	36 635	36 635	36 068	567	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	72 503	72 133	66 424	5 709	699	370	329
COUNTIES . . . . .	63 913	63 372	57 853	5 519	541	212	329
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8 919	8 761	8 571	190	159	159	-
GEORGIA . . . . .	51 592	51 592	43 984	7 607	67	-	67
STATE . . . . .	8 303	8 303	8 233	70	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	43 289	43 289	35 751	7 537	67	-	67
COUNTIES . . . . .	39 884	39 816	32 322	7 494	67	-	67
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 472	3 472	3 429	43	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 31. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII . . . . .	13 285	13 285	12 917	368	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	13 285	13 285	12 917	368	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	7 739	7 739	7 244	495	3	-	3
STATE . . . . .	4 030	4 030	3 779	251	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 709	3 709	3 465	244	3	-	3
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 398	3 398	3 244	154	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	317	315	221	94	2	-	2
ILLINOIS . . . . .	112 208	112 208	107 088	5 120	3 068	112	2 956
STATE . . . . .	47 122	44 598	40 201	4 397	2 524	(X)	2 524
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	67 722	67 610	66 887	723	544	112	432
COUNTIES . . . . .	67 485	66 940	66 219	721	544	112	432
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	674	674	668	6	-	-	-
INDIANA . . . . .	38 879	38 879	37 985	894	887	370	517
STATE . . . . .	8 548	8 092	7 955	137	456	(X)	456
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	31 157	30 787	30 030	757	431	370	61
COUNTIES . . . . .	22 095	21 885	21 290	595	210	150	61
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 123	8 903	8 740	162	220	220	-
IOWA . . . . .	25 675	25 675	25 496	179	388	16	372
STATE . . . . .	7 279	7 279	7 251	28	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	18 412	18 396	18 245	151	388	16	372
COUNTIES . . . . .	18 696	18 326	18 175	151	369	-	369
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	89	70	70	-	19	16	3
KANSAS . . . . .	21 560	21 560	20 017	1 543	12	-	12
STATE . . . . .	6 665	6 665	6 526	139	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 895	14 895	13 491	1 404	12	-	12
COUNTIES . . . . .	13 225	13 215	11 839	1 376	10	-	10
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 682	1 681	1 652	28	2	-	2
KENTUCKY . . . . .	35 239	35 239	34 178	1 061	845	2	842
STATE . . . . .	13 429	12 590	11 787	803	839	(X)	839
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	22 651	22 649	22 391	258	6	2	3
COUNTIES . . . . .	19 829	19 825	19 595	230	5	1	3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 828	2 827	2 796	31	1	1	-
LOUISIANA . . . . .	40 841	40 841	39 774	1 067	4	3	-
STATE . . . . .	11 258	11 258	11 258	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	29 586	29 583	28 516	1 067	4	3	-
PARISHES . . . . .	17 690	17 690	16 929	761	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 899	11 896	11 587	309	4	3	-
MAINE . . . . .	7 317	7 317	7 085	232	217	8	209
STATE . . . . .	5 384	5 186	5 069	117	198	(X)	198
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 138	2 131	2 016	115	19	8	11
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 131	2 130	2 014	115	1	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	19	1	1	-	18	6	11
MARYLAND . . . . .	39 715	39 715	37 218	2 497	49	-	49
STATE . . . . .	21 693	21 644	21 220	424	49	(X)	49
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	18 071	18 071	15 998	2 073	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	12 073	12 073	10 002	2 071	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 998	5 998	5 996	3	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	71 741	71 741	67 492	4 250	899	-	899
STATE . . . . .	13 700	12 818	12 818	-	882	(X)	882
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	58 923	58 923	54 674	4 250	17	-	17
COUNTIES . . . . .	38 367	38 350	34 239	4 111	17	-	17
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	20 574	20 574	20 435	139	-	-	-
MICHIGAN . . . . .	124 974	124 974	120 360	4 613	4 566	265	4 301
STATE . . . . .	25 797	23 401	23 401	-	2 396	(X)	2 396
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	101 837	101 573	96 959	4 613	2 170	265	1 905
COUNTIES . . . . .	78 963	78 117	75 288	2 829	846	265	581
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	24 750	23 425	21 671	1 754	1 324	-	1 324

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 31. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA . . . . .	34 868	34 868	34 270	599	193	15	178
STATE . . . . .	4 954	4 954	4 867	87	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	29 930	29 914	29 403	512	193	15	178
COUNTIES . . . . .	29 958	29 782	29 270	512	176	14	162
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	151	134	133	1	17	1	16
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	15 850	15 850	13 224	2 626	23	13	11
STATE . . . . .	3 360	3 360	3 253	107	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 503	12 490	9 971	2 519	23	13	11
COUNTIES . . . . .	11 711	11 690	9 175	2 515	21	10	11
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	803	800	796	5	2	2	-
MISSOURI . . . . .	40 945	40 945	39 689	1 256	2 145	-	2 145
STATE . . . . .	15 648	13 503	13 044	459	2 145	(X)	2 145
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	27 442	27 442	26 645	797	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	17 618	17 618	17 184	434	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 824	9 824	9 461	362	-	-	-
MONTANA . . . . .	5 355	5 355	5 203	152	40	22	18
STATE . . . . .	1 384	1 384	1 329	55	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 993	3 971	3 874	97	40	22	18
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 509	3 491	3 402	89	18	-	18
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	502	480	472	8	22	22	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	15 121	15 121	14 882	239	2	2	-
STATE . . . . .	7 304	7 304	7 232	72	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 818	7 817	7 650	167	2	2	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 904	5 902	5 827	74	2	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 915	1 915	1 822	93	-	-	-
NEVADA . . . . .	11 341	11 341	11 126	215	33	10	22
STATE . . . . .	1 877	1 877	1 846	31	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 474	9 464	9 280	184	33	10	22
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 870	7 837	7 679	158	33	10	22
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 627	1 627	1 601	27	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	6 305	6 305	6 203	102	340	299	41
STATE . . . . .	1 860	1 860	1 805	55	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 744	4 445	4 398	47	340	299	41
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 185	2 932	2 892	40	253	253	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 600	1 513	1 506	7	88	47	41
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	92 544	92 544	88 998	3 547	2 380	148	2 232
STATE . . . . .	25 199	23 560	23 443	117	1 639	(X)	1 639
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	69 133	68 984	65 555	3 430	741	148	593
COUNTIES . . . . .	54 176	54 174	50 864	3 310	2	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15 524	14 785	14 691	94	739	146	593
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	10 280	10 280	10 045	236	8	-	8
STATE . . . . .	8 659	8 651	8 457	194	8	(X)	8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 629	1 629	1 588	42	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	243	243	238	5	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 386	1 386	1 350	37	-	-	-
NEW YORK . . . . .	252 856	252 856	246 182	6 675	39 767	1 098	38 669
STATE . . . . .	89 442	50 773	50 653	120	38 669	(X)	38 669
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	203 181	202 083	195 529	6 555	1 098	1 098	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	81 496	80 499	77 959	2 540	997	997	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	121 547	121 446	117 570	3 877	101	101	-
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	46 745	46 745	39 035	7 709	4	4	-
STATE . . . . .	31 983	31 983	31 739	244	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 766	14 762	7 296	7 465	4	4	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 583	14 578	7 294	7 284	4	4	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	188	188	2	186	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	4 937	4 937	4 875	62	6	-	6
STATE . . . . .	1 677	1 677	1 637	40	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 260	3 260	3 238	22	6	-	6
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 895	2 895	2 873	22	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	371	365	365	-	6	-	6

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 31. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO . . . . .	108 681	108 681	101 295	7 386	5 439	4	5 435
STATE . . . . .	12 228	12 050	11 757	293	178	(X)	178
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	96 635	96 631	89 538	7 093	5 261	4	5 257
COUNTIES . . . . .	64 052	63 956	61 403	2 553	96	1	95
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	37 840	32 675	28 135	4 540	5 165	3	5 162
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	19 210	19 210	18 748	461	873	465	408
STATE . . . . .	9 587	9 578	9 220	358	9	(X)	9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 096	9 632	9 528	103	864	465	399
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 533	7 669	7 584	85	864	465	399
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 963	1 963	1 945	18	-	-	-
OREGON . . . . .	26 636	26 636	26 083	553	516	86	431
STATE . . . . .	6 938	6 938	6 938	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	19 784	19 698	19 145	553	516	86	431
COUNTIES . . . . .	17 674	17 538	16 995	543	136	75	61
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 544	2 164	2 150	13	380	11	369
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	140 714	140 714	137 401	3 313	24 659	-	24 659
STATE . . . . .	58 711	34 107	34 104	3	24 634	(X)	24 634
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	106 607	106 607	103 297	3 310	25	-	25
COUNTIES . . . . .	64 559	64 533	61 486	3 047	25	-	25
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	42 077	42 077	41 811	266	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	10 886	10 886	10 495	390	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	10 546	10 546	10 159	387	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	340	340	336	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	339	339	336	3	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	18 652	18 652	17 369	1 283	141	2	139
STATE . . . . .	2 806	2 675	2 616	59	131	(X)	131
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	15 979	15 977	14 753	1 224	10	2	8
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 073	15 065	13 864	1 201	8	-	8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	914	912	889	23	2	2	-
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	7 209	7 209	7 068	142	947	876	71
STATE . . . . .	5 836	5 836	5 705	131	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 249	1 373	1 363	11	947	876	71
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 256	1 337	1 333	5	919	847	71
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	65	36	30	6	29	29	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	37 362	37 362	33 396	3 966	103	69	34
STATE . . . . .	10 135	10 135	9 091	1 044	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	27 295	27 227	24 305	2 922	103	69	34
COUNTIES . . . . .	21 231	21 176	18 653	2 523	55	55	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 102	6 055	5 652	403	48	14	34
TEXAS . . . . .	105 021	105 021	101 342	3 679	2 070	251	1 818
STATE . . . . .	13 221	11 423	11 407	16	1 798	(X)	1 798
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	93 849	93 598	89 935	3 663	272	251	20
COUNTIES . . . . .	81 047	80 984	78 716	2 268	63	61	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12 826	12 618	11 219	1 399	209	191	18
UTAH . . . . .	9 030	9 030	8 703	327	139	-	139
STATE . . . . .	3 158	3 024	2 946	78	134	(X)	134
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 006	5 006	5 757	249	5	-	5
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 760	3 760	3 557	203	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 252	2 246	2 200	47	5	-	5
VERMONT . . . . .	3 794	3 794	3 745	49	20	-	20
STATE . . . . .	3 375	3 375	3 338	37	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	419	419	407	12	20	-	20
COUNTIES . . . . .	415	415	403	12	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	24	5	4	1	20	-	20
VIRGINIA . . . . .	40 820	40 820	36 689	4 131	5 276	3 834	1 442
STATE . . . . .	18 726	17 889	17 720	169	837	(X)	837
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26 764	22 931	18 969	3 962	4 439	3 834	605
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 526	12 143	9 586	3 557	1 583	1 125	458
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12 844	9 788	9 382	606	2 856	2 709	147

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 31. Judicial expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977 —Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON . . . . .	31 678	31 678	31 255	424	355	51	304
STATE . . . . .	6 424	6 352	6 214	138	72	(X)	72
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	25 377	25 326	25 041	286	283	51	232
COUNTIES . . . . .	20 701	20 612	20 370	242	90	51	39
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 909	4 715	4 671	45	194	-	194
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	18 266	18 266	16 737	1 528	32	32	-
STATE . . . . .	12 067	12 067	11 797	270	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 231	6 199	4 940	1 258	32	32	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 820	5 787	4 531	1 256	32	32	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	412	412	409	3	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	37 505	37 505	37 198	307	4 768	816	3 952
STATE . . . . .	12 661	9 550	9 454	96	3 111	(X)	3 111
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	28 770	27 955	27 744	211	1 657	816	841
COUNTIES . . . . .	27 808	26 766	26 558	208	1 042	816	226
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 803	1 188	1 186	2	614	-	614
WYOMING . . . . .	3 728	3 728	3 600	128	41	-	41
STATE . . . . .	1 375	1 375	1 327	48	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 353	2 353	2 273	80	41	-	41
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 763	1 763	1 715	48	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	632	591	559	32	41	-	41

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 32. Total judicial expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars) 1977

State <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
TOTAL . . . . .	735 829	650 559	635 329	15 230	7 883	7 069	278	85 270
ALABAMA . . . . .	7 383	7 321	7 272	49	49	-	-	62
ALASKA . . . . .	15 047	15 047	14 396	651	189	462	-	-
ARIZONA . . . . .	3 884	3 884	3 767	117	117	-	-	-
ARKANSAS . . . . .	2 997	2 997	2 988	9	9	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	39 733	36 210	35 985	225	199	26	-	3 523
COLORADO . . . . .	27 265	27 265	26 421	844	638	206	-	-
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	25 734	25 734	24 601	1 133	325	808	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	9 487	8 511	8 318	193	140	53	-	978
FLORIDA . . . . .	36 635	36 635	36 068	567	505	62	-	-
GEORGIA . . . . .	8 303	8 303	8 233	70	70	-	-	-
HAWAII . . . . .	13 285	13 285	12 917	368	368	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	4 030	4 030	3 779	251	230	21	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	47 122	44 598	40 201	4 397	197	4 200	-	2 521
INDIANA . . . . .	8 548	8 092	7 955	137	87	50	-	451
IOWA . . . . .	7 279	7 279	7 251	28	28	-	-	-
KANSAS . . . . .	6 665	6 665	6 526	139	139	-	-	-
KENTUCKY . . . . .	18 806	12 590	11 787	803	803	-	-	83
LOUISIANA . . . . .	11 258	11 258	11 258	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE . . . . .	5 384	5 186	5 069	117	98	19	-	19
MARYLAND . . . . .	21 693	21 644	21 220	424	192	194	38	4
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	13 700	12 818	12 818	-	-	-	-	88
MICHIGAN . . . . .	25 797	23 401	23 401	-	-	-	-	2 396
MINNESOTA . . . . .	4 954	4 954	4 867	87	87	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	3 360	3 360	3 253	107	107	-	-	-
MISSOURI . . . . .	15 648	13 503	13 044	459	224	-	235	2 140
MONTANA . . . . .	1 384	1 384	1 329	55	55	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	7 304	7 304	7 232	72	72	-	-	-
NEVADA . . . . .	1 877	1 877	1 846	31	31	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	1 860	1 860	1 805	55	55	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	25 199	23 560	23 443	117	41	76	-	1 619
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	8 659	8 651	8 457	194	189	-	5	-
NEW YORK . . . . .	89 442	50 773	50 653	120	120	-	-	38 669
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	31 983	31 983	31 739	244	244	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	1 677	1 677	1 637	40	40	-	-	-
OHIO . . . . .	12 228	12 050	11 757	293	293	-	-	1
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	9 587	9 578	9 220	358	132	226	-	-
OREGON . . . . .	6 938	6 938	6 938	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	58 741	34 107	34 104	3	3	-	-	24 634
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	10 546	10 546	10 159	387	198	189	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	2 806	2 675	2 616	59	59	-	-	1
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	5 836	5 836	5 705	131	131	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	10 135	10 135	9 091	1 044	567	477	-	1 700
TEXAS . . . . .	13 221	11 423	11 407	16	16	-	-	1
UTAH . . . . .	3 158	3 024	2 946	78	78	-	-	-
VERMONT . . . . .	3 375	3 375	3 338	37	37	-	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	18 726	17 889	17 720	169	169	-	-	8
WASHINGTON . . . . .	6 424	6 352	6 214	138	138	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	12 067	12 067	11 797	270	270	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	12 661	9 550	9 454	96	96	-	-	3 111
WYOMING . . . . .	1 375	1 375	1 327	48	48	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 33. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of State governments,  
fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current expenditure	Appellate courts			Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
		Total	Courts of last resort	Intermediate appellate courts			
TOTAL . . . . .	635 329	121 093	59 325	61 768	292 541	117 256	104 439
ALABAMA . . . . .	7 272	1 651	993	658	2 988	-	2 633
ALASKA . . . . .	14 396	981	981	-	8 125	1 108	4 182
ARIZONA . . . . .	3 767	2 349	1 102	1 247	1 175	-	243
ARKANSAS . . . . .	2 988	503	503	(X)	2 216	-	269
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	35 985	11 188	2 473	8 715	20 697	-	4 100
COLORADO . . . . .	26 421	1 626	817	809	18 161	4 125	2 509
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	24 601	657	657	(X)	8 944	11 579	3 421
DELAWARE . . . . .	8 318	281	281	(X)	1 776	5 226	1 035
FLORIDA . . . . .	36 068	4 833	2 119	2 714	22 525	-	8 710
GEORGIA . . . . .	8 233	2 168	1 038	1 130	4 687	-	1 378
HAWAII . . . . .	12 917	706	706	(X)	5 625	4 503	2 083
IDaho . . . . .	3 779	1 023	1 023	(X)	2 626	(X)	130
ILLINOIS . . . . .	40 201	10 969	2 705	8 264	19 653	(X)	9 579
INDIANA . . . . .	7 955	1 829	737	1 092	5 560	-	566
IOWA . . . . .	7 251	1 316	1 106	210	5 214	(X)	721
KANSAS . . . . .	6 526	1 630	1 324	306	4 435	-	461
KENTUCKY . . . . .	11 787	1 874	974	900	3 671	169	6 073
LOUISIANA . . . . .	11 258	4 208	1 656	2 552	5 964	768	318
MAINE . . . . .	5 069	332	332	(X)	2 225	1 961	551
MARYLAND . . . . .	21 220	1 928	810	1 118	3 376	14 456	1 460
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	12 818	2 566	1 692	874	2 476	6 108	1 668
MICHIGAN . . . . .	23 401	7 468	2 705	4 763	3 940	4 832	7 161
MINNESOTA . . . . .	4 867	1 628	1 628	(X)	2 683	-	556
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	3 253	831	831	(X)	2 151	-	271
MISSOURI . . . . .	13 044	3 599	1 736	1 863	3 617	4 356	1 472
MONTANA . . . . .	1 329	404	404	(X)	811	-	114
NEBRASKA . . . . .	7 232	318	318	(X)	1 789	3 562	1 563
NEVADA . . . . .	1 846	736	736	(X)	844	-	266
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	1 805	500	500	(X)	888	270	147
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	23 443	3 203	1 050	2 153	6 460	-	13 780
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	8 457	1 029	594	435	4 166	1 539	1 723
NEW YORK . . . . .	50 653	12 455	3 141	9 314	18 453	6 120	13 625
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	31 739	1 452	710	742	18 807	7 905	3 575
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	1 637	839	839	(X)	765	-	33
OHIO . . . . .	11 757	3 680	1 153	2 527	7 425	203	449
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	9 220	2 220	1 781	439	6 999	-	1
OREGON . . . . .	6 938	2 544	1 942	602	4 255	128	11
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	34 104	6 369	3 426	2 943	15 462	12 008	265
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	10 159	1 346	1 346	(X)	3 083	4 113	1 617
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	2 616	647	647	(X)	1 737	-	232
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	5 705	591	591	(X)	5 028	(X)	86
TENNESSEE . . . . .	9 091	2 777	1 426	1 351	5 301	-	1 013
TEXAS . . . . .	11 407	4 447	1 735	2 712	6 463	-	497
UTAH . . . . .	2 946	321	321	(X)	1 500	1 125	-
VERMONT . . . . .	3 338	310	310	(X)	1 343	1 351	334
VIRGINIA . . . . .	17 720	1 738	1 738	(X)	3 467	12 496	19
WASHINGTON . . . . .	6 214	2 517	1 182	1 335	1 791	-	1 906
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	11 797	890	890	(X)	2 756	7 245	906
WISCONSIN . . . . .	9 454	1 125	1 125	(X)	7 654	-	675
WYOMING . . . . .	1 327	491	491	(X)	784	-	52

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 34. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State <sup>1</sup>	Total judicial				Appellate courts									
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total appellate courts				Courts of last resort				Intermediate appellate courts	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>2</sup>		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees	
					Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only
TOTAL . .	38 910	34 937	37 337	58 566	5 170	5 043	5 074	8 553	2 579	2 518	2 537	3 985	2 591	2 525
ALABAMA . . . .	1 090	282	605	1 009	87	87	87	141	53	53	53	81	34	34
ALASKA . . . .	615	522	539	942	41	33	34	73	41	33	34	70	-	-
ARIZONA . . . .	183	104	166	284	104	104	104	178	41	41	41	76	63	63
ARKANSAS . . . .	111	111	111	208	29	29	29	43	29	29	29	43	(x)	(x)
CALIFORNIA . . .	965	422	1 237	2 825	341	335	335	798	78	78	78	186	263	257
COLORADO . . . .	1 235	1 184	1 198	1 539	84	84	84	128	42	42	42	60	42	42
CONNECTICUT . .	1 817	1 359	1 503	1 678	51	34	37	60	51	34	37	60	(x)	(x)
DELAWARE . . . .	592	590	590	611	14	14	14	21	14	14	14	21	(x)	(x)
FLORIDA . . . .	1 352	1 246	1 268	2 643	237	237	237	378	86	86	86	126	151	151
GEORGIA . . . .	508	367	410	650	105	105	105	171	52	52	52	82	53	53
HAWAII . . . .	673	647	657	843	30	30	30	51	30	30	30	51	(x)	(x)
IDAHO . . . .	180	172	172	283	47	44	44	57	47	44	44	57	(x)	(x)
ILLINOIS . . . .	1 429	1 418	1 422	2 952	349	346	346	535	76	75	75	93	273	271
INDIANA . . . .	404	134	468	627	103	101	101	142	43	43	43	62	60	48
IOWA . . . .	371	179	241	710	44	44	44	92	31	31	31	64	13	13
KANSAS . . . .	316	297	298	509	77	77	77	116	53	53	53	75	24	24
KENTUCKY . . . .	343	326	329	526	102	101	101	149	40	40	40	61	62	61
LOUISIANA . . . .	419	355	378	887	151	151	151	247	44	44	44	70	107	107
MAINE . . . .	280	249	260	276	19	18	18	30	19	18	18	30	-	-
MARYLAND . . . .	1 189	1 189	1 189	1 572	91	91	91	154	37	37	37	67	54	44
MASSACHUSETTS .	648	648	648	887	103	103	103	165	72	72	72	110	31	31
MICHIGAN . . . .	623	623	623	1 400	241	241	241	525	73	73	73	178	168	168
MINNESOTA . . . .	163	160	161	409	73	72	73	126	73	72	73	126	-	-
MISSISSIPPI . . .	137	121	123	238	47	45	45	61	47	45	45	61	-	-
MISSOURI . . . .	831	820	822	998	156	145	146	173	52	52	52	71	104	93
MONTANA . . . .	71	71	71	142	32	32	32	45	32	32	32	45	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . .	456	444	454	604	9	9	9	27	9	9	9	27	-	-
NEVADA . . . .	85	78	79	135	44	39	39	56	44	39	39	56	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . .	99	89	95	142	22	17	21	35	22	17	21	35	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . .	951	951	951	1 347	183	183	183	287	50	50	50	81	133	133
NEW MEXICO . . .	524	522	522	535	44	44	44	68	22	22	22	33	22	22
NEW YORK . . . .	9 811	9 690	9 719	15 456	500	492	496	897	138	132	137	205	362	360
NORTH CAROLINA .	2 377	2 373	2 374	2 237	73	73	73	116	35	35	35	55	38	38
NORTH DAKOTA . .	77	76	76	127	42	41	41	56	42	41	41	56	-	-
OHIO . . . .	513	163	466	973	176	142	148	328	65	65	65	118	111	77
OKLAHOMA . . . .	428	428	428	700	98	98	98	158	83	83	83	135	15	15
OREGON . . . .	265	231	240	563	108	104	105	166	73	70	71	106	35	34
PENNSYLVANIA . .	1 140	1 110	1 133	2 315	257	257	257	344	136	136	136	154	121	121
RHODE ISLAND . .	534	534	534	637	77	77	77	96	77	77	77	96	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA .	267	263	264	438	38	38	38	50	38	38	38	50	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA . .	462	389	404	422	37	36	36	49	37	36	36	49	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . .	394	394	394	684	126	126	126	189	69	69	69	82	57	57
TEXAS . . . .	557	555	555	1 309	228	226	226	411	95	95	95	163	133	131
UTAH . . . .	148	140	143	227	19	16	17	28	19	16	17	28	-	-
VERMONT . . . .	182	169	175	223	15	15	15	24	15	15	15	24	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . .	1 673	1 372	1 449	1 869	74	74	74	102	74	74	74	102	-	-
WASHINGTON . . .	287	278	282	449	115	109	111	193	53	52	52	97	62	57
WEST VIRGINIA . .	619	619	619	661	47	47	47	72	47	47	47	72	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . .	451	411	425	761	54	51	51	90	54	51	51	90	-	-
WYOMING . . . .	65	62	63	104	26	26	26	39	26	26	26	39	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 34. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of State governments,  
October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

	Appellate courts-- Continued		Courts of general jurisdiction				Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			
	Intermediate appellate courts --Continued		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Number of employees --Con.	October payroll	Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiv- alent		Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiv- alent		Total	Full- time only	Full- time equiv- alent	
TOTAL	2 537	4 572	12 845	10 598	12 229	24 123	8 677	8 047	8 257	9 662	12 218	11 250	11 540	16 228
ALABAMA	34	60	110	-	1 009	282	156	156	156	285	737	39	264	301
ALASKA	-	-	305	277	281	507	70	60	63	83	199	152	160	279
ARIZONA	63	102	79	-	79	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS	(X)	(X)	65	65	65	149	-	-	-	-	17	17	17	17
CALIFORNIA	257	612	535	-	535	1 859	-	-	-	-	89	87	87	166
COLORADO	42	68	772	748	755	988	315	292	298	345	64	60	60	77
CONNECTICUT	(X)	(X)	700	453	524	649	879	686	752	756	187	186	186	213
DELAWARE	(X)	(X)	110	110	110	150	436	436	436	415	32	30	30	26
FLORIDA	151	252	729	625	645	1 467	-	-	-	-	386	384	384	797
GEORGIA	53	89	343	209	247	419	-	-	-	-	60	53	55	60
HAWAII	(X)	(X)	290	277	282	397	288	280	282	324	65	60	62	71
IDAHO	(X)	(X)	128	128	128	225	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	5	-	5	-
ILLINOIS	271	442	534	528	528	1 666	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	546	544	549	750
INDIANA	58	80	254	-	254	446	-	-	-	-	47	33	33	38
IOWA	13	29	299	108	157	581	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	28	27	27	36
KANSAS	24	41	210	210	210	303	-	-	-	-	29	10	11	10
KENTUCKY	61	88	146	146	146	292	-	-	-	-	95	79	82	85
LOUISIANA	107	177	188	179	182	542	57	8	19	72	23	17	18	26
MAINE	-	-	115	102	106	112	142	125	131	127	4	4	4	6
MARYLAND	54	87	92	92	92	308	896	896	896	971	110	110	110	140
MASSACHUSETTS	31	56	91	91	91	193	443	443	443	521	11	11	11	8
MICHIGAN	168	347	147	147	147	367	192	192	192	424	43	43	43	84
MINNESOTA	-	-	73	72	72	254	-	-	-	-	17	16	16	26
MISSISSIPPI	-	-	79	65	66	165	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	11
MISSOURI	95	104	155	155	155	318	373	373	373	400	147	147	147	106
MONTANA	-	-	35	35	35	92	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	5
NEBRASKA	-	-	49	49	49	151	326	315	328	308	72	71	71	118
NEVADA	-	-	34	34	34	72	-	-	-	-	7	5	5	6
NEW HAMPSHIRE	-	-	44	40	40	79	30	29	29	23	3	3	3	3
NEW JERSEY	133	206	373	373	373	540	-	-	-	-	395	395	395	520
NEW MEXICO	22	36	294	294	294	317	134	133	133	105	52	51	51	46
NEW YORK	360	692	819	817	817	2 344	253	253	253	538	8 239	8 128	8 152	11 675
NORTH CAROLINA	38	61	1 525	1 521	1 521	1 313	717	717	717	735	62	62	62	74
NORTH DAKOTA	-	-	33	33	33	69	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	2
OHIO	82	211	316	-	316	619	19	19	19	22	2	2	2	4
OKLAHOMA	15	22	330	330	330	543	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON	34	62	149	122	210	386	6	5	5	9	2	-	2	1
PENNSYLVANIA	121	190	309	279	291	1 097	563	563	563	658	11	11	11	17
RHODE ISLAND	-	-	116	116	116	163	211	211	211	256	130	130	130	122
SOUTH CAROLINA	-	-	80	80	80	157	129	129	129	212	20	16	17	19
SOUTH DAKOTA	-	-	425	353	368	373	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE	57	107	197	197	197	449	-	-	-	-	71	71	71	46
TEXAS	131	248	303	303	303	871	-	-	-	-	26	26	26	27
UTAH	-	-	64	62	62	125	65	62	63	72	-	-	-	-
VERMONT	-	-	33	33	33	50	117	105	111	129	17	16	16	20
VIRGINIA	-	-	105	105	105	367	1 494	1 193	1 284	1 400	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON	59	101	122	122	122	190	-	-	-	-	50	47	47	59
WEST VIRGINIA	-	-	143	143	143	230	366	366	366	278	63	63	63	82
WISCONSIN	-	-	361	334	345	633	-	-	-	-	36	26	29	38
WYOMING	-	-	37	35	35	63	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	2

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to totals shown.

Table 35. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL . . . . .	1 002 970	997 946	934 506	63 440	9 854	46 326	7 260	5 024	2 304	2 720
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN . . . . .	479	479	476	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	4 686	4 686	4 635	51	48	3	-	-	-	-
HADISON . . . . .	1 033	1 033	1 028	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	2 402	2 402	2 379	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	1 372	1 372	1 345	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	1 012	1 012	1 007	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA . . . . .	9 449	9 449	8 230	1 219	92	1 127	-	-	-	-
PIMA . . . . .	6 685	6 683	6 560	123	123	-	-	2	-	2
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI . . . . .	1 334	1 283	1 280	3	3	-	-	51	51	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA . . . . .	17 004	16 748	15 973	775	202	573	-	256	256	-
BUTTE . . . . .	1 441	1 441	1 437	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	8 545	7 979	7 947	32	11	21	-	566	-	566
FRESNO . . . . .	4 976	4 976	4 959	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	1 249	1 249	1 244	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
KERN . . . . .	4 992	4 916	3 803	1 113	20	1 093	-	76	76	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	94 976	94 976	93 601	1 375	26	1 298	51	-	-	-
MARIN . . . . .	3 166	3 166	3 155	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED . . . . .	1 382	1 382	1 173	209	36	173	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY . . . . .	3 055	3 055	3 021	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	21 792	21 792	19 065	2 727	135	2 592	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	6 390	6 390	6 197	193	69	124	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	6 582	6 582	6 523	59	49	10	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	9 864	9 864	9 846	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	19 139	19 139	18 192	947	125	822	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	4 099	4 099	3 960	139	48	91	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	1 466	1 466	1 390	76	13	63	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	7 110	7 110	6 396	714	18	696	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	3 737	3 737	3 689	48	35	13	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	13 002	13 002	12 672	330	68	262	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	1 860	1 842	1 783	59	17	35	7	18	-	18
SOLANO . . . . .	2 299	2 299	2 276	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA . . . . .	3 680	3 680	2 162	1 518	32	1 486	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS . . . . .	2 774	2 774	2 687	87	13	74	-	-	-	-
TULARE . . . . .	2 222	2 222	2 155	67	49	-	18	-	-	-
VENTURA . . . . .	5 515	5 515	5 447	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
YOLO . . . . .	1 269	1 269	1 267	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS . . . . .	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	457	457	457	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	127	127	123	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	1 204	1 204	1 204	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	153	153	145	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER . . . . .	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	148	148	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WELD . . . . .	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	1 206	1 206	1 206	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA . . . . .	1 479	1 479	841	638	5	633	-	-	-	-
BREVARD . . . . .	1 351	1 335	1 321	14	5	9	-	16	-	16
BROWARD . . . . .	4 758	4 758	4 689	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
DADE . . . . .	14 824	14 824	14 454	370	70	300	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	5 082	5 082	2 463	2 619	6	1 615	998	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	3 990	3 901	3 650	251	251	-	-	89	89	-
LEE . . . . .	1 228	1 210	1 160	50	50	-	-	18	18	-
LEON . . . . .	1 175	1 175	1 126	49	49	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 35. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
MANATEE . . . . .	670	670	666	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	3 323	3 323	2 841	482	62	420	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH . . . . .	3 739	3 739	3 673	66	62	4	-	-	-	-
PASCO . . . . .	1 410	1 410	1 330	80	70	10	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS . . . . .	5 568	5 500	5 146	354	87	267	-	68	68	-
POLK . . . . .	1 619	1 602	1 455	147	29	118	-	17	17	-
SARASOTA . . . . .	738	738	732	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE . . . . .	1 044	1 044	1 018	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA . . . . .	1 200	1 189	1 185	4	4	-	-	11	11	-
GEORGIA:										
RIBB . . . . .	1 057	1 057	1 046	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM . . . . .	7 313	7 251	1 636	5 615	27	5 588	-	62	-	62
CLAYTON . . . . .	1 016	1 016	1 011	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
CORB . . . . .	2 737	2 737	2 668	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB . . . . .	3 421	3 421	3 399	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON . . . . .	8 597	8 597	7 547	1 050	69	981	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	1 067	1 067	1 049	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
ADA . . . . .	637	637	615	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	572	572	565	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
COOK . . . . .	45 104	44 735	44 486	249	198	51	-	369	-	369
DU PAGE . . . . .	2 588	2 588	2 373	215	215	-	-	-	-	-
KANE . . . . .	787	744	740	4	4	-	-	43	43	-
LAKE . . . . .	1 136	1 136	1 129	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE . . . . .	355	355	352	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY . . . . .	338	338	337	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN . . . . .	478	478	476	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
HACON . . . . .	460	460	460	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	1 041	1 041	1 020	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	778	778	771	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	448	448	447	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	937	937	911	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON . . . . .	525	525	524	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL . . . . .	409	409	406	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WILL . . . . .	1 243	1 243	1 132	111	-	111	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	1 181	1 181	1 173	8	7	1	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN . . . . .	1 873	1 857	1 825	32	32	-	-	16	16	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	580	571	567	4	4	-	-	9	9	-
ELKHART . . . . .	723	723	617	106	106	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	3 806	3 768	3 747	21	21	-	-	38	-	38
LA PORTE . . . . .	900	886	811	75	58	17	-	14	14	-
MADISON . . . . .	810	798	789	9	9	-	-	12	12	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	1 426	1 418	1 418	-	-	-	-	8	8	-
TIPPECANOE . . . . .	499	494	462	32	32	-	-	5	5	-
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	1 092	1 062	1 056	6	6	-	-	30	15	15
VIGO . . . . .	783	768	751	17	14	3	-	15	15	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK . . . . .	1 373	1 329	1 320	9	9	-	-	44	-	44
LINN . . . . .	1 229	1 166	1 155	11	7	4	-	63	-	63
POLK . . . . .	3 614	3 557	3 512	45	45	-	-	57	-	57
SCOTT . . . . .	800	800	795	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY . . . . .	924	924	884	40	-	-	40	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON . . . . .	1 255	1 255	1 225	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK . . . . .	3 011	3 006	2 562	444	238	206	-	5	-	5
SHAWNEE . . . . .	1 308	1 308	1 153	155	126	29	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	1 325	1 325	1 325	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON . . . . .	4 708	4 707	4 634	73	73	-	-	1	1	-
KENTON . . . . .	345	345	345	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO . . . . .	803	803	773	30	6	24	-	-	-	-
CALCASIEU . . . . .	800	800	786	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	4 098	4 098	4 028	70	-	70	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	633	633	601	32	10	22	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA . . . . .	650	650	644	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES . . . . .	916	916	478	438	11	427	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	286	286	265	21	1	20	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	385	385	384	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	219	219	219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	1 057	1 057	1 033	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE . . . . .	1 827	1 827	1 824	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD . . . . .	503	503	485	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	4 351	4 351	2 448	1 903	19	1 884	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	2 756	2 756	2 660	96	96	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	229	229	229	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE . . . . .	1 180	1 180	1 142	38	13	25	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	889	889	885	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	2 939	2 930	2 871	59	38	21	-	9	-	9
ESSEX . . . . .	5 018	5 018	3 900	1 118	9	1 109	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN . . . . .	4 339	4 339	3 460	879	-	879	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	651	649	649	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	10 110	10 110	10 008	102	4	98	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	3 772	3 772	3 653	119	28	91	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	3 968	3 962	2 367	1 595	27	1 427	141	6	-	6
WORCESTER . . . . .	4 828	4 828	4 632	196	18	178	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY . . . . .	900	900	888	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN . . . . .	1 665	1 645	1 600	45	45	-	-	20	-	20
CALHOUN . . . . .	2 404	2 312	2 029	283	8	-	275	92	-	92
GENESEE . . . . .	4 627	4 608	4 591	17	17	-	-	19	-	19
INGHAM . . . . .	2 505	2 505	2 446	59	53	6	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	1 236	1 236	1 222	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	1 649	1 630	1 609	21	-	21	-	19	-	19
KENT . . . . .	2 010	2 007	1 877	130	50	80	-	3	-	3
MACOMB . . . . .	5 359	5 355	3 622	1 733	32	1 701	-	4	-	4
MONROE . . . . .	1 009	1 009	1 009	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKEGON . . . . .	1 292	1 292	1 277	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	5 639	5 639	5 624	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
OTTAWA . . . . .	742	742	730	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	1 718	1 718	1 708	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	1 124	1 124	1 119	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW . . . . .	2 383	2 376	2 376	-	-	-	-	7	-	7
WAYNE . . . . .	25 163	24 802	24 724	78	78	-	-	361	-	361
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA . . . . .	994	994	970	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA . . . . .	1 040	1 008	999	9	9	-	-	32	-	32
HENNEPIN . . . . .	8 546	8 546	8 245	301	301	-	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY . . . . .	4 947	4 947	4 918	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	1 904	1 904	1 892	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON . . . . .	2 887	2 887	1 055	1 832	11	1 346	475	-	-	-
HINDS . . . . .	1 134	1 134	1 128	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	841	833	825	8	8	-	-	8	-	8
MISSOURI:										
CLAY . . . . .	463	463	461	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE . . . . .	600	600	448	152	11	141	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	4 612	4 612	4 434	178	178	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	398	398	390	8	2	6	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	429	429	409	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	5 847	5 847	5 830	17	17	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS . . . . .	2 007	2 007	1 997	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	1 564	1 564	1 551	13	4	9	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
CLARK . . . . .	4 745	4 745	4 647	98	98	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE . . . . .	1 514	1 514	1 509	5	4	1	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	1 049	933	902	31	20	11	-	116	116	-
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	760	666	666	-	-	-	-	94	94	-
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC . . . . .	1 580	1 580	1 302	278	105	173	-	-	-	-
BERGEN . . . . .	5 233	5 233	5 211	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON . . . . .	1 220	1 218	1 218	-	-	-	-	2	2	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	3 310	3 310	3 310	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	642	642	642	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX . . . . .	12 202	12 202	12 202	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	721	721	721	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON . . . . .	4 333	4 333	4 107	226	-	226	-	-	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	2 301	2 301	2 261	40	32	8	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	6 508	6 508	3 993	2 515	28	2 487	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH . . . . .	1 987	1 987	1 935	52	24	28	-	-	-	-
MORRIS . . . . .	1 588	1 588	1 556	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
OCEAN . . . . .	1 251	1 251	1 237	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	3 708	3 708	3 708	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET . . . . .	983	983	959	24	20	4	-	-	-	-
UNION . . . . .	4 266	4 266	4 216	50	47	3	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO . . . . .	142	142	142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	1 544	1 544	1 504	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
BROOME . . . . .	698	698	682	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	515	515	500	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG . . . . .	418	418	417	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS . . . . .	1 287	1 287	1 272	15	-	15	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	5 272	5 272	5 194	78	78	-	-	-	-	-
HONROE . . . . .	5 740	5 740	5 709	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU . . . . .	24 055	24 055	23 863	192	187	5	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA . . . . .	1 535	1 535	1 494	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA . . . . .	1 548	1 548	1 130	418	7	411	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA . . . . .	3 020	3 020	2 558	462	30	432	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	1 897	1 894	1 852	42	6	36	-	3	3	-
OSWEGO . . . . .	596	596	533	63	60	3	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER . . . . .	682	682	668	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND . . . . .	1 702	1 702	1 532	170	5	165	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	487	487	485	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA . . . . .	630	630	609	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	767	767	762	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
STEBEN . . . . .	528	528	501	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK . . . . .	11 011	11 011	11 004	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
ULSTER . . . . .	1 414	1 414	650	764	1	763	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	6 685	6 675	6 670	5	5	-	-	10	10	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	467	467	466	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	4 961	4 961	694	4 267	1	66	4 200	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	372	372	358	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH . . . . .	1 121	1 121	1 047	74	73	1	-	-	-	-
GASTON . . . . .	251	251	242	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD . . . . .	801	801	750	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG . . . . .	2 953	2 953	1 114	1 839	144	1 695	-	-	-	-
ONSLOW . . . . .	718	718	285	433	8	425	-	-	-	-
WAKE . . . . .	780	780	647	133	133	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 35. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
OHIO:										
ALLEN . . . . .	602	602	586	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
ASHTABULA . . . . .	561	561	521	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	1 095	1 095	1 089	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK . . . . .	701	701	696	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT . . . . .	618	618	604	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	657	657	632	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	13 102	13 102	12 712	390	80	310	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	5 967	5 967	4 327	1 640	36	1 604	-	-	-	-
GREENE . . . . .	724	724	710	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	6 265	6 265	6 168	97	97	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	1 079	1 079	1 071	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING . . . . .	659	659	657	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	1 138	1 138	1 138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUCAS . . . . .	3 265	3 265	3 233	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
MAHONING . . . . .	1 465	1 465	1 465	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	3 889	3 889	3 889	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE . . . . .	955	955	925	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	823	823	823	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK . . . . .	1 541	1 541	1 497	44	44	-	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT . . . . .	2 681	2 681	2 667	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL . . . . .	1 396	1 396	1 382	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE . . . . .	616	435	429	6	6	-	-	181	181	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	1 736	1 736	1 736	-	-	-	-	-	-	282
TULSA . . . . .	2 320	2 038	1 983	55	35	20	-	282	-	-
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	1 037	1 037	987	50	47	3	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	749	749	725	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
LANE . . . . .	3 706	3 706	3 660	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
MARION . . . . .	1 176	1 176	1 149	27	27	-	-	68	45	23
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	5 688	5 620	5 476	144	32	112	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	721	721	715	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	11 718	11 718	11 536	182	182	-	-	-	-	-
BEAVER . . . . .	1 813	1 813	1 785	28	15	13	-	-	-	-
BERKS . . . . .	1 895	1 895	1 869	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
BLAIR . . . . .	783	783	752	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
BUCKS . . . . .	3 438	3 438	3 362	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	633	633	589	44	27	17	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIA . . . . .	1 079	1 079	1 070	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRE . . . . .	488	488	485	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	2 054	2 054	2 025	29	25	4	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	704	704	702	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN . . . . .	1 750	1 750	1 728	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	5 792	5 792	5 722	70	70	-	-	22	-	22
ERIE . . . . .	1 694	1 672	1 658	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTE . . . . .	822	822	785	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	480	480	477	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	1 153	1 153	1 137	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	3 523	3 523	1 522	2 001	17	1 984	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	547	547	535	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
LEBANON . . . . .	567	567	521	46	46	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH . . . . .	1 622	1 622	1 561	61	59	2	-	-	-	-
LUZERNE . . . . .	1 782	1 782	1 777	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING . . . . .	759	759	744	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	745	745	725	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	5 307	5 307	5 271	36	30	6	-	-	-	-
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	1 289	1 289	1 275	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	875	875	869	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	1 104	1 101	1 097	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	2 041	2 041	1 990	51	51	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	1 747	1 747	1 706	41	40	1	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 35. Judicial expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA:</b>										
ANDERSON . . . . .	479	479	475	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	1 662	1 662	1 272	390	3	387	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	1 579	1 579	1 543	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	823	823	815	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	2 597	2 597	1 991	606	12	429	165	-	-	-
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	967	967	953	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TENNESSEE:</b>										
HAMILTON . . . . .	1 631	1 631	1 601	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX . . . . .	3 701	3 701	1 707	1 994	11	1 983	-	-	-	-
SHELBY . . . . .	5 683	5 683	5 627	56	56	-	-	-	-	-
SULLIVAN . . . . .	603	603	602	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TEXAS:</b>										
BELL . . . . .	651	651	646	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
BEXAR . . . . .	4 563	4 563	4 395	168	168	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA . . . . .	1 334	1 334	817	517	3	514	-	-	-	-
CAMERON . . . . .	870	870	840	30	30	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS . . . . .	14 032	14 032	13 938	94	94	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	1 749	1 749	1 745	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	1 384	1 384	1 375	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS . . . . .	17 327	17 327	17 158	169	169	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO . . . . .	574	574	570	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 714	1 711	1 694	17	16	-	1	3	3	-
LUBBOCK . . . . .	875	875	646	229	19	210	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN . . . . .	602	602	599	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
NUECES . . . . .	1 840	1 840	1 623	217	18	199	-	-	-	-
SMITH . . . . .	426	426	407	19	3	16	-	-	-	-
TARRANT . . . . .	3 930	3 930	3 844	86	86	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	473	473	471	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS . . . . .	2 246	2 246	2 178	68	55	13	-	-	-	-
WICHITA . . . . .	487	487	467	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
<b>UTAH:</b>										
DAVIS . . . . .	169	169	164	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE . . . . .	2 169	2 169	1 991	178	178	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	414	414	410	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER . . . . .	279	279	273	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VERMONT:</b>										
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	97	97	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>										
ARLINGTON . . . . .	1 336	939	855	84	54	30	-	397	-	397
FAIRFAX . . . . .	3 851	2 973	2 909	64	36	28	-	878	878	-
HENRICO . . . . .	2 112	2 112	1 062	1 050	76	974	-	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	655	655	642	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>										
CLARK . . . . .	1 017	1 017	1 013	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
KING . . . . .	7 034	7 034	6 945	89	89	-	-	-	-	-
KITSAP . . . . .	702	702	696	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE . . . . .	1 999	1 999	1 999	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	1 609	1 609	1 606	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE . . . . .	1 489	1 489	1 484	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA . . . . .	1 091	1 091	1 070	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>										
CABELL . . . . .	324	324	322	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA . . . . .	1 543	1 519	630	889	-	-	889	24	24	-
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>										
BROWN . . . . .	613	559	525	34	34	-	-	54	54	-
DANE . . . . .	1 899	1 899	1 878	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	651	584	580	4	4	-	-	67	67	-
MARATHON . . . . .	432	386	383	3	3	-	-	46	46	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	10 533	10 533	10 491	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	686	629	623	6	6	-	-	57	57	-
RACINE . . . . .	1 199	1 199	1 191	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK . . . . .	784	727	709	18	18	-	-	57	-	57
WAUKESHA . . . . .	1 181	1 082	1 078	4	4	-	-	99	-	99
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	546	546	537	9	9	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 36. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
TOTAL . . . . .	934 506	417 673	302 346	214 487
ALABAMA:				
CALHOUN . . . . .	476	211	176	89
JEFFERSON . . . . .	4 635	1 536	1 885	1 214
MADISON . . . . .	1 028	443	326	259
MOBILE . . . . .	2 379	998	738	643
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	1 345	683	434	228
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	1 007	416	263	328
ARIZONA:				
MARICOPA . . . . .	8 230	5 936	1 380	914
PIMA . . . . .	6 560	5 032	522	1 006
ARKANSAS:				
PULASKI . . . . .	1 280	755	141	384
CALIFORNIA:				
ALAMEDA . . . . .	15 973	2 508	7 065	6 400
BUTTE . . . . .	1 437	261	439	737
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	7 947	1 021	3 066	3 860
FRESNO . . . . .	4 959	1 490	2 216	1 253
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	1 244	237	685	322
KERN . . . . .	3 803	1 147	1 484	1 172
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	93 601	46 445	45 170	1 986
MARIN . . . . .	3 155	508	1 111	1 536
MERCED . . . . .	1 173	205	623	345
MONTEREY . . . . .	3 021	1 071	1 477	473
ORANGE . . . . .	19 065	3 816	12 002	3 247
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	6 197	1 325	2 507	2 365
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	6 523	2 315	2 251	1 957
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	9 846	2 789	4 191	2 866
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	18 192	3 565	7 607	7 020
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	3 960	667	1 906	1 387
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	1 390	525	709	156
SAN MATEO . . . . .	6 396	1 794	2 568	2 034
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	3 659	1 880	1 720	89
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	12 672	3 023	5 154	4 495
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	1 783	502	846	435
SOLANO . . . . .	2 276	361	971	944
SONOMA . . . . .	2 162	456	1 078	628
STANISLAUS . . . . .	2 687	609	1 200	878
TULARE . . . . .	2 155	456	1 004	695
VENTURA . . . . .	5 447	2 025	1 824	1 598
YOLO . . . . .	1 267	273	473	521
COLORADO:				
ADAMS . . . . .	58	58	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	457	69	116	272
BOULDER . . . . .	123	-	-	123
EL PASO . . . . .	1 204	-	-	1 204
JEFFERSON . . . . .	145	-	-	145
LARIMER . . . . .	68	68	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	148	-	-	148
WELD . . . . .	9	-	-	9
DELAWARE:				
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	1 206	-	-	1 206
FLORIDA:				
ALACHUA . . . . .	841	545	30	256
BREVARD . . . . .	1 321	1 035	30	256
BROWARD . . . . .	4 689	2 630	26	2 033
DADE . . . . .	14 454	5 930	3 921	4 603
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	2 463	967	127	1 369
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	3 650	1 597	912	1 141
LEE . . . . .	1 160	762	25	373
LEON . . . . .	1 126	662	464	-
MANATEE . . . . .	666	408	147	111
ORANGE . . . . .	2 841	909	475	1 457
PALM BEACH . . . . .	3 673	2 593	213	867
PASCO . . . . .	1 330	894	239	197
PINELLAS . . . . .	5 146	3 253	1 015	876

See footnotes at end of table.

*(Faint handwritten notes or markings)*

See Appendixes at end of table

Table 36. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
MARYLAND:				
ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	1 033	785	12	236
BALTIMORE . . . . .	1 824	1 137	36	651
HARFORD . . . . .	485	167	-	318
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	2 448	1 323	-	1 125
PRINCE GEORGE'S . . . . .	2 660	1 160	243	1 257
WASHINGTON . . . . .	229	45	7	177
MASSACHUSETTS:				
BARNSTABLE . . . . .	1 142	335	756	51
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	885	313	527	45
BRISTOL . . . . .	2 871	1 135	1 600	136
ESSEX . . . . .	3 900	1 699	2 191	10
HAMPOEN . . . . .	3 460	1 359	2 013	88
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	649	265	338	46
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	10 008	3 539	6 253	216
NORFOLK . . . . .	3 653	1 431	2 144	78
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	2 367	910	1 394	63
WORCESTER . . . . .	4 632	1 624	2 886	122
MICHIGAN:				
BAY . . . . .	888	397	409	82
BERRIEN . . . . .	1 600	558	1 000	42
CALHOUN . . . . .	2 029	594	1 334	101
GENESEE . . . . .	4 591	2 532	1 982	77
INGHAM . . . . .	2 446	1 300	1 109	37
JACKSON . . . . .	1 222	694	508	20
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	1 609	792	773	44
KENT . . . . .	1 877	930	867	80
MACOMB . . . . .	3 622	2 253	1 336	33
MONROE . . . . .	1 009	432	548	29
MUSKEGON . . . . .	1 277	563	605	109
OAKLAND . . . . .	5 624	2 764	2 639	221
OTTAWA . . . . .	730	281	411	38
SAGINAW . . . . .	1 708	792	912	4
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	1 119	429	626	64
WASHTENAW . . . . .	2 376	1 127	1 077	172
WAYNE . . . . .	24 724	13 558	9 516	1 650
MINNESOTA:				
ANOKA . . . . .	970	869	-	101
DAKOTA . . . . .	999	276	520	203
HENNEPIN . . . . .	8 245	2 857	4 145	1 243
RAMSEY . . . . .	4 918	1 664	2 747	507
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	1 892	638	1 040	214
MISSISSIPPI:				
HARRISON . . . . .	1 055	415	441	195
HINDS . . . . .	1 128	641	373	114
JACKSON . . . . .	825	378	331	114
MISSOURI:				
CLAY . . . . .	461	219	169	7
GREENE . . . . .	448	276	172	-
JACKSON . . . . .	4 434	3 670	7 64	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	390	164	141	8
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	409	131	166	11
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	5 830	1 250	3 662	91
NEBRASKA:				
DOUGLAS . . . . .	1 997	1 265	408	32
LANCASTER . . . . .	1 551	514	382	65
NEVADA:				
CLARK . . . . .	4 647	2 256	956	1 43
WASHOE . . . . .	1 509	948	307	21
NEW HAMPSHIRE:				
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	902	509	71	31
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	666	418	61	11
NEW JERSEY:				
ATLANTIC . . . . .	1 302	781	267	2
BERGEN . . . . .	5 211	1 638	1 689	1 8
BURLINGTON . . . . .	1 218	443	249	5
CAMDEN . . . . .	3 310	1 489	652	1 1
CLIMBERLAND . . . . .	642	287	121	2
ESSEX . . . . .	12 202	1 973	2 534	7 6

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 36. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
NEW JERSEY--CONTINUED				
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	721	262	174	285
HUDSON . . . . .	4 107	1 555	1 376	1 176
MERCER . . . . .	2 261	861	253	1 147
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	3 993	801	913	2 279
MONMOUTH . . . . .	1 935	408	535	992
MORRIS . . . . .	1 556	616	447	493
OCEAN . . . . .	1 237	368	262	607
PASSAIC . . . . .	3 708	834	982	1 892
SOMERSET . . . . .	959	544	174	241
UNION . . . . .	4 216	838	1 323	2 055
NEW MEXICO:				
BERNALILLO . . . . .	142	-	63	79
NEW YORK:				
ALBANY . . . . .	1 504	255	705	544
BROOME . . . . .	682	54	447	181
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	500	38	352	110
CHEMUNG . . . . .	417	47	306	64
DUTCHESS . . . . .	1 272	1	688	583
ERIE . . . . .	5 194	348	2 326	2 520
MONROE . . . . .	5 709	1 182	3 315	1 212
NASSAU . . . . .	23 863	2 906	13 973	6 984
NIAGARA . . . . .	1 494	138	721	635
ONEIDA . . . . .	1 130	299	516	315
ONONDAGA . . . . .	2 558	164	1 100	1 294
ORANGE . . . . .	1 852	6	656	1 190
OSWEGO . . . . .	533	53	256	224
RENSSELAER . . . . .	668	42	361	265
ROCKLAND . . . . .	1 532	19	808	705
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	485	40	292	153
SARATOGA . . . . .	609	74	293	242
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	762	52	444	266
STEUBEN . . . . .	501	47	252	202
SUFFOLK . . . . .	11 004	1 498	8 170	1 336
ULSTER . . . . .	650	116	462	72
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	6 670	78	2 346	4 246
NORTH CAROLINA:				
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	466	-	-	466
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	694	214	-	480
DURHAM . . . . .	358	-	-	358
FORSYTH . . . . .	1 047	-	-	1 047
GASTON . . . . .	242	-	12	230
GUILFORD . . . . .	750	-	-	750
MECKLENBURG . . . . .	1 114	-	-	1 114
ONSLOW . . . . .	285	-	-	285
WAKE . . . . .	647	-	-	647
OHIO:				
ALLEN . . . . .	586	425	76	85
ASHTABULA . . . . .	521	274	118	129
BUTLER . . . . .	1 089	764	177	148
CLARK . . . . .	696	577	61	58
CLERMONT . . . . .	604	279	151	174
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	632	416	148	68
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	12 712	9 495	926	2 291
FRANKLIN . . . . .	4 327	2 793	330	1 204
GREENE . . . . .	710	485	136	89
HAMILTON . . . . .	6 168	4 842	421	905
LAKE . . . . .	1 071	763	93	215
LICKING . . . . .	657	545	60	52
LORAIN . . . . .	1 138	679	142	317
LUCAS . . . . .	3 233	2 448	196	589
MAHONING . . . . .	1 465	755	295	415
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	3 869	2 528	484	877
PORTAGE . . . . .	925	358	386	181
RICHLAND . . . . .	823	678	61	84
STARK . . . . .	1 497	1 100	165	232
SUMMIT . . . . .	2 667	1 864	245	558
TRUMBULL . . . . .	1 382	932	306	144
OKLAHOMA:				
COMANCHE . . . . .	429	361	-	68
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	1 736	1 012	-	724
TULSA . . . . .	1 983	1 784	-	199

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 36. Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued<sup>1</sup>

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
OREGON:				
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	987	298	99	590
JACKSON . . . . .	725	176	95	454
LANE . . . . .	3 660	1 930	458	1 272
MARION . . . . .	1 149	412	182	555
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	5 476	3 013	2 166	297
WASHINGTON . . . . .	715	312	196	207
PENNSYLVANIA:				
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	11 536	9 338	1 033	1 165
BEAVER . . . . .	1 785	1 197	292	296
BERKS . . . . .	1 869	1 148	371	350
BLAIR . . . . .	752	434	122	196
BUCKS . . . . .	3 362	1 893	935	534
BUTLER . . . . .	589	317	125	147
CAMBRIA . . . . .	1 070	648	263	159
CENTRE . . . . .	485	252	171	62
CHESTER . . . . .	2 025	1 286	535	204
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	702	426	149	127
DAUPHIN . . . . .	1 728	929	427	372
DELAWARE . . . . .	5 722	3 533	1 089	1 100
ERIE . . . . .	1 658	957	386	315
FAYETTE . . . . .	785	438	127	220
FRANKLIN . . . . .	477	342	78	57
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	1 137	817	213	107
LANCASTER . . . . .	1 522	907	433	182
LAWRENCE . . . . .	535	375	80	80
LEBANON . . . . .	521	312	86	123
LEHIGH . . . . .	1 561	903	371	287
LUZERNE . . . . .	1 777	1 221	337	219
LYCOMING . . . . .	744	480	144	120
MERCER . . . . .	725	472	139	114
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	5 271	3 129	1 103	1 039
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	1 275	887	237	151
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	869	678	79	112
WASHINGTON . . . . .	1 097	631	296	170
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	1 990	1 059	444	487
YORK . . . . .	1 706	1 071	404	231
SOUTH CAROLINA:				
ANDERSON . . . . .	475	74	219	182
CHARLESTON . . . . .	1 272	268	708	296
GREENVILLE . . . . .	1 543	100	797	646
LEXINGTON . . . . .	815	259	423	133
RICHLAND . . . . .	1 991	425	1 240	326
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	953	131	652	170
TENNESSEE:				
HAMILTON . . . . .	1 601	838	436	327
KNOX . . . . .	1 707	618	563	526
SHELBY . . . . .	5 627	1 431	2 277	1 919
SULLIVAN . . . . .	602	228	85	289
TEXAS:				
BELL . . . . .	646	304	265	77
BEXAR . . . . .	4 395	1 265	672	2 458
BRAZORIA . . . . .	817	315	331	171
CAMERON . . . . .	840	285	150	405
DALLAS . . . . .	13 938	2 611	2 477	8 850
EL PASO . . . . .	1 745	518	480	747
GALVESTON . . . . .	1 375	481	439	455
HARRIS . . . . .	17 158	5 915	3 590	7 653
HIDALGO . . . . .	570	299	191	80
JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 694	864	601	229
LUBBOCK . . . . .	646	168	174	304
MCLENNAN . . . . .	599	179	177	243
NUECES . . . . .	1 623	399	718	506
SMITH . . . . .	407	117	209	81
TARRANT . . . . .	3 844	1 071	1 088	1 685
TAYLOR . . . . .	471	108	269	94
TRAVIS . . . . .	2 178	529	1 105	544
WICHITA . . . . .	467	192	160	115

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 36 Detail of direct current expenditure for judicial activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current	Courts of general jurisdiction	Courts of limited jurisdiction	Miscellaneous
<b>UTAH:</b>				
DAVIS . . . . .	164	15	52	97
SALT LAKE . . . . .	1 991	581	493	917
UTAH . . . . .	410	184	106	120
WEBER . . . . .	273	182	20	71
<b>VERMONT:</b>				
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	97	88	-	9
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>				
ARLINGTON . . . . .	855	116	351	388
FAIRFAX . . . . .	2 909	640	1 261	1 008
HENRICO . . . . .	1 062	385	115	562
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	642	-	7	635
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>				
CLARK . . . . .	1 013	275	459	279
KING . . . . .	6 945	2 918	2 338	1 689
KITSAP . . . . .	696	399	121	116
PIERCE . . . . .	1 999	1 117	602	280
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	1 606	574	681	351
SPOKANE . . . . .	1 464	881	430	173
YAKIMA . . . . .	1 070	466	318	286
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>				
CABELL . . . . .	322	105	152	65
KANAWHA . . . . .	630	176	382	72
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>				
BROWN . . . . .	525	441	-	84
DANE . . . . .	1 878	-	-	1 878
KENOSHA . . . . .	580	504	-	76
MARATHON . . . . .	383	-	-	383
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	10 491	10 297	-	194
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	623	523	-	100
RACINE . . . . .	1 191	1 149	-	42
ROCK . . . . .	709	659	-	50
WAUKESHA . . . . .	1 078	980	-	98
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	537	-	-	537

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

**Table 37 Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>2</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
<b>TOTAL . . . . .</b>	<b>60 775</b>	<b>55 024</b>	<b>57 962</b>	<b>61 377</b>	<b>27 590</b>	<b>24 764</b>	<b>26 368</b>	<b>26 819</b>
<b>ALABAMA:</b>								
CALHOUN . . . . .	16	8	13	11	6	-	6	3
JEFFERSON . . . . .	167	122	151	165	32	-	32	24
MADISON . . . . .	45	31	43	33	15	4	15	10
MOBILE . . . . .	145	122	141	120	40	21	37	30
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	66	44	62	56	15	-	15	14
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	25	17	23	20	8	-	6	6
<b>ARIZONA:</b>								
MARICOPA . . . . .	623	619	620	606	473	469	470	468
PIMA . . . . .	329	327	327	355	236	234	234	257
<b>ARKANSAS:</b>								
PULASKI . . . . .	108	91	93	87	66	65	65	64
<b>CALIFORNIA:</b>								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	688	674	685	964	66	60	68	99
BUTTE . . . . .	85	76	79	77	12	11	11	11
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	377	345	356	543	54	43	47	64
FRESNO . . . . .	286	231	245	304	82	70	74	93
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	83	72	77	87	20	17	17	17
KERN . . . . .	190	166	178	223	47	38	43	58
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	4 665	4 069	4 391	6 460	2 288	1 991	2 206	3 101
MARIN . . . . .	164	156	158	196	21	21	21	26
MERCED . . . . .	85	78	81	82	10	7	9	9
MONTEREY . . . . .	176	152	163	179	60	49	55	55
ORANGE . . . . .	1 010	946	978	1 325	166	129	145	256
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	361	333	347	416	44	31	38	54
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	363	328	345	464	102	75	87	132
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	557	506	528	658	99	73	85	121
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	1 127	1 015	1 069	1 351	164	121	154	170
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	247	232	236	259	15	12	12	14
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	92	92	92	108	37	37	37	45
SAN MATEO . . . . .	331	330	330	429	75	75	75	102
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	220	207	214	249	108	98	103	121
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	778	645	705	938	140	102	124	170
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	107	100	103	121	30	25	27	31
SOLANO . . . . .	124	116	118	141	12	12	12	14
SONOMA . . . . .	139	118	130	144	19	17	18	25
STANISLAUS . . . . .	148	141	143	158	26	25	25	29
TULARE . . . . .	134	117	129	124	16	11	14	13
VENTURA . . . . .	254	231	243	313	89	75	84	104
YOLO . . . . .	70	65	67	73	11	9	10	8
<b>COLORADO:</b>								
ADAMS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	27	27	27	28	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	12	7	8	9	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	81	81	81	75	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	10	10	10	10	-	-	-	-
LARIMER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	13	13	13	11	-	-	-	-
WELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>DELAWARE:</b>								
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	91	91	91	86	-	-	-	-
<b>FLORIDA:</b>								
ALACHUA . . . . .	79	74	75	53	51	51	51	37
BREVARD . . . . .	116	111	112	83	105	100	101	73
BROWARD . . . . .	488	459	471	360	362	333	346	252
DADE . . . . .	1 193	1 189	1 190	1 132	540	540	540	483
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	165	155	155	136	101	91	91	77
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	251	251	251	224	87	87	87	74
LEE . . . . .	86	85	85	66	66	66	66	48
LEON . . . . .	108	96	101	76	52	47	50	38
MANATEE . . . . .	61	57	58	45	20	20	20	18
ORANGE . . . . .	334	334	334	276	105	105	105	83
PALM BEACH . . . . .	158	144	149	121	97	84	89	69
PASCO . . . . .	69	67	67	46	27	26	26	16
PINELLAS . . . . .	356	353	354	321	188	188	188	165
POLK . . . . .	132	125	128	99	34	33	33	23
SARASOTA . . . . .	73	67	69	57	49	45	46	39
SEMINOLE . . . . .	76	76	76	49	32	32	32	18
VOLUSIA . . . . .	120	110	115	89	50	49	49	48
<b>GEORGIA:</b>								
BIBB . . . . .	103	67	84	72	42	26	34	27
CHATHAM . . . . .	122	122	122	106	67	67	67	54
CLAYTON . . . . .	72	68	69	60	29	26	27	21

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			
	Total				Number of employees			October payroll
	Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent					
TOTAL . . . . .	18 833	17 046	18 018	20 407	14 352	13 214	13 586	14 151
ALABAMA:								
CALHOUN . . . . .	9	7	8	7	1	1	1	1
JEFFERSON . . . . .	105	92	99	105	30	30	30	37
MADISON . . . . .	18	15	17	14	12	12	12	10
MOBILE . . . . .	52	48	51	42	53	53	53	48
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	33	26	29	25	18	18	18	18
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	7
ARIZONA:								
MARICOPA . . . . .	121	121	121	104	29	29	29	33
PIMA . . . . .	42	42	42	36	51	51	51	63
ARKANSAS:								
PULASKI . . . . .	19	4	5	6	23	22	22	17
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	319	315	316	446	303	299	300	419
BUTTE . . . . .	27	25	25	25	46	40	42	40
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	146	132	136	189	177	170	172	291
FRESNO . . . . .	130	109	116	147	74	52	55	64
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	47	40	43	53	16	15	15	18
KERN . . . . .	84	79	81	100	59	49	53	65
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	2 276	2 041	2 136	3 278	101	37	54	81
MARIN . . . . .	61	60	60	75	82	75	77	94
MERCED . . . . .	53	50	51	53	22	21	21	20
MONTEREY . . . . .	91	78	83	100	25	25	25	25
ORANGE . . . . .	629	613	620	828	215	204	206	241
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	154	146	149	178	163	156	158	184
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	132	126	128	177	129	127	127	155
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	223	210	214	269	235	223	227	268
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	438	418	425	564	525	476	494	618
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	149	137	141	149	83	83	83	96
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	44	44	44	50	11	11	11	13
SAN MATEO . . . . .	131	131	131	171	125	124	124	156
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	112	109	110	128	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	287	260	268	384	351	283	313	384
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	57	55	56	67	20	20	20	22
SOLANO . . . . .	77	71	72	89	35	33	33	38
SONOMA . . . . .	63	51	57	62	57	50	55	57
STANISLAUS . . . . .	53	49	50	64	69	67	67	65
TULARE . . . . .	65	56	62	63	53	50	51	48
VENTURA . . . . .	100	96	97	118	65	60	60	91
YOLO . . . . .	27	25	25	31	32	31	31	34
COLORADO:								
ADAMS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	27	27	27	28
BOULDER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	12	7	8	9
EL PASO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	81	81	81	75
JEFFERSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	10
LARIMER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	13	13	13	11
WELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	91	91	91	86
FLORIDA:								
ALACHUA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	28	23	24	26
BREVARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	10
BROWARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	126	126	126	108
DADE . . . . .	380	380	380	305	273	269	269	344
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	8	8	8	8	56	56	56	51
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	77	77	77	62	87	87	87	88
LEE . . . . .	3	3	3	2	17	16	16	16
LEON . . . . .	56	49	51	38	-	-	-	-
MANATEE . . . . .	17	17	17	10	24	20	21	16
ORANGE . . . . .	91	91	91	67	138	138	138	126
PALM BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	61	60	60	52
PASCO . . . . .	18	17	17	10	24	24	24	20
PINELLAS . . . . .	90	90	90	79	78	75	76	77
POLK . . . . .	46	44	44	31	52	48	50	45
SARASOTA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	24	22	22	19
SEMINOLE . . . . .	15	15	15	8	29	29	29	24
VOLUSIA . . . . .	38	37	37	25	32	24	30	16
GEORGIA:								
BIBB . . . . .	60	40	49	44	1	1	1	1
CHATHAM . . . . .	52	52	52	50	3	3	3	3
CLAYTON . . . . .	36	35	35	32	7	7	7	7

Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
COBB . . . . .	232	225	231	196	52	45	52	37
DE KALB . . . . .	206	196	200	193	88	78	83	68
FULTON . . . . .	481	454	472	508	171	150	166	162
RICHMOND . . . . .	95	88	93	71	37	31	35	24
IDAHO:								
ADA . . . . .	77	70	71	56	68	61	63	47
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	47	34	36	24	47	34	36	24
COOK . . . . .	3 651	3 216	3 426	3 352	3 600	3 175	3 383	3 302
DU PAGE . . . . .	172	146	159	150	172	146	159	150
KANE . . . . .	84	79	79	61	83	78	78	61
LAKE . . . . .	112	105	107	78	110	104	106	78
LA SALLE . . . . .	32	28	29	21	32	28	29	21
MC HENRY . . . . .	35	27	32	20	35	27	32	20
MCLEAN . . . . .	60	37	42	30	60	37	42	30
MACON . . . . .	64	57	58	35	64	57	58	35
MADISON . . . . .	90	74	77	63	85	71	74	59
PEORIA . . . . .	66	61	62	40	64	59	60	39
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	47	40	40	29	47	40	40	29
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	84	79	80	59	83	78	79	58
SANGAMON . . . . .	50	43	44	36	46	42	43	35
TAZEWELL . . . . .	51	31	41	24	50	31	40	24
WILL . . . . .	91	89	89	68	91	89	89	68
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	93	92	92	72	89	88	88	68
INDIANA:								
ALLEN . . . . .	104	94	103	85	103	93	102	85
DELAWARE . . . . .	55	44	51	35	23	18	21	17
ELKHART . . . . .	60	52	57	44	21	15	18	17
LAKE . . . . .	240	225	236	224	183	172	181	168
LA PORTE . . . . .	61	52	57	44	39	32	36	28
MADISON . . . . .	100	87	94	53	86	75	81	45
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	117	106	109	89	38	31	32	31
TIPPECANOE . . . . .	40	27	39	28	12	7	10	7
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	108	88	101	75	107	87	100	75
VIGO . . . . .	60	56	56	41	19	16	16	11
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK . . . . .	80	67	70	74	59	50	53	53
LINN . . . . .	101	73	80	81	58	53	54	54
POLK . . . . .	198	184	189	192	152	142	145	147
SCOTT . . . . .	57	56	56	49	50	50	50	45
WOODBURY . . . . .	64	57	58	63	54	47	48	53
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON . . . . .	161	151	154	119	107	97	100	69
SEDGWICK . . . . .	281	276	277	225	145	141	142	117
SHAWNEE . . . . .	132	113	119	88	76	58	64	45
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	139	109	117	72	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON . . . . .	421	408	416	315	180	171	176	140
KENTON . . . . .	35	27	29	25	20	17	18	15
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO . . . . .	71	51	54	49	17	17	17	14
CALCASIEU . . . . .	87	29	62	53	25	25	25	21
JEFFERSON . . . . .	322	294	304	271	20	20	20	14
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	85	49	64	44	34	28	32	21
OUACHITA . . . . .	53	14	31	26	18	14	17	14
RAPIDES . . . . .	71	29	38	30	23	18	19	11
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	12	9	11	7	3	-	3	1
YORK . . . . .	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	90	87	87	81	61	61	61	61
BALTIMORE . . . . .	107	102	104	120	48	45	47	62
HARFORD . . . . .	33	28	31	35	16	11	14	14
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	128	121	123	165	60	54	55	78
PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	204	204	204	235	85	85	85	95
WASHINGTON . . . . .	17	13	13	18	8	7	7	13
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE . . . . .	60	54	56	59	12	7	8	9
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	42	36	38	48	15	12	14	12
BRISTOL . . . . .	225	169	178	181	91	56	61	56
ESSEX . . . . .	227	183	207	223	66	44	60	63
HAMPDEN . . . . .	201	160	199	219	74	33	72	79
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	33	28	30	31	8	8	8	9

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1977 --Continued**  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			
	Total				Number of employees			October payroll
	Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent					
GEORGIA--CONTINUED								
COBB. . . . .	76	76	76	73	104	104	104	8
DE KALB . . . . .	106	106	106	114	12	12	12	1
FULTON. . . . .	205	199	202	233	105	105	105	11
RICHMOND. . . . .	42	41	42	33	16	16	16	1
IDAHO:								
ADA . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	9	9	9	
ILLINOIS:								
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	
COOK. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	51	41	42	5
DU PAGE . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	
KANE. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	
LAKE. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	2	1	1	
LA SALLE. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	
MCHEERY. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	
MCLEAN. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	
MACON . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	
MADISON . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	5	3	3	
PEORIA. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	2	2	2	
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	1	1	
SANGAMON. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	4	1	1	
TAZEWELL. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	1	-	-	(2
WILL. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	-	-	
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	4	4	4	
INDIANA:								
ALLEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	
DELAWARE. . . . .	11	9	10	6	21	17	18	1
ELKHART . . . . .	7	5	6	5	32	32	32	2
LAKE. . . . .	57	53	55	56	-	-	-	
LA PORTE . . . . .	16	14	15	11	6	6	6	
MADISON . . . . .	13	11	12	7	1	1	1	(2
ST. JOSEPH. . . . .	8	6	6	6	71	69	69	5
TIPPECANOE. . . . .	4	2	3	2	24	18	26	1
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	
VIGO. . . . .	4	3	3	2	37	37	37	2
IOWA:								
BLACK HAWK. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	21	17	17	2
LINN. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	43	20	26	2
POLK. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	46	42	43	4
SCOTT . . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	7	6	6	
WOODBURY. . . . .	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	10	10	10	1
KANSAS:								
JOHNSON . . . . .	40	40	40	35	14	14	14	1
SEDGWICK. . . . .	115	114	114	91	21	21	21	1
SHAWNEE . . . . .	25	24	24	16	31	31	31	2
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	34	27	29	21	105	82	87	5
KENTUCKY:								
JEFFERSON . . . . .	116	113	114	98	125	124	124	7
KENTON. . . . .	8	7	7	7	7	3	4	
LOUISIANA:								
CADDO . . . . .	33	13	16	13	21	21	21	2
CALCASIEU . . . . .	31	-	31	9	31	4	28	2
JEFFERSON . . . . .	40	37	37	38	262	237	246	21
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	29	-	29	7	22	21	21	
OUACHITA. . . . .	23	-	23	3	12	-	12	
RAPIDES . . . . .	37	-	37	7	11	11	11	
MAINE:								
CUMBERLAND. . . . .	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	9	9	9	5	-	-	-	
YORK. . . . .	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	
MARYLAND:								
ANNE ARUNDEL. . . . .	3	-	3	1	26	26	26	
BALTIMORE . . . . .	4	4	4	3	55	53	53	
HARFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	17	17	17	
MONTGOMERY. . . . .	-	-	-	-	68	67	67	
PRINCE GEORGES. . . . .	13	13	13	17	106	106	106	
WASHINGTON. . . . .	3	-	3	1	6	6	6	
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BARNSTABLE. . . . .	45	44	44	46	3	3	3	
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	25	22	22	33	2	2	2	
BRISTOL . . . . .	124	107	111	118	10	6	6	
ESSEX . . . . .	159	139	147	159	2	-	2	
HAMPDEN . . . . .	123	123	123	135	4	4	4	
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	23	19	21	21	2	1	1	

Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
<b>MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED</b>								
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	550	529	532	570	128	128	128	136
NORFOLK . . . . .	202	166	193	201	71	39	62	67
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	165	136	144	146	63	40	46	44
WORCESTER . . . . .	269	257	260	296	71	71	71	85
<b>MICHIGAN:</b>								
BAY . . . . .	65	59	64	60	26	24	26	26
BERRIEN . . . . .	131	127	133	116	43	40	44	43
CALHOUN . . . . .	126	122	125	151	48	48	48	51
GENESEE . . . . .	220	187	204	263	110	102	108	148
INGHAM . . . . .	178	156	168	169	94	79	88	88
JACKSON . . . . .	84	75	83	74	52	46	52	45
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	107	100	102	111	47	44	45	47
KENT . . . . .	138	119	133	132	78	72	80	68
<b>MACOMB . . . . .</b>	250	249	249	264	151	151	151	157
MONROE . . . . .	81	75	80	77	28	25	27	29
MUSKEGON . . . . .	98	90	96	92	45	41	44	42
OAKLAND . . . . .	341	285	315	360	146	125	143	160
OTTAWA . . . . .	62	51	58	54	25	20	23	21
SAGINAW . . . . .	141	120	134	126	66	51	59	58
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	82	68	76	79	31	24	28	28
WASHTENAW . . . . .	181	159	171	183	83	70	77	83
WAYNE . . . . .	1 141	1 107	1 116	1 705	538	532	538	795
<b>MINNESOTA:</b>								
ANOKA . . . . .	57	54	54	68	52	49	49	60
DAKOTA . . . . .	56	42	50	65	10	2	11	11
HENNEPIN . . . . .	413	413	413	573	135	135	135	189
RAMSEY . . . . .	259	253	255	347	88	86	86	117
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	128	120	121	152	40	40	40	45
<b>MISSISSIPPI:</b>								
HARRISON . . . . .	77	63	66	50	29	27	28	14
HINDS . . . . .	70	62	63	50	38	35	36	23
JACKSON . . . . .	65	47	50	51	30	20	23	14
<b>MISSOURI:</b>								
CLAY . . . . .	46	39	44	34	17	14	17	12
GREENE . . . . .	52	43	46	34	32	30	30	21
JACKSON . . . . .	368	365	366	283	295	295	295	225
JEFFERSON . . . . .	47	46	46	28	21	21	21	14
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	42	36	39	30	13	9	11	10
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	527	499	516	469	104	87	103	87
<b>NEBRASKA:</b>								
DOUGLAS . . . . .	101	73	80	79	69	46	51	50
LANCASTER . . . . .	84	73	74	79	31	24	25	22
<b>NEVADA:</b>								
CLARK . . . . .	262	254	255	242	85	85	85	100
WASHOE . . . . .	86	77	78	90	48	48	48	52
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE:</b>								
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	48	38	42	32	19	19	19	13
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	36	36	36	32	20	20	20	17
<b>NEW JERSEY:</b>								
ATLANTIC . . . . .	89	82	83	74	38	35	35	36
BERGEN . . . . .	251	251	251	277	60	60	60	79
BURLINGTON . . . . .	102	98	98	95	33	33	33	36
CAMDEN . . . . .	216	213	213	220	71	71	71	94
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	56	45	48	48	20	12	13	23
ESSEX . . . . .	809	809	809	948	127	127	127	127
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	65	48	53	51	15	12	13	22
HUDSON . . . . .	327	327	327	305	127	127	127	121
<b>MERCER . . . . .</b>	170	162	163	166	58	58	58	68
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	319	315	315	319	47	46	46	59
MONMOUTH . . . . .	173	171	171	150	26	26	26	35
MORRIS . . . . .	125	107	112	111	35	35	35	44
OCEAN . . . . .	104	104	104	96	20	20	20	31
PASSAIC . . . . .	278	278	278	288	59	59	59	70
SOMERSET . . . . .	93	72	79	78	38	38	38	45
UNION . . . . .	295	295	295	331	74	74	74	82
<b>NEW MEXICO:</b>								
BERNALILLO . . . . .	15	15	15	14	-	-	-	-
<b>NEW YORK:</b>								
ALBANY . . . . .	27	26	26	25	-	-	-	-
BROOME . . . . .	25	8	11	9	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG . . . . .	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS . . . . .	76	76	76	84	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			October payroll
	Total			Number of employees				
	Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent					
MASSACHUSETTS--CONTINUED								
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	413	392	395	425	9	9	9	10
NORFOLK . . . . .	125	121	124	127	6	6	6	7
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	98	95	96	100	4	1	1	2
WORCESTER . . . . .	193	181	184	206	5	5	5	6
MICHIGAN:								
BAY . . . . .	36	32	34	31	3	3	3	3
BERRIEN . . . . .	85	84	84	70	3	3	3	3
CALHOUN . . . . .	70	66	68	90	8	8	8	9
GENESEE . . . . .	106	82	92	112	4	3	3	4
INGHAM . . . . .	82	75	78	80	2	2	2	2
JACKSON . . . . .	32	29	31	29	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	58	54	55	62	2	2	2	2
KENT . . . . .	53	42	47	57	7	5	5	8
HACOMB . . . . .	98	97	97	106	1	1	1	1
MONROE . . . . .	51	48	51	45	2	2	2	2
MUSKEGON . . . . .	47	43	45	42	6	6	6	8
OAKLAND . . . . .	178	146	156	182	17	14	15	17
OTTAWA . . . . .	35	29	32	30	2	2	2	3
SAGINAW . . . . .	75	69	75	68	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	47	41	44	47	4	3	3	4
WASHTENAW . . . . .	87	78	83	90	11	11	11	10
WAYNE . . . . .	487	483	484	758	96	92	93	152
MINNESOTA:								
ANOKA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	8
DAKOTA . . . . .	31	29	29	39	15	11	12	15
HENNEPIN . . . . .	203	203	203	287	75	75	75	96
RAMSEY . . . . .	157	154	155	212	14	13	13	18
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	66	65	65	86	22	15	16	21
MISSISSIPPI:								
HARRISON . . . . .	18	18	18	22	30	18	20	14
HINDS . . . . .	20	20	20	18	12	7	7	7
JACKSON . . . . .	21	20	20	30	14	7	7	7
MISSOURI:								
CLAY . . . . .	21	17	18	14	8	8	8	8
GREENE . . . . .	20	13	15	13	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	73	70	71	58	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	21	21	21	11	5	4	4	3
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	20	18	18	13	9	9	9	7
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	320	313	314	301	103	99	99	81
NEBRASKA:								
DOUGLAS . . . . .	20	15	16	16	12	12	12	13
LANCASTER . . . . .	18	14	14	16	35	35	35	41
NEVADA:								
CLARK . . . . .	78	72	72	65	99	97	97	78
WASHOE . . . . .	19	16	16	20	19	13	13	17
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	7	7	7	5	22	12	15	14
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	5	5	5	3	11	11	11	13
NEW JERSEY:								
ATLANTIC . . . . .	28	27	27	21	23	20	20	16
BERGEN . . . . .	129	129	129	133	62	62	62	65
BURLINGTON . . . . .	20	19	19	19	49	46	46	40
CAMDEN . . . . .	59	59	59	51	86	83	83	75
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	15	15	15	10	21	18	18	15
ESSEX . . . . .	164	164	164	180	518	518	518	641
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	18	18	18	13	32	18	23	16
HUDSON . . . . .	136	136	136	120	64	64	64	64
MERCER . . . . .	29	26	26	23	83	78	79	76
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	78	77	77	86	194	192	192	173
MONMOUTH . . . . .	49	49	49	47	98	96	97	68
MORRIS . . . . .	39	36	37	34	51	36	41	32
OCEAN . . . . .	29	29	29	23	55	55	55	43
PASSAIC . . . . .	96	96	96	90	123	123	123	129
SOMERSET . . . . .	23	22	22	16	32	12	20	17
UNION . . . . .	97	97	97	106	124	124	124	143
NEW MEXICO:								
BERNALILLO . . . . .	6	6	6	6	9	9	9	8
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	27	26	26	25
BROOME . . . . .	14	2	6	3	11	6	6	6
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	3
CHEMUNG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
DUTCHESS . . . . .	40	40	40	53	36	36	36	31

Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE . . . . .	104	97	101	128	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	75	71	74	81	12	12	12	13
NASSAU . . . . .	941	936	936	1 407	122	122	122	215
NIAGARA . . . . .	27	27	27	31	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA . . . . .	10	10	10	8	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA . . . . .	68	66	66	75	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	102	98	98	115	-	-	-	1
OSWEGO . . . . .	17	10	11	13	4	-	4	1
RENSSELAER . . . . .	17	15	15	10	1	1	1	1
ROCKLAND . . . . .	70	70	70	82	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	14	5	7	7	5	-	5	1
SARATOGA . . . . .	25	15	17	16	7	-	7	2
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	15	2	8	7	-	-	-	-
STEUBEN . . . . .	10	1	3	2	6	-	6	1
SUFFOLK . . . . .	654	646	646	831	90	90	90	125
WESTER . . . . .	34	33	33	34	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	280	280	280	399	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:								
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	22	22	22	17	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	25	23	23	16	6	6	6	3
DURHAM . . . . .	26	22	23	21	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH . . . . .	56	56	56	61	-	-	-	-
GASTON . . . . .	16	15	15	13	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD . . . . .	41	33	35	32	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG . . . . .	69	63	65	62	-	-	-	-
ONslow . . . . .	21	21	21	18	-	-	-	-
WAKE . . . . .	61	54	55	59	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
ALLEN . . . . .	56	42	51	40	42	35	40	30
ASHTABULA . . . . .	53	33	47	36	22	16	21	18
BUTLER . . . . .	97	74	90	76	67	61	67	58
CLARK . . . . .	70	58	70	48	58	53	60	40
CLERMONT . . . . .	71	58	73	48	26	20	27	20
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	64	45	61	42	43	31	41	24
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	960	865	935	939	670	655	673	709
FRANKLIN . . . . .	308	251	300	272	174	148	171	163
GREENE . . . . .	63	44	55	49	37	33	37	36
HAMILTON . . . . .	416	381	416	425	345	323	345	351
LAKE . . . . .	105	75	98	76	66	56	64	50
LICKING . . . . .	52	39	50	36	39	35	41	28
LORAIN . . . . .	120	88	119	91	63	52	67	55
LUCAS . . . . .	294	254	288	252	208	191	207	193
MAHONING . . . . .	159	119	150	123	65	57	65	64
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	314	237	280	270	198	166	185	182
PORTAGE . . . . .	85	71	78	58	28	24	27	22
RICHLAND . . . . .	46	37	45	40	35	29	36	33
STARK . . . . .	157	124	154	122	118	105	114	86
SUMMIT . . . . .	258	198	250	209	201	174	203	163
TRUMBULL . . . . .	139	100	126	114	90	76	86	76
OKLAHOMA:								
COMANCHE . . . . .	20	16	19	15	15	11	14	11
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	169	161	163	116	113	107	109	71
TULSA . . . . .	156	117	142	103	145	106	132	92
OREGON:								
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	74	60	63	83	20	15	16	20
JACKSON . . . . .	73	67	68	53	12	10	10	10
LANE . . . . .	198	185	188	208	126	122	122	148
MARION . . . . .	92	73	80	74	24	19	19	23
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	295	289	290	302	131	127	127	147
WASHINGTON . . . . .	67	65	65	65	26	24	24	28
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	836	836	836	853	653	653	653	678
BEAVER . . . . .	133	120	124	87	71	68	68	53
BERKS . . . . .	130	129	129	89	73	73	73	52
BLAIR . . . . .	73	59	68	43	28	26	26	18
BUCKS . . . . .	241	228	234	193	129	126	126	114
BUTLER . . . . .	59	45	48	35	32	23	24	18
CAMBRIA . . . . .	98	81	92	82	64	54	63	60
CENTRE . . . . .	46	41	42	30	27	23	24	17
CHESTER . . . . .	151	121	136	101	90	72	79	62
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	94	52	60	50	59	30	35	32
DAUPHIN . . . . .	186	121	141	104	88	63	68	55
DELAWARE . . . . .	443	443	443	356	268	268	268	225
ERIE . . . . .	153	129	137	123	97	84	88	79
FAYETTE . . . . .	71	65	67	47	49	44	46	34
FRANKLIN . . . . .	57	34	43	31	31	25	27	21
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	106	105	105	82	72	72	72	60
LANCASTER . . . . .	128	107	113	87	67	55	58	52
LANRENCE . . . . .	56	41	49	35	31	28	31	20

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			
	Total			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Number of employees							
	Total	Full-time only	full-time equivalent					
NEW YORK--CONTINUED								
ERIE . . . . .	11	6	102	13	93	91	91	115
MONROE . . . . .	21	17	20	24	42	42	42	44
NASSAU . . . . .	479	479	479	753	340	335	335	439
NIAGARA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	27	27	27	31
ONEIDA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	8
ONONDAGA . . . . .	1	1	1	1	67	65	65	74
ORANGE . . . . .	41	41	41	58	61	57	58	57
OSWEGO . . . . .	3	-	3	1	10	10	10	11
RENSSELAER . . . . .	2	2	2	1	14	12	12	9
ROCKLAND . . . . .	44	44	44	61	26	26	26	21
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	4	-	4	1	5	5	5	5
SARATOGA . . . . .	3	-	3	1	15	15	15	14
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	1	1	1	1	14	1	7	6
STEBEN . . . . .	3	-	3	-	1	1	1	1
SUFFOLK . . . . .	538	530	530	681	26	26	26	26
ULSTER . . . . .	29	29	29	31	5	4	4	3
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	110	110	110	184	170	170	170	215
NORTH CAROLINA								
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	17
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	19	17	17	13
DURHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	26	22	23	21
FORSYTH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	56	56	56	61
GASTON . . . . .	1	1	1	(2)	15	14	14	12
GUILFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	41	33	35	32
MECKLENBURG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	69	63	64	62
ONslow . . . . .	-	-	-	-	21	21	21	18
WAKE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	61	54	55	59
OHIO								
ALLEN . . . . .	6	1	5	4	8	6	6	6
ASHTABULA . . . . .	18	7	16	9	13	10	11	9
BUTLER . . . . .	20	5	17	11	10	8	8	7
CLARK . . . . .	5	-	5	4	7	5	5	5
CLERMONT . . . . .	20	15	22	12	25	23	24	16
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	16	9	17	8	5	5	5	5
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	109	33	77	85	181	177	180	146
FRANKLIN . . . . .	33	9	39	27	101	94	96	82
GREENE . . . . .	21	9	18	11	5	2	2	2
HAMILTON . . . . .	26	13	27	25	45	45	45	48
LAKE . . . . .	11	-	11	8	28	19	23	18
LICKING . . . . .	6	-	6	3	7	4	4	5
LORAIN . . . . .	12	2	13	8	45	34	39	28
LUCAS . . . . .	22	1	13	15	64	62	62	44
MAHONING . . . . .	38	11	33	22	56	51	53	37
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	56	15	35	38	60	56	57	50
PORTAGE . . . . .	38	33	34	24	19	14	16	12
RICHLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	11	8	8	7
STARK . . . . .	20	-	20	17	19	19	19	20
SUMMIT . . . . .	23	-	23	15	34	24	28	30
TRUMBULL . . . . .	38	14	29	27	11	10	10	11
OKLAHOMA								
COMANCHE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	4
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	56	54	54	45
TULSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	11	11	11	11
OREGON								
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	8	8	8	6	46	37	38	57
JACKSON . . . . .	12	9	9	6	49	48	48	37
LANE . . . . .	47	43	45	36	25	20	21	25
MARION . . . . .	19	8	13	12	49	46	47	39
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	145	143	144	134	19	19	19	21
WASHINGTON . . . . .	24	24	24	19	17	17	17	17
PENNSYLVANIA								
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	78	78	78	64	105	105	105	110
BEAVER . . . . .	27	21	24	13	35	31	31	21
BERKS . . . . .	34	33	33	20	23	23	23	17
BLAIR . . . . .	14	13	13	8	31	20	28	17
BUCKS . . . . .	72	71	71	49	40	31	36	30
BUTLER . . . . .	11	10	10	7	16	12	13	10
CAMBRIA . . . . .	25	20	21	15	9	7	7	7
CENTRE . . . . .	15	14	14	9	4	4	4	4
CHESTER . . . . .	42	38	39	25	19	11	16	16
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	15	13	13	8	20	9	10	10
DAUPHIN . . . . .	42	39	40	24	56	19	30	30
DELAWARE . . . . .	106	106	106	64	69	69	69	69
ERIE . . . . .	30	22	26	19	26	23	23	23
FAYETTE . . . . .	12	12	12	5	10	9	9	9
FRANKLIN . . . . .	15	3	8	5	11	6	9	9
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	24	23	23	13	10	10	10	10
LANCASTER . . . . .	43	38	40	24	18	14	14	14
LAWRENCE . . . . .	7	5	6	4	18	8	11	11

Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total judicial activities				Courts of general jurisdiction			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>2</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON . . . . .	51	40	43	32	29	21	23	18
LEHIGH . . . . .	156	143	149	98	91	86	87	98
LUZERNE . . . . .	135	135	135	104	79	79	79	64
LYCOMING . . . . .	57	50	51	43	34	28	29	27
MERCER . . . . .	64	55	57	46	38	32	33	30
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	392	316	358	321	224	171	201	199
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	111	96	104	84	75	69	73	61
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	83	80	82	54	64	61	63	42
WASHINGTON . . . . .	98	85	89	70	57	54	55	42
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	174	148	160	135	96	85	91	78
YORK . . . . .	152	106	135	87	83	63	71	50
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON . . . . .	51	32	41	31	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	107	74	85	72	14	13	13	11
GREENVILLE . . . . .	158	108	134	106	12	8	9	9
LEXINGTON . . . . .	68	44	58	41	8	-	8	4
RICHLAND . . . . .	143	127	133	127	15	12	12	13
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	87	60	66	60	5	5	5	5
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON . . . . .	115	113	114	105	60	59	59	53
KNOX . . . . .	160	132	142	117	100	90	93	86
SHELBY . . . . .	378	378	378	379	97	97	97	96
SULLIVAN . . . . .	39	34	35	33	24	19	20	17
TEXAS:								
BELL . . . . .	55	47	59	48	19	15	26	22
BEXAR . . . . .	330	324	325	274	122	122	122	109
BRAZORIA . . . . .	63	56	70	62	26	24	31	27
CAMERON . . . . .	102	94	103	69	32	26	33	26
DALLAS . . . . .	1 000	983	986	1 100	214	211	212	230
EL PASO . . . . .	150	108	139	123	56	56	56	45
GALVESTON . . . . .	124	106	115	96	38	34	39	30
HARRIS . . . . .	1 187	1 184	1 185	1 266	456	456	456	424
HIDALGO . . . . .	52	44	48	38	23	19	23	16
JEFFERSON . . . . .	107	93	120	118	54	45	69	66
LUBBOCK . . . . .	78	49	60	41	22	17	20	12
MCLENNAN . . . . .	63	51	57	41	18	14	15	12
NUECES . . . . .	142	129	133	115	47	38	41	37
SMITH . . . . .	49	40	44	33	15	15	15	12
TARRANT . . . . .	269	256	258	250	106	104	104	106
TAYLOR . . . . .	57	26	34	25	13	7	8	7
TRAVIS . . . . .	193	174	186	159	63	52	60	48
WICHITA . . . . .	42	39	40	29	19	19	19	15
UTAH:								
DAVIS . . . . .	14	10	10	10	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE . . . . .	130	128	128	122	32	32	32	31
UTAH . . . . .	24	18	21	21	11	10	10	10
WEBER . . . . .	15	15	15	14	9	9	9	8
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	7	6	6	5	7	6	6	5
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON . . . . .	57	46	50	56	9	3	3	4
FAIRFAX . . . . .	152	148	149	142	-	-	-	-
HENRICO . . . . .	60	60	60	54	22	22	22	17
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	44	43	43	43	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK . . . . .	62	57	59	66	16	15	15	19
KING . . . . .	433	392	401	475	143	137	138	190
KITSAP . . . . .	52	45	46	47	31	26	26	29
PIERCE . . . . .	140	117	121	140	76	70	70	82
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	129	121	122	115	23	22	22	28
SPOKANE . . . . .	126	118	119	125	67	64	64	70
YAKIMA . . . . .	80	77	77	76	29	27	27	31
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL . . . . .	19	19	19	15	10	10	10	9
KANAWHA . . . . .	51	45	47	32	24	22	22	17
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN . . . . .	45	30	34	33	37	22	26	26
DANE . . . . .	136	128	131	144	136	128	131	144
KENOSHA . . . . .	46	35	40	43	42	31	37	38
MARATHON . . . . .	25	24	24	23	25	24	24	23
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	459	436	440	593	445	422	426	576
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	33	25	28	28	29	22	25	23
RACINE . . . . .	76	69	71	68	76	69	71	68
ROCK . . . . .	46	41	42	36	43	38	40	32
WAUKESHA . . . . .	86	68	80	73	79	62	74	69
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	46	40	42	33	46	40	42	33

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>2</sup> Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

Data are based on field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to the totals shown.

Table 37. Detail of judicial employment and payrolls of 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

County <sup>1</sup>	Courts of limited jurisdiction				Miscellaneous			
	Total				Number of employees			October payroll
	Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent					
PENNSYLVANIA--CONTINUED								
LEBANON . . . . .	10	7	8	5	12	12	12	9
LEHIGH . . . . .	38	38	38	21	27	19	23	18
LUZERNE . . . . .	35	35	35	22	21	21	21	17
LYCOMING . . . . .	12	11	11	8	11	11	11	8
MERCER . . . . .	17	15	15	9	9	8	8	6
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	90	67	80	50	78	78	78	72
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	24	15	19	12	12	12	12	10
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	10	10	10	6	9	9	9	6
WASHINGTON . . . . .	27	18	21	14	14	13	13	14
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	45	40	42	28	33	23	25	29
YORK . . . . .	41	36	37	19	28	7	21	18
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
ANDERSON . . . . .	22	11	17	15	29	21	23	16
CHARLESTON . . . . .	45	45	45	39	48	16	27	22
GREENVILLE . . . . .	96	50	74	60	50	50	50	38
LEXINGTON . . . . .	41	29	35	24	19	15	17	13
RICHLAND . . . . .	101	91	95	93	27	24	25	21
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	62	37	42	41	20	18	18	14
TENNESSEE:								
HAMILTON . . . . .	35	35	35	35	20	19	19	17
KNOX . . . . .	56	38	43	48	4	4	4	3
SHELBY . . . . .	190	190	190	183	91	91	91	100
SULLIVAN . . . . .	5	5	5	8	10	10	10	8
TEXAS:								
BELL . . . . .	28	25	25	22	8	7	7	4
BEXAR . . . . .	44	44	44	48	164	158	160	116
BRAZORIA . . . . .	26	22	28	26	11	10	10	9
CAMERON . . . . .	24	24	24	14	46	44	44	29
DALLAS . . . . .	123	119	119	184	663	653	654	685
EL PASO . . . . .	41	38	38	41	53	14	54	37
GALVESTON . . . . .	39	37	38	42	47	35	37	24
HARRIS . . . . .	206	203	203	251	525	525	525	591
HIDALGO . . . . .	20	17	17	16	9	8	8	5
JEFFERSON . . . . .	39	34	37	40	14	14	14	12
LUBBOCK . . . . .	21	17	19	15	35	15	21	14
MCLENNAN . . . . .	25	21	23	17	20	16	18	12
NUECES . . . . .	65	62	62	53	30	29	29	26
SMITH . . . . .	25	17	20	16	9	8	8	5
TARRANT . . . . .	72	67	67	71	91	85	86	72
TAYLOR . . . . .	33	15	21	14	11	4	5	4
TRAVIS . . . . .	99	92	96	82	31	30	30	28
WICHITA . . . . .	19	17	18	12	4	3	3	2
UTAH:								
DAVIS . . . . .	6	4	4	4	8	6	6	6
SALT LAKE . . . . .	30	29	29	25	68	67	67	66
UTAH . . . . .	4	-	4	3	9	8	8	9
WEBER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	6	6	6	6
VERMONT:								
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ARLINGTON . . . . .	20	16	20	21	28	27	27	30
FAIRFAX . . . . .	65	61	62	64	87	87	87	78
HENRICO . . . . .	1	1	1	1	37	37	37	37
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	44	43	43	43
WASHINGTON:								
CLARK . . . . .	23	21	22	26	23	21	21	21
KING . . . . .	160	151	157	162	130	124	126	122
KITSAP . . . . .	17	15	15	14	4	4	4	4
PIERCE . . . . .	50	37	39	42	14	10	10	16
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	67	63	63	58	39	36	36	30
SPOKANE . . . . .	49	44	44	43	10	10	10	12
YAKIMA . . . . .	28	27	27	26	23	23	23	18
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CABELL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	9	9	9	7
KANAWHA . . . . .	12	12	12	7	15	11	12	9
WISCONSIN:								
BROWN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	7
DANE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	5
MARATHON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	14	14	14	17
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	6
RACINE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	4
WAUKESHA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	7	6	6	5
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL . . . . .	345 466	336 242	319 497	16 745	4 785	9 639	2 321	9 224	2 802	6 422
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	376	376	375	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN . . . . .	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	100	100	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	340	254	253	1	1	-	-	86	-	86
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	147	147	146	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDALE . . . . .	64	64	62	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MESA . . . . .	113	113	111	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX . . . . .	2 871	2 871	2 871	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	114	114	109	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE . . . . .	131	131	130	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON . . . . .	480	480	480	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH . . . . .	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	173	173	108	65	-	-	65	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	156	106	106	-	-	-	-	50	-	50
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	92	79	77	2	2	-	-	13	-	13
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	99	99	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	34	34	33	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

ee footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State govern- ments	To local govern- ments
				Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	12 556	12 556	12 471	85	85	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ARVADA . . . . .	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	192	192	185	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	157	157	156	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	306	306	306	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER . . . . .	4 074	4 074	4 025	49	49	-	-	-	-	-
FT. COLLINS . . . . .	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	244	244	243	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY . . . . .	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	9	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD . . . . .	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD . . . . .	8	8	7	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY . . . . .	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON . . . . .	410	410	408	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON . . . . .	22 224	22 224	19 132	3 092	386	2 706	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	653	610	610	-	-	-	-	43	43	-
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	153	153	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	5 372	5 270	5 098	172	14	158	-	102	102	-
MIAMI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	115	115	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO . . . . .	258	258	258	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY. . . . .	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA. . . . .	1 214	1 214	1 193	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA. . . . .	53	53	51	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS. . . . .	1 326	1 326	1 309	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
MACON. . . . .	142	142	142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH. . . . .	147	147	146	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY. . . . .	214	214	134	80	2	15	63	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS. . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA. . . . .	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO. . . . .	330	330	330	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN. . . . .	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND. . . . .	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON. . . . .	67	67	65	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY. . . . .	376	376	371	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND. . . . .	252	252	248	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS. . . . .	6 430	6 231	6 104	127	127	-	-	199	199	-
MUNCIE. . . . .	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE. . . . .	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY. . . . .	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	16	-
WATERLOO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY. . . . .	180	180	176	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK. . . . .	115	115	114	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA. . . . .	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA. . . . .	348	348	329	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON. . . . .	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON. . . . .	1 007	1 007	1 002	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE. . . . .	732	732	714	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO. . . . .	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State govern- ments	To local govern- ments
				Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	3 958	3 958	3 924	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	224	224	183	41	8	33	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	229	229	229	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	5 845	5 845	5 649	196	92	104	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	394	394	374	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE . . . . .	5 990	5 990	5 988	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON . . . . .	18 621	18 621	18 488	133	114	19	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	415	415	407	8	4	4	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN . . . . .	250	250	246	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	158	158	156	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT . . . . .	9 836	9 340	9 328	12	12	-	-	496	-	496
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	152	-	-	-	-	-	-	152	-	152
FLINT . . . . .	643	643	643	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	836	836	836	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	361	361	360	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LANSING . . . . .	621	621	598	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA . . . . .	280	280	278	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC . . . . .	1 470	1 470	490	980	980	-	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	223	223	222	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	202	202	200	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	648	648	461	187	3	184	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	142	142	141	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	286	286	275	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	182	182	182	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	730	730	679	51	2	49	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND . . . . .	396	396	390	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	500	500	167	333	4	329	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL . . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI . . . . .	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	326	326	322	4	4	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA . . . . .	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLOISSANT . . . . .	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	182	182	109	73	1	-	72	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	1 451	1 451	1 451	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	6 083	6 083	5 836	247	126	121	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS . . . . .	93	93	92	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	39	39	36	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN . . . . .	775	775	756	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
OMAHA . . . . .	1 131	1 131	1 058	73	73	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	651	651	640	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
RENO . . . . .	350	350	339	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER . . . . .	180	180	180	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA . . . . .	212	212	210	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE . . . . .	135	135	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	322	322	322	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON . . . . .	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	432	432	432	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH . . . . .	153	153	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON . . . . .	181	181	181	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	620	620	620	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK . . . . .	894	894	828	66	4	62	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	97	97	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON . . . . .	223	223	223	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON . . . . .	272	272	272	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY . . . . .	133	133	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND . . . . .	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	842	842	810	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	287	287	285	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO . . . . .	1 274	1 274	1 260	14	10	4	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	276	276	276	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	316	316	316	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	100 574	100 574	97 020	3 554	1 387	133	2 034	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	235	235	234	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	1 041	1 041	1 038	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ROME . . . . .	89	89	88	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE . . . . .	723	723	720	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
TROY . . . . .	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA . . . . .	295	295	295	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	269	269	269	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS . . . . .	795	795	795	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO . . . . .	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON . . . . .	1 106	1 090	1 082	8	8	-	-	16	-	16
CANTON . . . . .	582	582	559	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI . . . . .	4 002	3 678	3 678	-	-	-	-	324	-	324
CLEVELAND . . . . .	8 315	3 863	3 835	28	28	-	-	4 452	-	4 452
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	121	121	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS . . . . .	3 153	3 153	3 102	51	5	46	-	-	-	-
DAYTON . . . . .	1 247	1 247	1 231	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA . . . . .	378	350	283	67	67	-	-	28	-	28
EUCLID . . . . .	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	154	154	152	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING . . . . .	281	279	256	23	23	-	-	2	-	2
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	166	166	166	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA . . . . .	315	269	269	-	-	-	-	46	-	46
LORAIN . . . . .	248	205	205	-	-	-	-	43	-	43
MANSFIELD . . . . .	395	395	391	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA . . . . .	392	388	386	2	2	-	-	4	-	4
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	522	522	432	90	-	3	87	-	-	-
TOLEDO . . . . .	5 514	5 514	1 532	3 982	41	3 941	-	-	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	456	433	433	-	-	-	-	23	-	23
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	599	599	586	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON . . . . .	137	137	131	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST . . . . .	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN . . . . .	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	445	445	445	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	337	337	337	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE . . . . .	229	229	229	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND . . . . .	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM . . . . .	95	91	87	4	4	-	-	4	-	4
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	41 052	41 052	40 795	257	217	40	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	374	374	372	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
READING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON . . . . .	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	206	206	203	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON . . . . .	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA . . . . .	264	264	264	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	227	227	226	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS . . . . .	1 027	995	834	161	27	134	-	32	-	32
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	3 844	3 844	3 630	214	84	130	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 38. Judicial expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE . . . . .	78	78	77	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO . . . . .	180	180	176	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON . . . . .	159	159	155	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN . . . . .	564	564	559	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT . . . . .	137	137	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	322	322	318	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS . . . . .	1 556	1 556	1 556	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	421	421	414	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH . . . . .	533	533	533	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND . . . . .	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	80	80	79	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON . . . . .	2 789	2 789	1 603	1 186	123	1 063	-	-	-	-
IRVING . . . . .	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO . . . . .	85	71	71	-	-	-	-	14	14	-
LUBBOCK . . . . .	233	233	226	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE . . . . .	49	49	48	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND . . . . .	105	105	104	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA . . . . .	126	126	122	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	148	148	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON . . . . .	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	92	92	85	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	851	851	851	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER . . . . .	74	74	73	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WACO . . . . .	76	76	72	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	151	151	145	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN . . . . .	286	286	282	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO . . . . .	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	775	775	748	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	1 193	905	754	151	9	142	-	288	288	-
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	573	405	347	58	21	37	-	168	168	-
HAMPTON . . . . .	728	485	446	39	4	35	-	243	243	-
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	170	57	55	2	2	-	-	113	113	-
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	1 176	997	975	22	10	12	-	179	179	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	1 801	1 181	1 150	31	18	13	-	620	620	-
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	793	523	521	2	2	-	-	270	270	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	2 620	2 620	2 586	34	34	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE . . . . .	1 069	724	669	53	30	25	-	345	345	-
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	898	706	587	119	30	89	-	192	192	-
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE . . . . .	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE . . . . .	2 402	2 402	2 373	29	21	8	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE . . . . .	299	213	210	3	3	-	-	86	-	-
TACOMA . . . . .	510	510	509	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON . . . . .	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE . . . . .	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	995	432	432	-	-	-	-	563	-	5
OSHKOSH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA . . . . .	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS . . . . .	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

## **Section V. LEGAL SERVICES AND PROSECUTION**

FIGURE 22.

Percent Distribution of Legal Services Direct Expenditure  
by State and Type of Government, Fiscal Year 1977




Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	1 039 740	1 039 740	1 023 901	15 839	21 068	2 603	18 465
STATES . . . . .	314 472	296 758	291 574	5 184	17 714	(X)	17 714
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	745 585	742 982	732 327	10 655	3 354	2 603	751
COUNTIES . . . . .	459 134	456 182	448 855	7 327	2 952	2 590	362
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	287 201	286 799	283 471	3 328	402	13	389
ALABAMA . . . . .	8 076	8 076	7 968	108	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	3 763	3 763	3 730	33	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 313	4 313	4 238	75	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 893	2 893	2 823	71	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 420	1 420	1 416	4	-	-	-
ALASKA . . . . .	7 975	7 975	7 909	67	3	3	-
STATE . . . . .	5 817	5 817	5 793	24	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 162	2 158	2 116	43	3	3	-
BOROUGHs . . . . .	379	379	377	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 782	1 779	1 739	40	3	3	-
ARIZONA . . . . .	15 224	15 224	14 983	241	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	3 114	3 114	3 029	85	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 110	12 110	11 954	156	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 998	7 998	7 850	148	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 112	4 112	4 104	8	-	-	-
ARKANSAS . . . . .	3 601	3 601	3 592	9	35	12	23
STATE . . . . .	996	996	996	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 617	2 605	2 596	9	35	12	23
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 662	1 631	1 622	9	31	11	20
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	978	974	974	-	4	1	3
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	205 211	205 211	200 255	4 956	143	-	143
STATE . . . . .	25 999	25 999	24 221	1 778	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	179 212	179 212	176 034	3 178	143	-	143
COUNTIES . . . . .	140 234	140 234	137 305	2 929	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	39 120	38 978	38 729	249	143	-	143
COLORADO . . . . .	18 524	18 524	18 278	246	177	-	177
STATE . . . . .	4 249	4 249	4 131	118	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 275	14 275	14 147	128	177	-	177
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 557	8 427	8 344	83	130	-	130
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 895	5 848	5 802	46	47	-	47
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	9 995	9 995	9 943	51	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	5 940	5 940	5 896	44	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 055	4 055	4 047	7	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 055	4 055	4 047	7	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	2 531	2 531	2 517	14	1	-	1
STATE . . . . .	1 766	1 766	1 754	12	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	765	765	763	2	1	-	1
COUNTIES . . . . .	345	345	345	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	421	420	418	2	1	-	1
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	3 989	3 989	3 964	25	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 989	3 989	3 964	25	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 989	3 989	3 964	25	-	-	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	41 199	41 199	40 449	750	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	28 200	28 200	27 623	577	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 999	12 999	12 826	173	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 883	5 883	5 836	47	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 116	7 116	6 990	126	-	-	-
GEORGIA . . . . .	11 970	11 970	11 888	82	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	4 255	4 255	4 237	18	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 715	7 715	7 651	64	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 005	6 005	5 949	56	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 709	1 709	1 701	8	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII . . . . .	5 956	5 956	5 930	27	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	2 124	2 124	2 118	6	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 832	3 832	3 812	21	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 243	1 243	1 242	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 589	2 589	2 570	19	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	4 228	4 228	4 122	105	32	-	32
STATE . . . . .	1 572	1 572	1 513	59	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 656	2 656	2 609	46	32	-	32
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 056	2 056	2 011	46	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	632	600	599	2	32	-	32
ILLINOIS . . . . .	49 004	49 004	48 778	226	2 197	5	2 192
STATE . . . . .	14 528	12 344	12 301	43	2 184	(X)	2 184
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	36 665	36 660	36 477	183	13	5	8
COUNTIES . . . . .	25 556	25 551	25 380	171	5	5	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 118	11 110	11 097	13	8	-	8
INDIANA . . . . .	16 244	16 244	16 060	183	14	8	6
STATE . . . . .	6 054	6 054	5 995	59	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 198	10 190	10 065	124	14	8	6
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 018	5 003	4 919	84	14	8	6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 186	5 186	5 146	40	-	-	-
IOWA . . . . .	9 217	9 217	9 181	37	8	6	2
STATE . . . . .	2 468	2 468	2 465	3	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 755	6 749	6 716	34	8	6	2
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 853	4 851	4 827	24	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 904	1 898	1 889	9	6	6	-
KANSAS . . . . .	11 301	11 301	10 516	786	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	3 988	3 988	3 823	165	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 313	7 313	6 693	621	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 476	5 476	4 868	608	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 837	1 837	1 824	13	-	-	-
KENTUCKY . . . . .	12 412	12 412	12 210	202	5 366	-	5 366
STATE . . . . .	10 698	5 332	5 191	141	5 366	(X)	5 366
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 080	7 080	7 019	61	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 454	4 454	4 401	52	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 627	2 627	2 618	9	-	-	-
LOUISIANA . . . . .	17 917	17 917	17 750	167	2	2	-
STATE . . . . .	8 348	8 348	8 327	21	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 571	9 569	9 423	146	2	2	-
PARISHES . . . . .	4 756	4 754	4 652	102	2	2	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 816	4 816	4 772	44	-	-	-
MAINE . . . . .	2 767	2 767	2 737	30	1	1	-
STATE . . . . .	1 802	1 802	1 790	12	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	966	965	947	18	1	1	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	415	415	397	18	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	551	550	550	-	1	1	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	16 175	16 175	16 018	157	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	2 352	2 352	2 289	63	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 823	13 823	13 729	94	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 321	8 321	8 260	61	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 503	5 503	5 469	34	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	20 034	20 034	19 929	105	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	6 969	6 969	6 925	44	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 065	13 065	13 004	61	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 651	3 651	3 633	18	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 415	9 415	9 371	44	-	-	-
MICHIGAN . . . . .	44 222	44 222	43 873	349	7	-	7
STATE . . . . .	9 468	9 468	9 417	51	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	34 754	34 754	34 456	298	7	-	7
COUNTIES . . . . .	22 895	22 894	22 609	285	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 865	11 860	11 847	12	6	-	6

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA . . . . .	18 412	18 412	18 088	324	347	-	346
STATE . . . . .	4 190	3 844	3 698	146	346	(X)	346
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 568	14 568	14 390	178	1	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 182	9 181	9 019	162	1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 387	5 387	5 371	15	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	4 655	4 655	4 652	3	1	-	1
STATE . . . . .	2 597	2 597	2 596	1	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 058	2 058	2 056	2	1	-	1
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 166	1 165	1 165	-	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	893	893	891	2	-	-	-
MISSOURI . . . . .	14 570	14 570	14 493	78	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	2 535	2 535	2 513	22	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 035	12 035	11 980	56	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 078	6 078	6 056	22	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 957	5 957	5 924	34	-	-	-
MONTANA . . . . .	3 988	3 988	3 948	40	7	-	7
STATE . . . . .	1 098	1 098	1 097	1	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 890	2 890	2 851	39	7	-	7
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 405	2 397	2 358	39	7	-	7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	493	493	493	1	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	5 951	5 951	5 909	41	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	682	682	681	1	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 269	5 269	5 228	40	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 719	3 719	3 697	22	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 550	1 550	1 532	19	-	-	-
NEVADA . . . . .	7 670	7 670	7 518	152	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	1 558	1 558	1 545	13	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 112	6 112	5 973	139	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 801	4 801	4 685	116	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 311	1 311	1 288	23	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	2 005	2 005	1 991	14	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	994	994	982	12	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 011	1 011	1 009	2	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	436	436	435	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	575	575	574	1	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	52 792	52 792	52 512	280	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	9 260	9 260	9 260	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	43 532	43 532	43 252	280	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	33 011	33 011	32 733	278	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 521	10 521	10 519	2	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	6 146	6 146	5 793	353	7	5	2
STATE . . . . .	4 779	4 777	4 438	339	2	(X)	2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 374	1 369	1 355	14	5	5	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	260	255	255	-	5	5	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 114	1 114	1 100	14	-	-	-
NEW YORK . . . . .	129 464	129 464	126 957	2 507	311	-	311
STATE . . . . .	33 848	33 551	33 361	190	297	(X)	297
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	95 914	95 913	93 596	2 317	14	-	14
COUNTIES . . . . .	31 086	31 072	30 837	235	14	-	14
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	64 752	64 751	62 759	1 992	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	11 402	11 402	11 322	80	4	-	4
STATE . . . . .	8 016	8 016	7 953	63	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 386	3 386	3 369	17	4	-	4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 448	1 447	1 443	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 943	1 940	1 926	14	3	-	3
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	2 920	2 920	2 901	19	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	1 234	1 234	1 223	11	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 686	1 686	1 678	8	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 340	1 340	1 334	6	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	346	346	344	2	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO . . . . .	38 858	38 858	38 521	337	142	1	141
STATE . . . . .	12 208	12 208	11 994	214	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26 652	26 650	26 527	123	142	1	141
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 342	14 208	14 120	88	134	-	133
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12 451	12 442	12 407	35	9	1	8
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	9 719	9 719	9 668	51	2 180	2 165	15
STATE . . . . .	6 161	6 161	6 159	2	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 722	3 558	3 509	49	2 180	2 165	15
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 528	1 348	1 322	26	2 180	2 165	15
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 209	2 209	2 187	23	-	-	-
OREGON . . . . .	19 170	19 170	19 004	166	1	-	1
STATE . . . . .	8 922	8 922	8 922	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 248	10 248	10 082	166	1	-	1
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 014	8 013	7 858	155	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 235	2 235	2 224	11	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	36 907	36 907	36 464	444	5 241	-	5 241
STATE . . . . .	11 195	5 954	5 924	30	5 241	(X)	5 241
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	30 953	30 953	30 540	414	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 178	15 178	14 795	383	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15 775	15 775	15 745	31	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	3 240	3 240	3 221	19	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	2 233	2 233	2 218	15	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 007	1 007	1 003	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 006	1 006	1 003	3	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	6 233	6 233	6 210	24	155	-	155
STATE . . . . .	3 531	3 376	3 359	17	155	(X)	155
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 857	2 857	2 851	7	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 107	2 107	2 104	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	750	750	746	3	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	3 559	3 559	3 426	133	475	384	91
STATE . . . . .	1 916	1 839	1 711	128	77	(X)	77
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 104	1 720	1 715	5	398	384	14
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 793	1 395	1 391	4	398	384	14
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	325	325	324	2	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	10 859	10 859	10 590	268	3	-	3
STATE . . . . .	6 777	6 777	6 605	172	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 082	4 082	3 985	96	3	-	3
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 559	1 556	1 525	31	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 526	2 526	2 461	65	-	-	-
TEXAS . . . . .	45 802	45 802	44 973	830	37	9	27
STATE . . . . .	10 112	10 112	9 933	179	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	35 700	35 690	35 040	651	37	9	27
COUNTIES . . . . .	26 423	26 408	25 839	569	15	9	5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 305	9 283	9 201	82	22	-	22
UTAH . . . . .	4 858	4 858	4 799	59	287	-	287
STATE . . . . .	1 476	1 189	1 169	20	287	(X)	287
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 669	3 669	3 630	39	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 768	2 768	2 734	34	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	901	901	896	5	-	-	-
VERMONT . . . . .	1 903	1 903	1 888	15	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	1 439	1 439	1 425	14	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	464	464	463	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	24	24	24	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	440	440	439	1	-	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	13 469	13 469	13 340	129	2 628	-	2 628
STATE . . . . .	5 741	3 145	3 139	6	2 596	(X)	2 596
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 324	10 324	10 201	123	32	-	32
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 857	4 857	4 784	73	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 499	5 468	5 417	50	32	-	32

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 39. Legal services and prosecution expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON . . . . .	18 327	18 327	18 115	212	574	-	574
STATE . . . . .	6 257	5 769	5 648	121	488	(X)	488
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 558	12 558	12 467	91	86	-	86
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 242	8 240	8 173	68	1	-	1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 403	4 318	4 295	23	85	-	85
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	5 171	5 171	5 030	141	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	2 142	2 142	2 110	32	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 029	3 029	2 920	109	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 481	2 481	2 375	105	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	548	548	545	3	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	21 548	21 548	21 418	130	683	-	683
STATE . . . . .	8 130	7 455	7 432	23	675	(X)	675
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 093	14 093	13 986	107	8	-	8
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 216	9 209	9 135	74	7	-	7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 884	4 884	4 850	33	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	2 369	2 369	2 302	67	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	971	971	915	56	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 398	1 398	1 387	11	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 021	1 021	1 011	10	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	377	377	376	1	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>For each State, and United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 40. Total legal services and prosecution expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	Total
TOTAL . . . . .	314 472	296 758	291 574	5 182	3 390	883	909	17 714
ALABAMA . . . . .	3 763	3 763	3 730	33	33	-	-	-
ALASKA . . . . .	5 817	5 817	5 793	24	24	-	-	-
ARIZONA . . . . .	3 114	3 114	3 029	85	85	-	-	-
ARKANSAS . . . . .	996	996	996	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	25 999	25 999	24 221	1 778	279	596	903	-
COLORADO . . . . .	4 249	4 249	4 131	118	118	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	5 940	5 940	5 896	44	44	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	1 765	1 766	1 754	12	12	-	-	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	28 200	28 200	27 623	577	577	-	-	-
GEORGIA . . . . .	4 255	4 255	4 237	18	18	-	-	-
HAWAII . . . . .	2 124	2 124	2 118	6	6	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	1 572	1 572	1 513	59	14	45	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	14 528	12 344	12 301	43	43	-	-	2 184
INDIANA . . . . .	6 054	6 054	5 995	59	35	-	-	-
IOWA . . . . .	2 468	2 468	2 465	3	3	-	-	-
KANSAS . . . . .	3 988	3 988	3 823	165	165	-	-	-
KENTUCKY . . . . .	10 698	5 332	5 191	141	141	-	-	5 366
LOUISIANA . . . . .	8 348	8 348	8 327	21	21	-	-	-
MAINE . . . . .	1 802	1 802	1 790	12	12	-	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	2 352	2 352	2 289	63	63	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	6 969	6 969	6 925	44	44	-	-	-
MICHIGAN . . . . .	9 468	9 468	9 417	51	51	-	-	-
MINNESOTA . . . . .	4 190	3 844	3 698	146	146	-	-	346
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	2 597	2 597	2 596	1	1	-	-	-
MISSOURI . . . . .	2 535	2 535	2 513	22	22	-	-	-
MONTANA . . . . .	1 098	1 098	1 097	1	1	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	682	682	681	1	1	-	-	-
NEVADA . . . . .	1 558	1 558	1 545	13	13	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	994	994	982	12	12	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	9 260	9 260	9 260	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	4 779	4 777	4 438	339	94	239	6	2
NEW YORK . . . . .	33 848	33 551	33 361	190	190	-	-	297
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	8 016	8 016	7 953	63	63	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	1 234	1 234	1 223	11	11	-	-	-
OHIO . . . . .	12 208	12 208	11 994	214	214	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	6 161	6 161	6 159	2	24	-	-	-
OREGON . . . . .	8 922	8 922	8 922	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	11 195	5 954	5 924	30	30	-	-	5 241
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	2 233	2 233	2 218	15	15	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	3 531	3 376	3 359	17	17	-	-	159
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	1 916	1 839	1 711	128	128	-	-	77
TENNESSEE . . . . .	6 777	6 777	6 605	172	170	2	-	-
TEXAS . . . . .	10 112	10 112	9 933	179	178	1	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	1 476	1 189	1 169	20	20	-	-	287
VERMONT . . . . .	1 439	1 439	1 425	14	14	-	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	5 741	3 145	3 139	6	6	-	-	2 596
*WASHINGTON . . . . .	6 257	5 769	5 648	121	121	-	-	488
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	2 142	2 142	2 110	32	32	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	8 130	7 455	7 432	23	23	-	-	675
WYOMING . . . . .	971	971	915	56	56	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 4.1. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL . . . . .	360 629	359 092	354 240	4 852	2 862	1 973	17	1 537	1 420	117
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN . . . . .	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	708	708	691	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	381	381	376	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	369	369	348	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	247	247	223	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA . . . . .	3 301	3 301	3 188	113	63	50	-	-	-	-
PIMA . . . . .	3 052	3 052	3 033	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI . . . . .	491	483	475	8	8	-	-	8	8	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA . . . . .	8 239	8 239	8 182	57	57	-	-	-	-	-
BUTTE . . . . .	695	695	678	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	4 875	4 875	4 859	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	4 875	4 875	3 591	1 284	34	1 250	-	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	911	911	906	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
KERN . . . . .	2 735	2 735	2 628	107	33	74	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	46 404	46 404	46 386	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
MARIN . . . . .	1 124	1 124	1 120	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED . . . . .	547	547	544	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY . . . . .	1 960	1 960	1 950	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	9 182	9 182	9 114	68	61	7	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	4 181	4 181	4 173	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	6 769	6 769	6 747	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	4 315	4 315	4 304	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	8 427	8 427	8 396	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	2 391	2 391	2 379	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	1 076	1 076	1 073	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	2 709	2 709	2 699	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	2 322	2 322	2 285	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	6 517	6 517	6 497	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	1 380	1 380	1 369	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO . . . . .	1 076	1 076	1 061	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
SONOMA . . . . .	1 389	1 389	1 369	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS . . . . .	1 843	1 843	1 837	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
TULARE . . . . .	1 818	1 818	1 794	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
VENTURA . . . . .	3 572	3 572	3 568	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
YOLO . . . . .	843	843	821	22	18	4	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ADAMS . . . . .	948	948	917	31	31	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	747	747	747	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	546	546	546	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	1 267	1 267	1 247	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 186	1 186	1 171	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER . . . . .	326	326	326	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	711	711	711	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WELD . . . . .	430	430	430	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	293	293	293	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA . . . . .	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BREVARD . . . . .	194	194	194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD . . . . .	363	363	350	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
DADE . . . . .	1 479	1 479	1 477	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	139	139	134	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	392	392	392	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEE . . . . .	147	147	146	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LEON . . . . .	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 41. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 —Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
FLORIDA---CONTINUED										
MANATEE . . . . .	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	218	218	218	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH . . . . .	455	455	452	3	1	2	-	-	-	-
PASCO . . . . .	191	191	187	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS . . . . .	413	413	403	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
POLK . . . . .	131	131	130	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA . . . . .	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE . . . . .	200	200	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA . . . . .	141	141	140	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB . . . . .	377	377	368	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM . . . . .	349	349	347	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON . . . . .	348	348	343	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
COBB . . . . .	38	38	34	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB . . . . .	783	783	781	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON . . . . .	1 688	1 688	1 683	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	274	274	270	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
ADA . . . . .	542	542	538	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	399	399	390	9	8	1	-	-	-	-
COOK . . . . .	13 062	13 062	12 977	85	85	-	-	-	-	-
DU PAGE . . . . .	1 248	1 248	1 211	37	37	-	-	-	-	-
KANE . . . . .	369	369	368	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	879	879	877	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE . . . . .	208	208	204	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY . . . . .	271	271	271	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN . . . . .	195	195	193	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MACON . . . . .	241	241	241	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	386	386	382	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	382	382	382	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	316	316	316	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	433	433	429	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON . . . . .	374	374	374	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL . . . . .	243	243	241	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WILL . . . . .	435	435	434	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	556	556	554	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN . . . . .	368	368	366	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	108	108	106	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART . . . . .	120	120	114	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	990	990	983	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE . . . . .	153	153	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	159	159	144	15	5	10	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	333	333	333	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE . . . . .	226	226	226	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	320	320	318	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
VIGO . . . . .	148	148	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK . . . . .	196	196	195	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LINN . . . . .	283	283	283	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POLK . . . . .	637	637	623	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT . . . . .	226	226	225	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY . . . . .	292	292	292	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON . . . . .	827	827	825	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK . . . . .	1 007	1 007	1 004	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE . . . . .	473	473	473	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	406	406	316	90	-	90	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 590	1 590	1 557	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
KENTON . . . . .	82	82	77	5	5	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 41. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments,  
by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO . . . . .	228	228	219	9	7	2	-	-	-	-
CALCASIEU . . . . .	520	518	476	42	42	-	-	2	2	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	994	994	986	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	67	67	61	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA . . . . .	414	414	404	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES . . . . .	250	250	247	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	69	69	68	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	94	94	88	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	43	43	41	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	885	885	875	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE . . . . .	1 514	1 514	1 510	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD . . . . .	468	468	465	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	1 687	1 687	1 680	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	2 034	2 034	2 013	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	116	116	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE . . . . .	204	204	203	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	224	224	224	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX . . . . .	299	299	296	3	-	3	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN . . . . .	573	573	573	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	739	739	739	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	429	429	427	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	707	707	702	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	282	282	275	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY . . . . .	276	276	274	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN . . . . .	636	635	633	2	2	-	-	1	-	1
CALHOUN . . . . .	350	350	343	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
GENESEE . . . . .	2 133	2 133	2 131	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
INGHAM . . . . .	845	845	837	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	397	397	397	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	821	821	818	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
KENT . . . . .	745	745	681	64	64	-	-	-	-	-
MACOMB . . . . .	1 451	1 451	1 450	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	302	302	302	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKEGON . . . . .	326	326	323	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	2 123	2 123	2 058	65	65	-	-	-	-	-
OTTAWA . . . . .	131	131	130	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	455	455	454	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	349	349	347	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW . . . . .	620	620	620	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAYNE . . . . .	6 279	6 279	6 212	67	67	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA . . . . .	520	520	508	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA . . . . .	319	319	310	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN . . . . .	3 162	3 162	3 072	90	90	-	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY . . . . .	1 114	1 114	1 108	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	645	645	628	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON . . . . .	203	203	203	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS . . . . .	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	79	79	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY . . . . .	181	181	181	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE . . . . .	184	184	184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	1 476	1 476	1 469	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	143	143	142	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	139	139	135	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	1 262	1 262	1 262	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 41. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS . . . . .	683	683	683	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	632	632	623	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
CLARK . . . . .	2 684	2 684	2 603	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE . . . . .	1 062	1 062	1 053	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	134	134	133	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC . . . . .	1 195	1 195	1 195	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN . . . . .	2 905	2 905	2 889	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON . . . . .	1 062	1 062	1 062	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	2 994	2 994	2 994	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	339	339	339	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX . . . . .	4 930	4 930	4 924	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	608	608	608	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON . . . . .	3 165	3 165	3 165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	1 482	1 482	1 482	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	2 766	2 766	2 707	59	59	-	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH . . . . .	1 239	1 239	1 239	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS . . . . .	1 258	1 258	1 234	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
OCEAN . . . . .	1 204	1 204	1 141	63	63	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	2 511	2 511	2 511	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET . . . . .	940	940	916	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
UNION . . . . .	2 938	2 938	2 866	72	72	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO . . . . .	117	117	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	739	739	736	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
BROOME . . . . .	386	386	382	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	311	311	311	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG . . . . .	254	254	254	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS . . . . .	520	520	520	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	3 129	3 129	3 111	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	1 972	1 972	1 966	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU . . . . .	6 734	6 734	6 665	69	69	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA . . . . .	403	403	401	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA . . . . .	458	458	457	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA . . . . .	1 422	1 422	1 419	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	593	593	593	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO . . . . .	222	222	221	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER . . . . .	293	293	291	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND . . . . .	1 058	1 058	1 017	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	151	151	151	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA . . . . .	209	209	209	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	276	276	276	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STEBEN . . . . .	198	198	197	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK . . . . .	4 490	4 490	4 468	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
ULSTER . . . . .	346	346	346	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	4 239	4 239	4 197	42	42	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	106	106	105	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH . . . . .	99	99	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GASTON . . . . .	48	48	47	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD . . . . .	270	270	268	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG . . . . .	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONslow . . . . .	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE . . . . .	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 41. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
OHIO:										
ALLEN . . . . .	226	226	221	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
ASHTABULA . . . . .	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	220	220	220	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK . . . . .	164	164	164	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT . . . . .	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	180	180	179	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	1 790	1 790	1 763	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	1 633	1 633	1 633	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE . . . . .	186	186	184	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	934	819	815	4	4	-	-	115	-	115
LAKE . . . . .	321	321	319	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING . . . . .	196	196	195	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	352	352	349	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LUCAS . . . . .	478	478	475	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MAHONING . . . . .	333	333	333	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	980	980	980	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE . . . . .	195	195	195	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	344	344	344	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK . . . . .	401	401	386	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT . . . . .	701	701	701	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL . . . . .	290	290	290	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE . . . . .	264	119	119	-	-	-	-	145	145	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	620	44	37	7	7	-	-	576	576	-
TULSA . . . . .	725	45	45	-	-	-	-	680	680	-
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	587	587	518	69	22	47	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	314	314	312	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LANE . . . . .	1 199	1 199	1 185	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
MARION . . . . .	564	564	545	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	2 799	2 799	2 781	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	439	439	433	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	2 704	2 704	2 692	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
BEAVER . . . . .	314	314	314	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKS . . . . .	351	351	350	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BLAIR . . . . .	108	108	106	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BUCKS . . . . .	1 016	1 016	1 004	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	125	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIA . . . . .	230	230	230	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRE . . . . .	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	979	979	978	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	137	137	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN . . . . .	499	499	492	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	1 722	1 722	1 711	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	471	471	469	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTE . . . . .	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	259	259	259	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	503	503	253	250	-	250	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	123	123	121	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LEBANON . . . . .	78	78	77	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH . . . . .	437	437	426	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
LUZERNE . . . . .	377	377	377	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING . . . . .	156	156	155	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	155	155	154	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	1 222	1 222	1 184	38	38	-	-	-	-	-
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	262	262	262	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	222	222	222	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	213	213	213	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	497	497	483	14	14	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	222	222	220	2	2	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 41. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 -Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
ANDERSON . . . . .	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	214	214	214	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	321	321	321	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	670	670	669	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	127	127	125	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
HAMILTON . . . . .	198	198	195	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX . . . . .	145	145	144	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY . . . . .	656	656	635	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
SULLIVAN . . . . .	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEXAS:										
BELL . . . . .	447	447	439	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
BEXAR . . . . .	1 728	1 728	1 710	18	18	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA . . . . .	346	346	217	129	-	129	-	-	-	-
CAMERON . . . . .	255	255	252	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS . . . . .	3 375	3 375	3 269	106	106	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	547	547	537	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	465	465	465	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS . . . . .	5 700	5 700	5 664	36	36	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO . . . . .	268	268	259	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	646	646	644	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK . . . . .	360	360	328	32	15	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN . . . . .	295	295	294	1	1	-	17	-	-	-
NUECES . . . . .	490	490	488	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SMITH . . . . .	149	149	148	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT . . . . .	2 288	2 288	2 238	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	106	106	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS . . . . .	798	789	740	49	49	-	-	9	9	-
WICHITA . . . . .	185	185	185	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
DAVIS . . . . .	240	240	231	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE . . . . .	1 557	1 557	1 545	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	182	182	175	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER . . . . .	189	189	189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT:										
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ARLINGTON . . . . .	389	389	387	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX . . . . .	932	932	931	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HENRICO . . . . .	457	457	402	55	2	53	-	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	247	247	243	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:										
CLARK . . . . .	368	367	365	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
KING . . . . .	2 596	2 596	2 580	16	16	-	-	1	-	1
KITSAP . . . . .	307	307	299	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE . . . . .	860	860	860	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	514	514	514	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE . . . . .	559	559	558	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA . . . . .	358	358	355	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CABELL . . . . .	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA . . . . .	284	284	284	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:										
BROWN . . . . .	168	168	167	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DANE . . . . .	1 157	1 157	1 149	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	249	249	246	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MARATHON . . . . .	130	130	128	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	2 549	2 549	2 536	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	196	196	194	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	371	371	370	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK . . . . .	275	275	272	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA . . . . .	335	335	323	12	11	1	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	178	178	177	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>1</sup> - Represents zero or rounds to zero.

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

**Table 42. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977**

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL . . . . .	199 664	199 556	196 714	2 842	2 833	9	-	108	11	97
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	401	401	401	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN . . . . .	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	130	130	128	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	55	55	53	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	1 073	1 070	1 036	34	34	-	-	3	3	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDALE . . . . .	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESA . . . . .	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX . . . . .	2 045	2 045	2 044	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	185	185	180	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE . . . . .	284	284	284	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON . . . . .	798	798	798	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH . . . . .	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	148	148	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	50	49	49	-	-	-	-	1	1	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA . . . . .	92	92	89	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	107	107	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	401	401	397	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	257	257	257	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	41	39	39	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
BERKELEY . . . . .	236	236	235	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	41	40	39	1	1	-	-	1	-	1
BURBANK . . . . .	342	342	327	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	314	309	309	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	97	97	94	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	187	187	187	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD . . . . .	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	124	124	122	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY . . . . .	51	50	50	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
EL CAJON . . . . .	88	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	68	66	66	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
FREMONT . . . . .	124	124	124	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	343	343	337	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE . . . . .	240	240	240	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	168	168	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	133	133	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	296	296	296	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	329	329	326	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	76	76	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	1 875	1 875	1 834	41	41	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	11 949	11 881	11 847	34	34	-	-	68	-	68
MODESTO . . . . .	96	96	94	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	150	147	146	1	1	-	-	3	-	3
NORWALK . . . . .	39	38	38	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
OAKLAND . . . . .	435	435	432	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	151	151	146	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	132	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO . . . . .	222	222	216	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	337	337	337	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	42	41	41	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
POMONA . . . . .	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	129	129	128	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	184	184	182	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	460	460	460	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 4/2 Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	237	237	237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	151	151	151	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	130	130	130	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	1 895	1 895	1 890	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	5 989	5 989	5 939	50	50	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE . . . . .	896	896	879	17	17	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	137	137	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	100	100	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	402	402	395	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	161	161	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	420	420	417	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	93	93	92	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	114	113	113	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
STOCKTON . . . . .	212	212	211	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	300	300	297	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO . . . . .	132	132	127	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	33	32	32	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER . . . . .	65	64	64	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
COLORADO:										
ARVADA . . . . .	94	94	93	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	315	315	294	21	21	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	143	143	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	242	242	238	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER . . . . .	3 042	3 042	3 032	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
FT. COLLINS . . . . .	65	65	63	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	316	316	315	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	168	168	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY . . . . .	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	549	549	549	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	77	77	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD . . . . .	88	88	87	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	92	92	91	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	266	266	265	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	127	127	124	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD . . . . .	288	288	288	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY . . . . .	195	195	194	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	163	163	163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON . . . . .	348	348	346	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON . . . . .	3 989	3 989	3 964	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER . . . . .	164	164	162	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	203	203	202	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	156	156	156	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	186	186	186	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	944	944	936	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI . . . . .	587	587	581	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	263	263	263	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO . . . . .	165	165	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA . . . . .	155	155	155	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	308	308	300	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	420	420	404	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	112	112	109	3	3	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 4[2]. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977 —Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY. . . . .	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA. . . . .	583	583	578	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA. . . . .	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS. . . . .	308	308	305	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MACON. . . . .	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH. . . . .	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU. . . . .	2 589	2 589	2 570	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY. . . . .	137	137	137	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS. . .	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA. . . . .	137	130	129	1	1	-	-	7	-	7
BERWYN. . . . .	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN. . . . .	67	67	61	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO. . . . .	4 389	4 389	4 389	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO. . . . .	127	127	127	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR. . . . .	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES. . . . .	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS. . . . .	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN. . . . .	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON. . . . .	116	116	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET. . . . .	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN. . . . .	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK. . . . .	79	79	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA. . . . .	397	397	397	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD. . . . .	167	167	167	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND. . . . .	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE. . . . .	119	119	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD. . . . .	225	225	225	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN. . . . .	79	79	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON. . . . .	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE. . . . .	174	174	174	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE. . . . .	167	167	167	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY. . . . .	180	180	179	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND. . . . .	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS. . . . .	2 967	2 967	2 955	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE. . . . .	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND. . . . .	175	175	155	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE. . . . .	72	72	69	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS. . . . .	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS. . . . .	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT. . . . .	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES. . . . .	374	374	368	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE. . . . .	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY. . . . .	211	205	205	-	-	-	-	6	6	-
WATERLOO. . . . .	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY. . . . .	315	315	315	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK. . . . .	134	134	129	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA. . . . .	221	221	214	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA. . . . .	268	268	268	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON. . . . .	162	162	160	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON. . . . .	416	416	414	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE. . . . .	797	797	794	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO. . . . .	47	47	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 42 Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments,  
by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	1 229	1 229	1 214	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	227	227	227	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	2 374	2 374	2 347	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	105	105	105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND . . . . .	118	118	118	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE . . . . .	5 079	5 079	5 074	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON . . . . .	3 998	3 998	3 985	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON . . . . .	92	92	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	404	404	385	19	19	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	107	107	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE . . . . .	72	72	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	227	227	227	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN . . . . .	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON . . . . .	187	187	187	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	79	79	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	232	232	230	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM . . . . .	119	119	112	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	253	253	253	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	148	148	148	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN . . . . .	214	214	214	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT . . . . .	2 208	2 208	2 206	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT . . . . .	300	300	300	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	333	333	332	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	258	258	258	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANSING . . . . .	199	199	199	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	49	49	49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA . . . . .	143	143	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC . . . . .	336	336	336	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	114	114	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	200	200	200	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	239	239	239	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	140	140	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	280	280	280	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND . . . . .	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	125	125	123	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH . . . . .	262	262	259	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	1 337	1 337	1 337	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	88	88	86	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL . . . . .	745	745	739	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI . . . . .	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	326	326	324	2	2	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 4/2 Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA . . . . .	87	87	82	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT . . . . .	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	133	133	132	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	1 246	1 246	1 230	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	94	94	93	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	2 598	2 598	2 596	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	104	104	101	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS . . . . .	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN . . . . .	366	366	354	12	10	2	-	-	-	-
OMAHA . . . . .	660	660	658	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	494	494	493	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RENO . . . . .	284	284	281	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER . . . . .	79	79	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA . . . . .	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE . . . . .	147	147	147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	241	241	241	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON . . . . .	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	160	160	160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH . . . . .	156	156	155	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON . . . . .	159	159	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	335	335	335	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK . . . . .	555	555	554	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	116	116	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON . . . . .	180	180	180	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON . . . . .	128	128	128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY . . . . .	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND . . . . .	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	593	593	585	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	244	244	234	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	110	110	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO . . . . .	938	938	929	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	181	181	181	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	170	170	170	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	50 135	50 135	48 253	1 882	1 882	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	181	181	181	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	698	698	690	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
ROME . . . . .	48	48	47	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE . . . . .	338	338	333	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
TROY . . . . .	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA . . . . .	176	176	176	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	283	283	282	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS . . . . .	377	377	377	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	97	97	95	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	361	361	361	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	168	168	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT . . . . .	65	65	64	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	174	174	173	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON . . . . .	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	181	178	171	7	7	-	-	3	-	3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 42, Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO . . . . .	96	96	94	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON . . . . .	469	469	462	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
CANTON . . . . .	245	245	243	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI . . . . .	893	893	893	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND . . . . .	1 183	1 183	1 183	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS . . . . .	1 128	1 128	1 128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAYTON . . . . .	433	433	432	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA . . . . .	126	126	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID . . . . .	125	125	124	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	151	151	151	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING . . . . .	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA . . . . .	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	199	199	199	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD . . . . .	108	108	108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA . . . . .	153	153	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	156	156	156	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO . . . . .	453	453	452	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	101	101	100	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	426	425	415	10	10	-	-	1	1	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON . . . . .	100	100	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST . . . . .	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN . . . . .	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	684	684	684	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	428	428	421	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE . . . . .	262	262	262	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND . . . . .	449	449	447	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM . . . . .	162	162	162	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	54	54	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG . . . . .	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	10 004	10 004	9 980	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	622	622	617	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
READING . . . . .	88	88	88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	49	49	48	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON . . . . .	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	77	77	76	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	264	264	262	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK . . . . .	52	52	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON . . . . .	42	42	40	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA . . . . .	87	87	86	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	235	235	234	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	273	273	265	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS . . . . .	564	564	563	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	855	855	804	51	51	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



**Table 42. Legal services and prosecution expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
<b>TEXAS:</b>										
ABILENE . . . . .	95	95	90	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO . . . . .	170	170	167	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON . . . . .	197	197	196	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN . . . . .	802	802	802	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT . . . . .	141	141	139	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	75	75	73	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	321	321	320	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS . . . . .	1 203	1 203	1 202	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	408	408	402	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH . . . . .	402	402	402	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND . . . . .	114	114	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON . . . . .	843	843	823	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING . . . . .	126	126	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO . . . . .	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK . . . . .	206	206	205	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE . . . . .	99	99	98	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND . . . . .	66	66	64	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA . . . . .	74	74	71	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON . . . . .	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	692	692	688	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER . . . . .	70	70	68	2	1	1	-	-	-	-
WACO . . . . .	121	121	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	79	79	77	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
<b>UTAH:</b>										
OGDEN . . . . .	84	84	83	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO . . . . .	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	287	287	287	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>										
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	485	485	482	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	269	269	269	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON . . . . .	344	344	342	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	184	184	182	2	2	6	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	346	346	344	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	949	949	926	23	17	-	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	395	395	394	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	820	820	814	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE . . . . .	273	273	272	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	514	514	506	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>										
BELLEVUE . . . . .	237	237	237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVERETT . . . . .	101	101	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE . . . . .	1 242	1 242	1 227	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE . . . . .	305	305	304	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA . . . . .	391	391	390	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>										
CHARLESTON . . . . .	106	106	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>										
APPLETON . . . . .	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	90	90	89	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA CROSSE . . . . .	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	334	334	333	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	1 209	1 209	1 194	15	15	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH . . . . .	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	142	142	142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA . . . . .	65	65	65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS . . . . .	79	79	77	2	2	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

## **Section VI. PUBLIC DEFENSE**

FIGURE 23.

Percent Distribution of Public Defense Direct Expenditure by State and Type of Government, Fiscal Year 1977





Table 43. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL. . .	263 302	263 302	260 209	3 092	13 271	214	13 057
STATES . . . . .	90 393	78 365	77 726	639	12 028	(X)	12 028
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	185 151	184 937	182 483	2 453	1 243	214	1 029
COUNTIES . . . . .	156 347	155 676	153 251	2 425	671	201	469
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	29 833	29 260	29 232	28	573	13	559
ALABAMA . . . . .	1 819	1 819	1 819	-	21	21	-
STATE . . . . .	1 559	1 559	1 559	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	281	260	260	-	21	21	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	54	45	45	-	8	8	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	227	215	215	-	13	13	-
ALASKA . . . . .	2 002	2 002	1 989	13	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	2 002	2 002	1 989	13	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOROUGHs . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA . . . . .	5 448	5 448	5 425	23	264	-	264
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 448	5 448	5 425	23	264	-	264
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 326	5 326	5 303	23	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	386	122	122	-	264	-	264
ARKANSAS . . . . .	614	614	614	-	11	-	11
STATE . . . . .	43	43	43	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	571	571	571	-	11	-	11
COUNTIES . . . . .	515	505	505	-	11	-	11
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	67	67	66	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	62 811	62 811	62 524	287	775	-	775
STATE . . . . .	4 356	3 581	3 403	178	775	(X)	775
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	59 230	59 230	59 121	109	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	57 551	57 551	57 445	106	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 679	1 679	1 676	3	-	-	-
COLORADO . . . . .	3 554	3 554	3 545	9	9	-	9
STATE . . . . .	3 534	3 534	3 525	9	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	20	20	20	-	9	-	9
COUNTIES . . . . .	14	14	14	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15	6	6	-	9	-	9
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	2 789	2 789	2 770	19	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	2 775	2 775	2 756	19	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14	14	14	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	14	14	14	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	674	674	669	5	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	663	663	658	5	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	11	11	11	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	11	11	11	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	4 254	4 254	4 254	-	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 254	4 254	4 254	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 254	4 254	4 254	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	14 120	14 120	13 941	180	59	36	23
STATE . . . . .	12 177	12 177	12 000	177	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 980	1 943	1 941	3	59	36	23
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 867	1 831	1 828	3	36	36	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	136	113	113	-	23	-	23
GEORGIA . . . . .	2 316	2 316	2 311	5	250	47	203
STATE . . . . .	501	337	337	-	164	(X)	164
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 027	1 979	1 974	5	86	47	39
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 923	1 841	1 837	4	82	47	35
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	142	138	137	1	4	-	4

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII . . . . .	1 890	1 890	1 890	-	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	1 890	1 890	1 890	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	749	749	749	-	5	5	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	754	749	749	-	5	5	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	754	749	749	-	5	5	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	1	-	1	-	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	11 479	11 479	11 407	72	20	-	20
STATE . . . . .	1 487	1 487	1 459	28	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 992	9 992	9 948	44	20	-	20
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 987	9 967	9 924	44	20	-	20
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	25	25	24	1	-	-	-
INDIANA . . . . .	2 920	2 920	2 911	9	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	759	759	750	9	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 161	2 161	2 161	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 956	1 956	1 956	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	205	205	205	-	-	-	-
IOWA . . . . .	2 915	2 915	2 903	13	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	9	9	9	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 906	2 906	2 894	13	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 904	2 904	2 891	13	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
KANSAS . . . . .	2 075	2 075	2 067	8	126	-	126
STATE . . . . .	1 934	1 811	1 803	8	123	(X)	123
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	264	264	264	-	3	-	3
COUNTIES . . . . .	190	189	189	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	78	75	75	-	3	-	3
KENTUCKY . . . . .	1 358	1 358	1 325	33	1 542	-	1 542
STATE . . . . .	2 322	977	944	33	1 345	(X)	1 345
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	381	381	381	-	197	-	197
COUNTIES . . . . .	408	331	331	-	77	-	77
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	171	51	50	-	121	-	121
LOUISIANA . . . . .	1 690	1 690	1 690	-	8	1	8
STATE . . . . .	60	60	60	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 630	1 630	1 630	-	8	1	8
PARISHES . . . . .	1 150	1 142	1 142	-	8	-	8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	489	488	488	-	1	1	-
MAINE . . . . .	129	129	129	-	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	129	129	129	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	129	129	129	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	6 708	6 708	6 689	19	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	6 674	6 674	6 655	19	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	34	34	34	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	34	34	34	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	4 292	4 292	4 292	-	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	2 298	2 298	2 298	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 994	1 994	1 994	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 980	1 980	1 980	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	14	14	14	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN . . . . .	14 646	14 646	14 639	8	5 925	-	5 925
STATE . . . . .	6 980	1 055	1 055	-	5 925	(X)	5 925
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 591	13 591	13 584	8	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	13 020	13 020	13 020	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	572	572	564	8	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA . . . . .	4 187	4 187	4 162	25	153	-	153
STATE . . . . .	329	329	329	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 858	3 858	3 833	25	153	-	153
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 990	3 837	3 812	25	153	-	153
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	21	21	21	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	912	912	911	1	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	912	912	911	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	842	842	841	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	71	71	71	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI . . . . .	2 256	2 256	2 245	11	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	1 876	1 876	1 865	11	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	380	380	380	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	51	51	51	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	329	329	329	-	-	-	-
MONTANA . . . . .	639	639	638	1	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	639	639	638	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	626	626	625	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	13	13	13	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	1 187	1 187	1 181	6	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 187	1 187	1 181	6	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 187	1 187	1 181	6	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA . . . . .	1 882	1 882	1 872	10	49	45	4
STATE . . . . .	234	234	226	8	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 693	1 648	1 646	2	49	45	4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 629	1 584	1 582	2	45	45	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	68	64	64	-	4	-	4
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	278	278	278	-	7	-	7
STATE . . . . .	284	277	277	-	7	(X)	7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	12 379	12 379	12 326	53	15	-	15
STATE . . . . .	12 031	12 031	11 978	53	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	348	348	348	-	15	-	15
COUNTIES . . . . .	182	182	182	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	181	166	166	-	15	-	15
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	2 137	2 137	2 110	27	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	2 034	2 034	2 007	27	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	103	103	103	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	75	75	75	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	28	28	28	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK . . . . .	32 364	32 364	30 440	1 924	17	-	17
STATE . . . . .	5 206	5 206	5 206	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	27 158	27 158	25 234	1 924	17	-	17
COUNTIES . . . . .	12 928	12 911	10 987	1 924	17	-	17
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	14 247	14 247	14 247	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	1 228	1 228	1 228	-	3 639	-	3 639
STATE . . . . .	4 755	1 150	1 150	-	3 605	(X)	3 605
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	78	78	78	-	34	-	34
COUNTIES . . . . .	90	72	72	-	19	-	19
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	22	7	6	-	15	-	15
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	252	252	252	-	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	252	252	252	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	252	252	252	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO . . . . .	9 498	9 498	9 482	16	90	-	90
STATE . . . . .	1 606	1 606	1 605	1	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 892	7 892	7 877	15	90	-	90
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 982	6 972	6 957	15	10	-	10
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	999	919	919	-	80	-	80
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	1 016	1 016	1 016	-	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 016	1 016	1 016	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	882	882	882	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	134	134	134	-	-	-	-
OREGON . . . . .	3 918	3 918	3 915	2	37	20	18
STATE . . . . .	269	269	269	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 668	3 649	3 646	2	37	20	18
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 592	3 556	3 554	2	35	20	16
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	95	92	92	-	2	-	2
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	9 723	9 723	9 495	229	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 723	9 723	9 495	229	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 051	6 051	5 823	229	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 672	3 672	3 672	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	623	623	623	-	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	623	623	623	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	1 162	1 162	1 162	-	182	-	182
STATE . . . . .	691	607	607	-	84	(X)	84
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	555	555	555	-	98	-	98
COUNTIES . . . . .	651	554	554	-	98	-	98
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	578	578	578	-	45	40	5
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	618	578	578	-	45	40	5
COUNTIES . . . . .	614	570	570	-	45	40	5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9	9	9	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	2 523	2 523	2 493	29	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	1 451	1 451	1 441	10	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 072	1 072	1 082	19	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	742	742	729	13	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	330	330	324	7	-	-	-
TEXAS . . . . .	5 473	5 473	5 471	2	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 473	5 473	5 471	2	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 411	5 411	5 409	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	62	62	62	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	658	658	658	-	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	658	658	658	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	638	638	638	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	20	20	20	-	-	-	-
VERMONT . . . . .	990	990	974	16	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	990	990	974	16	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	5 273	5 273	5 272	1	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	4 938	4 938	4 938	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	335	335	334	1	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	115	115	114	1	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	220	220	220	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 43. Public defense expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON . . . . .	5 962	5 962	5 950	13	21	-	21
STATE . . . . .	320	320	320	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 642	5 642	5 630	13	21	-	21
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 919	4 917	4 904	13	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	745	725	725	-	20	-	20
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	36	36	25	10	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	36	36	25	10	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	30	30	19	10	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	4 519	4 519	4 504	15	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	733	733	718	15	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 786	3 786	3 786	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 757	3 757	3 757	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	29	29	29	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	396	396	396	-	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	396	396	396	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	364	364	364	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	32	32	32	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.



Table 44. Total public defense expenditure of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	
TOTAL . . . . .	90 393	78 365	77 726	639	594	45	-	12 028
ALABAMA . . . . .	1 559	1 559	1 559	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA . . . . .	2 002	2 002	1 989	13	13	-	-	-
ARIZONA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS . . . . .	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	4 356	3 581	3 403	176	178	-	-	775
COLORADO . . . . .	3 534	3 534	3 525	9	9	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	2 775	2 775	2 756	19	19	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	663	663	658	5	5	-	-	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	12 177	12 177	12 000	177	177	-	-	-
GEORGIA . . . . .	501	337	337	-	-	-	-	164
HAWAII . . . . .	1 890	1 890	1 890	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	1 487	1 487	1 459	28	28	-	-	-
INDIANA . . . . .	759	759	750	9	9	-	-	-
IOWA . . . . .	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS . . . . .	1 934	1 811	1 803	8	8	-	-	123
KENTUCKY . . . . .	2 322	977	944	33	33	-	-	1 345
LOUISIANA . . . . .	60	60	60	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	6 674	6 674	6 655	19	19	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	2 298	2 298	2 298	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN . . . . .	6 980	1 055	1 055	-	-	-	-	5 925
MINNESOTA . . . . .	329	329	329	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI . . . . .	1 876	1 876	1 865	11	11	-	-	-
MONTANA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA . . . . .	234	234	226	8	8	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	284	277	277	-	-	-	-	7
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	12 031	12 031	11 978	53	8	45	-	-
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	2 034	2 034	2 007	27	27	-	-	-
NEW YORK . . . . .	5 206	5 206	5 206	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	4 755	1 150	1 150	-	-	-	-	3 605
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO . . . . .	1 606	1 606	1 605	1	1	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON . . . . .	269	269	269	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	623	623	623	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	691	607	607	-	-	-	-	84
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	1 451	1 451	1 441	10	10	-	-	-
TEXAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT . . . . .	990	990	974	16	16	-	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	4 938	4 938	4 938	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	320	320	320	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	733	733	718	15	15	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 45. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expe	
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land		
TOTAL . . . . .	131 888	131 572	129 201	2 371	266	2 105		316	62
ALABAMA:									
CALHOUN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	8
ARIZONA:									
MARICOPA . . . . .	2 548	2 548	2 543	5	5	-	-	-	-
PIMA . . . . .	2 293	2 293	2 275	18	18	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:									
PULASKI . . . . .	145	145	145	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:									
ALAMEDA . . . . .	5 659	5 659	5 633	26	26	-	-	-	-
BUTTE . . . . .	333	333	333	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	2 386	2 386	2 380	6	6	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	1 293	1 293	1 290	3	2	1	-	-	-
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	239	239	239	-	-	-	-	-	-
KERN . . . . .	929	929	926	3	-	3	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	19 678	19 678	19 673	5	5	-	-	-	-
MARIN . . . . .	810	810	810	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCED . . . . .	148	148	147	1	1	-	-	-	-
MONTEREY . . . . .	739	739	739	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	3 696	3 696	3 694	2	2	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	1 086	1 086	1 061	25	25	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	2 560	2 560	2 558	2	2	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	1 758	1 758	1 754	4	4	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	3 790	3 790	3 790	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	1 270	1 270	1 269	1	1	-	-	-	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	262	262	262	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	1 207	1 207	1 207	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	812	812	809	3	2	1	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	2 885	2 885	2 885	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	398	398	398	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOLANO . . . . .	614	614	611	3	3	-	-	-	-
SONOMA . . . . .	489	489	482	7	7	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS . . . . .	370	370	370	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULARE . . . . .	875	875	872	3	3	-	-	-	-
VENTURA . . . . .	914	914	914	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOLO . . . . .	432	432	430	2	2	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:									
ADAMS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
WELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:									
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:									
ALACHUA . . . . .	34	34	34	-	-	-	-	-	-
BREVARD . . . . .	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD . . . . .	142	142	142	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLAY . . . . .	522	522	521	1	1	-	-	-	-
DADE . . . . .	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-
GH . . . . .	332	332	331	1	1	-	-	-	-
GLADES . . . . .	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIAN RIVER . . . . .	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-

as at end of table.

**Table 45. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
MANATEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALM BEACH . . . . .	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASCO . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS . . . . .	70	35	35	-	-	-	-	35	35	-
POLK . . . . .	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA . . . . .	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE . . . . .	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VOLUSIA . . . . .	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
BIBB . . . . .	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM . . . . .	179	179	175	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON . . . . .	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COBB . . . . .	196	164	164	-	-	-	-	32	-	32
DE KALB . . . . .	189	189	189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON . . . . .	363	363	363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
ADA . . . . .	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	95	95	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COOK . . . . .	5 963	5 963	5 931	32	32	-	-	-	-	-
DU PAGE . . . . .	493	493	485	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
KANE . . . . .	161	161	161	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	178	178	177	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE . . . . .	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY . . . . .	66	66	66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCLEAN . . . . .	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACON . . . . .	94	94	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	116	116	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	34	34	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	189	189	189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANGAMON . . . . .	94	94	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL . . . . .	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILL . . . . .	239	239	239	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	244	244	244	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ALLEN . . . . .	173	173	173	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	53	53	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELKHART . . . . .	46	46	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	289	289	289	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LA PORTE . . . . .	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	138	138	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TIPPECANOE . . . . .	29	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	126	126	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIGO . . . . .	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK . . . . .	350	350	350	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINN . . . . .	268	268	268	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POLK . . . . .	501	501	501	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTT . . . . .	143	143	143	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WOODBURY . . . . .	208	208	196	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON . . . . .	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK . . . . .	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON . . . . .	96	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTON . . . . .	88	32	32	-	-	-	-	56	-	56

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO . . . . .	131	124	124	-	-	-	-	7	-	7
CALCASIEU . . . . .	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	315	315	315	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA . . . . .	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES . . . . .	42	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARFORD . . . . .	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE . . . . .	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	309	309	309	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX . . . . .	183	183	183	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN . . . . .	285	285	285	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	554	554	554	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	268	268	268	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	100	100	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY . . . . .	100	100	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERRIEN . . . . .	232	232	232	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALHOUN . . . . .	179	179	179	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GENESEE . . . . .	386	386	386	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGHAM . . . . .	475	475	475	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	364	364	364	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	261	261	261	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENT . . . . .	479	479	479	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACOMB . . . . .	885	885	885	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	182	182	182	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUSKEGON . . . . .	135	135	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	1 175	1 175	1 175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OTTAWA . . . . .	106	106	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	462	462	462	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	227	227	227	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHTENAW . . . . .	454	454	454	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAYNE . . . . .	4 835	4 835	4 835	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA . . . . .	157	157	157	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAKOTA . . . . .	116	62	62	-	-	-	-	54	-	54
HENNEPIN . . . . .	1 694	1 694	1 678	16	16	-	-	-	-	-
RAMSEY . . . . .	522	522	516	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	328	328	326	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON . . . . .	43	43	43	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS . . . . .	128	128	128	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE . . . . .	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 45. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS . . . . .	319	319	319	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	239	239	236	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
CLARK . . . . .	966	966	966	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHOE . . . . .	390	390	388	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERGEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX . . . . .	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	33	33	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH . . . . .	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORRIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OCEAN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	283	283	279	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
BROOME . . . . .	140	140	140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	131	131	131	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHEMUNG . . . . .	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUTCHESS . . . . .	250	250	250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	1 011	1 011	1 011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	704	704	702	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
NASSAU . . . . .	1 951	1 951	1 951	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA . . . . .	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONEIDA . . . . .	152	152	152	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONONDAGA . . . . .	725	725	725	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	277	277	277	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSWEGO . . . . .	32	32	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENSSELAER . . . . .	160	160	159	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKLAND . . . . .	2 239	2 239	338	1 901	1	1 900	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SARATOGA . . . . .	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STEUBEN . . . . .	90	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUFFOLK . . . . .	1 528	1 528	1 528	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ULSTER . . . . .	111	111	111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	1 666	1 666	1 666	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GASTON . . . . .	17	17	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MECKLENBURG . . . . .	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	18
ONSLow . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
OHIO:										
ALLEN . . . . .	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ASHTABULA . . . . .	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	61	61	61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK . . . . .	122	122	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT . . . . .	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	107	107	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	1 541	1 541	1 541	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	967	967	967	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE . . . . .	131	131	130	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	595	595	594	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING . . . . .	74	74	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	63	63	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUCAS . . . . .	611	611	611	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAHONING . . . . .	147	147	147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	450	450	445	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE . . . . .	82	82	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STARK . . . . .	251	251	250	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SUMMIT . . . . .	125	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRUMBULL . . . . .	123	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE . . . . .	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	331	331	331	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	165	165	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	145	145	145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	158	158	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANE . . . . .	482	463	463	-	-	-	-	19	19	-
MARION . . . . .	212	212	212	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	1 412	1 412	1 412	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	296	296	296	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	931	931	928	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
BEAVER . . . . .	114	114	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKS . . . . .	114	114	114	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLAIR . . . . .	59	59	58	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BUCKS . . . . .	318	318	317	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIA . . . . .	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTRE . . . . .	69	69	65	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	373	373	373	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAUPHIN . . . . .	181	181	179	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	549	549	548	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	334	334	334	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTE . . . . .	58	58	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	50	50	46	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	102	102	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	311	311	111	200	-	200	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	39	39	38	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LEBANON . . . . .	28	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEHIGH . . . . .	117	117	115	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LUZERNE . . . . .	194	194	194	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYCOMING . . . . .	59	59	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	135	135	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	490	490	484	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	149	149	149	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	104	104	104	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	136	136	136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 45. (Public defense expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA:</b>										
ANDERSON . . . . .	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	87	-	87
GREENVILLE . . . . .	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	147	147	147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	56	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TENNESSEE:</b>										
HAMILTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY . . . . .	608	608	597	11	11	-	-	-	-	-
SULLIVAN . . . . .	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TEXAS:</b>										
BELL . . . . .	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEXAR . . . . .	479	479	479	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA . . . . .	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMERON . . . . .	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS . . . . .	1 022	1 022	1 022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	214	214	214	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	81	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS . . . . .	897	897	897	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIDALGO . . . . .	51	51	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	172	172	172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK . . . . .	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCLENNAN . . . . .	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NUECES . . . . .	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SMITH . . . . .	39	39	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT . . . . .	349	349	349	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	71	71	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRAVIS . . . . .	159	159	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA . . . . .	35	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>UTAH:</b>										
DAVIS . . . . .	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE . . . . .	360	360	360	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	70	70	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEBER . . . . .	75	75	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VERMONT:</b>										
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>										
ARLINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX . . . . .	87	87	87	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENRICO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>										
CLARK . . . . .	280	280	279	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KING . . . . .	2 073	2 073	2 072	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
KITSAP . . . . .	163	163	163	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PIERCE . . . . .	492	492	487	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	287	287	287	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE . . . . .	487	487	486	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA . . . . .	210	210	206	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>										
CABELL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>										
BROWN . . . . .	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANE . . . . .	284	284	284	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	112	112	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARATHON . . . . .	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	1 384	1 384	1 384	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	50	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	337	337	337	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK . . . . .	269	269	269	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKESHA . . . . .	167	167	167	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	97	97	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup> Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 46. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditures		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State	To Federal
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL . . . . .	27 834	27 335	27 321	14	14	-	-	499	12	-
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	134	134	134	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAOSDEN . . . . .	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	10	-
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESA . . . . .	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX . . . . .	253	-	-	-	-	-	-	253	-	-
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE . . . . .	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUCSON . . . . .	48	48	48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH . . . . .	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	27	27	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 46. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	125	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	1 522	1 522	1 519	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIHI VALLEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ARVADA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FT. COLLINS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	8
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD . . . . .	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON . . . . .	4 254	4 254	4 254	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	24	24	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO . . . . .	25	4	4	-	-	-	-	21	-	21
PENSACOLA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 46. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
GEORGIA:										
ALBANY. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA. . . . .	50	46	45	1	1	-	-	4	-	4
AUGUSTA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS. . . . .	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MACON. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:										
HONOLULU. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
BOISE CITY. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON. . . . .	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:										
ANDERSON. . . . .	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND. . . . .	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS. . . . .	165	165	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNCIE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:										
CEDAR RAPIDS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:										
KANSAS CITY. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK. . . . .	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA. . . . .	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:										
COVINGTON. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON. . . . .	107	-	-	-	-	-	-	107	-	107
LOUISVILLE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 46. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	373	373	373	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN . . . . .	9	9	5	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DETROIT . . . . .	147	147	147	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT . . . . .	36	36	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	22	22	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANSING . . . . .	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA . . . . .	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC . . . . .	40	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND . . . . .	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH . . . . .	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 46. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	303	303	303	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS . . . . .	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OMAHA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO . . . . .	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE . . . . .	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK . . . . .	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON . . . . .	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO . . . . .	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	13 730	13 730	13 730	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	14
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table

Table 46. Public defense expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CANTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI . . . . .	279	279	279	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND . . . . .	160	160	160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS . . . . .	285	225	225	-	-	-	-	60	-	60
DAYTON . . . . .	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA . . . . .	18	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KETTERING . . . . .	15	15	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA . . . . .	23	23	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD . . . . .	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PARMA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	3	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO . . . . .	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON . . . . .	20	20	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
EUGENE . . . . .	21	21	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	3 612	3 612	3 612	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	308	308	302	6	6	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



## **Section VII. CORRECTIONS**

FIGURE 24.

Percent Distribution of Corrections Direct Expenditure by State and Type of Government, Fiscal Year 1977






Table 47. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 635 349	4 635 349	4 170 543	464 806	180 071	26 210	153 861
STATES . . . . .	2 974 890	2 847 020	2 561 067	285 953	126 733	(X)	126 733
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 814 539	1 788 329	1 609 475	178 853	53 338	26 210	27 128
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 403 279	1 364 858	1 214 374	150 485	38 420	20 589	17 831
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	438 388	423 470	395 102	28 368	14 918	5 620	9 298
ALABAMA . . . . .	36 642	36 642	34 790	1 851	2 192	-	2 192
STATE . . . . .	26 035	24 190	22 511	1 679	1 845	(X)	1 845
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 452	12 452	12 279	172	347	-	347
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 251	10 198	10 124	74	52	-	52
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 548	2 253	2 155	98	295	-	295
ALASKA . . . . .	17 315	17 315	17 107	207	804	92	712
STATE . . . . .	17 096	16 384	16 181	203	712	(X)	712
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 022	931	926	4	92	92	-
BOROUGHs . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 022	931	926	4	92	92	-
ARIZONA . . . . .	55 551	55 551	50 485	5 065	767	478	289
STATE . . . . .	31 135	31 131	26 921	4 210	4	(X)	4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	24 898	24 420	23 564	855	763	478	285
COUNTIES . . . . .	23 822	23 344	22 489	855	478	478	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 361	1 077	1 075	1	285	-	285
ARKANSAS . . . . .	23 653	23 653	20 528	3 125	206	2	203
STATE . . . . .	18 268	18 186	15 485	2 701	82	(X)	82
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 469	5 467	5 043	424	124	2	121
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 608	4 584	4 163	421	24	1	24
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	983	883	879	4	100	2	98
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	751 804	751 804	716 356	35 448	28 604	1 704	26 900
STATE . . . . .	359 218	334 098	322 032	12 066	25 120	(X)	25 120
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	419 410	417 706	394 324	23 382	3 484	1 704	1 780
COUNTIES . . . . .	402 825	399 965	376 850	23 115	2 860	1 704	1 156
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18 365	17 741	17 474	267	624	-	624
COLORADO . . . . .	51 468	51 468	49 962	1 506	21	-	21
STATE . . . . .	37 960	37 960	37 051	909	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 508	13 508	12 911	597	21	-	21
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 092	6 081	5 989	93	11	-	11
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 436	7 426	6 922	505	9	-	9
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	51 419	51 419	48 863	2 556	121	-	121
STATE . . . . .	51 346	51 225	48 669	2 556	121	(X)	121
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	194	194	194	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	194	194	194	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	18 345	18 345	12 871	5 474	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	18 345	18 345	12 871	5 474	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	63 062	63 062	58 832	4 230	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	63 062	63 062	58 832	4 230	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	63 062	63 062	58 832	4 230	-	-	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	234 034	234 034	184 860	49 174	350	-	350
STATE . . . . .	181 175	181 175	136 681	44 494	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	52 859	52 859	48 179	4 680	350	-	350
COUNTIES . . . . .	42 237	42 142	37 774	4 368	95	-	95
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 972	10 716	10 405	311	255	-	255
GEORGIA . . . . .	133 761	133 761	93 470	40 290	3 806	2	3 804
STATE . . . . .	94 733	91 621	61 121	30 500	3 112	(X)	3 112
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	42 141	42 140	32 349	9 790	694	2	692
COUNTIES . . . . .	37 259	37 022	27 599	9 423	237	2	235
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 576	5 119	4 750	368	457	-	457

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII . . . . .	16 446	16 446	13 320	3 126	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	15 744	15 744	12 618	3 126	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	702	702	702	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	702	702	702	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	11 081	11 081	10 334	747	81	15	66
STATE . . . . .	9 399	9 399	8 676	723	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 697	1 682	1 658	24	81	15	66
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 637	1 622	1 597	24	15	15	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	128	63	60	2	66	-	66
ILLINOIS . . . . .	193 460	193 460	168 246	25 214	4 896	2	4 894
STATE . . . . .	120 537	120 412	114 020	6 392	125	(X)	125
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	73 051	73 048	54 226	18 822	4 771	2	4 769
COUNTIES . . . . .	77 505	72 752	53 935	18 817	4 753	2	4 750
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	317	299	291	8	18	-	18
INDIANA . . . . .	70 321	70 321	65 310	5 011	2 076	1 964	112
STATE . . . . .	48 816	48 816	45 051	3 765	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	23 469	21 505	20 259	1 246	2 076	1 964	112
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 933	14 302	14 010	292	1 631	1 519	112
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 647	7 202	6 249	953	445	445	-
IOWA . . . . .	42 072	42 072	39 606	2 466	1 522	193	1 329
STATE . . . . .	30 071	29 167	26 925	2 242	904	(X)	904
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 099	12 905	12 681	224	618	193	425
COUNTIES . . . . .	12 868	12 275	12 051	224	593	193	400
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	656	631	630	1	25	-	25
KANSAS . . . . .	47 541	47 541	43 727	3 815	225	16	209
STATE . . . . .	38 854	38 854	35 677	3 177	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 703	8 687	8 050	638	225	16	209
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 710	7 653	7 027	625	57	2	55
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 203	1 035	1 022	13	168	14	154
KENTUCKY . . . . .	44 414	44 414	39 505	4 909	3 825	3	3 822
STATE . . . . .	32 776	29 490	25 913	3 577	3 286	(X)	3 286
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 927	14 924	13 592	1 332	539	3	536
COUNTIES . . . . .	12 482	12 464	11 141	1 323	18	3	15
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 982	2 461	2 451	9	521	-	521
LOUISIANA . . . . .	92 878	92 878	76 851	16 027	1 164	44	1 121
STATE . . . . .	56 637	55 772	53 435	2 337	865	(X)	865
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	37 150	37 106	23 416	13 690	299	44	256
PARISHES . . . . .	20 598	20 494	10 738	9 756	104	44	60
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	16 809	16 614	12 678	3 936	195	-	195
MAINE . . . . .	11 792	11 792	11 247	546	245	6	239
STATE . . . . .	9 553	9 553	9 354	199	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 245	2 239	1 893	347	245	6	239
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 375	2 239	1 893	347	136	-	136
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	110	1	-	1	109	6	103
MARYLAND . . . . .	132 707	132 707	120 812	11 895	3 854	10	3 844
STATE . . . . .	111 414	107 571	100 158	7 413	3 843	(X)	3 843
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	25 146	25 136	20 654	4 482	11	10	1
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 033	15 023	10 642	4 381	10	10	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 115	10 113	10 012	101	1	-	1
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	112 467	112 467	108 372	4 094	23	-	23
STATE . . . . .	70 930	70 930	67 895	3 035	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	41 537	41 537	40 477	1 059	23	-	23
COUNTIES . . . . .	30 916	30 916	29 917	999	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 644	10 621	10 560	60	23	-	23
MICHIGAN . . . . .	197 586	197 586	179 125	18 461	10 639	4 413	6 225
STATE . . . . .	112 618	110 678	98 830	11 848	1 940	(X)	1 940
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	91 321	86 908	80 295	6 613	8 699	4 413	4 285
COUNTIES . . . . .	84 999	77 040	70 986	6 054	7 959	4 413	3 546
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 608	9 868	9 309	560	740	-	740

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 47. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA . . . . .	72 572	72 572	64 186	8 386	7 632	29	7 603
STATE . . . . .	44 695	38 598	36 783	1 815	6 097	(X)	6 097
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	34 003	33 974	27 403	6 571	1 535	29	1 506
COUNTIES . . . . .	34 601	33 456	26 888	6 568	1 145	29	1 115
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	909	519	515	4	391	-	391
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	26 011	26 011	20 156	5 856	477	-	477
STATE . . . . .	17 453	17 108	15 880	1 228	345	(X)	345
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 903	8 903	4 276	4 628	132	-	132
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 078	8 076	3 449	4 626	2	-	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	958	828	827	1	130	-	130
MISSOURI . . . . .	68 653	68 653	63 112	5 541	954	896	59
STATE . . . . .	41 379	41 379	38 253	3 126	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	28 169	27 274	24 859	2 415	954	896	59
COUNTIES . . . . .	17 709	16 785	14 446	2 339	924	896	29
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 519	10 489	10 413	76	30	-	30
MONTANA . . . . .	14 911	14 911	14 000	911	94	-	94
STATE . . . . .	12 099	12 097	11 543	554	2	(X)	2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 814	2 814	2 457	357	92	-	92
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 777	2 726	2 378	347	51	-	51
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	129	89	78	10	40	-	40
NEBRASKA . . . . .	26 329	26 329	22 050	4 279	288	9	279
STATE . . . . .	18 106	18 106	16 644	1 462	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 232	8 223	5 406	2 817	288	9	279
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 915	7 708	4 899	2 810	206	9	198
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	597	515	508	7	82	-	82
NEVADA . . . . .	35 055	35 055	23 668	11 387	938	-	938
STATE . . . . .	24 804	23 903	12 664	11 239	901	(X)	901
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	11 152	11 152	11 004	148	37	-	37
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 323	10 299	10 204	95	24	-	24
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	866	853	800	53	12	-	12
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	10 304	10 304	9 702	602	25	-	25
STATE . . . . .	7 633	7 633	7 047	586	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 671	2 671	2 655	16	25	-	25
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 358	2 333	2 318	15	25	-	25
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	338	338	337	1	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	158 387	158 387	142 077	16 310	59	20	39
STATE . . . . .	79 568	79 568	71 066	8 502	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	78 838	78 819	71 011	7 808	59	20	39
COUNTIES . . . . .	78 714	78 677	70 869	7 808	37	2	35
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	164	143	142	-	21	17	4
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	16 839	16 839	15 767	1 072	866	737	130
STATE . . . . .	13 204	13 177	12 183	994	27	(X)	27
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 399	3 662	3 584	78	839	737	103
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 178	1 421	1 417	4	757	737	20
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 323	2 241	2 167	74	82	-	82
NEW YORK . . . . .	527 752	527 752	475 746	52 006	47 431	8 452	38 978
STATE . . . . .	328 373	290 003	246 258	43 745	38 370	(X)	38 370
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	246 202	237 749	229 488	8 261	9 061	8 452	608
COUNTIES . . . . .	104 371	98 498	95 148	3 350	5 873	5 278	595
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	142 441	139 253	134 340	4 913	3 188	3 175	13
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	113 210	113 210	101 636	11 573	746	-	746
STATE . . . . .	101 216	100 884	90 478	10 406	332	(X)	332
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 326	12 326	11 158	1 167	414	-	414
COUNTIES . . . . .	12 425	12 029	10 863	1 166	396	-	396
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	316	298	295	3	18	-	18
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	6 880	6 880	5 413	1 467	32	-	32
STATE . . . . .	4 570	4 570	4 227	343	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 310	2 310	1 186	1 124	32	-	32
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 288	2 285	1 163	1 122	3	-	3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	54	25	23	2	29	-	29

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
OHIO . . . . .	185 433	185 433	175 453	9 980	8 689	20	8 669
STATE . . . . .	124 157	118 812	117 328	1 484	5 345	(X)	5 345
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	66 641	66 621	58 125	8 496	3 344	20	3 324
COUNTIES . . . . .	54 935	52 202	44 230	7 972	2 733	7	2 726
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15 031	14 420	13 895	525	612	13	599
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	51 618	51 618	37 313	14 305	132	-	132
STATE . . . . .	45 456	45 374	31 126	14 248	82	(X)	82
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 244	6 244	6 187	57	50	-	50
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 789	4 789	4 767	22	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 506	1 456	1 420	35	50	-	50
OREGON . . . . .	58 355	58 355	53 967	4 388	303	-	303
STATE . . . . .	39 531	39 507	37 958	1 549	24	(X)	24
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	18 848	18 848	16 009	2 839	279	-	279
COUNTIES . . . . .	18 375	18 297	15 459	2 838	78	-	78
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	756	555	550	5	202	-	202
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	218 992	218 992	207 664	11 328	10 874	6 399	4 476
STATE . . . . .	116 522	112 367	110 949	1 418	4 155	(X)	4 155
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	113 024	106 625	96 715	9 910	6 719	6 399	321
COUNTIES . . . . .	66 111	61 176	56 846	4 330	4 935	4 614	321
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	47 238	45 453	39 869	5 585	1 785	1 785	-
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	14 426	14 426	14 375	51	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	14 417	14 417	14 366	51	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9	9	9	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10	10	9	1	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	48 045	48 045	45 165	2 879	660	-	660
STATE . . . . .	38 042	37 633	35 816	1 817	409	(X)	409
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 412	10 412	9 349	1 062	251	-	251
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 403	9 194	8 135	1 059	209	-	209
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 260	1 218	1 214	3	42	-	42
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	6 381	6 381	6 202	179	81	1	80
STATE . . . . .	5 177	5 177	5 036	141	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 205	1 204	1 166	38	81	1	80
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 199	1 165	1 128	38	33	-	33
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	87	39	39	1	48	1	47
TENNESSEE . . . . .	72 883	72 883	67 461	5 423	6 524	107	6 416
STATE . . . . .	57 813	51 975	47 075	4 900	5 838	(X)	5 838
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 016	20 908	20 386	523	686	107	578
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 065	13 961	13 781	180	104	104	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7 532	6 951	6 605	346	582	4	578
TEXAS . . . . .	153 175	153 175	131 667	21 508	936	5	931
STATE . . . . .	85 822	85 822	73 995	11 827	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	67 358	67 353	57 672	9 681	936	5	931
COUNTIES . . . . .	63 654	63 454	53 884	9 570	200	5	195
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 639	3 902	3 788	114	736	-	736
UTAH . . . . .	19 812	19 812	18 126	1 686	630	2	629
STATE . . . . .	16 598	16 033	14 846	1 187	565	(X)	565
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 780	3 779	3 280	499	65	2	64
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 783	3 728	3 229	499	56	2	54
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	60	51	51	-	10	-	10
VERMONT . . . . .	8 256	8 256	7 935	322	4	-	4
STATE . . . . .	8 241	8 241	7 922	319	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13	13	13	3	4	-	4
COUNTIES . . . . .	13	13	11	3	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8	5	2	3	4	-	4
VIRGINIA . . . . .	125 933	125 933	108 986	16 947	15 407	15	15 392
STATE . . . . .	99 114	84 926	78 757	6 169	14 188	(X)	14 188
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	41 022	41 007	30 229	10 778	1 219	15	1 204
COUNTIES . . . . .	18 554	17 873	12 296	5 577	681	-	681
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	23 674	23 137	17 933	5 204	538	14	523

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 47. Corrections expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON . . . . .	83 072	83 072	80 349	2 724	9 982	55	9 926
STATE . . . . .	64 474	56 401	54 298	2 103	8 073	(X)	8 073
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26 727	26 671	26 051	621	1 909	55	1 853
COUNTIES . . . . .	24 738	24 429	23 816	613	309	3	307
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 843	2 243	2 235	9	1 599	53	1 547
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	19 542	19 542	17 531	2 011	175	1	174
STATE . . . . .	14 930	14 930	14 073	857	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 613	4 612	3 458	1 154	175	1	174
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 634	4 592	3 438	1 154	42	-	41
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	154	20	20	1	134	1	133
WISCONSIN . . . . .	71 625	71 625	69 016	2 609	624	509	115
STATE . . . . .	51 052	51 031	50 609	422	21	(X)	21
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 104	20 594	18 407	2 187	603	509	94
COUNTIES . . . . .	21 144	20 589	18 402	2 187	555	509	46
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	54	6	5	-	48	-	48
WYOMING . . . . .	11 080	11 080	7 239	3 842	86	9	77
STATE . . . . .	6 647	6 647	5 812	835	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 443	4 433	1 427	3 007	86	9	77
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 294	4 285	1 282	3 002	9	9	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	225	148	145	5	77	-	77

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

Table 48. Correctional institution expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total <sup>3</sup>	Direct current	Capital outlay	
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 450 928	3 450 928	3 060 362	390 566	133 537
STATE . . . . .	2 256 691	2 172 980	1 949 462	223 518	83 711
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 277 948	1 277 948	1 110 900	167 049	49 826
COUNTIES . . . . .	991 658	956 084	812 259	143 824	35 575
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	336 116	321 865	298 640	23 224	14 251
ALABAMA . . . . .	27 161	27 161	26 614	547	2 135
STATE . . . . .	18 652	16 807	16 416	391	1 845
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 354	10 354	10 198	156	290
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 277	8 227	8 161	67	50
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 367	2 127	2 037	89	241
ALASKA . . . . .	13 683	13 683	13 483	200	804
STATE . . . . .	13 678	12 966	12 770	196	712
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	809	717	713	4	92
BOROUGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	809	717	713	4	92
ARIZONA . . . . .	35 282	35 282	30 548	4 735	255
STATE . . . . .	20 511	20 511	16 531	3 980	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	14 774	14 771	14 017	755	255
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 390	14 388	13 634	754	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	637	383	383	1	253
ARKANSAS . . . . .	18 871	18 871	15 825	3 046	204
STATE . . . . .	14 753	14 671	12 049	2 622	122
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 197	4 200	3 776	424	24
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 658	3 634	3 213	421	98
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	664	566	563	3	98
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	481 991	481 991	450 173	31 817	3 272
STATE . . . . .	233 904	233 904	223 134	10 770	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	249 961	248 087	227 039	21 047	3 272
COUNTIES . . . . .	240 670	237 948	217 104	20 844	2 722
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10 688	10 139	9 935	203	550
COLORADO . . . . .	39 161	39 161	37 666	1 495	21
STATE . . . . .	27 927	27 927	27 018	909	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	11 234	11 234	10 648	586	21
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 736	5 725	5 643	82	11
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 519	5 509	5 005	505	9
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	33 346	33 346	30 799	2 547	96
STATE . . . . .	33 346	33 346	30 799	2 547	96
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	15 094	15 094	11 061	4 033	-
STATE . . . . .	15 094	15 094	11 061	4 033	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	41 342	41 342	37 190	4 152	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	41 342	41 342	37 190	4 152	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	41 342	41 342	37 190	4 152	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	184 765	184 765	146 322	38 443	345
STATE . . . . .	135 888	135 888	101 723	34 165	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	48 877	48 877	44 599	4 278	345
COUNTIES . . . . .	39 732	39 637	35 408	4 229	95
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 490	9 240	9 191	49	250
GEORGIA . . . . .	109 317	109 317	69 043	40 273	963
STATE . . . . .	73 421	73 074	42 574	30 500	347
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	36 435	36 243	26 469	9 773	616
COUNTIES . . . . .	32 103	31 869	22 463	9 406	235
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 756	4 374	4 006	368	382

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Correctional institution expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total <sup>3</sup>	Direct current	Capital outlay	
HAWAII . . . . .	9 228	9 228	9 041	187	-
STATE . . . . .	8 541	8 541	8 354	187	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	687	687	687	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	687	687	687	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	7 688	7 688	7 109	579	66
STATE . . . . .	6 332	6 332	5 768	564	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 356	1 356	1 341	15	66
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 296	1 296	1 281	15	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	126	60	60	-	66
ILLINOIS . . . . .	140 850	140 850	115 874	24 975	4 727
STATE . . . . .	85 764	85 764	79 504	6 260	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	55 088	55 086	36 370	18 715	4 727
COUNTIES . . . . .	59 676	54 963	36 252	18 711	4 713
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	137	123	118	4	14
INDIANA . . . . .	56 331	56 331	51 363	4 968	2 005
STATE . . . . .	40 997	40 997	37 233	3 764	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	17 298	15 334	14 130	1 204	2 005
COUNTIES . . . . .	11 284	9 725	9 473	252	1 560
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 054	5 609	4 657	952	445
IOWA . . . . .	31 404	31 404	28 963	2 441	337
STATE . . . . .	25 357	25 357	23 139	2 218	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 214	6 047	5 824	223	337
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 979	5 667	5 444	223	312
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	405	380	380	-	25
KANSAS . . . . .	42 897	42 897	39 284	3 613	161
STATE . . . . .	35 949	35 949	32 802	3 147	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 942	6 948	6 482	466	161
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 995	5 985	5 532	454	9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 114	962	950	12	152
KENTUCKY . . . . .	36 281	36 281	31 726	4 555	3 820
STATE . . . . .	26 216	22 930	19 721	3 209	3 286
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 331	13 331	12 005	1 326	534
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 962	10 949	9 631	1 318	13
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 903	2 382	2 374	8	521
LOUISIANA . . . . .	69 757	69 757	53 745	16 011	1 075
STATE . . . . .	35 844	34 979	32 642	2 337	865
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	34 787	34 778	21 103	13 674	210
PARISHES . . . . .	19 462	19 358	9 618	9 740	104
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15 526	15 419	11 485	3 934	106
MAINE . . . . .	10 261	10 261	9 716	546	245
STATE . . . . .	8 022	8 022	7 823	199	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 245	2 239	1 893	347	245
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 375	2 239	1 893	347	136
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	109	-	-	-	109
MARYLAND . . . . .	101 382	101 382	90 802	10 581	3 854
STATE . . . . .	81 484	77 641	71 078	6 563	3 843
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	23 752	23 741	19 724	4 018	11
COUNTIES . . . . .	13 833	13 823	9 906	3 917	10
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 920	9 918	9 817	101	1
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	82 038	82 038	78 578	3 460	23
STATE . . . . .	59 708	59 708	56 673	3 035	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	22 331	22 330	21 905	425	23
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 705	15 705	15 335	370	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 649	6 626	6 570	55	23
MICHIGAN . . . . .	159 608	159 608	145 830	13 778	8
STATE . . . . .	92 968	92 589	85 390	7 199	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	71 012	67 020	60 440	6 580	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	66 844	59 329	53 307	6 022	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8 332	7 691	7 133	558	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Correctional institution expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total <sup>3</sup>	Direct current	Capital outlay	
MINNESOTA . . . . .	50 864	50 864	42 574	8 290	6 496
STATE . . . . .	35 377	30 334	28 536	1 798	5 043
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	20 585	20 530	14 038	6 492	1 453
COUNTIES . . . . .	21 129	20 067	13 578	6 489	1 062
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	853	463	460	3	391
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	23 612	23 612	17 788	5 824	477
STATE . . . . .	15 183	14 838	13 642	1 196	345
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 774	8 774	4 146	4 628	132
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 005	8 003	3 376	4 626	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	901	771	770	1	130
MISSOURI . . . . .	50 863	50 863	45 516	5 347	954
STATE . . . . .	29 925	29 925	26 959	2 966	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 827	20 938	18 557	2 381	954
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 430	14 505	12 201	2 304	924
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 463	6 433	6 356	77	30
MONTANA . . . . .	10 852	10 852	10 138	713	56
STATE . . . . .	9 200	9 198	8 839	359	2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 654	1 654	1 299	354	54
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 579	1 566	1 221	345	14
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	129	88	78	10	40
NEBRASKA . . . . .	19 497	19 497	15 256	4 241	278
STATE . . . . .	12 390	12 390	10 936	1 454	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	7 249	7 106	4 320	2 787	278
COUNTIES . . . . .	7 039	6 842	4 063	2 780	196
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	346	264	257	7	82
NEVADA . . . . .	29 745	29 745	18 401	11 344	254
STATE . . . . .	21 164	20 945	9 729	11 216	219
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 800	8 800	8 672	128	35
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 060	8 036	7 960	76	24
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	775	764	712	53	11
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	8 592	8 592	8 004	588	25
STATE . . . . .	6 259	6 259	5 686	573	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 349	2 333	2 318	15	25
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 349	2 333	2 318	15	25
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	122 968	122 968	106 760	16 208	13
STATE . . . . .	69 545	69 545	61 043	8 502	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	53 436	53 423	45 717	7 706	13
COUNTIES . . . . .	53 317	53 315	45 609	7 706	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	119	108	108	-	11
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	13 107	13 107	12 065	1 042	758
STATE . . . . .	9 760	9 733	8 769	964	27
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 004	3 374	3 296	78	731
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 047	1 398	1 394	4	649
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 058	1 976	1 902	74	82
NEW YORK . . . . .	412 721	412 721	385 635	27 086	47 429
STATE . . . . .	270 275	231 905	208 185	23 720	38 370
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	189 268	180 816	177 450	3 366	9 059
COUNTIES . . . . .	70 356	64 485	61 352	3 133	5 871
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	119 518	116 330	116 098	233	3 188
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	94 564	94 564	83 455	11 109	746
STATE . . . . .	83 228	82 896	72 950	9 946	332
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	11 667	11 668	10 505	1 163	414
COUNTIES . . . . .	12 010	11 614	10 452	1 162	396
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	72	54	53	1	18
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	6 027	6 027	4 562	1 465	32
STATE . . . . .	4 046	4 046	3 703	343	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 980	1 981	859	1 122	32
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 959	1 956	836	1 120	3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	54	25	23	2	29

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 48. Correctional institution expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total <sup>3</sup>	Direct current	Capital outlay	
OHIO . . . . .	137 438	137 483	127 488	9 950	4 577
STATE . . . . .	90 742	90 742	89 258	1 484	1 352
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	46 716	46 696	38 230	8 466	3 225
COUNTIES . . . . .	38 456	35 789	27 848	7 941	2 667
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	11 464	10 906	10 382	524	558
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	24 223	24 223	23 705	518	130
STATE . . . . .	19 711	19 711	19 247	464	82
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 512	4 512	4 458	54	48
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 327	3 327	3 307	19	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 234	1 185	1 150	35	48
OREGON . . . . .	42 236	42 236	38 063	4 173	283
STATE . . . . .	28 835	28 811	27 446	1 365	24
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13 425	13 425	10 617	2 808	259
COUNTIES . . . . .	13 427	13 350	10 543	2 807	76
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	257	74	74	-	183
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	171 930	171 930	161 120	10 810	6 888
STATE . . . . .	99 606	98 995	97 598	1 397	611
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	78 962	72 935	63 522	9 413	6 277
COUNTIES . . . . .	50 761	46 268	42 436	3 832	4 493
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	28 451	26 666	21 086	5 580	1 785
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	9 545	9 545	9 500	45	-
STATE . . . . .	9 536	9 536	9 491	45	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9	9	9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9	9	9	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	35 178	35 178	32 933	2 245	224
STATE . . . . .	25 665	25 656	24 435	1 221	9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 522	9 522	8 498	1 024	215
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 477	8 305	7 284	1 021	173
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 259	1 217	1 214	3	42
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	5 553	5 553	5 374	179	81
STATE . . . . .	4 502	4 502	4 361	141	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 052	1 051	1 013	38	81
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 046	1 013	975	38	33
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	86	38	38	-	48
TENNESSEE . . . . .	64 844	64 844	59 569	5 275	6 521
STATE . . . . .	51 924	46 086	41 326	4 760	5 838
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	18 866	18 758	18 243	515	683
COUNTIES . . . . .	12 849	12 745	12 571	174	104
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 592	6 013	5 672	342	579
TEXAS . . . . .	114 495	114 495	96 349	18 146	781
STATE . . . . .	71 482	71 482	62 364	9 118	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	43 015	43 013	33 985	9 028	781
COUNTIES . . . . .	39 566	39 519	30 602	8 917	48
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 227	3 494	3 383	111	733
UTAH . . . . .	15 043	15 043	13 410	1 633	629
STATE . . . . .	11 856	11 291	10 157	1 134	565
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 754	3 752	3 253	499	64
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 773	3 719	3 220	499	54
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	43	33	33	-	10
VERMONT . . . . .	6 097	6 097	5 836	262	4
STATE . . . . .	6 084	6 084	5 825	259	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	13	13	11	3	4
COUNTIES . . . . .	13	13	11	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4	-	-	-	4
VIRGINIA . . . . .	94 989	94 989	78 937	16 052	15 337
STATE . . . . .	74 703	60 515	54 355	6 160	14 188
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	34 484	34 474	24 582	9 892	1 149
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 155	14 520	9 789	4 731	635
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	20 467	19 954	14 793	5 161	513

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 48. Correctional institution expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total <sup>3</sup>	Direct current	Capital outlay	
WASHINGTON . . . . .	61 686	61 686	59 071	2 615	6 734
STATE . . . . .	50 212	44 984	42 948	2 036	5 228
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	16 702	16 701	16 123	579	1 505
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 365	15 358	14 787	571	7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 843	1 344	1 336	8	1 499
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	17 241	17 241	15 242	1 999	175
STATE . . . . .	12 655	12 655	11 810	845	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 587	4 586	3 432	1 154	175
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 608	4 566	3 412	1 154	42
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	153	20	20	-	134
WISCONSIN . . . . .	49 207	49 207	46 598	2 609	624
STATE . . . . .	31 015	30 994	30 572	422	21
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	18 722	18 213	16 026	2 187	603
COUNTIES . . . . .	18 763	18 208	16 021	2 187	555
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	53	5	5	-	48
WYOMING . . . . .	10 096	10 096	6 259	3 837	86
STATE . . . . .	5 925	5 925	5 090	835	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 180	4 171	1 169	3 002	86
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 121	4 111	1 109	3 002	9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	137	60	60	-	77

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation, data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

<sup>3</sup>Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

Table 49. Probation, pardon and parole expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total <sup>3</sup>	Direct current	Capital outlay	
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	815 857	815 857	779 760	36 097	40 234
STATE . . . . .	403 211	365 472	336 415	29 057	37 739
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	451 498	450 385	443 345	7 040	2 496
COUNTIES . . . . .	379 107	376 932	370 808	6 124	2 175
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	73 774	73 453	72 537	916	321
ALABAMA . . . . .	6 821	6 821	6 776	44	11
STATE . . . . .	4 923	4 923	4 895	28	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 898	1 898	1 881	16	11
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 771	1 771	1 763	8	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	138	127	118	8	11
ALASKA . . . . .	2 159	2 159	2 157	2	-
STATE . . . . .	2 159	2 159	2 157	2	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
BOROUGHs . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA . . . . .	16 059	16 059	15 834	225	507
STATE . . . . .	6 960	6 960	6 836	124	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 576	9 099	8 998	101	507
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 130	8 654	8 553	101	476
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	477	445	445	-	31
ARKANSAS . . . . .	1 860	1 860	1 781	79	-
STATE . . . . .	616	616	537	79	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 244	1 244	1 244	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	936	936	936	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	309	309	309	-	-
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	201 434	201 434	198 488	2 946	21 271
STATE . . . . .	64 419	43 348	42 638	710	21 071
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	158 099	158 086	155 850	2 236	200
COUNTIES . . . . .	151 582	151 456	149 228	2 228	127
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 704	6 630	6 622	8	73
COLORADO . . . . .	8 332	8 332	8 332	-	-
STATE . . . . .	8 255	8 255	8 255	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	77	77	77	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	37	37	37	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	41	41	41	-	-
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	7 633	7 633	7 626	7	13
STATE . . . . .	7 611	7 598	7 591	7	13
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	35	35	35	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	35	35	35	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	750	750	739	11	-
STATE . . . . .	750	750	739	11	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	12 727	12 727	12 675	52	-
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	12 727	12 727	12 675	52	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12 727	12 727	12 675	52	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	34 266	34 266	33 992	274	-
STATE . . . . .	32 407	32 407	32 405	2	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 859	1 859	1 587	272	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	816	816	806	9	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 043	1 043	781	262	-
GEORGIA . . . . .	19 519	19 519	19 502	17	2 836
STATE . . . . .	17 078	14 313	14 313	-	2 765
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 208	5 206	5 189	17	71
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 077	5 076	5 059	17	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	199	130	130	-	69

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 49. Probation, pardon and parole expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total <sup>3</sup>	Direct current	Capital outlay	
HAWAII . . . . .	3 090	3 090	3 090	-	-
STATE . . . . .	3 075	3 075	3 075	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	15	15	15	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	15	15	15	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	2 880	2 880	2 847	34	15
STATE . . . . .	2 588	2 588	2 564	24	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	307	292	283	10	15
COUNTIES . . . . .	307	292	283	10	15
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	30 875	30 875	30 676	199	7
STATE . . . . .	13 641	13 641	13 509	132	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	17 234	17 234	17 167	67	7
COUNTIES . . . . .	17 242	17 234	17 167	67	7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA . . . . .	7 495	7 495	7 454	41	71
STATE . . . . .	2 128	2 128	2 128	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 367	5 367	5 326	41	71
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 935	3 864	3 824	40	71
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 503	1 503	1 502	1	-
IOWA . . . . .	8 482	8 482	8 459	23	1 137
STATE . . . . .	3 088	2 184	2 162	22	904
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6 322	6 298	6 297	1	233
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 281	6 048	6 047	1	233
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	250	250	250	-	-
KANSAS . . . . .	2 745	2 745	2 560	185	5
STATE . . . . .	1 378	1 378	1 365	13	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 372	1 367	1 195	172	5
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 294	1 294	1 123	172	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	77	72	72	-	5
KENTUCKY . . . . .	4 433	4 433	4 368	65	15
STATE . . . . .	3 694	3 681	3 622	59	13
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	752	752	746	6	2
COUNTIES . . . . .	676	674	668	6	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	78	78	78	-	-
LOUISIANA . . . . .	13 639	13 639	13 623	16	25
STATE . . . . .	11 655	11 655	11 655	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 984	1 984	1 968	16	25
PARISHES . . . . .	962	962	947	16	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 047	1 022	1 022	-	25
MAINE . . . . .	898	898	898	-	-
STATE . . . . .	898	898	898	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	21 465	21 465	20 978	487	-
STATE . . . . .	20 385	20 385	20 243	142	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 080	1 080	735	345	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 080	1 080	735	345	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	23 761	23 761	23 126	634	-
STATE . . . . .	5 079	5 079	5 079	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	18 682	18 682	18 047	634	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 175	15 175	14 546	629	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 507	3 507	3 501	5	-
MICHIGAN . . . . .	29 624	29 624	25 590	4 034	1 387
STATE . . . . .	13 098	12 117	8 117	4 000	981
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	17 094	17 507	17 473	33	406
COUNTIES . . . . .	15 943	15 544	15 512	32	399
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 970	1 962	1 961	1	7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 49. Probation, pardon and parole expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total <sup>3</sup>	Direct current	Capital outlay	
MINNESOTA . . . . .	14 890	14 890	14 798	92	1 132
STATE . . . . .	5 343	4 289	4 272	17	1 054
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 627	10 601	10 526	75	78
COUNTIES . . . . .	10 671	10 593	10 518	75	78
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8	8	8	-	-
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	2 007	2 007	1 975	32	-
STATE . . . . .	1 943	1 943	1 911	32	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	64	64	64	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	11	11	11	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	53	53	53	-	-
MISSOURI . . . . .	11 966	11 966	11 805	161	-
STATE . . . . .	6 262	6 262	6 102	160	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 704	5 704	5 703	1	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 729	1 728	1 727	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 976	3 976	3 976	-	-
MONTANA . . . . .	3 010	3 010	2 812	198	28
STATE . . . . .	1 885	1 885	1 690	195	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 125	1 125	1 122	3	28
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 153	1 125	1 122	3	28
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	4 255	4 255	4 223	32	4
STATE . . . . .	3 302	3 302	3 299	3	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	956	953	924	29	4
COUNTIES . . . . .	719	714	685	29	4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	239	239	239	-	-
NEVADA . . . . .	4 630	4 630	4 588	42	558
STATE . . . . .	2 835	2 279	2 256	23	556
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 351	2 351	2 332	19	2
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 263	2 263	2 244	19	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	90	88	88	1	2
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	1 628	1 628	1 615	13	-
STATE . . . . .	1 291	1 291	1 278	13	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	337	337	337	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	337	337	337	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	30 578	30 578	30 476	102	35
STATE . . . . .	5 405	5 405	5 405	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	25 173	25 173	25 071	102	35
COUNTIES . . . . .	25 179	25 144	25 042	102	35
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	29	29	29	-	-
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	3 138	3 138	3 108	30	107
STATE . . . . .	2 877	2 877	2 847	30	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	367	261	261	-	107
COUNTIES . . . . .	110	3	3	-	107
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	258	258	258	-	-
NEW YORK . . . . .	78 076	78 076	67 295	10 781	2
STATE . . . . .	26 805	26 805	16 772	10 033	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	51 271	51 271	50 523	748	2
COUNTIES . . . . .	32 524	32 522	32 306	216	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18 748	18 748	18 217	531	-
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	14 088	14 088	13 624	465	-
STATE . . . . .	13 811	13 811	13 351	460	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	277	277	273	5	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	277	277	273	5	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	823	823	822	1	-
STATE . . . . .	524	524	524	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	299	299	298	1	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	299	299	298	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 49. Probation, pardon and parole expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total <sup>3</sup>	Direct current	Capital outlay	
OHIO . . . . .	25 187	25 187	25 157	31	4 048
STATE . . . . .	11 973	7 980	7 980	-	3 993
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	17 207	17 207	17 177	31	53
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 636	14 636	14 605	31	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 625	2 572	2 572	-	53
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	13 648	13 648	4 563	9 085	2
STATE . . . . .	12 143	12 143	3 061	9 082	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 505	1 505	1 502	3	2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 367	1 367	1 364	3	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	140	138	138	-	2
OREGON . . . . .	10 784	10 784	10 733	51	13
STATE . . . . .	6 450	6 450	6 418	32	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 334	4 334	4 315	19	13
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 002	4 002	3 984	19	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	344	331	331	-	13
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	36 357	36 357	35 852	505	3 635
STATE . . . . .	13 624	10 080	10 059	21	3 544
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26 323	26 277	25 793	484	91
COUNTIES . . . . .	14 248	14 156	13 672	484	91
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12 121	12 121	12 121	-	-
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	2 125	2 125	2 119	6	-
STATE . . . . .	2 125	2 125	2 119	6	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	4 962	4 962	4 365	597	-
STATE . . . . .	4 513	4 513	3 917	596	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	449	449	448	1	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	449	449	448	1	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	44	44	44	-	-
STATE . . . . .	44	44	44	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	6 811	6 811	6 664	147	1
STATE . . . . .	4 683	4 683	4 543	140	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 128	2 128	2 121	7	1
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 195	1 195	1 189	6	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	934	934	932	1	1
TEXAS . . . . .	30 228	30 228	26 938	3 290	155
STATE . . . . .	7 614	7 614	4 905	2 709	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	22 614	22 614	22 033	581	155
COUNTIES . . . . .	22 578	22 426	21 845	581	152
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	191	188	188	-	3
UTAH . . . . .	4 240	4 240	4 197	43	-
STATE . . . . .	4 240	4 240	4 197	43	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
VERMONT . . . . .	1 288	1 288	1 269	19	-
STATE . . . . .	1 286	1 286	1 267	19	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2	2	2	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2	2	2	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	17 559	17 559	16 680	879	56
STATE . . . . .	11 687	11 687	11 678	9	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 872	5 872	5 002	870	56
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 328	3 282	2 453	830	46
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 600	2 590	2 549	40	10

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 49. Probation, pardon and parole expenditure by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total <sup>3</sup>	Direct current	Capital outlay	
WASHINGTON . . . . .	17 271	17 271	17 165	106	3 159
STATE . . . . .	10 650	7 805	7 738	67	2 845
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9 467	9 466	9 427	39	314
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 891	8 592	8 553	39	299
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	888	874	874	-	15
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	1 215	1 215	1 203	12	-
STATE . . . . .	1 189	1 189	1 177	12	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26	26	26	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	26	26	26	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	13 475	13 475	13 475	-	-
STATE . . . . .	12 328	12 328	12 328	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 147	1 147	1 147	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 147	1 147	1 147	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	625	625	621	4	-
STATE . . . . .	494	494	494	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	131	131	127	4	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	46	46	46	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	85	85	81	4	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.

<sup>3</sup>Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTFQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	250 710	239 106	243 248	23.5	182 401	174 466	177 369	72.9
STATE, . . . . .	147 695	144 420	145 552	48.8	112 829	111 144	111 685	76.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	103 015	94 686	97 696	13.2	69 572	63 322	65 684	67.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	82 631	74 837	77 682	27.0	54 551	48 515	50 789	65.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	20 384	19 849	20 014	4.4	15 021	14 807	14 895	74.4
ALABAMA, . . . . .	2 672	2 602	2 627	20.3	2 098	2 032	2 057	78.3
STATE, . . . . .	1 583	1 583	1 583	39.5	1 222	1 222	1 222	77.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 089	1 019	1 044	11.7	876	810	835	80.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	927	864	884	28.0	722	663	684	77.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	162	155	160	2.8	154	147	151	94.4
ALASKA, . . . . .	619	575	587	21.2	479	444	454	77.3
STATE, . . . . .	577	535	546	27.4	440	407	416	76.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	42	40	41	5.3	39	37	38	92.7
BOROUGHs . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	42	40	41	5.5	39	37	38	92.7
ARIZONA, . . . . .	2 887	2 846	2 866	22.8	1 925	1 896	1 911	66.7
STATE, . . . . .	1 441	1 426	1 435	42.5	1 061	1 050	1 058	73.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 446	1 420	1 431	15.6	864	846	853	59.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 391	1 366	1 376	30.5	835	817	824	59.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	55	54	55	1.2	29	29	29	52.7
ARKANSAS, . . . . .	1 684	1 609	1 636	24.0	1 269	1 218	1 238	75.7
STATE, . . . . .	1 143	1 134	1 137	52.0	871	863	865	76.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	541	475	499	10.8	398	355	373	74.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	450	390	411	22.0	335	294	312	75.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	91	85	88	3.2	63	61	61	69.3
CALIFORNIA, . . . . .	35 500	32 729	33 749	27.4	22 764	20 654	21 444	63.5
STATE, . . . . .	13 803	13 166	13 304	49.9	10 306	9 881	9 939	74.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 697	19 563	20 445	21.1	12 458	10 773	11 505	56.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	20 759	18 634	19 510	34.9	11 942	10 261	10 989	56.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	938	929	935	2.3	516	512	516	55.2
COLORADO, . . . . .	2 335	2 251	2 281	18.0	1 803	1 732	1 758	77.1
STATE, . . . . .	1 566	1 535	1 544	37.8	1 063	1 042	1 049	67.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	769	716	737	8.6	740	690	709	96.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	481	438	451	19.3	462	421	434	96.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	288	278	286	4.6	278	269	275	96.2
CONNECTICUT, . . . . .	2 860	2 808	2 843	22.3	1 961	1 951	1 954	68.7
STATE, . . . . .	2 854	2 803	2 838	45.3	1 961	1 951	1 954	68.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6	5	5	.1	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6	5	5	.1	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE, . . . . .	877	872	872	25.9	737	732	732	83.9
STATE, . . . . .	877	872	872	38.0	737	732	732	83.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, . . . . .	2 878	2 878	2 878	33.3	1 992	1 992	1 992	69.2
STATE, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 878	2 878	2 878	33.3	1 992	1 992	1 992	69.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 878	2 878	2 878	33.3	1 992	1 992	1 992	69.2
FLORIDA, . . . . .	13 423	12 949	13 189	27.8	9 535	9 408	9 456	71.7
STATE, . . . . .	10 077	9 653	9 885	58.8	6 421	6 338	6 380	64.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 346	3 296	3 304	10.8	3 114	3 070	3 076	93.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 683	2 638	2 645	17.1	2 520	2 480	2 486	94.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	663	658	659	4.4	594	590	590	89.5
GEORGIA, . . . . .	6 669	6 520	6 576	28.3	4 814	4 700	4 745	72.2
STATE, . . . . .	4 398	4 392	4 394	58.5	2 985	2 979	2 981	67.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 271	2 128	2 182	13.9	1 829	1 721	1 764	80.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 950	1 813	1 864	22.9	1 520	1 418	1 459	78.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	321	315	318	4.2	309	303	305	95.9

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	53 540	50 732	51 686	21.2	14 769	13 908	14 147	5.8
STATE . . . . .	22 371	21 285	21 655	14.9	12 495	11 991	12 166	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	31 169	29 447	30 031	30.7	2 274	1 917	1 981	2.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	26 598	25 039	25 566	32.9	1 482	1 283	1 327	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 571	4 408	4 465	22.3	792	634	654	3.3
ALABAMA . . . . .	462	461	461	17.5	112	107	109	4.2
STATE . . . . .	272	272	272	17.2	89	89	89	5.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	190	189	189	18.1	23	18	20	1.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	182	181	181	20.5	23	18	20	2.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8	8	8	5.6	-	-	-	-
ALASKA . . . . .	97	93	94	16.0	43	38	38	6.7
STATE . . . . .	97	93	94	17.2	40	35	35	6.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	7.3
BOROUGHs . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	7.3
ARIZONA . . . . .	851	841	844	29.4	111	108	109	3.9
STATE . . . . .	282	280	280	19.5	98	96	97	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	569	561	564	39.4	13	12	12	1.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	548	541	543	39.5	8	8	8	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	21	20	21	38.2	5	4	4	9.1
ARKANSAS . . . . .	185	167	173	10.6	230	223	227	13.7
STATE . . . . .	50	50	50	4.4	222	221	222	19.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	135	117	123	24.6	8	2	5	0.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	108	93	97	23.6	7	2	4	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	27	24	26	29.5	1	-	1	1.2
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	10 368	9 899	10 064	29.8	2 368	2 174	2 256	6.7
STATE . . . . .	1 642	1 526	1 570	11.8	1 855	1 759	1 795	13.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 726	8 373	8 494	41.5	513	415	461	2.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 403	8 055	8 174	41.9	414	317	363	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	323	318	320	34.2	99	98	98	10.6
COLORADO . . . . .	423	412	416	18.2	109	106	107	4.7
STATE . . . . .	420	410	413	26.7	83	83	83	5.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3	2	3	.4	26	23	24	3.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	19	17	18	3.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3	2	3	1.0	7	6	6	2.8
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	507	498	500	17.6	392	359	389	13.7
STATE . . . . .	503	495	497	17.5	390	357	387	13.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4	3	3	60.0	2	2	2	40.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4	3	3	60.0	2	2	2	40.0
DELAWARE . . . . .	63	63	63	7.2	77	77	77	8.9
STATE . . . . .	63	63	63	7.2	77	77	77	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	567	567	567	19.7	319	319	319	11.1
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	567	567	567	19.7	319	319	319	11.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	567	567	567	19.7	319	319	319	11.1
FLORIDA . . . . .	2 996	2 687	2 857	21.7	892	852	874	6.6
STATE . . . . .	2 892	2 584	2 753	27.9	764	731	752	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	104	103	104	3.1	128	121	122	3.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	66	66	66	2.5	97	91	92	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	38	37	38	5.8	31	30	30	4.7
GEORGIA . . . . .	1 599	1 565	1 575	24.0	256	254	254	3.8
STATE . . . . .	1 164	1 164	1 164	26.5	249	249	249	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	435	401	411	18.8	7	5	5	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	423	389	399	21.4	7	5	5	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12	12	12	4.1	-	-	-	-

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total correction employment
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
HAWAII . . . . .	657	645	650	15.4	471	471	471	
STATE . . . . .	618	607	611	39.3	433	433	433	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	39	38	39	1.5	38	38	38	
COUNTIES . . . . .	39	38	39	5.7	38	38	38	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IDAHO . . . . .	998	560	673	18.4	467	442	454	
STATE . . . . .	821	411	508	45.5	319	319	319	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	177	149	165	6.5	148	123	135	
COUNTIES . . . . .	171	143	159	11.0	142	117	129	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6	6	6	.5	6	6	6	14
ILLINOIS . . . . .	9 679	9 390	9 489	17.5	6 600	6 378	6 457	
STATE . . . . .	5 712	5 712	5 712	50.0	4 026	4 026	4 026	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 967	3 778	3 777	8.8	2 574	2 352	2 431	
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 949	3 661	3 760	25.8	2 561	2 339	2 418	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18	17	17	.1	13	13	13	
INDIANA . . . . .	4 148	3 840	3 927	20.0	3 226	3 101	3 148	
STATE . . . . .	2 327	2 304	2 310	42.0	2 111	2 090	2 096	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 821	1 536	1 617	11.4	1 115	1 011	1 052	
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 266	1 097	1 169	22.5	819	715	756	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	555	439	448	5.0	296	296	296	
IOWA . . . . .	2 622	2 366	2 470	24.4	1 933	1 778	1 845	
STATE . . . . .	1 568	1 531	1 546	48.8	1 445	1 408	1 423	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 054	835	924	13.3	488	370	422	
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 017	801	889	26.1	466	351	402	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	37	34	35	1.0	22	19	20	
KANSAS . . . . .	3 098	2 936	2 985	27.7	2 776	2 648	2 682	
STATE . . . . .	2 292	2 292	2 292	64.0	2 133	2 133	2 133	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	806	644	693	9.6	643	515	549	
COUNTIES . . . . .	758	600	647	18.7	603	475	509	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	48	44	46	1.2	40	40	40	
KENTUCKY . . . . .	2 884	2 687	2 756	21.7	2 408	2 244	2 300	
STATE . . . . .	1 681	1 646	1 664	38.4	1 386	1 359	1 373	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 203	1 041	1 092	13.1	1 022	885	927	
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 034	879	926	21.9	860	730	768	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	169	162	166	4.0	162	155	159	
LOUISIANA . . . . .	5 215	5 024	5 104	24.3	4 175	4 006	4 073	
STATE . . . . .	3 584	3 513	3 530	51.9	2 742	2 683	2 697	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 631	1 509	1 574	11.1	1 433	1 323	1 376	
PARISHES . . . . .	794	681	738	13.2	717	615	661	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	837	828	836	9.7	716	708	715	
MAINE . . . . .	906	798	836	22.8	836	728	766	
STATE . . . . .	653	631	640	38.7	583	561	570	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	253	167	196	9.7	253	167	196	
COUNTIES . . . . .	253	167	196	37.5	253	167	196	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MARYLAND . . . . .	6 475	6 453	6 458	28.8	4 692	4 670	4 675	
STATE . . . . .	5 328	5 328	5 328	54.0	3 578	3 578	3 578	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 147	1 125	1 130	9.0	1 114	1 092	1 097	
COUNTIES . . . . .	621	599	604	9.5	588	566	571	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	526	526	526	8.5	526	526	526	
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	5 804	5 682	5 724	20.4	3 709	3 631	3 657	
STATE . . . . .	3 339	3 335	3 336	48.3	2 569	2 569	2 569	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 465	2 347	2 388	11.3	1 140	1 062	1 088	
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 947	1 830	1 870	46.0	853	775	801	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	518	517	518	3.0	287	287	287	
MICHIGAN . . . . .	9 870	9 367	9 522	22.6	7 716	7 410	7 502	
STATE . . . . .	5 401	5 401	5 401	53.8	4 606	4 606	4 606	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 469	3 966	4 121	12.8	3 110	2 804	2 896	
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 926	3 539	3 664	29.2	2 805	2 501	2 593	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	543	427	457	2.3	305	303	303	

<sup>2</sup> See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
HAWAII . . . . .	136	129	133	20.5	50	45	46	7.0
STATE . . . . .	135	129	132	21.6	50	45	46	7.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1	-	1	2.6	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1	-	1	2.6	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	507	94	191	28.4	24	24	24	4.1
STATE . . . . .	478	68	162	31.9	24	24	24	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	29	26	29	18.2	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	29	26	29	18.9	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	2 266	2 201	2 222	23.4	813	811	811	8.6
STATE . . . . .	907	907	907	15.9	779	779	779	13.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 359	1 294	1 315	34.8	34	32	32	0.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 359	1 294	1 315	35.0	29	28	28	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	5	4	4	23.5
INDIANA . . . . .	719	652	682	17.4	203	87	98	2.4
STATE . . . . .	134	132	133	5.8	82	82	82	3.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	585	520	549	34.0	121	5	16	0.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	440	378	404	34.6	7	4	9	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	145	142	145	32.4	114	1	7	1.5
IOWA . . . . .	653	556	596	24.1	36	32	32	1.2
STATE . . . . .	94	94	94	6.1	29	29	29	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	559	462	502	54.0	7	3	3	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	544	447	487	54.5	7	3	3	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15	15	15	42.9	-	-	-	-
KANSAS . . . . .	256	224	241	8.1	66	64	64	2.1
STATE . . . . .	95	95	95	4.1	64	64	64	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	161	129	146	21.0	2	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	153	125	140	21.3	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8	4	6	13.0	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY . . . . .	368	344	352	12.8	108	99	104	3.7
STATE . . . . .	281	273	277	16.6	14	14	14	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	87	71	75	6.9	94	85	90	8.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	81	65	69	7.5	93	84	89	9.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6	6	6	4.2	1	1	1	-
LOUISIANA . . . . .	820	803	812	15.9	223	218	218	4.3
STATE . . . . .	628	623	624	17.7	214	209	209	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	192	180	188	11.9	9	9	9	0.7
PARISHES . . . . .	80	69	76	10.4	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	112	111	112	13.4	9	9	9	1.1
MAINE . . . . .	63	63	63	7.5	7	7	7	0.9
STATE . . . . .	63	63	63	9.8	7	7	7	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	1 524	1 524	1 524	23.6	259	259	259	4.0
STATE . . . . .	1 492	1 492	1 492	28.0	258	258	258	4.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	32	32	32	2.8	1	1	1	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	32	32	32	5.3	1	1	1	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	1 677	1 636	1 648	28.8	418	415	417	7.3
STATE . . . . .	372	368	369	11.1	398	398	398	11.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 305	1 268	1 279	53.6	20	17	19	0.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 091	1 054	1 065	57.0	3	1	3	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	214	214	214	41.3	17	16	16	3.3
MICHIGAN . . . . .	1 779	1 600	1 660	17.4	375	357	360	3.8
STATE . . . . .	546	546	546	10.1	249	249	249	4.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 233	1 054	1 114	27.0	126	108	111	2.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 026	946	979	26.7	95	92	92	2.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	207	108	135	29.5	31	16	19	4.2

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees <sup>1</sup>
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MINNESOTA . . . . .	3 425	3 139	3 233	22.9	2 305	2 139	2 187	67.6
STATE . . . . .	1 705	1 580	1 633	51.1	1 378	1 311	1 342	82.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 720	1 559	1 600	14.7	927	828	845	52.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 708	1 553	1 590	28.4	920	823	840	52.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12	6	10	.2	7	5	5	50.0
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	1 500	1 427	1 453	19.7	1 293	1 233	1 257	86.5
STATE . . . . .	1 091	1 073	1 078	43.7	894	880	884	82.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	409	354	375	7.6	399	353	373	99.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	331	280	299	15.1	322	279	297	99.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	78	74	76	2.6	77	74	76	100.0
MISSOURI . . . . .	4 709	4 317	4 457	20.0	3 408	3 181	3 268	73.3
STATE . . . . .	2 733	2 610	2 656	48.2	1 937	1 830	1 868	70.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 976	1 707	1 801	10.7	1 471	1 351	1 400	77.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 248	986	1 077	21.3	970	856	903	83.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	728	721	724	6.2	501	495	497	68.6
MONTANA . . . . .	906	783	841	24.8	653	591	627	74.5
STATE . . . . .	631	599	617	54.9	522	491	510	82.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	275	184	224	9.9	131	100	117	52.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	267	178	217	15.6	123	94	110	50.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8	6	7	.8	8	6	7	100.0
NEBRASKA . . . . .	1 362	1 211	1 260	21.2	1 061	924	968	76.8
STATE . . . . .	863	861	862	40.4	634	634	634	73.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	499	350	398	10.5	427	290	334	83.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	466	322	368	22.7	401	269	311	84.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	33	28	30	1.4	26	21	23	76.7
NEVADA . . . . .	1 409	1 383	1 391	27.6	1 087	1 069	1 076	77.4
STATE . . . . .	699	696	697	57.8	523	520	521	74.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	710	687	694	18.1	564	549	555	80.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	654	639	644	22.4	518	511	515	80.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	56	48	50	5.2	46	38	40	80.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	711	674	691	22.4	575	546	561	81.2
STATE . . . . .	491	471	481	48.0	388	372	381	79.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	220	203	210	10.1	187	174	180	85.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	187	174	180	40.4	187	174	180	100.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	33	29	30	1.8	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	9 730	9 457	9 584	21.3	6 639	6 376	6 499	69.2
STATE . . . . .	4 033	4 014	4 023	40.4	3 328	3 309	3 318	85.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 697	5 443	5 561	15.9	3 311	3 067	3 181	57.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 640	5 389	5 505	42.5	3 304	3 060	3 174	57.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	57	54	56	.3	7	7	7	12.5
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	1 160	1 131	1 146	20.1	880	853	867	75.7
STATE . . . . .	816	809	814	31.2	559	553	558	68.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	344	322	332	10.7	321	300	309	93.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	164	142	152	18.4	160	139	148	97.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	180	180	180	7.9	161	161	161	89.4
NEW YORK . . . . .	25 751	25 094	25 322	21.5	19 931	19 460	19 643	77.8
STATE . . . . .	14 787	14 500	14 597	43.8	12 584	12 425	12 482	85.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 964	10 594	10 725	12.7	7 377	7 035	7 161	66.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 848	5 481	5 612	27.6	3 424	3 085	3 211	57.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 116	5 113	5 113	8.0	3 953	3 950	3 950	77.3
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	7 382	7 139	7 196	31.4	6 042	5 804	5 859	81.4
STATE . . . . .	6 452	6 300	6 323	53.0	5 130	4 982	5 004	79.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	930	839	873	8.0	912	822	855	97.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	925	834	868	22.0	907	817	850	97.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5	5	5	.1	5	5	5	100.0
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	426	351	372	18.1	344	294	309	83.3
STATE . . . . .	297	287	290	48.9	257	250	251	86.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	129	64	82	5.6	87	44	58	70.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	127	63	81	11.3	85	43	57	70.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2	1	1	.1	2	1	1	100.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MINNESOTA . . . . .	834	765	794	24.6	286	235	257	7.3
STATE . . . . .	157	144	148	9.1	170	125	143	7.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	677	621	646	40.4	116	110	114	6.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	676	620	645	40.6	112	110	110	6.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	1	1	10.0	4	-	4	40.0
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	192	182	183	12.6	15	12	13	0.1
STATE . . . . .	186	182	183	17.0	11	11	11	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6	-	-	-	4	1	2	0.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	6	-	-	-	3	1	2	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
MISSOURI . . . . .	908	763	806	18.1	297	278	288	6.4
STATE . . . . .	460	445	449	16.9	240	240	240	9.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	448	318	357	19.8	57	38	44	2.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	222	93	131	12.2	56	37	43	4.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	226	225	226	31.2	1	1	1	0.2
MONTANA . . . . .	214	154	180	21.4	39	36	36	4.0
STATE . . . . .	81	80	80	13.0	28	28	28	4.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	133	74	100	44.6	11	8	8	3.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	133	74	100	46.1	11	8	8	3.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	188	174	177	14.0	113	113	113	7.1
STATE . . . . .	127	125	125	14.5	102	102	102	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	61	49	52	13.1	11	11	12	3.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	56	44	47	12.8	9	9	10	2.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5	5	5	16.7	2	2	2	6.6
NEVADA . . . . .	274	267	270	19.4	48	46	46	3.2
STATE . . . . .	130	130	130	18.7	46	46	46	6.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	144	137	140	20.0	2	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	134	127	130	20.0	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10	10	10	20.0	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	136	128	130	18.8	-	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	103	99	100	20.8	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	33	29	30	14.3	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	33	29	30	100.0	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	2 673	2 664	2 668	27.8	418	417	417	3.0
STATE . . . . .	341	341	341	8.8	364	364	364	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 332	2 323	2 327	41.8	54	53	53	1.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 328	2 322	2 324	42.2	8	7	7	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4	1	3	5.4	46	46	46	82.1
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	261	259	259	22.6	19	19	19	1.7
STATE . . . . .	242	241	241	29.6	15	15	15	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	19	18	18	5.4	4	4	4	1.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	1	-	-	-	3	3	3	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18	18	18	10.0	1	1	1	0.6
NEW YORK . . . . .	4 474	4 442	4 451	17.6	1 346	1 191	1 230	4.1
STATE . . . . .	894	889	890	6.1	1 339	1 186	1 225	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 580	3 553	3 561	33.1	7	5	5	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 417	2 390	2 398	42.7	7	5	5	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 163	1 163	1 163	22.7	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	1 087	1 083	1 084	15.1	253	252	253	3.5
STATE . . . . .	1 069	1 066	1 067	16.9	253	252	253	4.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	18	17	17	2.1	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	18	17	17	2.1	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	78	55	62	16.7	4	2	3	0.2
STATE . . . . .	40	37	38	13.4	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	38	18	24	25.6	4	2	3	3.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	38	18	24	25.9	4	2	3	3.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
OHIO . . . . .	11 615	11 121	11 284	22.9	8 984	8 621	8 754	77.4
STATE . . . . .	6 767	6 767	6 767	63.2	5 656	5 656	5 656	83.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 848	4 354	4 517	11.7	3 328	2 965	3 098	68.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 153	3 684	3 838	28.5	2 832	2 482	2 609	68.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	695	670	679	2.7	496	483	489	72.6
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	2 757	2 682	2 716	23.8	1 833	1 766	1 795	66.1
STATE . . . . .	2 201	2 201	2 201	47.3	1 415	1 415	1 415	64.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	556	481	515	7.6	418	351	380	73.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	468	396	429	23.8	339	272	301	70.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	88	85	86	1.7	79	79	79	91.8
OREGON . . . . .	3 119	2 932	2 999	26.3	2 127	1 968	2 031	67.7
STATE . . . . .	1 915	1 883	1 909	48.4	1 343	1 316	1 340	70.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 204	1 049	1 090	14.6	784	652	691	63.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 141	994	1 035	25.0	782	650	689	69.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	63	55	55	1.7	2	2	2	3.6
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	10 079	9 690	9 834	19.3	7 415	7 089	7 214	73.4
STATE . . . . .	4 404	4 330	4 351	37.7	3 693	3 652	3 666	84.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 675	5 360	5 483	13.9	3 722	3 437	3 548	64.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 739	3 424	3 547	32.0	2 593	2 308	2 419	68.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 936	1 936	1 936	6.8	1 129	1 129	1 129	58.3
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	800	799	799	19.0	484	484	484	60.6
STATE . . . . .	799	798	798	42.5	483	483	483	60.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	100.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	100.0
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	3 531	3 433	3 476	29.5	2 690	2 606	2 643	76.0
STATE . . . . .	2 702	2 664	2 677	55.2	1 934	1 910	1 917	71.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	829	769	799	11.5	756	696	726	90.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	659	600	630	17.2	586	527	557	88.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	170	169	169	5.2	170	169	169	100.0
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	382	312	335	14.6	326	275	290	86.6
STATE . . . . .	273	247	257	26.1	221	212	215	83.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	109	65	78	5.9	105	63	75	96.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	108	64	77	14.8	104	62	74	96.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	1	1	.1	1	1	1	100.0
TENNESSEE . . . . .	4 861	4 791	4 818	27.2	4 143	4 074	4 100	85.1
STATE . . . . .	3 242	3 242	3 242	55.1	2 792	2 792	2 792	86.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 619	1 549	1 576	13.3	1 351	1 282	1 308	83.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 020	951	978	24.2	908	840	866	88.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	599	598	598	7.7	443	442	442	73.9
TEXAS . . . . .	9 575	9 030	9 216	17.9	6 671	6 369	6 482	70.1
STATE . . . . .	4 627	4 432	4 508	39.3	3 939	3 792	3 854	85.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 948	4 598	4 708	11.8	2 732	2 577	2 628	55.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 672	4 333	4 440	25.3	2 458	2 313	2 361	51.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	276	265	268	1.2	274	264	267	99.6
UTAH . . . . .	1 126	1 016	1 057	21.5	746	670	699	66.1
STATE . . . . .	865	804	826	46.3	486	458	469	56.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	261	212	231	7.4	260	212	230	99.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	257	211	227	16.4	257	211	227	100.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4	1	4	.2	3	1	3	75.0
VT . . . . .	497	480	485	25.5	347	330	335	69.1
STATE . . . . .	496	479	484	40.3	346	329	334	69.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1	1	1	.1	1	1	1	100.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	1	1	1	2.0	1	1	1	100.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INIA . . . . .	8 515	8 267	8 356	36.4	6 187	6 029	6 090	72.9
STATE . . . . .	6 093	6 002	6 038	60.6	4 187	4 143	4 161	68.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 422	2 265	2 318	17.9	2 000	1 886	1 929	83.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 022	975	988	18.7	814	783	792	80.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 400	1 290	1 330	17.3	1 186	1 103	1 137	85.5

see footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
OHIO . . . . .	1 880	1 758	1 791	15.9	751	742	742	6.5
STATE . . . . .	477	477	477	7.0	634	634	634	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 403	1 281	1 314	29.1	117	108	108	2.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 234	1 124	1 154	30.1	87	78	79	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	169	157	160	23.6	30	30	30	4.4
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	376	368	374	13.8	548	546	546	20.1
STATE . . . . .	248	248	248	11.3	538	538	538	24.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	128	120	126	24.5	10	8	8	1.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	120	115	119	27.7	9	8	8	2.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8	5	7	8.1	1	-	-	-
OREGON . . . . .	751	738	742	24.7	242	224	225	7.6
STATE . . . . .	412	408	409	21.4	161	159	160	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	339	330	333	30.6	81	65	65	6.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	295	286	289	27.9	64	57	57	5.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	44	44	44	80.0	17	8	8	16.4
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	2 526	2 493	2 504	25.5	138	106	112	1.1
STATE . . . . .	590	586	587	13.5	121	92	98	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 936	1 907	1 917	35.0	17	14	14	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 130	1 101	1 111	31.3	16	14	14	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	806	806	806	41.7	1	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	141	141	141	17.6	175	174	174	21.8
STATE . . . . .	141	141	141	17.7	175	174	174	21.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	398	387	391	11.2	446	440	442	12.8
STATE . . . . .	346	335	339	12.7	425	419	421	15.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	52	52	52	6.5	21	21	21	2.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	52	52	52	8.3	21	21	21	3.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	25	18	20	6.0	31	19	24	7.4
STATE . . . . .	25	18	20	7.8	27	17	22	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	4	2	2	3.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	4	2	2	3.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	643	642	643	13.3	75	75	75	1.6
STATE . . . . .	378	378	378	11.7	72	72	72	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	265	264	265	16.8	3	3	3	0.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	109	108	109	11.1	3	3	3	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	156	156	156	26.1	-	-	-	-
TEXAS . . . . .	2 445	2 219	2 280	24.7	459	442	454	5.0
STATE . . . . .	368	331	340	7.5	320	309	314	7.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 077	1 888	1 940	41.2	139	133	140	3.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 075	1 887	1 939	43.7	139	133	140	3.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2	1	1	.4	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	300	282	289	27.3	80	64	69	6.6
STATE . . . . .	300	282	289	35.0	79	64	68	8.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	25.0
VERMONT . . . . .	95	95	95	19.6	55	55	55	11.3
STATE . . . . .	95	95	95	19.6	55	55	55	11.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	1 490	1 438	1 451	17.4	838	800	813	9.7
STATE . . . . .	1 110	1 088	1 095	18.1	796	771	781	13.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	380	350	356	15.4	42	29	32	1.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	208	192	195	19.8	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	172	158	161	12.1	42	29	32	2.4

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTE) correctional employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
WASHINGTON . . . . .	4 749	4 541	4 595	29.5	3 321	3 174	3 210	69.1
STATE . . . . .	2 858	2 852	2 857	56.7	2 182	2 176	2 181	76.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 891	1 689	1 738	16.5	1 139	998	1 029	59.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 780	1 587	1 631	29.9	1 067	929	959	58.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	111	102	107	2.1	72	69	70	65.4
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	1 243	1 153	1 190	20.1	1 056	967	1 003	84.3
STATE . . . . .	922	903	919	34.2	737	719	735	80.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	321	250	271	8.4	319	248	268	98.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	320	249	270	16.7	318	247	267	98.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	1	1	.1	1	1	1	100.1
WISCONSIN . . . . .	4 185	3 954	4 024	21.6	3 078	2 948	2 992	74.4
STATE . . . . .	2 969	2 896	2 919	59.7	2 039	2 039	2 039	69.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 216	1 058	1 105	8.0	1 039	909	953	85.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 216	1 058	1 105	20.1	1 039	909	953	85.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	445	412	420	20.6	389	360	367	87.1
STATE . . . . .	321	308	312	48.1	274	265	268	85.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	124	104	108	7.8	115	95	99	91.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	94	91	91	13.3	91	88	88	98.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	30	13	17	2.4	24	7	11	64.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.<sup>2</sup>Because of rounding, detail may not add precisely to totals.



Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
WASHINGTON . . . . .	1 391	1 340	1 358	29.6	37	25	27	0.5
STATE . . . . .	676	676	676	23.7	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	715	664	682	39.2	37	25	27	1.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	677	632	645	39.5	36	25	27	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	38	32	37	34.6	1	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	91	91	91	7.6	96	94	95	8.1
STATE . . . . .	90	90	90	9.8	95	94	95	10.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1	1	1	.4	1	-	-	0.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1	1	1	.4	1	-	-	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	739	664	683	17.0	368	340	348	8.6
STATE . . . . .	640	592	607	20.8	290	265	273	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	99	72	76	6.9	78	75	75	6.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	99	72	76	6.9	78	75	75	6.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	47	43	43	10.2	9	9	9	2.4
STATE . . . . .	38	34	34	10.9	9	9	9	3.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9	9	9	8.3	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	3	3	3	3.3	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6	6	6	35.3	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 51. Detail of corrections payroll and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections		Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
	October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	271 645	21.8	196 197	72.2	58 940	21.7	16 508	6.1
STATE . . . . .	163 079	44.0	124 331	76.2	24 539	15.0	14 209	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	108 566	12.4	71 866	66.2	34 401	31.7	2 299	2.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	82 087	25.8	51 549	62.8	29 111	35.5	1 427	1.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	26 479	4.7	20 317	76.7	5 290	20.0	872	3.1
ALABAMA . . . . .	2 458	19.2	1 880	76.5	454	18.5	125	5.1
STATE . . . . .	1 599	33.9	1 215	76.0	275	17.2	109	6.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	859	10.6	663	77.4	179	20.8	15	1.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	710	26.1	525	74.0	169	23.9	15	2.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	150	2.8	140	93.7	9	6.3	-	-
ALASKA . . . . .	1 006	18.5	767	76.3	169	16.8	69	6.4
STATE . . . . .	948	24.2	712	75.1	169	17.9	67	7.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	57	3.8	55	95.5	-	-	3	4.5
BOROUGHs . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	57	4.0	55	95.5	-	-	3	4.5
ARIZONA . . . . .	3 120	20.4	2 006	64.3	991	31.8	123	3.4
STATE . . . . .	1 619	39.2	1 172	72.4	339	20.9	109	6.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 501	13.5	834	55.6	652	43.5	14	.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 451	29.3	814	56.1	629	43.4	9	.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	49	.8	21	42.2	23	47.2	5	10.4
ARKANSAS . . . . .	1 144	20.7	873	76.3	128	11.2	143	12.3
STATE . . . . .	819	43.6	637	77.8	40	4.9	142	17.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	324	8.9	236	72.8	88	27.0	1	0.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	262	19.9	195	74.4	67	25.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	63	2.7	41	66.1	21	32.9	1	.3
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	47 643	25.7	30 187	63.4	14 090	29.6	3 367	7.1
STATE . . . . .	20 493	50.0	15 274	74.5	2 443	11.9	2 776	13.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	27 150	18.8	14 913	54.9	11 646	42.9	591	2.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	26 000	32.2	14 271	54.9	11 227	43.2	502	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 150	1.8	642	55.8	420	36.5	88	7.1
COLORADO . . . . .	2 770	18.4	2 106	76.0	522	18.8	143	5.1
STATE . . . . .	2 034	38.8	1 396	68.6	519	25.5	119	5.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	736	7.5	710	96.4	3	.4	24	3.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	409	17.8	392	96.0	-	-	17	4.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	328	4.3	318	97.0	3	.9	7	2.1
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	3 116	20.1	2 178	69.9	553	17.8	384	12.1
STATE . . . . .	3 110	42.6	2 178	70.0	550	17.7	382	12.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6	.1	-	-	4	63.5	2	36.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6	.1	-	-	4	63.5	2	36.3
DELAWARE . . . . .	904	23.8	768	85.0	60	6.7	75	8.1
STATE . . . . .	904	35.3	768	85.0	60	6.7	75	8.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	4 594	32.6	3 125	68.0	898	19.5	571	12.4
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 594	32.6	3 125	68.0	898	19.5	571	12.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 594	32.6	3 125	68.0	898	19.5	571	12.1
FLORIDA . . . . .	13 003	24.6	9 106	70.0	2 889	22.2	1 008	7.1
STATE . . . . .	9 849	50.7	6 151	62.4	2 797	28.4	902	9.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 153	9.4	2 956	93.7	92	2.9	105	3.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 560	16.0	2 424	94.7	59	2.3	77	3.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	593	3.4	532	89.7	33	5.5	28	4.8
GEORGIA . . . . .	5 494	26.0	3 794	69.1	1 435	26.1	264	4.8
STATE . . . . .	3 742	51.4	2 463	65.8	1 019	27.2	259	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 752	12.7	1 331	76.0	416	23.7	6	.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 498	20.9	1 087	72.6	405	27.0	6	.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	254	3.8	244	95.9	11	4.1	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 51. Detail of corrections payroll and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections		Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
	October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll
HAWAII . . . . .	751	13.3	535	71.3	165	22.0	51	6.8
STATE . . . . .	702	36.2	487	69.3	164	23.4	51	7.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	49	1.3	48	98.8	1	1.2	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	49	5.0	48	98.8	1	1.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	632	17.9	414	65.6	191	30.2	27	4.2
STATE . . . . .	501	39.1	310	61.9	165	32.8	27	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	131	5.8	104	79.8	26	20.2	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	126	11.1	100	79.1	26	20.9	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4	.4	4	100.0	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	10 273	14.7	6 899	67.2	2 442	23.8	932	9.1
STATE . . . . .	6 366	43.6	4 434	69.6	1 033	16.2	899	14.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 907	7.0	2 465	63.1	1 410	36.1	33	.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 892	25.3	2 456	63.1	1 410	36.2	26	.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15	-	9	57.2	-	-	6	42.8
INDIANA . . . . .	3 747	20.1	2 896	77.3	743	19.8	108	2.9
STATE . . . . .	2 476	39.9	2 224	89.8	149	6.0	102	4.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 271	10.2	673	53.0	593	46.7	6	.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	895	22.7	410	45.8	485	54.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	377	4.4	263	69.8	108	28.8	6	1.5
IOWA . . . . .	2 785	24.0	2 098	75.3	626	22.5	60	2.2
STATE . . . . .	1 937	41.4	1 756	90.7	124	6.4	57	2.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	848	12.2	342	40.4	502	59.2	4	.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	807	25.4	322	39.8	482	59.7	4	.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	41	1.1	21	50.8	20	49.2	-	-
KANSAS . . . . .	2 434	25.4	2 179	89.5	190	7.8	65	2.7
STATE . . . . .	1 955	55.4	1 807	92.4	83	4.3	65	3.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	479	7.9	372	77.7	107	22.3	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	447	17.5	343	76.7	104	23.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	32	.9	29	90.0	3	10.0	-	-
KENTUCKY . . . . .	2 194	18.0	1 818	82.9	293	13.4	83	3.8
STATE . . . . .	1 336	29.8	1 096	82.0	225	16.9	14	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	858	11.1	722	84.2	68	7.9	68	8.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	719	19.2	590	82.0	61	8.5	68	9.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	139	3.5	132	95.2	7	4.8	-	-
LOUISIANA . . . . .	4 925	25.2	3 868	78.5	850	17.3	208	4.2
STATE . . . . .	3 553	47.0	2 667	75.1	685	19.3	201	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 372	11.4	1 200	87.5	165	12.1	7	.5
PARISHES . . . . .	554	11.4	485	87.6	69	12.4	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	818	11.4	715	87.4	97	11.8	7	.8
MAINE . . . . .	776	22.1	699	90.1	68	8.7	9	1.1
STATE . . . . .	629	36.8	553	87.8	68	10.8	9	1.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	146	8.1	146	100.0	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	146	37.4	146	100.0	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	7 293	26.5	5 335	73.2	1 703	23.4	255	3.4
STATE . . . . .	6 094	50.4	4 165	68.3	1 674	27.5	255	4.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 199	7.8	1 170	97.6	29	2.4	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	671	8.1	642	95.7	29	4.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	528	7.4	528	100.0	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	6 512	18.7	4 151	63.7	1 821	28.0	540	8.3
STATE . . . . .	3 805	44.9	2 843	74.7	440	11.6	523	13.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 707	10.3	1 309	48.3	1 382	51.0	17	.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 108	47.8	964	45.7	1 141	54.1	3	.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	599	2.7	345	57.6	240	40.1	14	2.3
MICHIGAN . . . . .	13 792	22.6	11 042	80.1	2 257	16.4	494	3.6
STATE . . . . .	8 375	49.8	7 161	85.5	870	10.4	344	4.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 417	12.3	3 880	71.6	1 387	25.6	149	2.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 821	30.4	3 448	71.5	1 240	25.7	133	2.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	596	2.1	433	72.6	147	24.7	16	2.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 51. Detail of corrections payroll and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections		Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
	October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll
MINNESOTA . . . . .	4 127	21.0	2 789	67.6	1 018	24.7	320	7.7
STATE . . . . .	2 204	46.9	1 806	81.9	207	9.4	191	8.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 924	12.9	983	51.1	811	42.2	130	6.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 916	24.2	979	51.1	810	42.3	128	6.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	7	.1	4	57.8	1	15.7	2	26.3
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	1 080	17.8	927	85.8	144	13.3	9	.9
STATE . . . . .	838	35.2	687	82.0	144	17.1	9	.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	242	6.6	240	99.4	-	.2	1	.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	191	13.8	189	99.3	-	.2	1	.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	51	2.2	51	99.6	-	-	-	.4
MISSOURI . . . . .	3 627	16.6	2 752	75.9	733	20.2	142	3.8
STATE . . . . .	2 078	40.1	1 575	75.8	397	19.1	106	5.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 549	9.3	1 177	76.0	336	21.7	36	2.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	849	19.8	714	84.1	99	11.7	36	4.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	700	5.7	463	66.2	237	33.8	-	-
MONTANA . . . . .	844	25.0	612	72.5	192	22.7	41	4.8
STATE . . . . .	660	50.2	523	79.3	101	15.4	35	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	185	9.0	89	48.3	90	48.9	5	2.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	179	14.8	84	46.7	90	50.3	5	2.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5	.6	5	100.0	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	1 195	18.5	900	75.3	207	17.3	84	7.4
STATE . . . . .	868	35.6	634	73.0	156	18.0	74	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	327	8.1	266	81.3	51	15.7	10	3.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	301	17.9	247	82.2	46	15.3	7	2.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	26	1.1	19	70.6	5	19.9	2	9.5
NEVADA . . . . .	1 607	25.6	1 230	76.5	321	20.0	57	3.5
STATE . . . . .	794	52.9	581	73.2	156	19.7	57	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	814	17.1	649	79.8	164	20.2	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	750	21.3	598	79.7	152	20.3	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	64	5.1	51	80.2	13	19.8	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	624	20.0	496	79.5	128	20.5	-	-
STATE . . . . .	452	40.9	354	78.3	98	21.7	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	172	8.5	142	82.8	30	17.2	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	142	37.7	142	100.0	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	30	1.8	-	-	30	100.0	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	9 885	18.2	6 963	70.4	2 548	25.8	374	3.8
STATE . . . . .	4 332	35.5	3 683	85.0	320	7.4	329	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 553	13.2	3 280	59.1	2 227	40.1	46	.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 506	39.9	3 275	59.5	2 225	40.4	5	.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	47	.2	5	10.1	2	4.6	40	85.3
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	1 014	18.3	748	73.8	243	24.0	22	2.2
STATE . . . . .	761	28.5	519	68.1	223	29.3	19	2.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	252	8.9	230	90.9	20	8.0	3	1.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	94	13.7	92	97.4	-	.2	2	2.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	158	7.3	137	87.0	20	12.6	1	.4
NEW YORK . . . . .	33 687	19.5	26 660	79.1	5 238	15.6	1 789	5.3
STATE . . . . .	18 156	39.3	15 149	83.4	1 223	6.7	1 784	9.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	15 531	12.3	11 511	74.1	4 015	25.9	5	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	6 147	22.7	3 506	57.0	2 636	42.9	5	.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	9 384	9.4	8 005	85.3	1 379	14.7	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	6 654	30.3	5 251	78.9	1 114	16.7	289	4.3
STATE . . . . .	6 015	50.4	4 629	77.0	1 097	18.2	289	4.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	639	6.4	622	97.4	17	2.6	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	636	19.1	619	97.4	17	2.6	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3	-	3	100.0	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	337	16.3	272	80.9	62	18.4	3	.7
STATE . . . . .	273	40.7	231	84.7	42	15.3	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	64	4.5	41	64.4	20	31.7	3	3.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	62	10.3	40	63.7	20	32.2	3	4.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	.1	1	100.0	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

## CORRECTIONS

357

Table 51. Detail of corrections payroll and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections		Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
	October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll
OHIO . . . . .	12 265	24.9	9 508	77.5	1 851	15.1	906	7.4
STATE . . . . .	8 110	59.5	6 716	82.8	585	7.2	809	10.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 155	11.7	2 792	67.2	1 266	30.5	98	2.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 358	27.4	2 224	66.2	1 068	31.8	66	2.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	797	3.4	568	71.3	197	24.7	32	4.0
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	2 218	21.1	1 376	62.0	345	15.5	497	22.5
STATE . . . . .	1 835	39.2	1 101	60.0	242	13.2	492	26.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	383	6.5	275	71.7	103	26.9	5	1.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	304	23.8	202	66.5	96	31.7	5	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	79	1.7	72	91.6	7	8.4	-	-
OREGON . . . . .	3 249	23.6	2 160	66.5	877	27.0	212	6.5
STATE . . . . .	2 069	40.5	1 425	68.9	500	24.2	144	6.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 179	13.6	735	62.3	377	31.9	68	5.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 130	24.3	733	64.8	341	30.2	56	5.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	50	1.2	2	4.7	36	72.4	11	22.8
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	11 364	19.4	8 401	73.9	2 806	24.7	158	1.4
STATE . . . . .	5 609	33.9	4 688	83.6	777	13.9	144	2.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 755	13.7	3 713	64.5	2 028	35.2	13	.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 166	32.5	2 107	66.5	1 048	33.1	11	.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 589	8.0	1 607	62.1	980	37.9	2	.1
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	1 014	19.9	658	64.9	160	15.8	196	19.3
STATE . . . . .	1 013	41.5	657	64.9	160	15.8	196	19.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1	-	1	100.0	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	-	1	100.0	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	2 697	26.4	2 111	78.3	348	12.9	238	8.8
STATE . . . . .	2 170	47.2	1 641	75.6	307	14.2	222	10.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	527	9.4	470	89.2	41	7.8	16	3.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	437	15.0	380	86.9	41	9.4	16	3.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	90	3.4	90	100.0	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	292	13.6	249	85.3	21	7.0	22	7.7
STATE . . . . .	236	23.3	195	82.6	21	8.7	21	8.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	56	5.0	54	96.7	-	-	2	3.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	55	13.3	53	96.7	-	-	2	3.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	.1	1	100.0	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	3 840	22.3	3 217	83.8	540	14.1	83	2.1
STATE . . . . .	2 543	41.2	2 148	84.5	314	12.4	81	3.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 297	11.8	1 069	82.4	226	17.5	2	.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	788	22.4	690	87.6	96	12.2	2	.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	509	6.8	378	74.3	131	25.7	-	-
TEXAS . . . . .	8 376	16.1	5 708	68.1	2 205	26.3	463	5.6
STATE . . . . .	4 350	34.9	3 547	81.5	446	10.2	356	8.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 027	10.2	2 161	53.7	1 759	43.7	107	2.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 777	24.6	1 912	50.6	1 759	46.6	107	2.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	249	1.0	249	99.8	1	.2	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	1 163	21.6	735	63.2	343	29.5	85	7.3
STATE . . . . .	950	43.6	523	55.1	343	36.1	83	8.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	213	6.7	211	99.3	-	-	1	.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	210	14.7	210	100.0	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3	.2	1	47.7	-	-	1	52.3
VERMONT . . . . .	484	24.0	330	68.1	96	19.8	59	12.1
STATE . . . . .	484	35.1	330	68.1	96	19.8	59	12.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	.1	-	100.0	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	1.4	-	100.0	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	7 520	30.7	5 340	71.0	1 392	18.5	788	10.6
STATE . . . . .	5 537	49.3	3 740	67.5	1 035	18.7	762	13.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 983	15.0	1 601	80.7	357	18.0	26	1.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	871	15.0	673	77.2	198	22.8	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 112	15.0	928	83.4	159	14.3	26	2.3

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 51. Detail of corrections payroll and percent distribution, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections		Institutions		Probation, pardon and parole		Miscellaneous	
	October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Percent of total criminal justice system October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll	October payroll	Percent of total corrections October payroll
WASHINGTON . . . . .	5 126	26.4	3 551	69.3	1 550	30.2	25	.5
STATE . . . . .	3 332	51.8	2 496	74.9	836	25.1	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 794	13.8	1 055	58.8	714	39.8	25	1.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 679	26.8	984	58.6	670	39.9	25	1.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	115	1.7	70	61.3	44	38.7	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	911	17.6	741	81.4	84	9.2	86	9.5
STATE . . . . .	730	28.7	560	76.8	83	11.4	86	11.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	182	6.9	181	99.8	-	.2	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	181	14.9	181	99.8	-	.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	.1	1	100.0	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	4 677	20.6	3 441	73.6	818	17.5	418	8.9
STATE . . . . .	3 516	56.7	2 457	69.9	727	20.7	331	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 161	7.0	984	84.7	91	7.8	87	7.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 161	19.1	984	84.7	91	7.8	87	7.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	402	20.5	344	85.7	45	11.2	12	3.1
STATE . . . . .	317	42.2	269	84.8	36	11.3	12	3.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	85	7.0	76	89.3	9	10.7	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	70	13.2	67	95.8	3	4.2	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15	2.2	9	58.8	6	41.2	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.<sup>2</sup>Because of rounding, the detail may not add precisely to totals.

Table 52, Total corrections expenditure of State governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977  
(Thousands of dollars)

State <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land	Total
TOTAL . . . . .	2 974 890	2 847 020	2 561 067	285 953	53 301	220 377	12 275	127 870
ALABAMA . . . . .	24 035	24 190	22 511	1 679	1 229	450	-	1 845
ALASKA . . . . .	17 096	16 384	16 181	203	102	101	-	712
ARIZONA . . . . .	31 135	31 131	26 921	4 210	690	3 488	32	4
ARKANSAS . . . . .	18 264	18 186	15 485	2 701	725	1 976	-	82
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	359 218	334 098	322 032	12 066	5 128	6 938	-	25 120
COLORADO . . . . .	37 960	37 960	37 051	909	111	798	-	-
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	51 346	51 225	48 669	2 556	172	2 384	-	121
DELAWARE . . . . .	18 345	18 345	12 871	5 474	84	5 390	-	-
FLORIDA . . . . .	181 175	181 175	136 681	44 494	3 112	40 537	845	-
GEORGIA . . . . .	94 733	91 621	61 121	30 500	1 793	28 707	-	3 112
HAWAII . . . . .	15 744	15 744	12 618	3 126	104	3 022	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	9 399	9 399	8 676	723	413	310	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	120 537	120 412	114 020	6 392	1 454	4 938	-	125
INDIANA . . . . .	48 816	48 816	45 051	3 765	891	2 874	-	-
IOWA . . . . .	30 071	29 167	26 925	2 242	793	1 449	-	904
KANSAS . . . . .	38 854	38 854	35 677	3 177	663	2 229	285	-
KENTUCKY . . . . .	32 776	29 490	25 913	3 577	735	1 525	1 317	3 286
LOUISIANA . . . . .	56 637	55 772	53 435	2 337	2 337	-	-	865
MAINE . . . . .	9 553	9 553	9 354	199	31	168	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	111 414	107 571	100 158	7 413	1 420	5 993	-	3 843
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	70 930	70 930	67 895	3 035	-	3 035	-	-
MICHIGAN . . . . .	112 618	110 678	98 830	11 848	2 648	1 920	7 280	1 940
MINNESOTA . . . . .	44 695	38 598	36 783	1 815	1 600	215	-	6 097
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	17 453	17 108	15 880	1 228	518	710	-	345
MISSOURI . . . . .	41 379	41 379	38 253	3 126	1 141	671	1 314	-
MONTANA . . . . .	12 099	12 097	11 543	554	487	67	-	2
NEBRASKA . . . . .	18 106	18 106	16 644	1 462	472	913	77	-
NEVADA . . . . .	24 804	23 903	12 664	11 239	249	10 990	-	901
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	7 633	7 633	7 047	586	197	389	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	70 568	79 568	71 066	8 502	651	7 851	-	-
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	13 204	13 177	12 183	994	413	579	2	27
NEW YORK . . . . .	328 373	290 003	246 258	43 745	5 096	38 649	-	38 370
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	101 216	100 884	90 478	10 406	1 218	9 188	-	332
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	4 570	4 570	4 227	343	104	218	21	-
OHIO . . . . .	124 157	118 812	117 328	1 484	389	1 095	-	5 345
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	45 456	45 374	31 126	14 248	889	13 191	168	82
OREGON . . . . .	39 531	39 507	37 958	1 549	590	959	-	24
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	116 522	112 367	110 949	1 418	1 237	181	-	4 155
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	14 417	14 417	14 366	51	51	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	38 042	37 633	35 816	1 817	664	1 153	-	409
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	5 177	5 177	5 036	141	141	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	57 813	51 975	47 075	4 900	1 728	3 172	-	5 838
TEXAS . . . . .	85 822	85 822	73 995	11 827	5 520	6 097	210	-
UTAH . . . . .	16 598	16 033	14 846	1 187	234	953	-	565
VERMONT . . . . .	8 241	8 241	7 922	319	154	165	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	99 114	84 926	78 757	6 169	2 779	3 390	-	14 188
WASHINGTON . . . . .	64 474	56 401	54 298	2 103	1 251	852	-	8 073
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	14 930	14 930	14 073	857	416	401	40	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	51 052	51 031	50 609	422	422	-	-	21
WYOMING . . . . .	6 647	6 647	5 812	835	55	96	684	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 53. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of State governments, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions					Corrections administration	Probation, parole, and pardon	Miscellaneous
		Total	For men	For women	For juveniles	Other and combined			
TOTAL . . . . .	2 561 067	1 949 462	1 282 123	58 895	473 170	135 274	205 584	340 524	65 451
ALABAMA . . . . .	22 511	16 416	11 896	772	3 748	-	1 123	4 895	71
ALASKA . . . . .	16 181	12 770	3 908	227	4 651	3 984	763	2 157	491
ARIZONA . . . . .	26 921	16 531	2 353	523	4 570	9 085	1 429	6 836	2 121
ARKANSAS . . . . .	15 485	12 049	7 435	180	4 434	-	1 634	537	1 261
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	322 032	223 134	153 246	7 152	62 736	-	34 699	42 638	21 561
COLORADO . . . . .	37 051	27 018	17 444	(NA)	9 574	-	1 778	8 255	-
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	48 669	30 799	22 378	2 007	4 228	2 186	10 279	7 591	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	12 871	11 061	6 525	258	3 688	590	957	739	111
FLORIDA . . . . .	136 681	101 723	72 795	763	23 027	5 138	2 553	32 405	-
GEORGIA . . . . .	61 121	42 574	27 471	1 285	13 818	-	3 427	14 313	801
HAWAII . . . . .	12 618	8 354	3 571	-	1 243	3 540	605	3 075	581
IDAHO . . . . .	8 676	5 768	4 053	26	1 689	-	344	2 564	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	114 020	79 504	61 691	2 457	15 356	-	15 474	13 509	5 531
INDIANA . . . . .	45 051	37 233	27 898	1 520	7 815	-	2 768	2 128	2 921
IOWA . . . . .	26 925	23 139	16 934	715	5 490	-	325	2 162	1 251
KANSAS . . . . .	35 677	32 802	16 099	1 139	5 470	10 094	1 405	1 365	151
KENTUCKY . . . . .	25 913	19 721	12 666	996	5 743	316	1 104	3 622	1 451
LOUISIANA . . . . .	53 435	32 642	24 655	754	7 233	-	9 138	11 655	-
MAINE . . . . .	9 354	7 823	5 112	-	2 711	-	109	898	521
MARYLAND . . . . .	100 158	71 078	43 996	1 923	20 492	4 667	8 630	20 243	231
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	67 895	56 673	34 961	2 291	15 873	3 548	6 143	5 079	-
MICHIGAN . . . . .	98 830	85 390	65 573	3 416	16 401	-	5 323	8 117	-
MINNESOTA . . . . .	36 783	28 536	16 657	777	7 736	3 366	3 730	4 272	241
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	15 880	13 642	-	-	3 019	10 623	-	1 911	321
MISSOURI . . . . .	38 253	26 959	16 934	703	9 322	-	2 882	6 102	2 310
MONTANA . . . . .	11 543	8 839	5 420	43	2 441	935	1 014	1 690	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	16 644	10 936	7 733	631	2 572	-	554	3 299	1 851
NEVADA . . . . .	12 664	9 729	6 139	-	3 021	569	679	2 256	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	7 047	5 686	3 811	-	1 875	-	-	1 278	81
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	71 066	61 043	31 115	4 208	13 251	12 469	2 358	5 405	2 261
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	12 183	8 769	5 750	66	2 953	-	452	2 847	111
NEW YORK . . . . .	246 258	208 185	155 802	4 023	25 249	23 111	17 978	16 772	3 321
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	90 478	72 950	49 758	2 492	19 499	1 201	4 177	13 351	-
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	4 227	3 703	1 951	-	1 752	-	-	524	-
OHIO . . . . .	117 328	89 258	45 446	3 110	30 363	10 339	15 669	11 133	1 281
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	31 126	19 247	14 193	725	4 329	-	8 293	3 061	521
OREGON . . . . .	37 958	27 446	13 965	573	12 908	-	979	6 418	3 111
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	110 949	97 598	66 465	3 156	26 248	1 729	2 680	10 059	811
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	14 366	9 491	7 679	(NA)	1 812	-	2 038	2 119	711
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	35 816	24 435	17 516	564	6 355	-	3 741	3 917	3 721
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	5 036	4 361	-	-	2 322	2 039	631	44	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	47 075	41 326	30 254	1 043	9 339	690	1 206	4 543	-
TEXAS . . . . .	73 995	62 364	48 652	1 807	11 905	-	5 218	4 905	1 501
UTAH . . . . .	14 846	10 157	-	366	3 943	5 848	163	4 197	321
VERMONT . . . . .	7 922	5 825	3 043	-	2 754	28	283	1 267	541
VIRGINIA . . . . .	78 757	54 355	39 881	1 742	12 732	-	11 223	11 678	1 501
WASHINGTON . . . . .	54 298	42 948	26 625	2 554	-	13 769	1 972	7 738	1 641
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	14 073	11 810	5 669	313	3 929	1 899	116	2 133	14
WISCONSIN . . . . .	50 609	30 572	16 212	1 585	9 264	3 511	7 310	12 328	399
WYOMING . . . . .	5 812	5 090	2 793	10	2 287	-	228	494	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government; see text for data limitations.



**Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments,  
October 1977**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
	Number of employees				Total				For men			
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>2</sup>	October payroll <sup>3</sup>	Number of employees				Number of employees			
					Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	October payroll
<b>TOTAL . . . . .</b>	<b>147 695</b>	<b>144 420</b>	<b>145 552</b>	<b>163 079</b>	<b>112 829</b>	<b>111 144</b>	<b>111 685</b>	<b>124 331</b>	<b>72 693</b>	<b>72 014</b>	<b>72 242</b>	<b>81 904</b>
ALABAMA . . . . .	1 583	1 583	1 583	1 599	1 222	1 222	1 222	1 215	842	842	842	869
ALASKA . . . . .	577	535	546	948	440	407	415	712	138	129	131	245
ARIZONA . . . . .	1 441	1 426	1 435	1 619	1 061	1 050	1 058	1 172	158	158	158	159
ARKANSAS . . . . .	1 143	1 134	1 137	819	871	863	865	637	440	440	440	317
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	13 803	13 166	13 304	20 493	10 306	9 881	9 939	15 274	6 830	6 685	6 714	10 329
COLORADO . . . . .	1 566	1 535	1 544	2 034	1 063	1 042	1 048	1 396	650	648	648	876
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	2 854	2 803	2 838	3 110	1 961	1 951	1 953	2 178	1 370	1 365	1 366	1 606
DELAWARE . . . . .	877	872	872	904	737	732	732	768	415	415	415	435
FLORIDA . . . . .	10 077	9 653	9 885	9 849	6 421	6 338	6 380	6 151	5 064	5 000	5 018	4 844
GEORGIA . . . . .	4 398	4 392	4 394	3 742	2 985	2 979	2 980	2 463	1 754	1 754	1 754	1 538
HAWAII . . . . .	618	607	611	702	433	433	433	487	100	100	100	116
IDAHO . . . . .	821	411	508	501	319	319	319	310	200	200	200	181
ILLINOIS . . . . .	5 712	5 712	5 712	6 366	4 026	4 026	4 026	4 434	3 010	3 010	3 010	3 236
INDIANA . . . . .	2 327	2 304	2 310	2 476	2 111	2 090	2 095	2 224	1 461	1 455	1 456	1 551
IOWA . . . . .	1 568	1 531	1 546	1 937	1 445	1 408	1 423	1 756	1 017	980	994	1 284
KANSAS . . . . .	2 292	2 292	2 292	1 955	2 133	2 133	2 133	1 807	876	876	876	786
KENTUCKY . . . . .	1 681	1 646	1 664	1 336	1 386	1 359	1 372	1 096	820	806	813	660
LOUISIANA . . . . .	3 584	3 515	3 530	3 553	2 742	2 683	2 697	2 667	2 020	1 979	1 989	2 027
MAINE . . . . .	653	631	640	629	583	561	569	553	354	340	345	337
MARYLAND . . . . .	5 328	5 328	5 328	6 094	3 578	3 578	3 578	4 165	2 260	2 260	2 260	2 749
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	3 339	3 335	3 336	3 805	2 569	2 569	2 569	2 843	1 930	1 930	1 930	2 184
MICHIGAN . . . . .	5 401	5 401	5 401	8 375	4 606	4 606	4 606	7 161	3 640	3 640	3 640	5 660
MINNESOTA . . . . .	1 705	1 580	1 633	2 204	1 378	1 311	1 342	1 806	824	786	801	1 058
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	1 091	1 073	1 078	838	894	880	884	687	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI . . . . .	2 733	2 610	2 656	2 078	1 937	1 830	1 868	1 575	1 170	1 130	1 144	925
MONTANA . . . . .	631	599	617	660	522	491	509	523	302	302	302	316
NEBRASKA . . . . .	863	861	862	868	634	634	634	634	476	476	476	488
NEVADA . . . . .	699	696	697	794	523	520	520	581	314	313	313	346
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	491	471	481	452	388	372	380	354	246	234	240	232
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	4 033	4 014	4 023	4 332	3 328	3 309	3 318	3 683	1 518	1 517	1 517	1 667
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	816	809	814	761	559	553	557	519	317	316	316	311
NEW YORK . . . . .	14 787	14 500	14 597	18 156	12 554	12 425	12 482	15 149	9 771	9 710	9 740	11 869
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	6 452	6 300	6 323	6 015	5 130	4 982	5 004	4 629	3 238	3 224	3 230	3 002
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	297	287	290	273	257	250	251	231	122	116	116	108
OHIO . . . . .	6 767	6 767	6 767	8 110	5 656	5 656	5 656	6 716	2 851	2 851	2 851	3 530
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	2 201	2 201	2 201	1 835	1 415	1 415	1 415	1 101	1 032	1 032	1 032	830
OREGON . . . . .	1 915	1 883	1 909	2 069	1 343	1 316	1 341	1 425	798	788	801	824
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	4 404	4 330	4 351	5 609	3 693	3 652	3 665	4 688	2 427	2 427	2 427	3 025
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	799	798	798	1 013	483	483	483	657	386	386	386	551
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	2 702	2 664	2 677	2 170	1 934	1 910	1 917	1 641	1 267	1 256	1 258	1 063
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	273	247	257	236	221	212	215	195	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	3 242	3 242	3 242	2 543	2 792	2 792	2 792	2 148	1 821	1 821	1 821	1 388
TEXAS . . . . .	4 627	4 432	4 508	4 350	3 939	3 792	3 854	3 547	2 674	2 553	2 601	2 456
UTAH . . . . .	865	804	826	950	486	458	469	523	-	-	-	-
VERMONT . . . . .	496	479	484	484	346	329	334	330	159	153	154	160
VIRGINIA . . . . .	6 093	6 002	6 038	5 537	4 187	4 143	4 161	3 740	2 918	2 903	2 907	2 652
WASHINGTON . . . . .	2 858	2 852	2 857	3 332	2 182	2 176	2 180	2 496	1 248	1 246	1 247	1 468
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	922	903	919	730	737	719	735	560	362	362	362	284
WISCONSIN . . . . .	2 969	2 896	2 919	3 516	2 039	2 039	2 039	2 457	1 003	1 003	1 003	1 259
WYOMING . . . . .	321	308	312	317	274	265	268	269	100	97	98	106

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments.  
October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State <sup>1</sup>	Institutions--Continued											
	For women				For juveniles				Other and combined			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>2</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL . . . . .	3 938	3 898	3 909	4 270	29 162	28 299	28 541	30 363	7 036	6 933	6 981	7 791
ALABAMA . . . . .	50	50	50	54	330	330	330	292	-	-	-	-
ALASKA . . . . .	9	7	7	11	126	118	120	201	167	153	156	251
ARIZONA . . . . .	44	44	44	44	319	308	316	320	540	540	540	641
ARKANSAS . . . . .	18	18	18	14	409	401	403	302	4	4	4	1
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	339	324	326	484	3 137	2 872	2 898	4 461	-	-	-	-
COLORADO . . . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	406	387	392	513	7	7	7	1
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	133	133	133	139	312	308	309	275	146	145	145	151
DELAWARE . . . . .	14	14	14	16	256	251	251	255	52	52	52	61
FLORIDA . . . . .	160	158	158	158	956	944	952	872	241	236	249	274
GEORGIA . . . . .	107	107	107	84	1 124	1 118	1 120	842	-	-	-	-
HAWAII . . . . .	-	-	-	-	72	72	72	84	261	261	261	211
IDAHO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	119	119	119	129	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	154	154	154	180	862	862	862	1 018	-	-	-	-
INDIANA . . . . .	89	87	88	91	561	548	551	583	-	-	-	-
IOWA . . . . .	44	44	44	50	384	384	384	422	-	-	-	-
KANSAS . . . . .	63	63	63	52	396	396	396	302	798	798	798	615
KENTUCKY . . . . .	66	65	65	53	483	472	476	364	17	16	16	11
LOUISIANA . . . . .	68	66	67	58	654	638	642	582	-	-	-	-
MAINE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	229	221	224	216	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	108	108	108	125	910	910	910	933	300	300	300	359
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	140	140	140	163	499	499	499	495	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN . . . . .	181	181	181	262	785	785	785	1 240	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA . . . . .	47	42	46	56	398	374	386	514	109	109	109	179
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	248	245	246	172	646	635	637	515
MISSOURI . . . . .	52	51	51	39	715	649	671	612	-	-	-	-
MONTANA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	220	189	208	207	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	50	50	50	46	108	108	108	99	-	-	-	-
NEVADA . . . . .	20	20	20	18	145	144	144	174	44	43	43	43
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	142	138	140	123	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	302	302	302	312	783	773	776	885	725	717	721	821
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	16	16	16	14	226	221	225	193	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK . . . . .	243	243	243	292	1 348	1 285	1 312	1 458	1 192	1 187	1 189	1 531
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	172	170	170	159	1 620	1 490	1 504	1 376	100	98	99	91
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	135	134	134	123	-	-	-	-
OHIO . . . . .	210	210	210	255	1 894	1 894	1 894	2 059	701	701	701	872
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	56	56	56	45	327	327	327	226	-	-	-	-
OREGON . . . . .	48	43	46	49	497	485	493	551	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	166	166	166	197	959	959	959	1 337	141	100	116	124
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	97	97	97	106	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	58	58	58	48	609	596	600	530	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	90	87	87	72	131	125	127	121
TENNESSEE . . . . .	76	76	76	63	757	757	757	588	138	138	138	103
TEXAS . . . . .	164	164	164	151	1 101	1 075	1 089	940	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	29	26	26	34	152	135	140	143	305	297	302	347
VERMONT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	185	174	178	168	2	2	2	2
VIRGINIA . . . . .	150	150	150	136	1 119	1 090	1 104	952	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	130	130	130	152	804	800	803	876	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	24	24	24	17	292	274	290	216	59	59	59	42
WISCONSIN . . . . .	125	125	125	130	701	701	701	814	210	210	210	253
WYOMING . . . . .	13	13	13	15	161	155	157	148	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 54. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of State governments, October 1977 —Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

State <sup>1</sup>	Corrections and administration				Probation, parole, and pardon				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>2</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
<b>TOTAL . . . . .</b>	<b>9 872</b>	<b>9 544</b>	<b>9 678</b>	<b>11 586</b>	<b>22 371</b>	<b>21 285</b>	<b>21 655</b>	<b>24 539</b>	<b>2 623</b>	<b>2 447</b>	<b>2 488</b>	<b>2 625</b>
ALABAMA . . . . .	89	89	89	109	272	272	272	275	-	-	-	-
ALASKA . . . . .	38	34	34	65	97	93	94	169	2	1	1	2
ARIZONA . . . . .	59	59	59	68	282	280	280	339	39	37	37	41
ARKANSAS . . . . .	109	109	109	66	50	50	50	40	113	112	112	76
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	1 217	1 144	1 171	1 853	1 642	1 526	1 570	2 443	638	615	622	924
COLORADO . . . . .	83	83	83	119	420	410	412	519	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	390	357	390	382	503	495	497	550	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	67	67	67	67	63	63	63	60	10	10	10	9
FLORIDA . . . . .	764	731	746	902	2 892	2 584	2 752	2 797	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA . . . . .	196	196	196	207	1 164	1 164	1 164	1 019	53	53	53	52
HAWAII . . . . .	12	12	12	14	135	129	131	164	38	33	33	37
IDAHO . . . . .	24	24	24	27	478	68	162	165	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	693	693	693	785	907	907	907	1 033	86	86	86	115
INDIANA . . . . .	82	82	82	103	134	132	133	149	-	-	-	-
IOWA . . . . .	29	29	29	57	94	94	94	124	-	-	-	-
KANSAS . . . . .	60	60	60	61	95	95	95	83	4	4	4	4
KENTUCKY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	281	273	277	225	14	14	14	14
LOUISIANA . . . . .	214	209	209	201	628	623	623	685	-	-	-	-
MAINE . . . . .	7	7	7	9	63	63	63	68	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	244	244	244	242	1 492	1 492	1 492	1 674	14	14	14	12
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	398	398	398	523	372	368	368	440	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN . . . . .	249	249	249	344	546	546	546	870	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA . . . . .	155	110	126	172	157	144	148	207	15	15	15	18
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	186	182	183	143	11	11	11	8
MISSOURI . . . . .	106	105	105	99	460	445	449	397	230	230	230	7
MONTANA . . . . .	28	28	28	35	81	80	80	101	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	25	25	25	30	127	125	125	130	77	77	77	74
NEVADA . . . . .	46	46	46	57	130	130	130	156	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	103	99	100	98	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	231	231	231	173	341	341	341	320	133	133	133	155
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	15	15	15	19	242	241	241	223	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK . . . . .	889	869	874	1 333	894	889	890	1 223	450	317	348	452
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	253	252	252	289	1 069	1 066	1 067	1 097	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	40	37	38	42	-	-	-	-
OHIO . . . . .	507	507	507	703	477	477	477	585	127	127	127	106
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	500	500	500	462	248	248	248	242	38	38	38	31
OREGON . . . . .	49	48	48	63	412	408	409	500	111	111	111	81
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	121	92	97	144	590	586	586	777	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	94	94	94	121	141	141	141	160	81	80	80	75
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	225	222	231	23	343	335	337	306	200	197	197	200
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	27	17	21	21	25	18	19	21	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	65	65	65	72	378	378	378	314	7	7	7	8
TEXAS . . . . .	312	301	304	346	368	331	339	446	8	8	8	11
UTAH . . . . .	16	8	8	13	300	282	288	343	63	56	59	70
VERMONT . . . . .	28	28	28	31	95	95	95	96	27	27	27	28
VIRGINIA . . . . .	796	771	780	762	1 110	1 088	1 094	1 035	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	676	676	676	836	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	95	94	95	87	90	90	90	83	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	256	231	238	316	640	592	607	727	34	34	34	16
WYOMING . . . . .	9	9	9	12	38	34	34	36	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each State government shown; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to totals shown.

Table 55. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TOTAL . . . . .	1 163 014	1 130 179	1 016 838	113 341	9 516	101 059	2 766	32 835	19 188	13 647
ALABAMA:										
CALHOUN . . . . .	222	206	204	2	2	-	-	16	-	16
JEFFERSON . . . . .	2 762	2 750	2 732	18	18	-	-	12	-	12
MADISON . . . . .	663	663	662	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	1 265	1 265	1 241	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	849	830	829	1	1	-	-	19	-	19
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	431	431	431	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:										
MARICOPA . . . . .	11 447	11 447	10 702	745	607	138	-	-	-	-
PIMA . . . . .	8 879	8 407	8 387	20	20	-	-	472	472	-
ARKANSAS:										
PULASKI . . . . .	1 182	1 182	1 153	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA . . . . .	30 251	29 980	29 451	529	163	50	316	271	37	234
BUTTE . . . . .	1 660	1 601	1 589	12	12	-	-	59	5	54
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	15 475	15 439	13 619	1 820	21	1 644	155	36	36	-
FRESNO . . . . .	9 286	9 200	8 396	810	90	720	-	80	56	24
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	1 369	1 369	1 363	6	4	2	-	-	-	-
KERN . . . . .	12 328	12 317	7 100	5 217	127	5 090	-	11	9	2
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	120 554	119 947	117 350	2 597	216	2 381	-	607	607	-
MARIN . . . . .	4 482	4 474	4 451	23	15	8	-	8	8	-
MERCED . . . . .	1 650	1 629	1 590	39	39	-	-	21	3	18
MONTEREY . . . . .	6 132	6 128	4 332	1 796	58	1 738	-	4	4	-
ORANGE . . . . .	28 473	28 423	28 310	113	73	40	-	50	50	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	10 067	10 067	9 799	268	95	170	3	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	16 211	15 726	15 482	244	42	202	-	485	485	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	14 791	14 746	14 409	337	337	-	-	45	45	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	28 475	28 475	27 350	1 125	97	1 028	-	-	-	-
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	5 999	5 996	5 716	280	91	189	-	3	3	-
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	2 116	1 995	1 608	387	12	269	106	121	65	56
SAN MATEO . . . . .	13 571	13 571	13 520	51	34	17	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	6 087	6 059	5 970	89	76	13	-	28	8	20
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	22 047	22 010	21 245	765	172	593	-	37	37	-
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	4 000	3 993	3 532	461	6	455	-	7	7	-
SOLANO . . . . .	4 111	4 097	4 069	28	24	3	1	14	14	-
SONOMA . . . . .	5 169	5 169	4 963	206	58	148	-	-	-	-
STANISLAUS . . . . .	5 739	5 576	3 960	1 616	-	1 616	-	163	163	-
TULARE . . . . .	3 987	3 981	3 808	173	127	46	-	6	6	-
VENTURA . . . . .	7 477	7 253	6 671	582	25	557	-	224	24	200
YOLO . . . . .	1 977	1 941	1 680	261	5	256	-	36	2	34
COLORADO:										
ADAMS . . . . .	430	430	424	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	664	664	661	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	794	794	793	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	1 192	1 192	1 188	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	468	468	468	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LARIMER . . . . .	400	400	390	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	438	438	437	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
WELD . . . . .	168	168	168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
ALACHUA . . . . .	1 723	1 723	1 287	436	115	321	-	-	-	-
BREVARD . . . . .	424	424	421	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
BROWARD . . . . .	2 804	2 804	2 796	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
DADE . . . . .	8 959	8 959	8 563	396	30	366	-	-	-	-
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	2 797	2 797	1 597	1 200	18	1 182	-	-	-	-
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	4 963	4 907	4 667	240	207	33	-	56	-	56
LEE . . . . .	475	475	465	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
LEON . . . . .	949	949	388	561	1	560	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 55. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
FLORIDA--CONTINUED										
MANATEE . . . . .	316	316	311	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	2 761	2 761	2 415	346	4	217	125	-	-	-
PALM BEACH . . . . .	2 938	2 938	2 815	123	69	54	-	-	-	-
PASCO . . . . .	387	387	382	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
PINELLAS . . . . .	2 896	2 896	2 568	328	16	312	-	-	-	-
POLK . . . . .	1 349	1 349	1 269	80	3	77	-	-	-	-
SARASOTA . . . . .	421	421	420	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SEMINOLE . . . . .	354	319	313	6	2	4	-	35	-	35
VOLUSIA . . . . .	1 818	1 818	1 573	245	45	200	-	-	-	-
GEORGIA:										
RIBB . . . . .	2 339	2 339	587	1 752	11	1 741	-	-	-	-
CHATHAM . . . . .	5 389	5 389	814	4 575	5	4 570	-	-	-	-
CLAYTON . . . . .	790	790	783	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
COBB . . . . .	1 249	1 249	1 226	23	23	-	-	-	-	-
DE KALB . . . . .	2 958	2 958	2 948	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
FULTON . . . . .	5 761	5 761	5 613	148	33	115	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	1 245	1 245	1 242	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:										
ADA . . . . .	494	494	489	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:										
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	752	752	738	14	2	12	-	-	-	-
COOK . . . . .	50 584	46 893	30 704	16 189	692	15 497	-	3 691	-	3 691
DU PAGE . . . . .	2 486	2 486	2 457	29	12	17	-	-	-	-
KANE . . . . .	1 600	1 600	1 560	40	20	20	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	2 171	2 171	2 067	104	10	94	-	-	-	-
LA SALLE . . . . .	298	298	296	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MCHENRY . . . . .	422	407	407	-	-	-	-	15	-	15
MCLEAN . . . . .	424	424	422	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
HACON . . . . .	403	403	403	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	1 308	1 306	1 202	104	1	70	33	2	2	-
PEORIA . . . . .	1 709	1 709	1 602	107	74	33	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	590	590	590	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	1 497	892	890	2	2	-	-	605	-	605
SANGAMON . . . . .	697	697	691	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
TAZEWELL . . . . .	304	304	304	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILL . . . . .	672	672	660	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	1 322	1 320	1 306	14	14	-	-	2	-	2
INDIANA:										
ALLEN . . . . .	2 178	1 942	1 861	81	66	15	-	236	236	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	544	543	487	56	56	-	-	1	1	-
ELKHART . . . . .	758	655	616	39	39	-	-	103	103	-
LAKE . . . . .	2 956	2 433	2 424	9	9	-	-	523	523	-
LA PORTE . . . . .	572	572	570	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	875	528	521	7	-	7	-	347	347	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	1 102	1 099	1 079	20	1	19	-	3	3	-
TIPPECANOE . . . . .	323	317	311	6	6	-	-	6	6	-
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	576	573	573	-	-	-	-	3	3	-
VIGO . . . . .	481	477	475	2	2	-	-	4	4	-
IOWA:										
BLACK HAWK . . . . .	1 196	1 196	1 174	22	22	-	-	-	-	-
LINN . . . . .	1 348	1 293	1 257	36	19	-	17	55	55	-
POLK . . . . .	3 869	3 816	3 802	14	6	-	8	53	30	23
SCOTT . . . . .	453	453	447	6	5	-	1	-	-	-
WOODBURY . . . . .	553	502	492	10	10	-	-	51	51	-
KANSAS:										
JOHNSON . . . . .	845	845	787	58	6	52	-	-	-	-
SEDGWICK . . . . .	1 693	1 693	1 685	8	6	2	-	-	-	-
SHAWNEE . . . . .	1 014	1 014	1 001	13	13	-	-	-	-	-
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	812	810	809	1	1	-	-	2	2	-
KENTUCKY:										
JEFFERSON . . . . .	6 047	6 045	5 304	741	31	10	700	2	2	-
KENTON . . . . .	268	268	263	5	5	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 55. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
CADDO . . . . .	2 414	2 414	1 641	773	17	756	-	-	-	-
CALCASIEU . . . . .	1 216	1 216	1 139	77	33	44	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	7 670	7 670	1 744	5 926	2	5 924	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	590	590	589	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
OUACHITA . . . . .	489	489	488	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
RAPIDES . . . . .	1 625	1 625	632	993	1	992	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	547	547	544	3	-	3	-	-	-	-
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	167	167	161	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	291	160	160	-	-	-	-	131	-	131
MARYLAND:										
ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	640	640	636	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE . . . . .	1 935	1 935	1 919	16	1	15	-	-	-	-
HARFORD . . . . .	734	724	718	6	6	-	-	10	10	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	5 324	5 324	2 208	3 116	4	3 112	-	-	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	4 323	4 323	3 257	1 066	125	941	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	286	286	281	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BARNSTABLE . . . . .	1 362	1 362	1 252	110	22	88	-	-	-	-
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	922	922	900	22	1	21	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	2 092	2 092	2 082	10	9	1	-	-	-	-
ESSEX . . . . .	4 037	4 037	3 473	564	31	533	-	-	-	-
HAMPDEN . . . . .	4 079	4 079	4 079	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	1 058	1 058	1 030	28	13	15	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	7 450	7 450	7 438	12	12	-	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	2 650	2 650	2 467	183	47	-	136	-	-	-
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	2 653	2 653	2 604	49	42	7	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	4 105	4 105	4 092	13	12	1	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
BAY . . . . .	2 473	2 298	819	1 479	1	1 478	-	175	171	4
BERRIEN . . . . .	2 407	2 209	2 019	190	3	187	-	198	193	5
CALHOUN . . . . .	1 615	1 533	1 533	-	-	-	-	82	82	-
GENESEE . . . . .	4 753	4 212	4 208	4	4	-	-	541	541	-
INGHAM . . . . .	1 736	1 668	1 659	9	4	5	-	68	40	28
JACKSON . . . . .	1 498	1 308	1 308	-	-	-	-	190	190	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	2 537	2 379	2 378	1	1	-	-	158	158	-
KENT . . . . .	5 542	5 389	3 646	1 743	15	1 728	-	153	153	-
MACOMB . . . . .	3 900	3 900	3 900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	941	864	862	2	2	-	-	77	77	-
MUSKEGON . . . . .	1 751	1 590	1 590	-	-	-	-	161	161	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	8 337	7 845	7 656	189	4	185	-	492	492	-
OTTAWA . . . . .	774	687	687	-	-	-	-	87	85	2
SAGINAW . . . . .	2 338	2 140	2 133	7	7	-	-	198	198	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	994	929	909	20	10	10	-	65	65	-
WASHTENAW . . . . .	3 035	2 881	2 881	-	-	-	-	154	80	74
WAYNE . . . . .	29 196	24 610	22 851	1 759	70	1 689	-	4 586	1 406	3 180
MINNESOTA:										
ANOKA . . . . .	613	606	596	10	10	-	-	7	-	7
DAKOTA . . . . .	462	462	462	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HENNEPIN . . . . .	15 093	14 913	10 671	4 242	71	4 171	-	180	-	180
RAMSEY . . . . .	8 821	8 821	6 970	1 851	66	1 317	468	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	2 174	1 438	1 428	10	10	-	-	736	-	736
MISSISSIPPI:										
HARRISON . . . . .	366	366	366	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HINDS . . . . .	2 938	2 938	681	2 257	-	2 257	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	304	304	254	50	49	1	-	-	-	-
MISSOURI:										
CLAY . . . . .	820	820	792	28	28	-	-	-	-	-
GREENE . . . . .	364	363	363	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
JACKSON . . . . .	8 339	7 515	5 831	1 684	51	1 633	-	824	824	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	272	265	135	130	-	130	-	7	1	6
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	293	293	288	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	4 063	4 063	4 056	7	7	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 55. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
NEBRASKA:										
DOUGLAS . . . . .	3 293	3 293	1 921	1 372	72	1 300	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	603	477	475	2	2	-	-	126	-	126
NEVADA:										
CLARK . . . . .	6 969	6 969	6 912	57	55	2	-	-	-	-
WASHOE . . . . .	1 588	1 588	1 564	24	23	1	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	699	699	696	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	292	285	278	7	2	5	-	7	-	7
NEW JERSEY:										
ATLANTIC . . . . .	2 073	2 073	2 072	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
BERGEN . . . . .	4 224	4 224	4 167	57	8	49	-	-	-	-
BURLINGTON . . . . .	2 835	2 835	2 589	246	-	246	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	7 237	7 237	6 268	969	-	969	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	1 885	1 885	1 842	43	-	43	-	-	-	-
ESSEX . . . . .	17 720	17 720	17 720	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	1 379	1 379	1 379	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUDSON . . . . .	4 718	4 718	4 710	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
MERCER . . . . .	7 857	7 857	3 661	4 196	1 064	3 132	-	-	-	-
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	5 193	5 193	5 099	94	61	33	-	-	-	-
MONMOUTH . . . . .	2 848	2 846	2 846	-	-	-	-	2	2	-
MORRIS . . . . .	1 949	1 949	1 942	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
OCEAN . . . . .	2 128	2 128	1 770	358	28	330	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	5 005	5 005	5 005	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERSET . . . . .	1 679	1 679	1 650	29	29	-	-	-	-	-
UNION . . . . .	4 174	4 174	4 113	61	23	38	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
BERNALILLO . . . . .	1 135	442	438	4	4	-	-	693	693	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	5 030	4 647	4 276	371	25	346	-	383	-	383
BROOME . . . . .	1 295	985	977	8	8	-	-	310	309	1
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	921	804	803	1	1	-	-	117	117	-
CHEMUNG . . . . .	842	842	835	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
CLATSOP . . . . .	2 020	1 700	1 688	12	12	-	-	320	320	-
ERIE . . . . .	12 028	11 159	11 130	29	29	-	-	869	869	-
MONROE . . . . .	8 067	7 338	7 315	23	22	1	-	729	729	-
NASSAU . . . . .	19 147	18 620	18 270	350	110	240	-	527	527	-
NIAGARA . . . . .	1 633	1 418	1 415	3	3	-	-	215	215	-
ONEIDA . . . . .	1 329	1 197	1 194	3	3	-	-	132	132	-
ONONDAGA . . . . .	8 308	7 770	7 058	712	18	658	36	538	538	-
ORANGE . . . . .	1 983	1 559	1 501	58	13	45	-	424	424	-
OSWEGO . . . . .	892	647	608	39	39	-	-	245	245	-
RENSSELAER . . . . .	1 324	1 225	1 218	7	7	-	-	99	99	-
ROCKLAND . . . . .	2 767	2 767	2 602	165	9	156	-	-	-	-
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	613	591	588	3	3	-	-	22	22	-
SARATOGA . . . . .	650	540	424	116	1	115	-	110	110	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	1 293	1 134	1 133	1	1	-	-	159	159	-
STEUBEN . . . . .	742	727	712	15	15	-	-	15	15	-
SUFFOLK . . . . .	11 546	11 177	11 134	43	25	18	-	369	369	-
ULSTER . . . . .	1 347	1 247	1 237	10	10	-	-	100	-	100
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	10 236	10 236	10 213	23	22	1	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	477	477	472	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	814	814	783	31	19	-	12	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	281	281	280	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
FORSYTH . . . . .	829	674	656	18	3	15	-	155	-	155
GASTON . . . . .	559	559	532	27	27	-	-	-	-	-
GUILFORD . . . . .	1 515	1 436	1 146	290	79	211	-	79	-	79
MECKLENBURG . . . . .	1 358	1 358	1 231	127	2	125	-	-	-	-
ONslow . . . . .	366	366	363	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
WAKE . . . . .	469	469	384	85	26	59	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 55. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
OHIO:										
ALLEN . . . . .	772	763	763	-	-	-	-	9	-	9
ASHTABULA . . . . .	351	351	311	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	818	818	817	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK . . . . .	1 679	1 679	601	1 078	2	1 076	-	-	-	-
CLERMONT . . . . .	323	275	275	-	-	-	-	48	-	48
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	362	249	249	-	-	-	-	113	-	113
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	8 502	7 823	7 823	-	-	-	-	679	-	679
FRANKLIN . . . . .	4 305	3 962	3 890	72	9	63	-	343	-	343
GRFENE . . . . .	630	630	629	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	6 095	5 251	4 999	252	11	241	-	844	-	844
LAKE . . . . .	749	749	739	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING . . . . .	412	412	412	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	1 703	1 703	1 190	513	30	483	-	63	-	63
LUCAS . . . . .	6 345	6 282	3 170	3 112	6	3 106	-	-	-	-
MAHONING . . . . .	1 217	1 217	945	272	3	60	209	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	4 102	3 678	3 678	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTAGE . . . . .	570	564	547	17	-	17	-	424	-	424
RICHLAND . . . . .	870	855	686	169	1	168	-	6	6	15
STARK . . . . .	2 037	2 027	2 022	5	5	-	-	10	-	10
SUMMIT . . . . .	2 616	2 568	2 463	105	105	-	-	48	-	48
TRUMBULL . . . . .	743	743	693	50	48	2	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE . . . . .	366	366	366	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	1 304	1 304	1 298	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	1 592	1 592	1 584	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	1 057	1 057	1 027	30	13	17	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	1 180	1 180	948	232	-	232	-	-	-	-
LANE . . . . .	2 059	2 059	1 274	785	4	778	3	-	-	-
MARION . . . . .	1 217	1 196	1 165	31	31	-	-	21	-	21
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	5 040	5 040	4 972	68	21	47	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	976	976	941	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	11 499	10 238	9 509	729	278	451	-	1 261	1 261	-
BEAVER . . . . .	1 107	966	896	70	4	21	45	141	141	-
BERKS . . . . .	2 204	1 738	1 726	12	12	-	-	466	466	-
BLAIR . . . . .	587	581	577	4	4	-	-	6	6	-
BUCKS . . . . .	3 739	3 554	2 994	560	78	482	-	185	185	-
BUTLER . . . . .	578	550	537	13	13	-	-	28	-	28
CAMBRIA . . . . .	739	708	702	6	6	-	-	31	31	-
CENTRE . . . . .	475	475	475	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	3 382	3 214	3 033	181	27	154	-	168	67	101
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	824	785	785	-	-	-	-	39	27	12
DAUPHIN . . . . .	2 257	2 067	2 065	2	2	-	-	190	182	8
DELAWARE . . . . .	5 699	5 697	5 685	12	12	-	-	2	-	2
ERIE . . . . .	3 408	3 227	3 221	6	6	-	-	181	181	-
FAYETTE . . . . .	484	470	423	47	-	47	-	14	14	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	740	740	739	1	1	-	-	7	7	-
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	796	789	787	2	2	-	-	423	423	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	2 516	2 093	1 688	405	5	400	-	78	70	8
LAWRENCE . . . . .	537	459	391	68	15	53	-	35	35	-
LEBANON . . . . .	621	586	565	21	3	18	-	252	252	-
LEHIGH . . . . .	2 106	1 854	1 713	141	32	109	-	126	126	-
LUZERNE . . . . .	1 277	1 151	1 139	12	12	-	-	76	68	8
LYCOMING . . . . .	1 080	1 004	980	24	18	6	-	3	2	1
MERCER . . . . .	1 585	1 582	623	959	26	933	-	192	192	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	3 621	3 429	3 391	38	38	-	-	234	234	-
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	1 886	1 652	1 400	252	67	185	-	87	87	-
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	1 329	1 292	1 292	-	-	-	-	37	37	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	839	752	745	7	7	-	-	167	118	49
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	1 706	1 539	1 083	456	4	452	-	211	190	21
YORK . . . . .	1 887	1 676	1 495	181	2	179	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



Table 55. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA:</b>										
ANDERSON . . . . .	596	596	596	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	939	939	840	99	5	-	94	-	-	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	886	886	884	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	338	338	338	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	763	731	719	12	12	-	-	32	-	32
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	215	215	209	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TENNESSEE:</b>										
HAMILTON . . . . .	1 473	1 473	1 405	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX . . . . .	862	862	861	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY . . . . .	7 039	6 952	6 918	34	34	-	-	87	87	-
SULLIVAN . . . . .	259	259	235	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TEXAS:</b>										
BELL . . . . .	661	661	521	140	2	136	2	-	-	-
BEXAR . . . . .	3 873	3 873	3 816	57	49	8	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA . . . . .	824	824	346	478	221	257	-	-	-	-
CAMERON . . . . .	750	749	747	2	2	-	-	1	-	1
DALLAS . . . . .	9 854	9 854	9 797	57	52	-	5	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	2 026	2 026	1 999	27	7	-	20	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	1 314	1 314	1 306	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS . . . . .	15 023	15 023	12 448	2 575	118	2 216	241	-	-	-
HIDALGO . . . . .	2 964	2 964	683	2 281	66	2 215	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	889	889	882	7	5	-	2	-	-	-
LUBBOCK . . . . .	519	519	516	3	1	-	2	-	-	-
MCLENNAN . . . . .	908	908	881	27	12	-	15	-	-	-
NUECES . . . . .	936	935	928	7	7	-	-	1	-	1
SMITH . . . . .	235	235	235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT . . . . .	2 483	2 483	2 459	24	16	-	8	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	289	289	276	13	10	-	3	-	-	-
TRAVIS . . . . .	4 064	4 056	3 160	896	21	875	-	8	-	8
WICHITA . . . . .	416	416	409	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
<b>UTAH:</b>										
DAVIS . . . . .	156	113	98	15	15	-	-	43	-	43
SALT LAKE . . . . .	1 965	1 965	1 963	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	615	614	213	401	-	401	-	1	-	1
WEBER . . . . .	487	487	477	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VERMONT:</b>										
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>										
ARLINGTON . . . . .	1 406	1 406	1 373	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX . . . . .	6 441	6 165	2 250	3 915	31	3 884	-	276	-	276
HENRICO . . . . .	1 980	1 889	779	1 110	25	1 085	-	91	-	91
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	492	479	444	35	8	27	-	13	-	13
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>										
CLARK . . . . .	1 413	1 413	1 325	88	7	81	-	-	-	-
KING . . . . .	8 588	8 588	8 353	235	26	209	-	-	-	-
KITSAP . . . . .	654	654	649	5	3	2	-	-	-	-
PIERCE . . . . .	3 067	3 067	3 064	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	1 492	1 492	1 405	87	5	82	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE . . . . .	2 137	2 137	2 054	83	11	72	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA . . . . .	1 172	1 172	1 168	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>										
CABELL . . . . .	464	464	432	32	23	9	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA . . . . .	629	629	612	17	1	16	-	-	-	-
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>										
BROWN . . . . .	654	639	418	221	5	216	-	15	15	-
DANE . . . . .	1 229	1 222	1 182	40	40	-	-	7	7	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	258	204	204	-	-	-	-	54	49	5
MARATHON . . . . .	323	307	297	10	2	8	-	16	16	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	9 267	9 132	7 960	1 172	109	1 063	-	135	135	-
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	234	213	210	3	3	-	-	21	21	-
RACINE . . . . .	800	754	740	14	9	5	-	46	46	-
ROCK . . . . .	1 997	1 947	1 946	1	1	-	-	50	50	-
WAUKESHA . . . . .	500	500	417	83	27	56	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	125	106	102	4	4	-	-	19	19	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

**Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977**

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTFQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	250 710	239 106	243 248	23.5	182 401	174 466	177 369	72.9
STATE, . . . . .	147 695	144 420	145 552	48.8	112 829	111 144	111 685	76.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	103 015	94 686	97 696	13.2	69 572	63 322	65 684	67.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	82 631	74 837	77 682	27.0	54 551	48 515	50 789	65.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	20 384	19 849	20 014	4.4	15 021	14 807	14 895	74.4
ALABAMA, . . . . .	2 672	2 602	2 627	20.3	2 098	2 032	2 057	78.3
STATE, . . . . .	1 583	1 583	1 583	39.5	1 222	1 222	1 222	77.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 089	1 019	1 044	11.7	876	810	835	80.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	927	864	884	28.0	722	663	684	77.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	162	155	160	2.8	154	147	151	94.4
ALASKA, . . . . .	619	575	587	21.2	479	444	454	77.3
STATE, . . . . .	577	535	546	27.4	440	407	416	76.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	42	40	41	5.3	39	37	38	92.7
BOROUGHs . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	42	40	41	5.5	39	37	38	92.7
ARIZONA, . . . . .	2 887	2 846	2 866	22.8	1 925	1 896	1 911	66.7
STATE, . . . . .	1 441	1 426	1 435	42.5	1 061	1 050	1 058	73.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 446	1 420	1 431	15.6	864	846	853	59.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 391	1 366	1 376	30.5	835	817	824	59.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	55	54	55	1.2	29	29	29	52.7
ARKANSAS, . . . . .	1 684	1 609	1 636	24.0	1 269	1 218	1 238	75.7
STATE, . . . . .	1 143	1 134	1 137	52.0	871	863	865	76.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	541	475	499	10.8	398	355	373	74.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	450	390	411	22.0	335	294	312	75.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	91	85	88	3.2	63	61	61	69.3
CALIFORNIA, . . . . .	35 500	32 729	33 749	27.4	22 764	20 654	21 444	63.5
STATE, . . . . .	13 803	13 166	13 304	49.9	10 306	9 881	9 939	74.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	21 697	19 563	20 445	21.1	12 458	10 773	11 505	56.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	20 759	18 634	19 510	34.9	11 942	10 261	10 989	56.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	938	929	935	2.3	516	512	516	55.2
COLORADO, . . . . .	2 335	2 251	2 281	18.0	1 803	1 732	1 758	77.1
STATE, . . . . .	1 566	1 535	1 544	37.8	1 063	1 042	1 049	67.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	769	716	737	8.6	740	690	709	96.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	481	438	451	19.3	462	421	434	96.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	288	278	286	4.6	278	269	275	96.2
CONNECTICUT, . . . . .	2 860	2 808	2 843	22.3	1 961	1 951	1 954	68.7
STATE, . . . . .	2 854	2 803	2 838	45.3	1 961	1 951	1 954	68.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6	5	5	.1	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6	5	5	.1	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE, . . . . .	877	872	872	25.9	737	732	732	83.9
STATE, . . . . .	877	872	872	38.0	737	732	732	83.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, . . . . .	2 878	2 878	2 878	33.3	1 992	1 992	1 992	69.2
STATE, . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 878	2 878	2 878	33.3	1 992	1 992	1 992	69.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 878	2 878	2 878	33.3	1 992	1 992	1 992	69.2
FLORIDA, . . . . .	13 423	12 949	13 189	27.8	9 535	9 408	9 456	71.7
STATE, . . . . .	10 077	9 653	9 885	58.8	6 421	6 338	6 380	64.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 346	3 296	3 304	10.8	3 114	3 070	3 076	93.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 683	2 638	2 645	17.1	2 520	2 480	2 486	94.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	663	658	659	4.4	594	590	590	89.5
GEORGIA, . . . . .	6 669	6 520	6 576	28.3	4 814	4 700	4 745	72.2
STATE, . . . . .	4 398	4 392	4 394	58.5	2 985	2 979	2 981	67.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 271	2 128	2 182	13.9	1 829	1 721	1 764	80.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 950	1 813	1 864	22.9	1 520	1 418	1 459	78.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	321	315	318	4.2	309	303	305	95.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	53 540	50 732	51 686	21.2	14 769	13 908	14 147	5.8
STATE . . . . .	22 371	21 285	21 655	14.9	12 495	11 991	12 166	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	31 169	29 447	30 031	30.7	2 274	1 917	1 981	2.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	26 598	25 039	25 566	32.9	1 482	1 283	1 327	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 571	4 408	4 465	22.3	792	634	654	3.3
ALABAMA . . . . .	462	461	461	17.5	112	107	109	4.2
STATE . . . . .	272	272	272	17.2	89	89	89	5.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	190	189	189	18.1	23	18	20	1.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	182	181	181	20.5	23	18	20	2.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8	8	8	5.6	-	-	-	-
ALASKA . . . . .	97	93	94	16.0	43	38	38	6.7
STATE . . . . .	97	93	94	17.2	40	35	35	6.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	7.3
BOROUGHs . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	7.3
ARIZONA . . . . .	851	841	844	29.4	111	108	109	3.9
STATE . . . . .	282	280	280	19.5	98	96	97	6.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	569	561	564	39.4	13	12	12	1.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	548	541	543	39.5	8	8	8	0.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	21	20	21	38.2	5	4	4	9.1
ARKANSAS . . . . .	185	167	173	10.6	230	223	227	13.7
STATE . . . . .	50	50	50	4.4	222	221	222	19.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	135	117	123	24.6	8	2	5	0.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	108	93	97	23.6	7	2	4	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	27	24	26	29.5	1	-	1	1.2
CALIFORNIA . . . . .	10 368	9 899	10 064	29.8	2 368	2 174	2 256	6.7
STATE . . . . .	1 642	1 526	1 570	11.8	1 855	1 759	1 795	13.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	8 726	8 373	8 494	41.5	513	415	461	2.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	8 403	8 055	8 174	41.9	414	317	363	1.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	323	318	320	34.2	99	98	98	10.6
COLORADO . . . . .	423	412	416	18.2	109	106	107	4.7
STATE . . . . .	420	410	413	26.7	83	83	83	5.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3	2	3	.4	26	23	24	3.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	19	17	18	3.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3	2	3	1.0	7	6	6	2.8
CONNECTICUT . . . . .	507	498	500	17.6	392	359	389	13.7
STATE . . . . .	503	495	497	17.5	390	357	387	13.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4	3	3	60.0	2	2	2	40.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4	3	3	60.0	2	2	2	40.0
DELAWARE . . . . .	63	63	63	7.2	77	77	77	8.9
STATE . . . . .	63	63	63	7.2	77	77	77	8.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA . . . . .	567	567	567	19.7	319	319	319	11.1
STATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	567	567	567	19.7	319	319	319	11.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	567	567	567	19.7	319	319	319	11.1
FLORIDA . . . . .	2 996	2 687	2 857	21.7	892	852	874	6.6
STATE . . . . .	2 892	2 584	2 753	27.9	764	731	752	7.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	104	103	104	3.1	128	121	122	3.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	66	66	66	2.5	97	91	92	3.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	38	37	38	5.8	31	30	30	4.7
GEORGIA . . . . .	1 599	1 565	1 575	24.0	256	254	254	3.8
STATE . . . . .	1 164	1 164	1 164	26.5	249	249	249	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	435	401	411	18.8	7	5	5	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	423	389	399	21.4	7	5	5	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12	12	12	4.1	-	-	-	-

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total correction employment
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
HAWAII . . . . .	657	645	650	15.4	471	471	471	
STATE . . . . .	618	607	611	39.3	433	433	433	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	39	38	39	1.5	38	38	38	
COUNTIES . . . . .	39	38	39	5.7	38	38	38	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
IDAHO . . . . .	998	560	673	18.4	467	442	454	
STATE . . . . .	821	411	508	45.5	319	319	319	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	177	149	165	6.5	148	123	135	
COUNTIES . . . . .	171	143	159	11.0	142	117	129	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6	6	6	.5	6	6	6	14
ILLINOIS . . . . .	9 679	9 390	9 489	17.5	6 600	6 378	6 457	
STATE . . . . .	5 712	5 712	5 712	50.0	4 026	4 026	4 026	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 967	3 778	3 777	8.8	2 574	2 352	2 431	
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 949	3 661	3 760	25.8	2 561	2 339	2 418	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18	17	17	.1	13	13	13	
INDIANA . . . . .	4 148	3 840	3 927	20.0	3 226	3 101	3 148	
STATE . . . . .	2 327	2 304	2 310	42.0	2 111	2 090	2 096	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 821	1 536	1 617	11.4	1 115	1 011	1 052	
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 266	1 097	1 169	22.5	819	715	756	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	555	439	448	5.0	296	296	296	
IOWA . . . . .	2 622	2 366	2 470	24.4	1 933	1 778	1 845	
STATE . . . . .	1 568	1 531	1 546	48.8	1 445	1 408	1 423	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 054	835	924	13.3	488	370	422	
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 017	801	889	26.1	466	351	402	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	37	34	35	1.0	22	19	20	
KANSAS . . . . .	3 098	2 936	2 985	27.7	2 776	2 648	2 682	
STATE . . . . .	2 292	2 292	2 292	64.0	2 133	2 133	2 133	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	806	644	693	9.6	643	515	549	
COUNTIES . . . . .	758	600	647	18.7	603	475	509	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	48	44	46	1.2	40	40	40	
KENTUCKY . . . . .	2 884	2 687	2 756	21.7	2 408	2 244	2 300	
STATE . . . . .	1 681	1 646	1 664	38.4	1 386	1 359	1 373	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 203	1 041	1 092	13.1	1 022	885	927	
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 034	879	926	21.9	860	730	768	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	169	162	166	4.0	162	155	159	
LOUISIANA . . . . .	5 215	5 024	5 104	24.3	4 175	4 006	4 073	
STATE . . . . .	3 584	3 513	3 530	51.9	2 742	2 683	2 697	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 631	1 509	1 574	11.1	1 433	1 323	1 376	
PARISHES . . . . .	794	681	738	13.2	717	615	661	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	837	828	836	9.7	716	708	715	
MAINE . . . . .	906	798	836	22.8	836	728	766	
STATE . . . . .	653	631	640	38.7	583	561	570	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	253	167	196	9.7	253	167	196	
COUNTIES . . . . .	253	167	196	37.5	253	167	196	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MARYLAND . . . . .	6 475	6 453	6 458	28.8	4 692	4 670	4 675	
STATE . . . . .	5 328	5 328	5 328	54.0	3 578	3 578	3 578	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 147	1 125	1 130	9.0	1 114	1 092	1 097	
COUNTIES . . . . .	621	599	604	9.5	588	566	571	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	526	526	526	8.5	526	526	526	
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	5 804	5 682	5 724	20.4	3 709	3 631	3 657	
STATE . . . . .	3 339	3 335	3 336	48.3	2 569	2 569	2 569	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 465	2 347	2 388	11.3	1 140	1 062	1 088	
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 947	1 830	1 870	46.0	853	775	801	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	518	517	518	3.0	287	287	287	
MICHIGAN . . . . .	9 870	9 367	9 522	22.6	7 716	7 410	7 502	
STATE . . . . .	5 401	5 401	5 401	53.8	4 606	4 606	4 606	
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 469	3 966	4 121	12.8	3 110	2 804	2 896	
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 926	3 539	3 664	29.2	2 805	2 501	2 593	
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	543	427	457	2.3	305	303	303	

<sup>2</sup> See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
HAWAII . . . . .	136	129	133	20.5	50	45	46	7.0
STATE . . . . .	135	129	132	21.6	50	45	46	7.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1	-	1	2.6	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1	-	1	2.6	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	507	94	191	28.4	24	24	24	4.1
STATE . . . . .	478	68	162	31.9	24	24	24	5.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	29	26	29	18.2	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	29	26	29	18.9	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	2 266	2 201	2 222	23.4	813	811	811	8.6
STATE . . . . .	907	907	907	15.9	779	779	779	13.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 359	1 294	1 315	34.8	34	32	32	0.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 359	1 294	1 315	35.0	29	28	28	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	5	4	4	23.5
INDIANA . . . . .	719	652	682	17.4	203	87	98	2.4
STATE . . . . .	134	132	133	5.8	82	82	82	3.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	585	520	549	34.0	121	5	16	0.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	440	378	404	34.6	7	4	9	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	145	142	145	32.4	114	1	7	1.5
IOWA . . . . .	653	556	596	24.1	36	32	32	1.2
STATE . . . . .	94	94	94	6.1	29	29	29	1.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	559	462	502	54.0	7	3	3	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	544	447	487	54.5	7	3	3	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	15	15	15	42.9	-	-	-	-
KANSAS . . . . .	256	224	241	8.1	66	64	64	2.1
STATE . . . . .	95	95	95	4.1	64	64	64	2.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	161	129	146	21.0	2	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	153	125	140	21.3	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8	4	6	13.0	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY . . . . .	368	344	352	12.8	108	99	104	3.7
STATE . . . . .	281	273	277	16.6	14	14	14	0.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	87	71	75	6.9	94	85	90	8.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	81	65	69	7.5	93	84	89	9.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6	6	6	4.2	1	1	1	-
LOUISIANA . . . . .	820	803	812	15.9	223	218	218	4.3
STATE . . . . .	628	623	624	17.7	214	209	209	5.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	192	180	188	11.9	9	9	9	0.7
PARISHES . . . . .	80	69	76	10.4	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	112	111	112	13.4	9	9	9	1.1
MAINE . . . . .	63	63	63	7.5	7	7	7	0.9
STATE . . . . .	63	63	63	9.8	7	7	7	1.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	1 524	1 524	1 524	23.6	259	259	259	4.0
STATE . . . . .	1 492	1 492	1 492	28.0	258	258	258	4.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	32	32	32	2.8	1	1	1	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	32	32	32	5.3	1	1	1	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	1 677	1 636	1 648	28.8	418	415	417	7.3
STATE . . . . .	372	368	369	11.1	398	398	398	11.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 305	1 268	1 279	53.6	20	17	19	0.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 091	1 054	1 065	57.0	3	1	3	0.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	214	214	214	41.3	17	16	16	3.3
MICHIGAN . . . . .	1 779	1 600	1 660	17.4	375	357	360	3.8
STATE . . . . .	546	546	546	10.1	249	249	249	4.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 233	1 054	1 114	27.0	126	108	111	2.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 026	946	979	26.7	95	92	92	2.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	207	108	135	29.5	31	16	19	4.2

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees <sup>1</sup>
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MINNESOTA . . . . .	3 425	3 139	3 233	22.9	2 305	2 139	2 187	67.6
STATE . . . . .	1 705	1 580	1 633	51.1	1 378	1 311	1 342	82.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 720	1 559	1 600	14.7	927	828	845	52.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 708	1 553	1 590	28.4	920	823	840	52.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12	6	10	.2	7	5	5	50.0
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	1 500	1 427	1 453	19.7	1 293	1 233	1 257	86.5
STATE . . . . .	1 091	1 073	1 078	43.7	894	880	884	82.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	409	354	375	7.6	399	353	373	99.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	331	280	299	15.1	322	279	297	99.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	78	74	76	2.6	77	74	76	100.0
MISSOURI . . . . .	4 709	4 317	4 457	20.0	3 408	3 181	3 268	73.3
STATE . . . . .	2 733	2 610	2 656	48.2	1 937	1 830	1 868	70.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 976	1 707	1 801	10.7	1 471	1 351	1 400	77.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 248	986	1 077	21.3	970	856	903	83.8
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	728	721	724	6.2	501	495	497	68.6
MONTANA . . . . .	906	783	841	24.8	653	591	627	74.5
STATE . . . . .	631	599	617	54.9	522	491	510	82.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	275	184	224	9.9	131	100	117	52.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	267	178	217	15.6	123	94	110	50.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8	6	7	.8	8	6	7	100.0
NEBRASKA . . . . .	1 362	1 211	1 260	21.2	1 061	924	968	76.8
STATE . . . . .	863	861	862	40.4	634	634	634	73.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	499	350	398	10.5	427	290	334	83.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	466	322	368	22.7	401	269	311	84.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	33	28	30	1.4	26	21	23	76.7
NEVADA . . . . .	1 409	1 383	1 391	27.6	1 087	1 069	1 076	77.4
STATE . . . . .	699	696	697	57.8	523	520	521	74.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	710	687	694	18.1	564	549	555	80.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	654	639	644	22.4	518	511	515	80.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	56	48	50	5.2	46	38	40	80.0
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	711	674	691	22.4	575	546	561	81.2
STATE . . . . .	491	471	481	48.0	388	372	381	79.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	220	203	210	10.1	187	174	180	85.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	187	174	180	40.4	187	174	180	100.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	33	29	30	1.8	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	9 730	9 457	9 584	21.3	6 639	6 376	6 499	69.2
STATE . . . . .	4 033	4 014	4 023	40.4	3 328	3 309	3 318	85.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 697	5 443	5 561	15.9	3 311	3 067	3 181	57.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 640	5 389	5 505	42.5	3 304	3 060	3 174	57.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	57	54	56	.3	7	7	7	12.5
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	1 160	1 131	1 146	20.1	880	853	867	75.7
STATE . . . . .	816	809	814	31.2	559	553	558	68.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	344	322	332	10.7	321	300	309	93.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	164	142	152	18.4	160	139	148	97.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	180	180	180	7.9	161	161	161	89.4
NEW YORK . . . . .	25 751	25 094	25 322	21.5	19 931	19 460	19 643	77.8
STATE . . . . .	14 787	14 500	14 597	43.8	12 584	12 425	12 482	85.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 964	10 594	10 725	12.7	7 377	7 035	7 161	66.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	5 848	5 481	5 612	27.6	3 424	3 085	3 211	57.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5 116	5 113	5 113	8.0	3 953	3 950	3 950	77.3
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	7 382	7 139	7 196	31.4	6 042	5 804	5 859	81.4
STATE . . . . .	6 452	6 300	6 323	53.0	5 130	4 982	5 004	79.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	930	839	873	8.0	912	822	855	97.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	925	834	868	22.0	907	817	850	97.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5	5	5	.1	5	5	5	100.0
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	426	351	372	18.1	344	294	309	83.3
STATE . . . . .	297	287	290	48.9	257	250	251	86.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	129	64	82	5.6	87	44	58	70.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	127	63	81	11.3	85	43	57	70.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2	1	1	.1	2	1	1	100.0

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MINNESOTA . . . . .	834	765	794	24.6	286	235	257	7.3
STATE . . . . .	157	144	148	9.1	170	125	143	7.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	677	621	646	40.4	116	110	114	6.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	676	620	645	40.6	112	110	110	6.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	1	1	10.0	4	-	4	40.0
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	192	182	183	12.6	15	12	13	0.1
STATE . . . . .	186	182	183	17.0	11	11	11	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	6	-	-	-	4	1	2	0.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	6	-	-	-	3	1	2	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
MISSOURI . . . . .	908	763	806	18.1	297	278	288	6.4
STATE . . . . .	460	445	449	16.9	240	240	240	9.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	448	318	357	19.8	57	38	44	2.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	222	93	131	12.2	56	37	43	4.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	226	225	226	31.2	1	1	1	0.2
MONTANA . . . . .	214	154	180	21.4	39	36	36	4.0
STATE . . . . .	81	80	80	13.0	28	28	28	4.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	133	74	100	44.6	11	8	8	3.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	133	74	100	46.1	11	8	8	3.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	188	174	177	14.0	113	113	113	7.1
STATE . . . . .	127	125	125	14.5	102	102	102	9.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	61	49	52	13.1	11	11	12	3.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	56	44	47	12.8	9	9	10	2.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	5	5	5	16.7	2	2	2	6.6
NEVADA . . . . .	274	267	270	19.4	48	46	46	3.2
STATE . . . . .	130	130	130	18.7	46	46	46	6.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	144	137	140	20.0	2	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	134	127	130	20.0	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10	10	10	20.0	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	136	128	130	18.8	-	-	-	-
STATE . . . . .	103	99	100	20.8	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	33	29	30	14.3	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	33	29	30	100.0	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	2 673	2 664	2 668	27.8	418	417	417	3.0
STATE . . . . .	341	341	341	8.8	364	364	364	5.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 332	2 323	2 327	41.8	54	53	53	1.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 328	2 322	2 324	42.2	8	7	7	0.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4	1	3	5.4	46	46	46	82.1
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	261	259	259	22.6	19	19	19	1.7
STATE . . . . .	242	241	241	29.6	15	15	15	1.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	19	18	18	5.4	4	4	4	1.5
COUNTIES . . . . .	1	-	-	-	3	3	3	2.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	18	18	18	10.0	1	1	1	0.6
NEW YORK . . . . .	4 474	4 442	4 451	17.6	1 346	1 191	1 230	4.1
STATE . . . . .	894	889	890	6.1	1 339	1 186	1 225	7.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 580	3 553	3 561	33.1	7	5	5	0.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 417	2 390	2 398	42.7	7	5	5	0.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 163	1 163	1 163	22.7	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	1 087	1 083	1 084	15.1	253	252	253	3.5
STATE . . . . .	1 069	1 066	1 067	16.9	253	252	253	4.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	18	17	17	2.1	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	18	17	17	2.1	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	78	55	62	16.7	4	2	3	0.2
STATE . . . . .	40	37	38	13.4	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	38	18	24	25.6	4	2	3	3.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	38	18	24	25.9	4	2	3	3.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
OHIO . . . . .	11 615	11 121	11 284	22.9	8 984	8 621	8 754	77.4
STATE . . . . .	6 767	6 767	6 767	63.2	5 656	5 656	5 656	83.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 848	4 354	4 517	11.7	3 328	2 965	3 098	65.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 153	3 684	3 838	28.5	2 832	2 482	2 609	68.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	695	670	679	2.7	496	483	489	72.6
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	2 757	2 682	2 716	23.8	1 833	1 766	1 795	66.1
STATE . . . . .	2 201	2 201	2 201	47.3	1 415	1 415	1 415	64.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	556	481	515	7.6	418	351	380	73.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	468	396	429	23.8	339	272	301	70.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	88	85	86	1.7	79	79	79	91.8
OREGON . . . . .	3 119	2 932	2 999	26.3	2 127	1 968	2 031	67.7
STATE . . . . .	1 915	1 883	1 909	48.4	1 343	1 316	1 340	70.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 204	1 049	1 090	14.6	784	652	691	63.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 141	994	1 035	25.0	782	650	689	69.6
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	63	55	55	1.7	2	2	2	3.6
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	10 079	9 690	9 834	19.3	7 415	7 089	7 214	73.4
STATE . . . . .	4 404	4 330	4 351	37.7	3 693	3 652	3 666	84.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 675	5 360	5 483	13.9	3 722	3 437	3 548	64.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 739	3 424	3 547	32.0	2 593	2 308	2 419	68.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 936	1 936	1 936	6.8	1 129	1 129	1 129	58.3
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	800	799	799	19.0	484	484	484	60.6
STATE . . . . .	799	798	798	42.5	483	483	483	60.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	100.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	100.0
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	3 531	3 433	3 476	29.5	2 690	2 606	2 643	76.0
STATE . . . . .	2 702	2 664	2 677	55.2	1 934	1 910	1 917	71.6
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	829	769	799	11.5	756	696	726	90.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	659	600	630	17.2	586	527	557	88.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	170	169	169	5.2	170	169	169	100.0
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	382	312	335	14.6	326	275	290	86.6
STATE . . . . .	273	247	257	26.1	221	212	215	83.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	109	65	78	5.9	105	63	75	96.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	108	64	77	14.8	104	62	74	96.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	1	1	.1	1	1	1	100.0
TENNESSEE . . . . .	4 861	4 791	4 818	27.2	4 143	4 074	4 100	85.1
STATE . . . . .	3 242	3 242	3 242	55.1	2 792	2 792	2 792	86.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 619	1 549	1 576	13.3	1 351	1 282	1 308	83.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 020	951	978	24.2	908	840	866	88.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	599	598	598	7.7	443	442	442	73.9
TEXAS . . . . .	9 575	9 030	9 216	17.9	6 671	6 369	6 482	70.1
STATE . . . . .	4 627	4 432	4 508	39.3	3 939	3 792	3 854	85.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 948	4 598	4 708	11.8	2 732	2 577	2 628	55.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 672	4 333	4 440	25.3	2 458	2 313	2 361	51.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	276	265	268	1.2	274	264	267	99.6
UTAH . . . . .	1 126	1 016	1 057	21.5	746	670	699	66.1
STATE . . . . .	865	804	826	46.3	486	458	469	56.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	261	212	231	7.4	260	212	230	99.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	257	211	227	16.4	257	211	227	100.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4	1	4	.2	3	1	3	75.0
VT . . . . .	497	480	485	25.5	347	330	335	69.1
STATE . . . . .	496	479	484	40.3	346	329	334	69.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1	1	1	.1	1	1	1	100.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	1	1	1	2.0	1	1	1	100.0
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INIA . . . . .	8 515	8 267	8 356	36.4	6 187	6 029	6 090	72.9
STATE . . . . .	6 093	6 002	6 038	60.6	4 187	4 143	4 161	68.9
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 422	2 265	2 318	17.9	2 000	1 886	1 929	83.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 022	975	988	18.7	814	783	792	80.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 400	1 290	1 330	17.3	1 186	1 103	1 137	85.5

see footnotes at end of table.



Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
OHIO . . . . .	1 880	1 758	1 791	15.9	751	742	742	6.5
STATE . . . . .	477	477	477	7.0	634	634	634	9.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 403	1 281	1 314	29.1	117	108	108	2.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 234	1 124	1 154	30.1	87	78	79	1.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	169	157	160	23.6	30	30	30	4.4
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	376	368	374	13.8	548	546	546	20.1
STATE . . . . .	248	248	248	11.3	538	538	538	24.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	128	120	126	24.5	10	8	8	1.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	120	115	119	27.7	9	8	8	2.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	8	5	7	8.1	1	-	-	-
OREGON . . . . .	751	738	742	24.7	242	224	225	7.6
STATE . . . . .	412	408	409	21.4	161	159	160	8.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	339	330	333	30.6	81	65	65	6.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	295	286	289	27.9	64	57	57	5.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	44	44	44	80.0	17	8	8	16.4
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	2 526	2 493	2 504	25.5	138	106	112	1.1
STATE . . . . .	590	586	587	13.5	121	92	98	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 936	1 907	1 917	35.0	17	14	14	0.3
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 130	1 101	1 111	31.3	16	14	14	0.5
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	806	806	806	41.7	1	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	141	141	141	17.6	175	174	174	21.8
STATE . . . . .	141	141	141	17.7	175	174	174	21.8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	398	387	391	11.2	446	440	442	12.8
STATE . . . . .	346	335	339	12.7	425	419	421	15.7
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	52	52	52	6.5	21	21	21	2.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	52	52	52	8.3	21	21	21	3.3
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	25	18	20	6.0	31	19	24	7.4
STATE . . . . .	25	18	20	7.8	27	17	22	8.5
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	4	2	2	3.8
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	4	2	2	3.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	643	642	643	13.3	75	75	75	1.6
STATE . . . . .	378	378	378	11.7	72	72	72	2.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	265	264	265	16.8	3	3	3	0.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	109	108	109	11.1	3	3	3	0.4
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	156	156	156	26.1	-	-	-	-
TEXAS . . . . .	2 445	2 219	2 280	24.7	459	442	454	5.0
STATE . . . . .	368	331	340	7.5	320	309	314	7.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 077	1 888	1 940	41.2	139	133	140	3.0
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 075	1 887	1 939	43.7	139	133	140	3.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2	1	1	.4	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	300	282	289	27.3	80	64	69	6.6
STATE . . . . .	300	282	289	35.0	79	64	68	8.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	0.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	25.0
VERMONT . . . . .	95	95	95	19.6	55	55	55	11.3
STATE . . . . .	95	95	95	19.6	55	55	55	11.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	1 490	1 438	1 451	17.4	838	800	813	9.7
STATE . . . . .	1 110	1 088	1 095	18.1	796	771	781	13.0
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	380	350	356	15.4	42	29	32	1.4
COUNTIES . . . . .	208	192	195	19.8	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	172	158	161	12.1	42	29	32	2.4

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			Percent of total criminal justice system employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTE) correctional employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
WASHINGTON . . . . .	4 749	4 541	4 595	29.5	3 321	3 174	3 210	69.1
STATE . . . . .	2 858	2 852	2 857	56.7	2 182	2 176	2 181	76.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 891	1 689	1 738	16.5	1 139	998	1 029	59.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 780	1 587	1 631	29.9	1 067	929	959	58.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	111	102	107	2.1	72	69	70	65.4
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	1 243	1 153	1 190	20.1	1 056	967	1 003	84.3
STATE . . . . .	922	903	919	34.2	737	719	735	80.4
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	321	250	271	8.4	319	248	268	98.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	320	249	270	16.7	318	247	267	98.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1	1	1	.1	1	1	1	100.1
WISCONSIN . . . . .	4 185	3 954	4 024	21.6	3 078	2 948	2 992	74.4
STATE . . . . .	2 969	2 896	2 919	59.7	2 039	2 039	2 039	69.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 216	1 058	1 105	8.0	1 039	909	953	85.2
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 216	1 058	1 105	20.1	1 039	909	953	85.2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	445	412	420	20.6	389	360	367	87.1
STATE . . . . .	321	308	312	48.1	274	265	268	85.1
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	124	104	108	7.8	115	95	99	91.1
COUNTIES . . . . .	94	91	91	13.3	91	88	88	98.1
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	30	13	17	2.4	24	7	11	64.7

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.<sup>2</sup>Because of rounding, detail may not add precisely to totals.

Table 50. Detail of corrections employment and percent distribution of full-time equivalent employees, by State and type of government, October 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Probation, pardon, and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees	Number of employees			Percent of total (FTEQ) corrections employees
	Total <sup>2</sup>	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
WASHINGTON . . . . .	1 391	1 340	1 358	29.6	37	25	27	0.5
STATE . . . . .	676	676	676	23.7	-	-	-	-
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	715	664	682	39.2	37	25	27	1.6
COUNTIES . . . . .	677	632	645	39.5	36	25	27	1.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	38	32	37	34.6	1	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	91	91	91	7.6	96	94	95	8.1
STATE . . . . .	90	90	90	9.8	95	94	95	10.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1	1	1	.4	1	-	-	0.7
COUNTIES . . . . .	1	1	1	.4	1	-	-	0.7
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	739	664	683	17.0	368	340	348	8.6
STATE . . . . .	640	592	607	20.8	290	265	273	9.3
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	99	72	76	6.9	78	75	75	6.9
COUNTIES . . . . .	99	72	76	6.9	78	75	75	6.9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	47	43	43	10.2	9	9	9	2.4
STATE . . . . .	38	34	34	10.9	9	9	9	3.2
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	9	9	9	8.3	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	3	3	3	3.3	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6	6	6	35.3	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 55. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Direct expenditure							Intergovernmental exp <sup>2</sup>		
	Total	Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
OHIO:										
ALLEN . . . . .	772	763	763	-	-	-	-	9	-	-
ASHTABULA . . . . .	351	351	311	40	40	-	-	-	-	-
BUTLER . . . . .	818	818	817	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
CLARK . . . . .	1 679	1 679	601	1 078	2	1 076	-	48	-	-
CLERMONT . . . . .	323	275	275	-	-	-	-	113	-	-
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	362	249	249	-	-	-	-	679	-	-
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	8 502	7 823	7 823	-	-	-	-	343	-	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	4 305	3 962	3 890	72	9	63	-	-	-	-
GRFENE . . . . .	630	630	629	1	1	-	-	844	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	6 095	5 251	4 999	252	11	241	-	-	-	-
LAKE . . . . .	749	749	739	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
LICKING . . . . .	412	412	412	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	1 703	1 703	1 190	513	30	483	-	63	-	-
LUCAS . . . . .	6 345	6 282	3 170	3 112	6	3 106	209	-	-	-
MAHONING . . . . .	1 217	1 217	945	272	3	60	-	424	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	4 102	3 678	3 578	-	-	-	-	6	-	-
PORTAGE . . . . .	570	564	547	17	1	17	-	15	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	870	855	686	169	1	168	-	-	-	-
STARK . . . . .	2 037	2 027	2 022	5	5	-	-	10	-	-
SUMMIT . . . . .	2 616	2 568	2 463	105	105	-	-	48	-	-
TRUMBULL . . . . .	743	743	693	50	48	2	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
COMANCHE . . . . .	366	366	366	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	1 304	1 304	1 298	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	1 592	1 592	1 584	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:										
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	1 057	1 057	1 027	30	13	17	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	1 180	1 180	948	232	-	232	-	-	-	-
LANE . . . . .	2 059	2 059	1 274	785	4	778	3	21	-	-
MARION . . . . .	1 217	1 196	1 165	31	31	47	-	-	-	-
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	5 040	5 040	4 972	68	21	-	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	976	976	941	35	35	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	11 499	10 238	9 509	729	278	451	45	1 261	1 261	1 261
BEAVER . . . . .	1 107	966	896	70	4	21	-	141	141	141
BERKS . . . . .	2 204	1 738	1 726	12	12	-	-	466	466	466
BLAIR . . . . .	587	581	577	4	4	-	-	6	6	6
BUCKS . . . . .	3 739	3 554	2 994	560	78	482	-	185	185	185
BUTLER . . . . .	578	550	537	13	13	-	-	28	28	28
CAMBRIA . . . . .	739	708	702	6	6	-	-	31	31	31
CENTRE . . . . .	475	475	475	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	3 382	3 214	3 033	181	27	154	-	168	168	168
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	824	785	785	-	-	-	-	39	39	39
DAUPHIN . . . . .	2 257	2 067	2 065	2	2	-	-	190	190	190
DELAWARE . . . . .	5 699	5 697	5 685	12	12	-	-	2	2	2
ERIE . . . . .	3 408	3 227	3 221	6	6	-	-	181	181	181
FAYETTE . . . . .	484	470	423	47	-	-	-	14	14	14
FRANKLIN . . . . .	740	739	739	1	1	-	-	7	7	7
LANCASTER . . . . .	796	789	787	2	2	-	-	423	423	423
LANCASTER . . . . .	2 516	2 093	1 688	405	5	400	-	78	78	78
LAWRENCE . . . . .	537	459	391	68	15	53	-	-	-	-
LEBANON . . . . .	621	586	565	21	3	18	-	35	35	35
LEHIGH . . . . .	2 106	1 854	1 713	141	32	109	-	252	252	252
LUZERNE . . . . .	1 277	1 151	1 139	12	12	-	-	126	126	126
LYCOMING . . . . .	1 080	1 004	980	24	18	6	-	76	76	76
MERCER . . . . .	1 585	1 582	623	959	26	933	-	3	3	3
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	3 621	3 429	3 391	38	38	-	-	192	192	192
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	1 886	1 652	1 400	252	67	185	-	234	234	234
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	1 329	1 292	1 292	-	-	-	-	37	37	37
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	839	752	745	7	7	-	-	87	87	87
WASHINGTON . . . . .	1 706	1 539	1 083	456	4	452	-	167	167	167
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	1 887	1 676	1 495	181	2	179	-	211	211	211
YORK . . . . .										

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 55. Corrections expenditure of 333 large county governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA:</b>										
ANDERSON . . . . .	596	596	596	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	939	939	840	99	5	-	94	-	-	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	886	886	884	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	338	338	338	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	763	731	719	12	12	-	-	32	-	32
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	215	215	209	6	6	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TENNESSEE:</b>										
HAMILTON . . . . .	1 473	1 473	1 405	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
KNOX . . . . .	862	862	861	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
SHELBY . . . . .	7 039	6 952	6 918	34	34	-	-	87	87	-
SULLIVAN . . . . .	259	259	235	24	24	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TEXAS:</b>										
BELL . . . . .	661	661	521	140	2	136	2	-	-	-
BEXAR . . . . .	3 873	3 873	3 816	57	49	8	-	-	-	-
BRAZORIA . . . . .	824	824	346	478	221	257	-	-	-	-
CAMERON . . . . .	750	749	747	2	2	-	-	1	-	1
DALLAS . . . . .	9 854	9 854	9 797	57	52	-	5	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	2 026	2 026	1 999	27	7	-	20	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	1 314	1 314	1 306	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
HARRIS . . . . .	15 023	15 023	12 448	2 575	118	2 216	241	-	-	-
HIDALGO . . . . .	2 964	2 964	683	2 281	66	2 215	-	-	-	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	889	889	882	7	5	-	2	-	-	-
LUBBOCK . . . . .	519	519	516	3	1	-	2	-	-	-
MCLENNAN . . . . .	908	908	881	27	12	-	15	-	-	-
NUECES . . . . .	936	935	928	7	7	-	-	1	-	1
SMITH . . . . .	235	235	235	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TARRANT . . . . .	2 483	2 483	2 459	24	16	-	8	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	289	289	276	13	10	-	3	-	-	-
TRAVIS . . . . .	4 064	4 056	3 160	896	21	875	-	8	-	8
WICHITA . . . . .	416	416	409	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
<b>UTAH:</b>										
DAVIS . . . . .	156	113	98	15	15	-	-	43	-	43
SALT LAKE . . . . .	1 965	1 965	1 963	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	615	614	213	401	-	401	-	1	-	1
WEBER . . . . .	487	487	477	10	10	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VERMONT:</b>										
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>										
ARLINGTON . . . . .	1 406	1 406	1 373	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
FAIRFAX . . . . .	6 441	6 165	2 250	3 915	31	3 884	-	276	-	276
HENRICO . . . . .	1 980	1 889	779	1 110	25	1 085	-	91	-	91
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	492	479	444	35	8	27	-	13	-	13
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>										
CLARK . . . . .	1 413	1 413	1 325	88	7	81	-	-	-	-
KING . . . . .	8 588	8 588	8 353	235	26	209	-	-	-	-
KITSAP . . . . .	654	654	649	5	3	2	-	-	-	-
PIERCE . . . . .	3 067	3 067	3 064	3	3	-	-	-	-	-
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	1 492	1 492	1 405	87	5	82	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE . . . . .	2 137	2 137	2 054	83	11	72	-	-	-	-
YAKIMA . . . . .	1 172	1 172	1 168	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>										
CABELL . . . . .	464	464	432	32	23	9	-	-	-	-
KANAWHA . . . . .	629	629	612	17	1	16	-	-	-	-
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>										
BROWN . . . . .	654	639	418	221	5	216	-	15	15	-
DANE . . . . .	1 229	1 222	1 182	40	40	-	-	7	7	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	258	204	204	-	-	-	-	54	49	5
MARATHON . . . . .	323	307	297	10	2	8	-	16	16	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	9 267	9 132	7 960	1 172	109	1 063	-	135	135	-
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	234	213	210	3	3	-	-	21	21	-
RACINE . . . . .	800	754	740	14	9	5	-	46	46	-
ROCK . . . . .	1 997	1 947	1 946	1	1	-	-	50	50	-
WAUKESHA . . . . .	500	500	417	83	27	56	-	-	-	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	125	106	102	4	4	-	-	19	19	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 56. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
TOTAL . . . . .	1 016 839	663 404	216 806	446 598	327 237	26 193
ALABAMA:						
CALHOUN . . . . .	204	109	-	109	95	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	2 732	2 420	654	1 766	312	-
MADISON . . . . .	662	518	155	363	144	-
MOBILE . . . . .	1 241	1 079	392	687	162	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	829	714	406	308	115	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	431	281	-	281	150	-
ARIZONA:						
MARICOPA . . . . .	10 702	5 357	1 882	3 475	5 345	-
PIMA . . . . .	8 387	5 883	2 700	3 183	2 212	292
ARKANSAS:						
PULASKI . . . . .	1 153	1 024	92	932	129	-
CALIFORNIA:						
ALAMEDA . . . . .	29 451	14 590	5 970	8 620	11 690	3 171
BUTTE . . . . .	1 589	963	373	590	460	166
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	13 619	7 673	4 382	3 291	5 946	-
FRESNO . . . . .	8 396	5 376	2 035	3 341	3 020	-
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	1 363	762	240	522	601	-
KERN . . . . .	7 100	4 530	1 964	2 566	2 570	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	117 350	68 910	28 114	40 796	48 440	-
MARIN . . . . .	4 451	1 803	1 029	774	1 988	640
MERCED . . . . .	1 590	929	153	776	661	-
MONTEREY . . . . .	4 332	2 699	930	1 769	1 633	-
ORANGE . . . . .	28 310	14 806	6 838	7 968	10 468	3 036
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	9 799	4 716	2 141	2 575	5 069	14
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	15 482	10 355	3 312	7 043	5 127	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	14 409	7 494	3 389	4 105	5 773	1 142
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	27 350	13 636	6 253	7 383	12 643	1 071
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	5 716	3 523	1 019	2 504	2 072	121
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	1 608	507	124	383	1 049	52
SAN MATEO . . . . .	13 520	5 867	2 150	3 717	7 602	51
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	5 970	3 787	1 223	2 564	2 183	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	21 245	17 245	9 608	7 637	3 910	90
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	3 532	2 225	515	1 710	1 307	-
SOLANO . . . . .	4 069	2 056	862	1 194	1 493	520
SONOMA . . . . .	4 963	3 590	1 215	2 375	1 257	116
STANISLAUS . . . . .	3 960	2 350	648	1 702	1 590	20
TULARE . . . . .	3 808	2 201	1 023	1 178	1 607	-
VENTURA . . . . .	6 671	4 318	987	3 331	2 353	-
YOLO . . . . .	1 680	770	220	550	791	115
COLORADO:						
ADAMS . . . . .	424	424	-	424	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	661	661	-	661	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	793	793	-	793	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	1 188	1 104	-	1 104	-	84
JEFFERSON . . . . .	468	468	-	468	-	-
LARIMER . . . . .	390	277	55	222	-	112
PUEBLO . . . . .	437	426	-	426	11	-
WELD . . . . .	168	79	9	70	-	89
DELAWARE:						
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
. . . . .	1 287	830	-	830	-	457
. . . . .	421	421	-	421	-	-
. . . . .	2 796	2 457	-	2 457	217	12
. . . . .	8 563	8 316	374	7 942	-	24
. . . . .	1 597	1 376	133	1 243	221	-
. . . . .		4 667	89	4 578	-	-
. . . . .		450	-	450	15	-
. . . . .		388	388	-	-	-
. . . . .		235	-	235	76	-
. . . . .		2 018	-	2 018	-	39
. . . . .		2 669	493	2 176	58	8
. . . . .		382	14	368	-	-
. . . . .		2 433	351	2 082	135	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 56. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County:	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
FLORIDA--CONTINUED						
POLK . . . . .	1 269	1 269	-	1 269	-	-
SARASOTA . . . . .	420	420	-	420	-	-
SEMINOLE . . . . .	313	302	-	302	-	11
VOLUSIA . . . . .	1 573	1 420	-	1 420	-	153
GEORGIA:						
BIBB . . . . .	587	374	-	374	213	-
CHATHAM . . . . .	814	695	267	428	119	-
CLAYTON . . . . .	783	642	178	464	141	-
COBB . . . . .	1 226	839	-	839	387	-
DE KALB . . . . .	2 948	1 801	482	1 319	1 147	-
FULTON . . . . .	5 613	3 509	585	2 924	2 104	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	1 242	1 112	-	1 112	130	-
IDAHO:						
ADA . . . . .	489	399	232	167	90	-
ILLINOIS:						
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	738	529	225	304	209	-
COOK . . . . .	30 704	21 028	5 048	15 980	9 664	12
DU PAGE . . . . .	2 457	1 247	515	732	1 210	-
KANE . . . . .	1 560	996	237	759	493	71
LAKE . . . . .	2 067	1 413	635	778	654	-
LA SALLE . . . . .	296	226	103	123	70	-
MCHENRY . . . . .	407	170	-	170	237	-
MCLEAN . . . . .	422	270	-	270	152	-
MACON . . . . .	403	208	26	182	195	-
MADISON . . . . .	1 202	868	267	601	334	-
PEORIA . . . . .	1 602	1 265	183	1 082	321	16
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	590	435	164	271	140	15
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	890	655	203	452	235	-
SANGAMON . . . . .	691	443	144	299	226	22
TAZEWELL . . . . .	304	185	49	136	119	-
WILL . . . . .	660	499	109	390	161	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	1 306	643	197	446	392	271
INDIANA:						
ALLEN . . . . .	1 861	1 316	577	739	545	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	487	338	192	146	99	50
ELKHART . . . . .	616	391	85	306	225	-
LAKE . . . . .	2 424	1 608	275	1 333	816	-
LA PORTE . . . . .	570	189	-	189	155	226
MADISON . . . . .	521	304	111	193	217	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	1 079	668	85	583	254	157
TIPPECANOE . . . . .	311	214	160	54	65	32
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	573	356	-	356	217	-
VIGO . . . . .	475	375	234	141	100	-
IOWA:						
BLACK HAWK . . . . .	1 174	304	-	304	870	-
LINN . . . . .	1 257	498	171	327	741	18
POLK . . . . .	3 802	1 345	661	684	2 102	355
SCOTT . . . . .	447	313	-	313	134	-
WOODBURY . . . . .	492	277	132	145	215	-
KANSAS:						
JOHNSON . . . . .	787	514	135	379	273	-
SEDGWICK . . . . .	1 685	1 289	672	617	94	302
SHAWNEE . . . . .	1 001	974	529	445	1	26
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	809	635	308	327	151	23
KENTUCKY:						
JEFFERSON . . . . .	5 304	4 396	1 986	2 410	295	613
KENTON . . . . .	263	178	11	167	85	-
LOUISIANA:						
CADDO . . . . .	1 641	1 523	145	1 378	118	-
CALCASIEU . . . . .	1 139	774	267	507	179	186
JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 744	1 559	352	1 207	185	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	589	556	273	283	33	-
OUACHITA . . . . .	488	435	162	273	53	-
RAPIDES . . . . .	632	552	228	324	80	-
MAINE:						
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	544	544	-	544	-	-
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	161	161	-	161	-	-
YORK . . . . .	160	160	-	160	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 56. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977**

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
<b>TOTAL . . . . .</b>	<b>1 016 839</b>	<b>663 404</b>	<b>216 806</b>	<b>446 598</b>	<b>327 237</b>	<b>26 198</b>
<b>ALABAMA:</b>						
CALHOUN . . . . .	204	109	-	109	95	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	2 732	2 420	654	1 766	312	-
MADISON . . . . .	662	518	155	363	144	-
MOBILE . . . . .	1 241	1 079	392	687	162	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	829	714	406	308	115	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	431	281	-	281	150	-
<b>ARIZONA:</b>						
MARICOPA . . . . .	10 702	5 357	1 882	3 475	5 345	-
PIMA . . . . .	8 387	5 883	2 700	3 183	2 212	292
<b>ARKANSAS:</b>						
PULASKI . . . . .	1 153	1 024	92	932	129	-
<b>CALIFORNIA:</b>						
ALAMEDA . . . . .	29 451	14 590	5 970	8 620	11 690	3 171
BUTTE . . . . .	1 589	963	373	590	460	166
CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	13 619	7 673	4 382	3 291	5 946	-
FRESNO . . . . .	8 396	5 376	2 035	3 341	3 020	-
HUMBOLDT . . . . .	1 363	762	240	522	601	-
KERN . . . . .	7 100	4 530	1 964	2 566	2 570	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	117 350	68 910	28 114	40 796	48 440	-
MARIN . . . . .	4 451	1 803	1 029	774	1 988	660
MERCED . . . . .	1 590	929	153	776	661	-
MONTEREY . . . . .	4 332	2 699	930	1 769	1 633	-
ORANGE . . . . .	28 310	14 806	6 838	7 968	10 468	3 036
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	9 799	4 716	2 141	2 575	5 069	14
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	15 482	10 355	3 312	7 043	5 127	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	14 409	7 494	3 389	4 105	5 773	1 142
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	27 350	13 636	6 253	7 383	12 643	1 071
SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	5 716	3 523	1 019	2 504	2 072	121
SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	1 608	507	124	383	1 049	52
SAN MATEO . . . . .	13 520	5 867	2 150	3 717	7 602	51
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	5 970	3 787	1 223	2 564	2 183	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	21 245	17 245	9 608	7 637	3 910	90
SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	3 532	2 225	515	1 710	1 307	-
SOLANO . . . . .	4 069	2 056	862	1 194	1 493	520
SONOMA . . . . .	4 963	3 590	1 215	2 375	1 257	116
STANISLAUS . . . . .	3 960	2 350	648	1 702	1 590	20
TULARE . . . . .	3 808	2 201	1 023	1 178	1 607	-
VENTURA . . . . .	6 671	4 318	987	3 331	2 353	-
YOLO . . . . .	1 680	770	220	550	791	119
<b>COLORADO:</b>						
ADAMS . . . . .	424	424	-	424	-	-
ARAPAHOE . . . . .	661	661	-	661	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	793	793	-	793	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	1 188	1 104	-	1 104	-	84
JEFFERSON . . . . .	468	468	-	468	-	-
LARIMER . . . . .	390	277	55	222	-	113
PUEBLO . . . . .	437	426	-	426	11	-
WELD . . . . .	168	79	9	70	-	89
<b>DELAWARE:</b>						
NEW CASTLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>FLORIDA:</b>						
ALACHUA . . . . .	1 287	830	-	830	-	457
BREVARD . . . . .	421	421	-	421	-	-
BROWARD . . . . .	2 796	2 457	-	2 457	217	122
DADE . . . . .	8 563	8 316	374	7 942	-	247
ESCAMBIA . . . . .	1 597	1 376	133	1 243	221	-
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	4 667	4 667	89	4 578	-	-
LEE . . . . .	465	450	-	450	15	-
LEON . . . . .	388	388	388	-	-	-
MANATEE . . . . .	311	235	-	235	76	-
	2 415	2 018	-	2 018	-	397
	2 815	2 669	493	2 176	58	88
	382	382	14	368	-	-
	2 568	2 433	351	2 082	135	-



Table 56. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
FLORIDA--CONTINUED						
POLK . . . . .	1 269	1 269	-	1 269	-	-
SARASOTA . . . . .	420	420	-	420	-	-
SEMINOLE . . . . .	313	302	-	302	-	11
VOLUSIA . . . . .	1 573	1 420	-	1 420	-	153
GEORGIA:						
BIBB . . . . .	587	374	-	374	213	-
CHATHAM . . . . .	814	695	267	428	119	-
CLAYTON . . . . .	783	642	178	464	141	-
CORB . . . . .	1 226	839	-	839	387	-
DE KALB . . . . .	2 948	1 801	482	1 319	1 147	-
FULTON . . . . .	5 613	3 509	585	2 924	2 104	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	1 242	1 112	-	1 112	130	-
IDAHO:						
ADA . . . . .	489	399	232	167	90	-
ILLINOIS:						
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	738	529	225	304	209	-
COOK . . . . .	30 704	21 028	5 048	15 980	9 664	12
DU PAGE . . . . .	2 457	1 247	515	732	1 210	-
KANE . . . . .	1 560	996	237	759	493	71
LAKE . . . . .	2 067	1 413	635	778	654	-
LA SALLE . . . . .	296	226	103	123	70	-
MCHENRY . . . . .	407	170	-	170	237	-
MCLEAN . . . . .	422	270	-	270	152	-
MACON . . . . .	403	208	26	182	195	-
MADISON . . . . .	1 202	868	267	601	334	-
PEORIA . . . . .	1 602	1 265	183	1 082	321	16
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	590	435	164	271	140	15
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	890	655	203	452	235	-
SANGAMON . . . . .	691	443	144	299	226	22
TAZEWELL . . . . .	304	185	49	136	119	-
WILL . . . . .	660	499	109	390	161	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	1 306	643	197	446	392	271
INDIANA:						
ALLEN . . . . .	1 861	1 316	577	739	545	-
DELAWARE . . . . .	487	338	192	146	99	50
ELKHART . . . . .	616	391	85	306	225	-
LAKE . . . . .	2 424	1 608	275	1 333	816	-
LA PORTE . . . . .	570	189	-	189	155	226
MADISON . . . . .	521	304	111	193	217	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	1 079	668	85	583	254	157
TIPPECANOE . . . . .	311	214	160	54	65	32
VANDERBURGH . . . . .	573	356	-	356	217	-
VIGO . . . . .	475	375	234	141	100	-
IOWA:						
BLACK HAWK . . . . .	1 174	304	-	304	870	-
LINN . . . . .	1 257	498	171	327	741	18
POLK . . . . .	3 802	1 345	661	684	2 102	355
SCOTT . . . . .	447	313	-	313	134	-
WOODBURY . . . . .	492	277	132	145	215	-
KANSAS:						
JOHNSON . . . . .	787	514	135	379	273	-
SEDGWICK . . . . .	1 685	1 289	672	617	94	302
SHAWNEE . . . . .	1 001	974	529	445	1	26
WYANDOTTE . . . . .	809	635	308	327	151	23
KENTUCKY:						
JEFFERSON . . . . .	5 304	4 396	1 986	2 410	295	613
KENTON . . . . .	263	178	11	167	85	-
LOUISIANA:						
CADDO . . . . .	1 641	1 523	145	1 378	118	-
CALCASIEU . . . . .	1 139	774	267	507	179	186
JEFFERSON . . . . .	1 744	1 559	352	1 207	185	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	589	556	273	283	33	-
OUACHITA . . . . .	488	435	162	273	53	-
RAPIDES . . . . .	632	552	228	324	80	-
MAINE:						
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	544	544	-	544	-	-
PENOBSCOT . . . . .	161	161	-	161	-	-
YORK . . . . .	160	160	-	160	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 56. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
MARYLAND:						
ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	636	636	-	636	-	-
BALTIMORE . . . . .	1 919	1 255	28	1 227	664	-
HARFORD . . . . .	718	718	-	718	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	2 208	2 208	-	2 208	-	-
PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	3 257	3 221	-	3 221	36	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	281	281	-	281	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:						
BARNSTABLE . . . . .	1 252	825	-	825	427	-
BERKSHIRE . . . . .	900	569	-	569	322	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	2 082	970	-	970	1 112	-
ESSEX . . . . .	3 473	1 658	117	1 541	1 788	-
HAMPDEN . . . . .	4 079	2 350	-	2 350	1 729	-
HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	1 030	750	-	750	280	-
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	7 438	3 670	-	3 670	3 768	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	2 467	1 136	-	1 136	1 331	-
PLYMOUTH . . . . .	2 604	1 136	-	1 136	1 468	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	4 092	1 929	-	1 929	2 163	-
MICHIGAN:						
BAY . . . . .	819	639	304	335	180	-
BERRIEN . . . . .	2 019	1 383	741	642	476	-
CALHOUN . . . . .	1 533	1 132	667	465	336	-
GENESEE . . . . .	4 208	3 343	2 204	1 139	794	-
INGHAM . . . . .	1 659	1 287	604	683	372	-
JACKSON . . . . .	1 309	852	596	256	456	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	2 378	1 550	697	853	442	-
KENT . . . . .	3 646	3 338	1 409	1 929	308	-
MACOMB . . . . .	3 900	2 912	1 087	1 825	843	-
MONROE . . . . .	862	699	391	308	163	-
MUSKEGON . . . . .	1 590	1 362	729	633	227	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	7 656	5 782	3 302	2 480	1 278	-
OTTAWA . . . . .	687	510	294	216	177	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	2 133	1 520	878	642	305	-
ST. CLAIR . . . . .	909	627	356	271	174	-
WASHTENAW . . . . .	2 881	2 356	1 166	1 170	482	-
WAYNE . . . . .	22 851	16 589	5 754	10 835	6 262	-
MINNESOTA:						
ANOKA . . . . .	596	280	80	200	316	-
DAKOTA . . . . .	462	275	54	221	187	-
HENNEPIN . . . . .	10 671	5 759	2 775	2 984	3 046	-
RAMSEY . . . . .	6 970	3 366	1 095	2 271	2 946	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	1 428	325	-	325	1 103	-
MISSISSIPPI:						
HARRISON . . . . .	366	358	147	211	-	-
HINDS . . . . .	681	649	107	542	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	254	252	158	94	-	-
MISSOURI:						
CLAY . . . . .	792	312	41	271	480	-
GREENE . . . . .	363	346	62	284	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	5 831	5 490	3 276	2 214	341	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	135	128	54	74	7	-
ST. CHARLES . . . . .	288	201	19	182	87	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	4 056	3 391	1 303	2 088	303	-
NEBRASKA:						
DOUGLAS . . . . .	1 921	1 746	373	1 373	175	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	475	323	323	-	152	-
NEVADA:						
CLARK . . . . .	6 912	5 757	3 151	2 606	1 155	-
WASHOE . . . . .	1 564	1 043	478	565	521	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:						
HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	696	696	-	696	-	-
ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	278	278	-	278	-	-
NEW JERSEY:						
ATLANTIC . . . . .	2 072	1 512	614	898	560	-
BERGEN . . . . .	4 167	2 402	400	2 002	1 765	-
BURLINGTON . . . . .	2 589	1 719	555	1 164	870	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	6 268	4 288	1 018	3 270	1 980	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	1 842	1 428	634	794	414	-
ESSEX . . . . .	17 720	12 447	2 032	10 415	5 273	-

See footnotes at end of table

Table 56. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County¹	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
NEW JERSEY--CONTINUED						
GLOUCESTER . . . . .	1 379	825	246	579	554	-
HUDSON . . . . .	4 710	3 085	808	2 277	1 625	-
MERCER . . . . .	3 661	2 786	475	2 311	827	48
MIDDLESEX . . . . .	5 099	2 746	677	2 069	2 216	137
MONMOUTH . . . . .	2 846	2 066	346	1 720	780	-
MORRIS . . . . .	1 942	1 064	347	717	878	-
OCEAN . . . . .	1 770	1 024	360	664	746	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	5 005	2 886	910	1 976	2 119	-
SOMERSET . . . . .	1 650	657	133	524	993	-
UNION . . . . .	4 113	2 138	802	1 336	1 969	6
NEW MEXICO:						
BERNALILLO . . . . .	438	438	393	45	-	-
NEW YORK:						
ALBANY . . . . .	4 276	3 878	2 009	1 869	398	-
BROOME . . . . .	977	449	29	420	528	-
CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	803	335	83	252	468	-
CHEMUNG . . . . .	835	368	-	368	446	21
DUTCHESS . . . . .	1 688	1 196	3	1 193	492	-
ERIE . . . . .	11 130	8 856	3 276	5 580	2 274	-
MONROE . . . . .	7 315	4 872	1 470	3 402	2 406	37
NASSAU . . . . .	18 270	8 667	912	7 755	8 281	1 322
NIAGARA . . . . .	1 415	942	103	839	473	-
ONEIDA . . . . .	1 194	759	200	559	435	-
ONONDAGA . . . . .	7 058	5 409	1 562	3 847	1 649	-
ORANGE . . . . .	1 501	1 021	263	758	480	-
OSWEGO . . . . .	608	316	20	296	292	-
RENSSELAER . . . . .	1 218	842	492	350	376	-
ROCKLAND . . . . .	2 602	1 967	772	1 195	635	-
ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	588	229	8	221	359	-
SARATOGA . . . . .	424	259	6	253	165	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	1 133	825	396	429	308	-
STEBEN . . . . .	712	400	27	373	312	-
SUFFOLK . . . . .	11 134	6 340	1 651	4 689	4 794	-
ULSTER . . . . .	1 237	975	23	952	262	-
WESTCHESTER . . . . .	10 213	7 015	431	6 584	3 198	-
NORTH CAROLINA:						
BUNCOMBE . . . . .	472	472	90	382	-	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	783	698	107	591	-	85
DURHAM . . . . .	280	280	41	239	-	-
FORSYTH . . . . .	656	656	107	549	-	-
GASTON . . . . .	532	499	157	342	33	-
GUILFORD . . . . .	1 146	1 146	204	942	-	-
MECKLENBURG . . . . .	1 231	1 043	262	781	141	47
ONSLow . . . . .	363	363	127	236	-	-
WAKE . . . . .	384	384	151	233	-	-
OHIO:						
ALLEN . . . . .	763	442	196	246	129	192
ASHTABULA . . . . .	311	195	15	180	97	19
BUTLER . . . . .	817	534	138	396	277	6
CLARK . . . . .	601	372	146	226	229	-
CLEFMONT . . . . .	275	176	-	176	99	-
COLUMBIANA . . . . .	249	154	18	136	95	-
CUYAHOGA . . . . .	7 823	5 905	2 578	3 327	1 796	122
FRANKLIN . . . . .	3 890	1 859	861	998	1 813	218
GREENE . . . . .	629	464	120	344	165	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	4 999	3 117	2 086	1 031	1 524	358
LAKE . . . . .	739	449	239	210	181	109
LICKING . . . . .	412	212	56	156	200	-
LORAIN . . . . .	1 190	508	274	234	682	-
LUCAS . . . . .	3 170	2 041	920	1 121	1 093	36
MAHONING . . . . .	945	671	331	340	274	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	3 678	1 950	1 081	869	1 402	326
PORTAGE . . . . .	547	369	231	178	173	5
RICHLAND . . . . .	686	400	158	242	262	24
STARK . . . . .	2 022	1 659	811	848	363	-
SUMMIT . . . . .	2 463	1 408	592	816	938	117
TRUMBULL . . . . .	693	491	269	222	108	94
OKLAHOMA:						
COMANCHE . . . . .	366	292	130	162	74	-
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	1 298	627	247	380	671	-
TULSA . . . . .	1 584	1 136	486	650	448	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 56. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
OREGON:						
CLACKAMAS . . . . .	1 027	445	-	445	582	-
JACKSON . . . . .	948	556	162	394	392	-
LANE . . . . .	1 274	1 274	363	911	-	-
MARION . . . . .	1 165	679	225	454	486	-
MULTNOMAH . . . . .	4 972	3 417	422	2 995	1 199	356
WASHINGTON . . . . .	941	514	169	345	427	-
PENNSYLVANIA:						
ALLEGHENY . . . . .	9 509	6 366	4 174	2 192	3 143	-
BEAVER . . . . .	896	641	259	382	255	-
BERKS . . . . .	1 726	1 306	211	1 095	420	-
BLAIR . . . . .	577	476	175	301	101	-
BUCKS . . . . .	2 994	2 395	921	1 474	542	57
BUTLER . . . . .	537	309	103	206	228	-
CAMBRIA . . . . .	702	469	183	286	233	-
CENTRE . . . . .	475	229	46	183	98	148
CHESTER . . . . .	3 033	2 524	947	1 577	509	-
CUMBERLAND . . . . .	785	559	146	413	226	-
DAUPHIN . . . . .	2 065	1 644	637	1 007	379	42
DELAWARE . . . . .	5 685	5 175	1 843	3 332	510	-
ERIE . . . . .	3 221	2 390	1 503	887	645	186
FAYETTE . . . . .	423	265	22	243	158	-
FRANKLIN . . . . .	739	597	166	431	142	-
LACKAWANNA . . . . .	787	662	217	445	125	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	1 688	1 335	101	1 234	353	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	391	323	135	188	68	-
LEBANON . . . . .	565	419	1	418	146	-
LEHIGH . . . . .	1 713	1 440	335	1 105	273	-
LUZERNE . . . . .	1 139	881	-	881	258	-
LYCOMING . . . . .	980	744	295	449	236	-
MERCER . . . . .	623	443	217	226	110	70
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	3 391	2 210	406	1 804	1 181	-
NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	1 400	1 133	126	1 007	267	-
SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	1 292	1 102	714	388	190	-
WASHINGTON . . . . .	745	531	160	371	214	-
WESTMORELAND . . . . .	1 083	616	326	290	467	-
YORK . . . . .	1 495	1 253	524	729	242	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:						
ANDERSON . . . . .	596	575	34	541	21	-
CHARLESTON . . . . .	840	730	148	582	110	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	884	883	-	883	1	-
LEXINGTON . . . . .	338	338	-	338	-	-
RICHLAND . . . . .	719	637	-	637	82	-
SPARTANBURG . . . . .	209	195	-	195	14	-
TENNESSEE:						
HAMILTON . . . . .	1 405	1 105	210	895	290	10
KNOX . . . . .	861	824	52	772	37	-
SHELBY . . . . .	6 918	6 377	321	6 056	541	-
SULLIVAN . . . . .	235	225	99	126	10	-
TEXAS:						
BELL . . . . .	521	141	-	141	380	-
BEXAR . . . . .	3 816	2 664	237	2 427	1 147	5
BRAZORIA . . . . .	346	86	-	86	200	60
CAMERON . . . . .	747	380	31	349	364	3
DALLAS . . . . .	9 797	6 717	1 522	5 195	3 080	-
EL PASO . . . . .	1 999	1 103	40	1 063	858	38
GALVESTON . . . . .	1 306	492	-	492	438	376
HARRIS . . . . .	12 448	7 242	1 907	5 335	4 736	470
HIDALGO . . . . .	683	451	161	290	232	-
JEFFERSON . . . . .	882	682	277	405	200	-
LUBBOCK . . . . .	516	217	-	217	299	-
MCLENNAN . . . . .	881	347	47	300	534	-
MUEGES . . . . .	928	436	100	336	492	-
SMITH . . . . .	235	104	-	104	131	-
TARRANT . . . . .	2 459	1 194	370	824	1 265	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	276	181	-	181	95	-
TRAVIS . . . . .	3 160	1 116	240	876	1 864	180
WICHITA . . . . .	409	196	63	133	213	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 56. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 333 large county governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

County <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current	Institutions			Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
		Total	For juveniles	Other and combined		
UTAH:						
DAVIS . . . . .	98	98	-	98	-	-
SALT LAKE . . . . .	1 963	1 963	667	1 296	-	-
UTAH . . . . .	213	213	122	91	-	-
WEBER . . . . .	477	477	222	255	-	-
VERMONT:						
CHITTENDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:						
ARLINGTON . . . . .	1 373	891	104	787	482	-
FAIRFAX . . . . .	2 250	1 300	406	894	946	4
HENRICO . . . . .	779	361	-	361	418	-
PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	444	293	-	293	151	-
WASHINGTON:						
CLARK . . . . .	1 325	448	87	361	877	-
KING . . . . .	8 353	5 722	2 010	3 712	2 631	-
KITSAP . . . . .	649	359	148	211	290	-
PIERCE . . . . .	3 064	1 761	1 076	685	1 099	204
SNOHOMISH . . . . .	1 405	744	213	531	661	-
SPOKANE . . . . .	2 054	1 358	538	820	696	-
YAKIMA . . . . .	1 168	777	196	581	391	-
WEST VIRGINIA:						
CABELL . . . . .	432	423	136	287	9	-
KANAWHA . . . . .	612	600	172	428	12	-
WISCONSIN:						
BROWN . . . . .	418	418	141	277	-	-
DANE . . . . .	1 182	1 182	451	731	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	204	204	25	179	-	-
MARATHON . . . . .	297	190	6	184	107	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	7 960	6 491	1 401	5 090	471	998
OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	210	210	-	210	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	740	504	98	406	236	-
ROCK . . . . .	1 946	1 834	1 403	431	112	-
WAUKESHA . . . . .	417	402	32	370	15	-
WINNEBAGO . . . . .	102	102	-	102	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 57. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
1	TOTAL . . . . .	64 835	60 106	61 938	69 599	41 710	37 879	39 386	43 064	14 031	11 678	12 658	13 296
2	ALABAMA:												
3	CALHOUN . . . . .	16	16	16	12	8	8	8	5	-	-	-	-
4	JEFFERSON . . . . .	178	173	175	194	151	146	148	163	52	48	49	48
5	MADISON . . . . .	58	57	57	41	46	45	45	29	15	14	14	8
6	MOBILE . . . . .	103	101	101	88	83	81	81	66	38	38	38	30
7	MONTGOMERY . . . . .	87	82	83	67	73	68	69	53	41	40	40	34
8	TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	45	45	45	34	25	25	25	21	25	25	25	21
9	ARIZONA:												
10	MARICOPA . . . . .	680	675	676	746	338	337	337	342	95	94	94	115
11	PIMA . . . . .	419	414	416	473	288	283	285	311	124	119	121	137
12	ARKANSAS:												
13	PULASKI . . . . .	107	105	105	75	93	91	91	65	12	10	11	9
14	CALIFORNIA:												
15	ALAMEDA . . . . .	1 481	1 185	1 289	1 892	831	593	659	1 006	368	246	294	385
16	BUTTE . . . . .	79	70	73	78	44	35	39	38	27	20	23	20
17	CONTRA COSTA . . . . .	646	566	604	856	328	256	292	415	205	138	174	221
18	FRESNO . . . . .	574	483	524	589	385	301	345	345	141	120	126	137
19	HUMBOLDT . . . . .	100	83	86	102	60	44	47	55	38	22	26	27
20	KERN . . . . .	449	390	429	519	267	213	249	297	127	102	116	129
21	LOS ANGELES . . . . .	6 365	6 056	6 203	8 378	3 869	3 665	3 790	4 769	1 843	1 639	1 758	2 318
22	MARIN . . . . .	208	171	177	258	84	55	60	97	59	30	35	59
23	MERCED . . . . .	97	90	93	104	52	47	49	57	12	9	11	11
24	MONTEREY . . . . .	248	214	227	293	146	119	129	169	64	38	49	58
25	ORANGE . . . . .	1 345	1 236	1 270	1 915	608	560	575	885	361	324	339	433
26	RIVERSIDE . . . . .	654	597	625	784	332	285	307	387	171	132	148	193
27	SACRAMENTO . . . . .	696	568	636	942	409	286	353	524	192	110	151	208
28	SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	842	748	808	988	462	386	441	531	253	184	236	277
29	SAN DIEGO . . . . .	1 659	1 489	1 564	2 038	778	649	707	937	315	269	292	378
30	SAN JOAQUIN . . . . .	396	299	322	375	259	163	184	227	140	46	69	75
31	SAN LUIS OBISPO . . . . .	102	100	100	142	32	32	32	43	-	-	-	-
32	SAN MATEO . . . . .	554	539	547	824	211	207	209	327	101	97	100	122
33	SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	345	333	336	402	209	197	200	234	87	75	79	90
34	SANTA CLARA . . . . .	1 244	1 054	1 119	1 621	1 045	858	922	1 319	670	540	579	848
35	SANTA CRUZ . . . . .	153	142	146	179	98	89	93	112	36	30	32	33
36	SOLANO . . . . .	238	222	230	293	91	88	88	113	18	15	15	22
37	SONOMA . . . . .	247	177	208	236	161	96	126	135	100	54	75	82
38	STANISLAUS . . . . .	217	191	198	220	120	94	101	109	36	25	29	30
39	TULARE . . . . .	186	177	181	214	109	102	104	124	49	42	45	45
40	VENTURA . . . . .	389	361	372	504	244	221	230	321	65	42	52	61
41	YOLO . . . . .	128	110	112	126	54	50	50	55	21	17	17	16
42	COLORADO:												
43	ADAMS . . . . .	27	27	27	29	27	27	27	29	-	-	-	-
44	ARAPAHOE . . . . .	37	37	37	44	37	37	37	44	-	-	-	-
45	BOULDER . . . . .	57	54	55	62	57	54	55	62	-	-	-	-
46	EL PASO . . . . .	78	78	78	71	78	78	78	71	-	-	-	-
47	JEFFERSON . . . . .	31	29	29	31	31	29	29	31	-	-	-	-
48	LARIMER . . . . .	25	24	24	22	15	14	14	13	-	-	-	-
49	PUEBLO . . . . .	38	38	38	29	38	38	38	29	-	-	-	-
50	WELD . . . . .	29	25	26	22	21	19	19	16	1	1	1	1
51	DELAWARE:												
52	NEW CASTLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
53	FLORIDA:												
54	ALACHUA . . . . .	77	76	76	64	55	55	55	47	-	-	-	-
55	BREVARD . . . . .	27	27	27	26	27	27	27	26	-	-	-	-
56	BROWARD . . . . .	216	206	208	202	188	178	180	173	-	-	-	-
57	DADE . . . . .	529	529	529	662	527	527	527	660	-	-	-	-
58	ESCAMBIA . . . . .	119	119	119	101	100	100	100	87	-	-	-	-
59	HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	293	293	293	311	293	293	293	311	-	-	-	-
60	LEE . . . . .	25	25	25	22	23	23	23	21	-	-	-	-
61	LEON . . . . .	32	32	32	23	23	23	23	21	-	-	-	-
62	MANATEE . . . . .	39	39	39	28	27	27	27	18	-	-	-	-
63	ORANGE . . . . .	227	227	227	213	200	200	200	191	-	-	-	-
64	PALM BEACH . . . . .	194	192	192	183	181	179	179	172	52	50	50	42
65	PASCO . . . . .	33	33	33	21	33	33	33	21	-	-	-	-
66	PINELLAS . . . . .	145	145	145	143	139	139	139	138	19	19	19	18
67	POLK . . . . .	90	90	90	73	90	90	90	73	-	-	-	-
68	SARASOTA . . . . .	55	55	55	45	55	55	55	45	-	-	-	-
69	SEMINOLE . . . . .	36	36	36	26	36	36	36	26	-	-	-	-
70	VOLUSIA . . . . .	127	118	119	100	106	102	102	84	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

## 333 large county governments, October 1977

(in thousands)

Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				Line number
Other and combined institutions				Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
27 679	26 201	26 805	29 768	22 067	21 303	21 576	25 416	1 058	924	989	1 124	1
8	8	8	5	8	8	8	6	-	-	-	-	2
99	98	98	117	27	27	27	31	-	-	-	-	3
31	31	31	22	12	12	12	12	-	-	-	-	4
45	43	43	35	20	20	20	23	-	-	-	-	5
41	40	41	34	14	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	6
25	25	25	21	20	20	20	13	-	-	-	-	7
243	243	243	227	342	338	339	403	-	-	-	-	8
164	164	164	175	123	123	123	153	8	8	8	8	9
81	81	81	60	14	14	14	11	-	-	-	-	10
463	347	369	621	539	513	524	750	111	79	106	137	11
17	15	16	18	33	33	33	38	2	2	2	2	12
123	118	121	194	318	310	311	441	-	-	-	-	13
244	181	220	208	189	182	183	244	-	-	-	-	14
22	22	22	28	40	39	39	47	-	-	-	-	15
140	111	134	168	182	177	179	222	-	-	-	-	16
2 026	2 026	2 026	2 451	2 496	2 391	2 419	3 609	-	-	-	-	17
25	25	25	37	87	86	86	127	37	30	30	34	18
40	38	38	47	45	43	44	47	-	-	-	-	19
82	81	81	111	102	95	98	124	-	-	-	-	20
247	236	237	450	600	569	578	838	137	107	115	191	21
161	153	158	192	322	312	317	397	-	-	-	-	22
217	176	203	316	287	282	282	418	-	-	-	-	23
209	202	206	254	380	362	367	457	-	-	-	-	24
463	380	414	559	813	779	790	1 012	68	61	64	89	25
119	117	117	152	131	130	130	140	6	6	6	7	26
32	32	32	43	68	68	68	97	2	-	2	1	27
110	110	110	205	340	329	335	494	3	3	3	3	28
122	122	122	144	136	136	136	168	-	-	-	-	29
375	318	342	471	197	196	196	300	2	-	1	2	30
62	59	61	79	55	53	53	67	-	-	-	-	31
73	73	73	91	139	126	133	168	8	8	8	12	32
61	42	51	54	85	80	82	100	1	1	1	1	33
84	69	72	79	97	97	97	111	-	-	-	-	34
60	60	60	78	77	75	76	90	-	-	-	-	35
179	179	179	260	145	140	142	183	-	-	-	-	36
33	33	33	39	53	52	52	62	21	8	10	10	37
27	27	27	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38
37	37	37	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
57	54	55	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40
78	78	78	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41
31	29	29	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
15	14	14	13	-	-	-	-	10	10	10	9	43
38	38	38	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44
20	18	18	15	-	-	-	-	8	6	6	6	45
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
55	55	55	47	-	-	-	-	22	22	22	17	47
27	27	27	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48
188	178	180	173	20	20	20	23	8	8	8	6	49
527	527	527	660	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	50
100	100	100	87	19	19	19	14	-	-	-	-	51
293	293	293	311	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
23	23	23	21	2	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	53
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54
27	27	27	18	12	12	12	9	-	-	-	-	55
200	200	200	191	-	-	-	-	27	27	27	22	56
129	129	129	130	-	-	-	-	13	13	13	12	57
33	33	33	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
120	120	120	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59
90	90	90	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60
55	55	55	45	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	61
36	36	36	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62
106	102	102	84	-	-	-	-	21	16	16	16	63

Table 57. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of

(Dollar amount)

Line number	County <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections activities				Institutions								
		Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles				
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	GEORGIA:													
2	BIBB . . . . .	41	41	41	35	22	22	22	17	-	-	-	-	-
3	CHATHAM . . . . .	73	73	73	56	62	62	62	46	28	28	28	17	17
4	CLAYTON . . . . .	85	72	74	59	62	62	62	48	27	27	27	11	11
5	COBB . . . . .	71	71	71	64	44	44	44	40	-	-	-	-	-
6	DE KALB . . . . .	198	198	198	198	110	110	110	98	48	48	48	31	31
7	FULTON . . . . .	382	361	368	368	229	211	218	203	49	49	49	47	47
8	RICHMOND . . . . .	118	115	115	80	95	94	94	66	-	-	-	-	-
9	IDAHO:													
10	ADA . . . . .	48	43	44	40	40	35	36	32	23	18	20	11	11
11	ILLINOIS:													
12	CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	44	33	35	26	31	20	22	16	12	10	10	8	8
13	COOK . . . . .	2 030	1 996	2 008	2 371	1 314	1 281	1 293	1 493	303	276	286	314	314
14	DU PAGE . . . . .	154	130	139	149	92	69	77	84	37	21	28	31	31
15	KANE . . . . .	123	120	120	114	80	77	77	76	21	20	20	18	18
16	LAKE . . . . .	135	132	132	117	82	81	81	72	26	26	26	21	21
17	LA SALLE . . . . .	34	29	30	24	25	20	21	17	12	10	10	7	7
18	MCHENRY . . . . .	42	42	42	34	14	14	14	11	-	-	-	-	-
19	MCLEAN . . . . .	39	34	35	29	26	21	22	19	-	-	-	-	-
20	MACON . . . . .	26	23	24	18	15	12	13	9	5	4	4	3	3
21	MADISON . . . . .	95	92	92	82	68	66	66	57	26	24	24	19	19
22	PEORIA . . . . .	95	92	92	85	70	68	68	60	11	11	11	13	13
23	ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	26	26	26	20	15	15	15	11	-	-	-	-	-
24	ST. CLAIR . . . . .	89	85	87	73	65	61	63	52	23	20	21	14	14
25	SANGAMON . . . . .	60	60	60	51	40	40	40	33	22	22	22	19	19
26	TAZEWELL . . . . .	25	18	20	16	13	6	8	6	-	-	-	-	-
27	WILL . . . . .	45	44	44	40	26	25	25	25	-	-	-	-	-
28	WINNEBAGO . . . . .	128	112	116	122	78	64	68	74	26	16	19	17	17
29	INDIANA:													
30	ALLEN . . . . .	142	123	128	115	96	82	86	75	54	40	45	31	31
31	DELAWARE . . . . .	33	21	29	22	24	12	20	15	19	8	16	11	11
32	ELKHART . . . . .	56	48	50	44	32	29	29	26	12	10	10	9	9
33	LAKE . . . . .	178	177	177	173	110	109	109	107	41	40	40	33	33
34	LA PORTE . . . . .	30	26	28	23	17	15	15	12	-	-	-	-	-
35	MADISON . . . . .	68	50	64	39	38	34	36	21	23	19	21	12	12
36	ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	77	70	72	65	50	49	49	41	11	10	10	7	7
37	TIPPECANOE . . . . .	27	25	25	18	23	21	21	15	15	15	15	16	16
38	VANDERBURGH . . . . .	47	30	40	39	26	17	23	24	-	-	-	-	-
39	VIGO . . . . .	43	37	38	30	34	31	31	25	21	20	20	15	15
40	IOWA:													
41	BLACK HAWK . . . . .	88	81	83	89	18	15	16	21	-	-	-	-	-
42	LINN . . . . .	144	123	129	124	50	44	45	40	15	13	13	11	11
43	POLK . . . . .	266	235	245	247	119	104	109	100	68	63	64	53	53
44	SCOTT . . . . .	32	29	30	27	21	18	19	16	-	-	-	-	-
45	WOODBURY . . . . .	43	32	35	34	30	19	22	19	7	6	6	5	5
46	KANSAS:													
47	JOHNSON . . . . .	68	60	62	54	35	35	35	32	16	16	16	10	10
48	SEDGWICK . . . . .	112	110	110	84	106	104	104	77	57	57	57	40	40
49	SHAWNEE . . . . .	90	86	89	62	90	86	89	62	65	61	64	40	40
50	WYANDOTTE . . . . .	94	71	81	56	71	57	59	41	29	28	28	16	16
51	KENTUCKY:													
52	JEFFERSON . . . . .	497	464	483	352	399	375	390	276	199	177	198	101	101
53	KENTON . . . . .	23	18	20	16	14	9	11	8	1	1	1	1	1
54	LOUISIANA:													
55	CADDO . . . . .	123	121	121	88	111	109	109	79	18	17	17	9	9
56	CALCASIEU . . . . .	66	37	68	44	56	33	37	36	27	27	27	15	15
57	JEFFERSON . . . . .	121	115	116	111	104	98	99	94	37	37	37	21	21
58	LAFAYETTE . . . . .	78	56	61	38	74	53	58	35	53	33	38	21	21
59	OUACHITA . . . . .	46	41	41	32	41	36	36	29	21	16	16	16	16
60	RAPIDES . . . . .	51	43	45	34	46	38	40	29	28	20	23	13	13
61	MAINE:													
62	CUMBERLAND . . . . .	57	34	50	47	57	34	50	47	-	-	-	-	-
63	PENOBSCOT . . . . .	22	22	22	14	22	22	22	14	-	-	-	-	-
64	YORK . . . . .	34	23	26	19	34	23	26	19	-	-	-	-	-
65	MARYLAND:													
66	ANNE ARUNDEL . . . . .	37	37	37	40	37	37	37	40	-	-	-	-	-
67	BALTIMORE . . . . .	118	110	111	132	90	82	83	106	8	-	3	1	1
68	HARFORD . . . . .	36	36	36	35	36	36	36	35	-	-	-	-	-
69	MONTGOMERY . . . . .	143	140	140	179	143	140	140	179	-	-	-	-	-
70	PRINCE GEORGES . . . . .	148	148	148	175	148	148	148	175	-	-	-	-	-
71	WASHINGTON . . . . .	19	18	18	16	19	18	18	16	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.



## 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

in thousands)

Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
Other and combined institutions				Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent									
22	22	22	17	19	19	19	18	-	-	-	-
34	34	34	28	11	11	11	10	-	-	-	-
35	35	35	30	23	10	11	11	-	-	-	-
44	44	44	40	27	27	27	24	-	-	-	-
62	62	62	60	88	88	88	100	-	-	-	-
180	162	168	160	153	150	150	166	-	-	-	-
95	94	94	66	23	21	21	14	-	-	-	-
17	17	17	16	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
19	10	12	8	13	13	13	9	-	-	-	-
1 011	1 005	1 007	1 177	716	715	715	877	-	-	-	-
55	48	49	54	62	61	61	65	-	-	-	-
59	57	57	57	39	39	39	34	4	4	4	5
56	55	55	51	53	51	51	44	-	-	-	-
13	10	11	10	9	9	9	6	-	-	-	-
14	14	14	11	28	28	28	23	-	-	-	-
26	21	22	19	13	13	13	11	-	-	-	-
10	8	8	7	11	11	11	9	-	-	-	-
42	42	42	41	27	26	26	25	-	-	-	-
59	57	57	49	25	24	24	25	-	-	-	-
15	15	15	11	7	7	7	6	4	4	4	3
42	41	41	36	24	24	24	20	-	-	-	-
18	18	18	18	20	20	20	18	-	-	-	-
13	6	8	6	12	12	12	10	-	-	-	-
26	25	25	25	19	19	19	15	-	-	-	-
52	48	49	57	39	37	37	36	11	11	11	11
42	42	42	40	46	41	42	41	-	-	-	-
5	4	4	4	9	9	9	7	-	-	-	-
20	19	19	17	24	19	20	18	-	-	-	-
69	69	69	73	68	68	68	66	-	-	-	-
17	15	15	12	13	11	12	10	-	-	-	-
15	15	15	8	30	16	26	18	-	-	-	-
39	39	39	34	27	21	22	24	-	-	-	-
8	6	6	5	4	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
26	17	23	24	21	13	16	15	-	-	-	-
13	11	11	9	9	6	6	5	-	-	-	-
18	15	16	21	70	66	66	69	-	-	-	-
35	31	31	28	90	79	81	82	4	-	3	2
51	41	45	45	147	131	136	147	-	-	-	-
21	18	19	16	11	11	11	11	-	-	-	-
23	13	16	13	13	13	13	15	-	-	-	-
19	19	19	22	33	25	27	22	-	-	-	-
49	47	47	36	6	6	6	8	-	-	-	-
25	25	25	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
42	29	31	25	22	14	20	14	1	-	1	1
200	198	198	175	31	28	28	27	67	61	64	50
13	8	10	8	9	9	9	8	-	-	-	-
93	92	92	70	12	12	12	9	-	-	-	-
29	6	26	20	10	4	10	8	-	-	-	-
67	61	61	70	17	17	17	17	-	-	-	-
21	20	20	14	4	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
20	20	20	19	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-
18	18	18	16	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	-
57	34	50	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	22	22	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	23	26	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
37	37	37	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
82	82	82	104	28	28	28	26	-	-	-	-
36	36	36	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143	140	140	179	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148	148	148	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	18	18	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 57. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections activities				Institutions								
		Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles				
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
1	MASSACHUSETTS:													
2	BARNSTABLE . . . . .	84	83	83	91	50	49	49	54	-	-	-	-	-
3	BERKSHIRE . . . . .	60	51	55	66	33	25	28	38	-	-	-	-	-
4	BRISTOL . . . . .	137	131	132	142	54	49	49	57	-	-	-	-	-
5	ESSEX . . . . .	244	214	232	268	96	81	93	118	4	4	4	4	4
6	HAMPDEN . . . . .	217	212	214	241	99	97	97	109	-	-	-	-	-
7	HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	79	68	69	73	49	40	41	46	-	-	-	-	-
8	MIDDLESEX . . . . .	429	424	424	521	200	195	195	262	-	-	-	-	-
9	NORFOLK . . . . .	185	182	184	190	71	71	71	73	-	-	-	-	-
10	PLYMOUTH . . . . .	201	196	197	191	69	65	66	68	-	-	-	-	-
	WORCESTER . . . . .	255	243	245	290	96	87	88	115	-	-	-	-	-
11	MICHIGAN:													
12	BAY . . . . .	56	51	52	54	38	37	37	38	14	13	13	10	10
13	BERRIEN . . . . .	129	125	127	119	81	77	79	68	39	37	38	33	33
14	CALHOUN . . . . .	89	85	85	106	68	64	64	81	39	36	36	43	43
15	GENESEE . . . . .	195	153	176	246	142	116	117	163	76	50	64	87	87
16	INGHAM . . . . .	104	95	97	105	76	69	71	74	34	25	27	26	26
17	JACKSON . . . . .	79	65	72	80	51	37	44	46	37	24	31	30	30
18	KALAMAZOO . . . . .	118	113	114	137	87	84	84	101	47	44	44	48	48
19	KENT . . . . .	218	187	200	228	179	156	165	186	51	34	42	43	43
20	MACOMB . . . . .	242	233	235	303	164	156	158	209	82	75	77	76	76
21	MONROE . . . . .	73	65	66	57	58	53	54	41	37	34	35	21	21
22	MUSKEGON . . . . .	79	72	74	77	61	54	56	59	23	17	19	15	15
23	OAKLAND . . . . .	444	388	402	553	310	262	272	385	190	146	156	192	192
24	OTTAWA . . . . .	34	31	32	35	19	19	19	21	5	5	5	4	4
25	SAGINAW . . . . .	113	92	96	105	89	69	73	79	33	27	29	27	27
26	ST. CLAIR . . . . .	85	58	63	71	55	36	40	47	35	17	20	23	23
27	WASHTENAW . . . . .	152	136	142	168	115	103	106	123	41	31	34	37	37
	WAYNE . . . . .	975	943	952	1 666	700	668	676	1 245	228	211	217	323	323
28	MINNESOTA:													
29	ANOKA . . . . .	65	58	60	76	34	33	33	42	24	23	23	25	25
30	DAKOTA . . . . .	33	30	30	34	13	11	11	13	-	-	-	-	-
31	HENNEPIN . . . . .	636	611	615	791	411	394	396	476	186	181	181	205	205
32	RAMSEY . . . . .	361	350	351	473	202	191	192	257	76	67	68	81	81
	ST. LOUIS . . . . .	100	97	97	126	20	20	20	24	-	-	-	-	-
33	MISSISSIPPI:													
34	HARRISON . . . . .	34	27	29	19	34	27	29	19	22	22	22	14	14
35	HINDS . . . . .	65	59	60	46	65	59	60	46	11	8	8	8	8
	JACKSON . . . . .	32	27	29	17	32	27	29	17	29	24	26	14	14
36	MISSOURI:													
37	CLAY . . . . .	72	53	58	46	40	23	26	23	17	-	4	3	3
38	GREENE . . . . .	35	28	29	21	33	26	27	20	12	5	6	4	4
39	JACKSON . . . . .	451	451	451	357	424	424	424	335	245	245	245	199	199
40	JEFFERSON . . . . .	11	9	9	6	11	9	9	6	2	2	2	1	1
41	ST. CHARLES . . . . .	27	18	23	19	14	14	14	12	4	4	4	3	3
	ST. LOUIS . . . . .	255	239	245	237	212	201	206	199	96	93	93	87	87
42	NEBRASKA:													
43	DOUGLAS . . . . .	137	126	128	132	121	113	114	118	30	30	30	25	25
	LANCASTER . . . . .	38	29	30	28	28	21	22	17	28	21	22	17	17
44	NEVADA:													
45	CLARK . . . . .	429	429	429	506	372	372	372	433	229	229	229	266	266
	WASHOE . . . . .	95	92	93	117	63	62	62	80	33	33	33	37	37
46	NEW HAMPSHIRE:													
47	HILLSBOROUGH . . . . .	54	51	51	46	54	51	51	46	-	-	-	-	-
	ROCKINGHAM . . . . .	20	19	19	16	20	19	19	16	-	-	-	-	-
48	NEW JERSEY:													
49	ATLANTIC . . . . .	205	198	200	167	141	134	135	120	68	61	61	47	47
50	BERGEN . . . . .	299	297	298	311	149	147	148	167	37	36	36	25	25
51	BURLINGTON . . . . .	229	219	223	183	132	122	126	104	26	16	20	17	17
52	CAMDEN . . . . .	416	411	412	431	230	229	229	264	60	59	59	61	61
53	CUMBERLAND . . . . .	184	172	175	142	126	114	117	96	64	52	55	41	41
54	ESSEX . . . . .	1 322	1 322	1 322	1 418	861	861	861	934	132	132	132	133	133
55	GLOUCESTER . . . . .	139	125	130	114	74	60	65	60	26	12	18	11	11
	HUDSON . . . . .	365	365	365	342	224	224	224	204	84	84	84	61	61
56	MERCER . . . . .	278	275	276	260	180	178	179	172	34	34	34	2	2
57	MIDDLESEX . . . . .	400	370	378	408	200	170	177	227	64	45	49	4	4
58	MONMOUTH . . . . .	261	259	260	234	165	163	164	158	40	39	40	3	3
59	MORRIS . . . . .	183	165	174	172	81	63	71	78	36	18	27	2	2
60	OCEAN . . . . .	166	150	155	148	95	79	83	82	51	38	43	3	3
61	PASSAIC . . . . .	377	315	356	386	218	156	198	208	78	57	66	5	5
62	SOMERSET . . . . .	145	128	133	146	65	48	53	56	19	12	13	1	1
63	UNION . . . . .	301	301	301	326	141	141	141	159	58	58	58	5	5
64	NEW MEXICO:													
	BERNALILLO . . . . .	43	41	41	31	43	41	41	31	43	41	41	2	2

See footnotes at end of table.

## ( thousands)

Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				Line number
Other and combined institutions				Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
50	49	49	54	34	34	34	36	-	-	-	-	1
33	25	28	38	26	25	25	27	1	1	1	1	2
54	49	49	57	83	82	82	85	-	-	-	-	3
92	77	89	114	146	133	135	148	2	-	2	2	4
99	97	97	109	118	115	116	132	-	-	-	-	5
49	40	41	46	30	28	28	26	-	-	-	-	6
200	195	195	262	229	229	229	260	-	-	-	-	7
71	71	71	73	114	111	113	117	-	-	-	-	8
69	65	66	68	132	131	131	123	-	-	-	-	9
96	87	88	115	159	156	156	175	-	-	-	-	10
24	24	24	28	18	14	14	16	-	-	-	-	11
42	40	40	36	36	36	36	38	12	12	12	12	12
29	28	28	38	21	21	21	25	-	-	-	-	13
66	66	66	94	53	37	46	65	-	-	-	-	14
44	44	44	49	28	26	26	31	-	-	-	-	15
14	13	13	16	28	28	28	34	-	-	-	-	16
40	40	40	53	31	29	29	36	-	-	-	-	17
128	122	123	142	39	31	34	42	-	-	-	-	18
82	81	81	133	56	55	55	70	22	22	22	23	19
21	19	19	20	15	12	12	16	-	-	-	-	20
38	37	37	43	18	18	18	19	-	-	-	-	21
120	116	117	192	97	91	93	118	37	35	35	51	22
14	14	14	17	15	12	13	15	-	-	-	-	23
56	42	44	52	24	23	23	26	-	-	-	-	24
20	19	19	24	20	12	12	15	10	10	10	9	25
74	72	72	85	34	30	32	42	3	3	3	3	26
472	457	460	923	275	275	275	420	-	-	-	-	27
10	10	10	17	31	25	27	34	-	-	-	-	28
13	11	11	13	20	19	19	21	-	-	-	-	29
225	213	214	272	177	169	170	253	48	48	48	62	30
126	124	124	176	118	118	118	169	41	41	41	46	31
20	20	20	24	80	77	77	102	-	-	-	-	32
12	5	7	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33
54	51	52	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34
3	3	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
23	23	23	20	32	30	31	23	-	-	-	-	36
21	21	21	15	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	1	37
179	179	179	135	27	27	27	22	-	-	-	-	38
9	7	7	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
10	10	10	9	12	3	9	7	1	1	1	1	40
116	108	112	112	15	15	15	15	28	23	23	23	41
91	83	84	94	16	13	14	14	-	-	-	-	42
-	-	-	-	10	8	8	11	-	-	-	-	43
143	143	143	167	57	57	57	73	-	-	-	-	44
30	29	29	43	32	30	30	37	-	-	-	-	45
54	51	51	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46
20	19	19	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47
80	73	74	73	64	64	64	47	-	-	-	-	48
112	111	111	139	150	150	150	144	-	-	-	-	49
106	106	106	87	97	97	97	79	-	-	-	-	50
170	170	170	200	186	182	183	167	-	-	-	-	51
62	62	62	53	58	58	58	47	-	-	-	-	52
729	729	729	802	461	461	461	484	-	-	-	-	53
48	48	48	45	65	65	65	54	-	-	-	-	54
140	140	140	145	141	141	141	138	-	-	-	-	55
146	144	145	145	97	96	96	87	1	1	1	1	56
136	125	127	161	200	200	200	181	-	-	-	-	57
125	124	124	127	96	96	96	76	-	-	-	-	58
45	45	45	50	102	102	102	94	-	-	-	-	59
44	41	41	48	71	71	71	65	-	-	-	-	60
140	99	130	151	159	159	159	178	-	-	-	-	61
46	36	39	43	80	80	80	90	-	-	-	-	62
83	83	83	105	160	160	160	167	-	-	-	-	63
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	64

Table 57. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls

(Dollar amount)

Line number	County <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NEW YORK:													
1	ALBANY . . . . .	179	172	173	175	133	126	127	141	-	-	-	-
2	BROOME . . . . .	100	64	76	67	53	17	27	27	4	1	-	2
3	CHAUTAUQUA . . . . .	55	51	52	46	20	19	19	18	-	-	-	-
4	CHEMUNG . . . . .	67	56	61	59	30	19	25	22	-	-	-	-
5	DUTCHESS . . . . .	125	112	117	124	86	74	79	84	-	-	-	-
6	ERIE . . . . .	566	528	537	644	426	388	397	468	72	40	49	-
7	MONROE . . . . .	376	364	369	418	249	239	243	277	57	52	54	-
8	NASSAU . . . . .	1 087	1 074	1 078	1 343	455	444	447	588	60	60	60	-
9	NIAGARA . . . . .	88	85	85	95	54	51	51	56	-	-	-	-
10	ONEIDA . . . . .	96	91	93	75	52	47	49	31	-	-	-	-
11	ONONDAGA . . . . .	456	417	432	432	328	296	307	300	45	28	32	-
12	ORANGE . . . . .	92	77	83	78	55	40	46	43	-	-	-	-
13	OSWEGO . . . . .	62	58	59	50	32	28	29	23	1	1	1	-
14	RENSSELAER . . . . .	87	80	81	60	48	41	43	28	16	13	14	-
15	ROCKLAND . . . . .	115	115	115	110	60	60	60	54	-	-	-	-
16	ST. LAWRENCE . . . . .	51	50	50	45	21	20	20	19	-	-	-	-
17	SARATOGA . . . . .	44	39	40	35	28	23	24	20	-	-	-	-
18	SCHENECTADY . . . . .	82	69	73	63	53	41	45	37	-	-	-	-
19	STEUBEN . . . . .	65	52	56	48	38	26	30	25	-	-	-	-
20	SUFFOLK . . . . .	620	617	617	797	277	274	274	390	-	-	-	-
21	ULSTER . . . . .	108	91	100	84	83	66	75	64	1	1	1	-
22	WESTCHESTER . . . . .	504	504	504	679	324	324	324	427	16	16	16	-
NORTH CAROLINA:													
23	BUNCOMBE . . . . .	35	32	32	29	35	32	32	29	10	7	7	-
24	CUMBERLAND . . . . .	52	49	51	40	52	49	51	40	2	2	2	-
25	DURHAM . . . . .	26	23	25	17	26	23	25	17	5	3	3	-
26	FORSYTH . . . . .	47	40	41	39	47	40	41	39	16	9	11	-
27	GASTON . . . . .	29	28	28	25	24	23	23	21	-	-	-	-
28	GUILFORD . . . . .	88	77	80	67	88	77	80	67	19	14	15	-
29	MECKLENBURG . . . . .	88	83	84	79	79	74	75	68	24	22	22	-
30	ONSLow . . . . .	28	28	28	17	28	28	28	17	3	3	3	-
31	WAKE . . . . .	32	25	25	24	32	25	25	24	18	11	11	-
OHIO:													
32	ALLEN . . . . .	61	50	53	39	32	27	28	21	18	13	14	-
33	ASHTABULA . . . . .	25	20	21	20	18	16	16	15	1	1	1	-
34	BUTLER . . . . .	81	70	72	56	41	39	39	31	16	15	15	-
35	CLARK . . . . .	73	54	55	46	56	38	39	32	36	20	21	-
36	CLERMONT . . . . .	23	20	20	16	56	38	39	32	-	-	-	-
37	COLUMBIANA . . . . .	27	25	25	19	14	13	13	10	3	3	3	-
38	CUYAHOGA . . . . .	866	802	829	729	702	638	666	571	266	202	226	-
39	FRANKLIN . . . . .	241	218	225	247	127	127	127	125	48	48	48	-
40	GREENE . . . . .	44	36	39	35	35	27	30	25	10	8	8	-
41	HAMILTON . . . . .	396	371	378	366	283	258	266	243	203	178	186	-
42	LAKE . . . . .	52	43	45	40	30	26	27	22	17	15	15	-
43	LICKING . . . . .	42	34	37	29	24	16	19	15	8	-	3	-
44	LORAIN . . . . .	108	96	102	89	64	54	59	51	26	18	22	-
45	LUCAS . . . . .	385	338	355	330	306	263	280	253	90	48	63	-
46	MAHONING . . . . .	85	78	81	66	63	56	59	48	42	38	39	-
47	MONTGOMERY . . . . .	242	227	231	229	134	127	129	126	92	87	89	-
48	PORTAGE . . . . .	49	39	44	38	41	31	36	30	28	24	26	-
49	RICHLAND . . . . .	84	58	66	56	57	37	44	34	45	25	32	-
50	STARK . . . . .	196	164	175	136	161	137	145	113	97	90	92	-
51	SUMMIT . . . . .	213	183	187	178	145	115	119	112	73	43	48	-
52	TRUMBULL . . . . .	53	53	53	46	35	35	35	30	16	16	16	-
OKLAHOMA:													
53	COMANCHE . . . . .	37	37	37	23	29	29	29	19	17	17	17	-
54	OKLAHOMA . . . . .	117	112	114	91	57	54	55	42	28	27	27	-
55	TULSA . . . . .	121	119	119	96	85	83	83	63	43	41	41	-
OREGON:													
56	CLACKAMAS . . . . .	85	67	72	92	39	22	26	36	-	-	-	-
57	JACKSON . . . . .	87	66	69	76	61	40	43	46	34	13	16	-
58	LANE . . . . .	96	84	90	101	96	84	90	101	30	20	24	-
59	MARION . . . . .	92	74	79	83	57	41	45	46	23	12	15	-
60	MULTNOMAH . . . . .	266	245	250	336	182	164	168	218	27	21	23	-
61	WASHINGTON . . . . .	89	82	83	91	52	45	46	50	18	16	16	-
PENNSYLVANIA:													
62	ALLEGHENY . . . . .	382	382	382	396	218	218	218	204	106	106	106	-
63	BEAVER . . . . .	80	53	60	52	53	26	34	27	28	10	14	-
64	BERKS . . . . .	126	123	123	103	91	88	88	73	15	12	13	-
65	BLAIR . . . . .	49	38	43	33	36	25	30	23	9	5	7	-
66	BUCKS . . . . .	144	144	144	145	97	97	97	96	34	34	34	-
67	BUTLER . . . . .	42	37	39	31	24	20	21	16	-	-	-	-
68	CAMBRIA . . . . .	61	55	56	48	38	34	35	29	10	7	8	-

See footnotes at end of table.

## 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

in thousands)

Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				Line number
Other and combined institutions				Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
133	126	127	141	46	46	46	33	-	-	-	-	1
49	16	25	26	47	47	47	40	-	-	-	-	2
20	19	19	18	35	32	33	29	-	-	-	-	3
30	19	25	22	37	37	37	37	-	-	-	-	4
86	74	79	84	39	38	38	39	-	-	-	-	5
354	348	349	414	140	140	140	175	-	-	-	-	6
192	187	189	224	127	125	125	142	-	-	-	-	7
395	384	387	525	632	630	630	755	-	-	-	-	8
54	51	51	56	34	34	34	39	-	-	-	-	9
52	47	49	31	44	44	44	44	-	-	-	-	10
283	268	275	265	128	121	124	133	-	-	-	-	11
55	40	46	43	37	37	37	35	-	-	-	-	12
31	27	28	22	30	30	30	27	-	-	-	-	13
32	28	28	17	39	39	39	31	-	-	-	-	14
60	60	60	54	55	55	55	56	-	-	-	-	15
21	20	20	19	30	30	30	27	-	-	-	-	16
28	23	24	20	16	16	16	14	-	-	-	-	17
53	41	45	37	29	28	28	26	-	-	-	-	18
38	26	30	25	27	26	26	22	-	-	-	-	19
277	274	274	390	343	343	343	406	-	-	-	-	20
82	65	74	63	25	25	25	20	-	-	-	-	21
308	308	308	410	180	180	180	253	-	-	-	-	22
25	25	25	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23
50	47	49	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24
21	20	22	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
31	31	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
24	23	23	21	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-	27
69	63	65	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28
55	52	53	47	9	9	9	11	-	-	-	-	29
25	25	25	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
14	14	14	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31
14	14	14	11	12	9	9	7	17	14	15	11	32
17	15	15	14	7	4	4	5	-	-	-	-	33
25	24	24	20	40	31	33	25	-	-	-	-	34
20	18	18	18	17	16	16	14	-	-	-	-	35
16	15	15	11	5	5	5	4	-	-	-	-	36
11	10	10	9	13	12	12	9	-	-	-	-	37
436	436	436	350	145	145	145	141	19	19	19	17	38
79	79	79	85	114	91	97	122	-	-	-	-	39
25	19	22	18	9	9	9	10	-	-	-	-	40
80	80	80	81	113	113	113	123	-	-	-	-	41
13	11	11	10	13	13	13	12	9	4	5	5	42
16	16	16	12	18	18	18	14	-	-	-	-	43
38	36	36	31	44	42	42	38	-	-	-	-	44
216	215	215	191	79	75	75	77	-	-	-	-	45
21	18	20	18	22	22	22	18	-	-	-	-	46
42	40	40	42	108	100	101	103	-	-	-	-	47
13	7	9	10	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	48
12	12	12	9	27	21	22	21	-	-	-	-	49
64	47	51	49	35	27	30	23	-	-	-	-	50
72	72	72	73	64	64	64	61	4	4	4	4	51
19	19	19	17	12	12	12	10	6	6	6	5	52
12	12	12	8	8	8	8	5	-	-	-	-	53
29	27	27	22	60	58	58	49	-	-	-	-	54
42	42	42	32	36	36	36	33	-	-	-	-	55
39	22	26	36	46	45	45	56	-	-	-	-	56
27	27	27	31	26	26	26	30	-	-	-	-	57
66	64	65	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58
34	29	30	31	35	33	33	37	-	-	-	-	59
155	143	145	189	74	71	71	103	10	10	10	15	60
34	29	30	32	37	37	37	41	-	-	-	-	61
112	112	112	115	164	164	164	192	-	-	-	-	62
25	16	19	16	27	27	27	24	-	-	-	-	63
76	76	76	64	35	35	35	30	-	-	-	-	64
27	20	23	18	13	13	13	10	-	-	-	-	65
63	63	63	65	47	47	47	50	-	-	-	-	66
24	20	21	16	18	17	17	15	-	-	-	-	67
28	27	27	24	23	21	21	19	-	-	-	-	68

Table 57. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of

(Dollar amounts)

Line number	County <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total institutions				Institutions for juveniles			
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
PENNSYLVANIA--CON.													
1	CENTRE . . . . .	32	27	28	23	16	11	12	10	-	-	-	-
2	CHESTER . . . . .	194	177	184	166	150	133	140	124	27	15	19	20
3	CUMBERLAND . . . . .	54	48	50	45	33	29	30	25	-	-	-	-
4	DAUPHIN . . . . .	138	135	136	131	100	97	98	97	27	25	26	17
5	DELAWARE . . . . .	325	309	314	310	275	259	263	268	85	85	85	81
6	ERIE . . . . .	153	147	150	145	84	80	82	78	23	22	22	21
7	FAYETTE . . . . .	40	36	37	25	28	24	25	16	-	-	-	-
8	FRANKLIN . . . . .	55	33	44	35	43	24	32	25	11	6	8	6
9	LACKAWANNA . . . . .	68	68	68	50	53	53	53	38	15	15	15	7
10	LANCASTER . . . . .	166	153	157	135	133	120	124	104	20	7	11	10
11	LAWRENCE . . . . .	39	31	33	23	31	23	25	17	12	9	9	5
12	LEBANON . . . . .	58	44	51	45	41	31	35	31	-	-	-	-
13	LEHIGH . . . . .	147	144	144	133	120	117	117	111	13	13	13	8
14	LUZERNE . . . . .	95	95	95	85	64	64	64	57	-	-	-	-
15	LYCOMING . . . . .	95	77	83	63	70	53	59	43	21	18	18	15
16	MERCER . . . . .	50	45	48	40	41	36	39	31	16	14	15	12
17	MONTGOMERY . . . . .	215	201	206	208	140	126	131	141	49	39	43	36
18	NORTHAMPTON . . . . .	108	96	99	97	94	82	85	81	20	17	17	13
19	SCHUYLKILL . . . . .	58	58	58	38	40	40	40	22	4	4	4	3
20	WASHINGTON . . . . .	58	53	56	46	36	31	34	28	8	4	7	5
21	WESTMORELAND . . . . .	92	83	87	98	47	39	44	41	15	14	14	13
22	YORK . . . . .	101	97	98	77	74	71	71	53	20	17	17	10
SOUTH CAROLINA:													
23	ANDERSON . . . . .	42	38	39	29	41	37	38	28	-	-	-	-
24	CHARLESTON . . . . .	83	79	80	56	69	65	66	44	16	14	14	8
25	GREENVILLE . . . . .	68	65	65	52	68	65	65	52	-	-	-	-
26	LEXINGTON . . . . .	27	27	27	15	27	27	27	15	-	-	-	-
27	RICHLAND . . . . .	60	55	58	47	53	48	51	40	-	-	-	-
28	SPARTANBURG . . . . .	19	19	19	17	17	17	17	16	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:													
29	HAMILTON . . . . .	139	139	139	103	113	113	113	81	23	23	23	12
30	KNOX . . . . .	122	94	99	69	117	89	94	65	29	29	29	21
31	SHELBY . . . . .	376	369	374	366	332	325	330	323	27	27	27	18
32	SULLIVAN . . . . .	24	24	24	14	23	23	23	13	14	14	14	7
TEXAS:													
33	BELL . . . . .	57	52	53	37	13	13	13	8	-	-	-	-
34	BEXAR . . . . .	423	420	420	323	297	296	296	221	31	30	30	21
35	BRAZORIA . . . . .	44	33	36	28	24	13	16	14	10	6	6	3
36	CAMERON . . . . .	78	74	76	47	39	39	39	20	6	6	6	3
37	DALLAS . . . . .	631	592	601	617	436	403	411	388	130	98	106	87
38	EL PASO . . . . .	201	199	199	144	117	115	115	80	10	8	8	6
39	GALVESTON . . . . .	98	94	95	74	36	36	36	29	-	-	-	-
40	HARRIS . . . . .	786	782	790	795	420	420	420	414	124	124	124	96
41	HIDALGO . . . . .	91	91	91	55	55	55	55	31	19	19	19	10
42	JEFFERSON . . . . .	81	81	81	77	58	58	58	56	25	25	25	22
43	LUBBOCK . . . . .	63	63	63	45	33	33	33	24	-	-	-	-
44	MCLENNAN . . . . .	81	71	74	60	32	28	29	21	6	6	6	3
45	NUECES . . . . .	101	101	101	83	63	63	63	44	16	16	16	9
46	SMITH . . . . .	18	18	18	13	7	7	7	4	-	-	-	-
47	TARRANT . . . . .	225	212	215	200	127	115	118	98	33	31	31	25
48	TAYLOR . . . . .	25	25	25	17	13	13	13	9	-	-	-	-
49	TRAVIS . . . . .	279	278	278	245	92	92	92	73	22	22	22	17
50	WICHITA . . . . .	52	52	52	42	15	15	15	10	-	-	-	-
UTAH:													
51	DAVIS . . . . .	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
52	SALT LAKE . . . . .	137	127	130	132	137	127	130	132	53	52	52	48
53	UTAH . . . . .	28	16	19	17	28	16	19	17	19	9	13	10
54	WEBER . . . . .	44	33	37	29	44	33	37	29	22	14	16	12
VERMONT:													
55	CHITTENDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:													
56	ARLINGTON . . . . .	103	91	93	102	60	59	59	61	11	11	11	10
57	FAIRFAX . . . . .	224	221	222	256	125	125	125	162	14	14	14	16
58	HENRICO . . . . .	59	59	59	53	28	28	28	21	-	-	-	-
59	PRINCE WILLIAM . . . . .	24	24	24	24	19	19	19	20	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

## 333 large county governments, October 1977—Continued

in thousands)

Institutions--Continued				Probation and parole				Miscellaneous				Line number
Other and combined institutions				Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll	
Number of employees			October payroll	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		
Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent										
16	11	12	10	9	9	9	8	7	7	7	5	1
123	118	120	104	44	44	44	42	-	-	-	-	2
33	29	30	25	21	19	20	20	-	-	-	-	3
73	72	73	80	38	38	38	35	-	-	-	-	4
190	174	178	187	50	50	50	42	-	-	-	-	5
61	58	59	57	63	61	61	62	6	6	6	5	6
28	24	25	16	12	12	12	9	-	-	-	-	7
32	18	24	19	12	9	11	11	-	-	-	-	8
38	38	38	30	15	15	15	12	-	-	-	-	9
113	113	113	94	33	33	33	31	-	-	-	-	10
19	14	15	11	8	8	8	6	-	-	-	-	11
41	31	35	31	17	13	15	14	-	-	-	-	12
107	104	104	103	27	27	27	22	-	-	-	-	13
64	64	64	57	31	31	31	28	-	-	-	-	14
49	35	41	28	25	24	24	21	-	-	-	-	15
25	22	23	20	8	8	8	8	1	1	1	1	16
91	87	88	106	75	75	75	67	-	-	-	-	17
74	65	68	67	14	14	14	16	-	-	-	-	18
36	36	36	20	18	18	18	16	-	-	-	-	19
28	27	28	23	22	22	22	18	-	-	-	-	20
32	25	30	28	45	44	44	57	-	-	-	-	21
54	54	54	44	27	26	26	23	-	-	-	-	22
41	37	38	28	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	23
53	51	51	35	14	14	14	12	-	-	-	-	24
68	65	65	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25
27	27	27	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26
53	48	51	40	7	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	27
17	17	17	16	2	2	2	1	-	-	-	-	28
90	90	90	68	25	25	25	22	1	1	1	1	29
88	60	65	44	5	5	5	4	-	-	-	-	30
305	298	303	305	44	44	44	43	-	-	-	-	31
9	9	9	6	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	32
13	13	13	8	44	39	40	29	-	-	-	-	33
266	266	266	200	126	124	124	102	-	-	-	-	34
14	13	13	11	16	16	16	10	4	4	4	4	35
33	33	33	17	38	34	36	26	1	1	1	1	36
306	305	305	301	195	189	190	229	-	-	-	-	37
107	107	107	74	83	83	83	63	1	1	1	1	38
36	36	36	29	36	34	34	31	26	24	24	14	39
296	296	296	318	338	334	342	348	28	28	28	32	40
36	36	36	21	36	36	36	23	-	-	-	-	41
33	33	33	34	23	23	23	22	-	-	-	-	42
33	33	33	24	30	30	30	22	-	-	-	-	43
26	22	23	18	49	43	44	39	-	-	-	-	44
47	47	47	35	38	38	38	39	-	-	-	-	45
7	7	7	4	11	11	11	9	-	-	-	-	46
94	84	85	72	98	97	97	102	-	-	-	-	47
13	13	13	9	12	12	12	9	-	-	-	-	48
70	70	70	57	153	152	152	144	34	34	34	28	49
15	15	15	10	37	37	37	32	-	-	-	-	50
6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51
84	75	77	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52
9	7	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53
22	19	20	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	54
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55
49	48	48	52	43	32	34	40	-	-	-	-	56
111	111	111	146	99	96	97	94	-	-	-	-	57
28	28	28	21	31	31	31	32	-	-	-	-	58
19	19	19	20	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	59

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 57. Detail of corrections employment and payroll

(Dollar amo

Line number	County <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections activities				Institutions							
		Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Total institutions			Institutions for juveniles			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	
		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			
						Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only		Full-time equivalent
1	WASHINGTON:												
2	CLARK . . . . .	112	105	106	104	45	40	41	39	11	11	11	
3	KING . . . . .	560	504	518	595	345	308	315	375	130	108	111	
4	KITSAP . . . . .	67	52	55	55	42	28	31	28	22	9	12	
5	PIERCE . . . . .	171	143	147	177	105	81	84	104	85	61	64	
6	SNOHOMISH . . . . .	123	116	117	103	66	62	62	52	18	17	17	
7	SPOKANE . . . . .	135	128	129	139	71	65	66	75	26	21	22	
7	YAKIMA . . . . .	100	97	97	84	69	66	66	54	13	13	13	
8	WEST VIRGINIA:												
9	CABELL . . . . .	48	29	33	21	48	29	33	21	29	13	13	
9	KANAWHA . . . . .	59	51	54	42	58	50	53	42	18	12	15	
10	WISCONSIN:												
11	BROWN . . . . .	42	25	30	29	42	25	30	29	22	11	14	
12	DANE . . . . .	91	77	81	91	91	77	81	91	53	39	44	
13	KENOSHA . . . . .	16	15	15	14	16	15	15	14	1	-	-	
14	MILWAUKEE . . . . .	55	30	34	30	22	21	21	18	11	11	11	
15	OUTAGAMIE . . . . .	402	397	398	493	318	313	314	386	63	63	63	
16	RACINE . . . . .	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	-	-	-	
17	ROCK . . . . .	51	37	41	46	36	22	26	29	16	8	11	
18	WAUKESHA . . . . .	95	93	93	90	83	81	81	79	56	55	55	
19	WINNEBAGO . . . . .	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	-	-	-	
19		13	11	12	10	13	11	12	10	-	-	-	

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>2</sup> Less than half the unit of measurement shown.<sup>1</sup> Data are based on a field compilation from records of each county government shown; see text for data limitations.<sup>3</sup> Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to totals shown.



in thousands)

[illegible]

Table 58. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State govern- ments	To local govern- ments
				Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land			
TOTAL . . . . .	410 136	398 384	371 026	27 358	4 195	23 160	3	11 752	5 332	6 420
ALABAMA:										
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	1 004	1 004	915	89	9	80	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN . . . . .	66	66	64	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	249	159	159	-	-	-	-	90	-	90
MOBILE . . . . .	408	264	264	-	-	-	-	144	-	144
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	260	260	260	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	-	27
ALASKA:										
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	77	77	-
ARIZONA:										
GLENDAL . . . . .	64	64	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESA . . . . .	81	69	69	-	-	-	-	12	-	12
PHOENIX . . . . .	754	615	615	-	-	-	-	139	-	139
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE . . . . .	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	20
TUCSON . . . . .	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	60	-	60
ARKANSAS:										
FORT SMITH . . . . .	150	103	103	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	259	259	256	3	3	-	-	47	-	47
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:										
ALAMEDA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	121	121	109	12	-	12	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
BERKELEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	9
DOWNEY . . . . .	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-	26
EL MONTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDAL . . . . .	150	149	149	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
HAYWARD . . . . .	11	11	11	-	-	-	-	3	-	3
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	332	332	332	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKELAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	1 779	1 779	1 771	8	8	-	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	422	-	-	-	-	-	-	422	-	422
MODESTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	68	68	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA . . . . .	224	224	223	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	255	254	254	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 58 Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED										
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	131	81	81	-	-	-	-	50	-	50
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	13 658	13 658	13 472	186	43	143	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	64	-	64
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	136	136	135	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	169	159	156	3	3	-	-	10	-	10
VALLEJO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
WHITTIER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:										
ARVADA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER . . . . .	6 181	6 181	5 745	436	40	396	-	-	-	-
FT. COLLINS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	133	133	132	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	62	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:										
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	125	125	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD . . . . .	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY . . . . .	13	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:										
WILMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:										
WASHINGTON . . . . .	63 062	63 062	58 832	4 230	470	3 760	-	-	-	-
FLORIDA:										
CLEARWATER . . . . .	83	83	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	370	187	187	-	-	-	-	183	-	183
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HALEAH . . . . .	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	129	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	6 931	6 931	6 691	240	27	213	-	-	-	-
MIAMI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	26	26	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO . . . . .	886	886	886	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA . . . . .	113	113	113	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	368	368	368	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	189	189	189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 58. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
<b>GEORGIA:</b>										
ALBANY. . . . .	93	9	9	-	-	-	-	84	-	84
ATLANTA. . . . .	3 009	2 886	2 651	235	71	164	-	123	-	123
AUGUSTA. . . . .	117	117	116	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS. . . . .	978	978	958	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
MACON. . . . .	234	234	234	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH. . . . .	162	154	154	-	-	-	-	8	-	8
<b>HAWAII:</b>										
HONOLULU. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>IDAHO:</b>										
BOISE CITY. . . . .	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	54	-	54
<b>ILLINOIS:</b>										
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO. . . . .	91	91	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD. . . . .	84	84	80	4	4	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>INDIANA:</b>										
ANDERSON. . . . .	4	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY. . . . .	81	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND. . . . .	30	30	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS. . . . .	6 691	6 289	5 428	861	861	-	-	402	402	-
MUNCIE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>IOWA:</b>										
CEDAR RAPIDS. . . . .	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	10
COUNCIL BLUFFS. . . . .	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES. . . . .	424	424	424	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE. . . . .	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	12
SIOUX CITY. . . . .	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>KANSAS:</b>										
KANSAS CITY. . . . .	378	378	378	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK. . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
TOPEKA. . . . .	40	35	35	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
WICHITA. . . . .	603	505	493	12	12	-	-	98	-	98
<b>KENTUCKY:</b>										
COVINGTON. . . . .	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON. . . . .	1 893	1 861	1 856	5	5	-	-	32	-	32
LOUISVILLE. . . . .	214	-	-	-	-	-	-	214	-	214
OWENSBORO. . . . .	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	59	-	59

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 58. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
LOUISIANA:										
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	2 252	2 252	2 219	33	33	-	-	-	-	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	99	-	99
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	63	-	-	-	-	-	-	63	-	63
MONROE . . . . .	86	86	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	12 956	12 956	9 230	3 726	562	3 164	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	333	333	333	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:										
PORTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:										
BALTIMORE . . . . .	10 100	10 100	9 999	101	90	11	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:										
BOSTON . . . . .	9 627	9 627	9 569	58	58	-	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	365	365	365	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:										
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	98	98	98	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN . . . . .	227	138	138	-	-	-	-	89	-	89
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	206	202	202	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
DETROIT . . . . .	6 027	6 027	5 932	95	87	8	-	-	-	-
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
FLINT . . . . .	469	469	469	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	329	249	249	-	-	-	-	80	-	80
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	85	85	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANSING . . . . .	738	738	737	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	38	32	32	-	-	-	-	6	-	6
LIVONIA . . . . .	166	80	80	-	-	-	-	86	-	86
PONTIAC . . . . .	219	125	125	-	-	-	-	94	-	94
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	550	543	120	423	-	423	-	7	-	7
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	19	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	17	16	16	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
WARREN . . . . .	41	41	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND . . . . .	130	109	100	9	9	-	-	21	-	21
WYOMING . . . . .	136	32	32	-	-	-	-	104	-	104
MINNESOTA:										
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	14
DULUTH . . . . .	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	13
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	663	371	371	-	-	-	-	292	-	292
ROCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:										
BILOXI . . . . .	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	18
JACKSON . . . . .	390	390	389	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 58. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
MISSOURI:										
COLUMBIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	9
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	1 713	1 713	1 712	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	8 016	8 016	7 949	67	-	67	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	12
MONTANA:										
BILLINGS . . . . .	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	16
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	63	63	54	9	-	9	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:										
LINCOLN . . . . .	414	414	407	7	7	-	-	-	-	-
OMAHA . . . . .	129	62	62	-	-	-	-	67	-	67
NEVADA:										
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO . . . . .	434	434	384	50	-	50	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:										
MANCHESTER . . . . .	92	92	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NASHUA . . . . .	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:										
BAYONNE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:										
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	1 679	1 679	1 659	20	17	-	3	-	-	-
NEW YORK:										
ALBANY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	137 333	134 261	129 509	4 752	860	3 892	-	3 072	3 072	-
NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA . . . . .	10	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS . . . . .	434	434	434	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:										
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	154	154	154	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	57	57	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	15
WILMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	16	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 58. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State govern- ments	To local govern- ments
				Total	Equipment	Construc- tion	Land			
NORTH DAKOTA:										
FARGO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:										
AKRON . . . . .	713	621	513	108	9	99	-	92	-	92
CANTON . . . . .	141	64	64	-	-	-	-	77	-	77
CINCINNATI . . . . .	4 190	4 190	4 161	29	2	27	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND . . . . .	2 366	2 366	2 351	15	-	15	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	63	55	55	-	-	-	-	8	-	8
COLUMBUS . . . . .	2 218	2 218	1 899	319	2	317	-	-	-	-
DAYTON . . . . .	1 745	1 745	1 743	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA . . . . .	77	64	64	-	-	-	-	13	13	-
EUCLID . . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
HAMILTON . . . . .	144	53	53	-	-	-	-	91	-	91
KETTERING . . . . .	154	115	115	-	-	-	-	39	-	39
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	9	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIMA . . . . .	148	136	136	-	-	-	-	12	-	12
LORAIN . . . . .	121	82	82	-	-	-	-	39	-	39
MANSFIELD . . . . .	132	125	125	-	-	-	-	7	-	7
PARMA . . . . .	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	70	56	56	-	-	-	-	14	-	14
TOLEDO . . . . .	1 436	1 388	1 346	42	-	42	-	48	-	48
WARREN . . . . .	89	89	89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	406	406	406	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:										
LAWTON . . . . .	93	93	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST . . . . .	55	55	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN . . . . .	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	6
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	715	688	688	-	-	-	-	27	-	27
TULSA . . . . .	291	286	255	31	31	-	-	5	-	5
OREGON:										
EUGENE . . . . .	70	-	-	-	-	-	-	70	-	70
PORTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM . . . . .	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	55	-	55
PENNSYLVANIA:										
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	46 604	44 843	39 336	5 507	57	5 450	-	1 761	1 761	-
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:										
CRANSTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:										
CHARLESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA . . . . .	350	350	348	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:										
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
TENNESSEE:										
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	499	499	499	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS . . . . .	2 209	1 753	1 752	1	1	-	-	456	-	456
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	4 171	4 171	3 849	322	81	241	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 58. Corrections expenditure of 395 large city governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**  
(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure						Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay				Total	To State governments	To local governments
				Total	Equipment	Construction	Land			
TEXAS:										
ABILENE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN . . . . .	139	139	139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT . . . . .	150	150	82	68	68	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	58	-	-	-	-	-	-	58	-	58
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	165	165	160	5	5	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS . . . . .	1 337	1 337	1 337	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	73	-	73
FORT WORTH . . . . .	542	542	542	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON . . . . .	974	973	936	37	37	-	-	1	-	1
IRVING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO . . . . .	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2
LUBBOCK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND . . . . .	120	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA . . . . .	69	69	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	38	38	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	501	-	-	-	-	-	-	501	-	501
TYLER . . . . .	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
WACO . . . . .	78	78	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	132	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:										
OGDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO . . . . .	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:										
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	963	956	948	8	3	5	-	7	7	-
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	1 638	1 624	1 570	54	38	16	-	14	-	14
HAMPTON . . . . .	1 435	1 420	985	435	13	422	-	15	-	15
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	725	725	685	40	34	6	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	2 890	2 890	2 294	596	167	429	-	-	-	-
NORFOLK . . . . .	2 370	2 370	2 311	59	29	30	-	-	-	-
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	1 021	999	839	160	160	-	-	22	-	22
RICHMOND . . . . .	2 999	2 999	2 878	121	121	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE . . . . .	1 475	1 475	1 125	350	4	346	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	4 755	4 643	1 306	3 337	14	3 323	-	112	-	112
WASHINGTON:										
BELLEVUE . . . . .	122	118	118	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
EVERETT . . . . .	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	42	-	42
SEATTLE . . . . .	1 848	744	737	7	7	-	-	1 104	-	1 104
SPOKANE . . . . .	174	-	-	-	-	-	-	174	-	174
TACOMA . . . . .	715	715	715	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:										
CHARLESTON . . . . .	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	-	34
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	43	3	3	-	-	-	-	40	-	40
WISCONSIN:										
APPLETON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	7
KENOSHA . . . . .	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
LA CROSSE . . . . .	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	7
MADISON . . . . .	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	8
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4
OSHKOSH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	5	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA . . . . .	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2

on records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.



**Table 59. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of large city governments, fiscal year 1977**

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
TOTAL . . . . .	371 026	279 992	68 989	22 045	CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED				
ALABAMA:					SANTA MONICA . . . . .	-	-	-	-
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	915	808	107	-	SANTA ROSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN . . . . .	64	64	-	-	SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	159	159	-	-	SOUTH GATE . . . . .	135	135	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	264	264	-	-	STOCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	260	260	-	-	SUNNYVALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	TORRANCE . . . . .	156	156	-	-
ALASKA:					VALLEJO . . . . .	-	-	-	-
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	WEST COVINA . . . . .	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:					WESTMINSTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE . . . . .	64	-	-	64	WHITTIER . . . . .	-	-	-	-
MESA . . . . .	69	69	-	-	COLORADO:				
PHOENIX . . . . .	615	30	412	173	ARVADA . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	AURORA . . . . .	-	-	-	-
TEMPE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	BOULDER . . . . .	-	-	-	-
TUCSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	19	19	-	-
ARKANSAS:					DENVER . . . . .	5 745	4 281	2	1 462
FORT SMITH . . . . .	103	85	18	-	FORT COLLINS . . . . .	-	-	31	101
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	256	39	217	-	LAKEWOOD . . . . .	132	-	-	62
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	154	132	22	-	PUEBLO . . . . .	62	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	67	67	-	-	CONNECTICUT:				
CALIFORNIA:					BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	125	-	-	125
ALAMEDA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	BRISTOL . . . . .	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	DANBURY . . . . .	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	109	109	-	-	HARTFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	MERIDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	MILFORD . . . . .	16	-	-	16
BERKELEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	NEW HAVEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	83	83	-	-	NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	STAMFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	WATERBURY . . . . .	13	-	-	13
COMPTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	WEST HAVEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-
CONCORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	DELAWARE:				
COSTA MESA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	WILMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:				
DOWNEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	WASHINGTON . . . . .	58 832	37 190	12 675	8 967
EL CAJON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	FLORIDA:				
EL MONTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	CLEARWATER . . . . .	83	83	-	-
FREMONT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	187	187	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	GAINESVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	HIALEAH . . . . .	129	129	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	129	129	-	-
GLENDALE . . . . .	149	149	-	-	JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	6 691	5 631	714	346
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	MIAMI . . . . .	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	11	-	11	-	MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	26	26	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	332	332	-	-	ORLANDO . . . . .	886	835	-	51
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	PENSACOLA . . . . .	113	113	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	368	368	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	1 771	1 105	666	-	TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	TAMPA . . . . .	-	-	-	-
MODESTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	189	189	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	-	-	-	-	GEORGIA:				
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	68	68	-	-	ALBANY . . . . .	9	9	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	ATLANTA . . . . .	2 651	2 066	-	585
OAKLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	AUGUSTA . . . . .	116	116	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	COLUMBUS . . . . .	958	834	124	-
ORANGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	MACON . . . . .	234	234	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	SAVANNAH . . . . .	154	154	-	-
PALO ALTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	HAWAII:				
PASADENA . . . . .	120	120	-	-	HONOLULU . . . . .	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	IDAHO:				
POMONA . . . . .	223	223	-	-	BOISE CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	254	-	254	-	ILLINOIS:				
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	ARLINGTON HEIGHTS . . . . .	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	AURORA . . . . .	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	BERWYN . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	CHICAGO . . . . .	91	-	-	91
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	CICERO . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	DECATUR . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	81	7 345	5 643	81	DES PLAINES . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	13 472	-	-	484	EAST ST. LOUIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SAN JOSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	ELGIN . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	-	-	-	-					
SAN MATEO . . . . .	-	-	-	-					
SANTA ANA . . . . .	-	-	-	-					
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	-	-	-	-					
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	-	-	-	-					

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 59. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
ILLINOIS--CONTINUED					MICHIGAN--CONTINUED				
EVANSTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	FLINT . . . . .	469	375	94	-
JOLIET . . . . .	-	-	-	-	GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	249	-	204	45
OAK LAWN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	KALAMAZOO . . . . .	85	-	85	-
OAK PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	LANSING . . . . .	737	251	411	75
PEORIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	32	32	-	-
ROCKFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	LIVONIA . . . . .	80	-	71	9
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	PONTIAC . . . . .	125	-	125	-
SKOKIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	ROSEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	80	80	-	-	ROYAL OAK . . . . .	67	-	67	-
WAUKEGAN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	SAGINAW . . . . .	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:					ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	-	-	-	-
ANDERSON . . . . .	4	-	4	-	SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	120	-	120	-
EVANSVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	19	-	19	-
FORT WAYNE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	TAYLOR . . . . .	16	-	16	-
GARY . . . . .	81	-	81	-	WARREN . . . . .	41	-	41	-
HAMMOND . . . . .	30	-	30	-	WESTLAND . . . . .	100	-	28	72
INDIANAPOLIS . . . . .	5 428	4 205	1 163	60	WYOMING . . . . .	32	-	30	2
MUNCIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	MINNESOTA:				
SOUTH BEND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	DULUTH . . . . .	-	-	-	-
IOWA:					MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	371	371	-	-
CEDAR RAPIDS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	ROCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS . . . . .	98	98	-	-	ST. PAUL . . . . .	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	MISSISSIPPI:				
DES MOINES . . . . .	424	174	250	-	BILOXI . . . . .	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	JACKSON . . . . .	389	389	-	-
SIOUX CITY . . . . .	93	93	-	-	MISSOURI:				
WATERLOO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	COLUMBIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:					FLORISSANT . . . . .	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	378	378	-	-	INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	KANSAS CITY . . . . .	1 712	955	757	-
TOPEKA . . . . .	35	15	20	-	ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	-	-	-	-
WICHITA . . . . .	493	472	21	-	ST. LOUIS . . . . .	7 949	4 940	2 938	71
KENTUCKY:					SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-
COVINGTON . . . . .	57	57	-	-	MONTANA:				
LEXINGTON . . . . .	1 856	1 787	69	-	BILLINGS . . . . .	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	GREAT FALLS . . . . .	54	54	-	-
OWENSBORO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	NEBRASKA:				
LOUISIANA:					LINCOLN . . . . .	407	219	176	12
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	2 219	1 892	178	149	OMAHA . . . . .	62	-	62	-
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	NEVADA:				
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	LAS VEGAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	86	86	-	-	RENO . . . . .	384	384	-	-
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	9 230	8 454	776	-	NEW HAMPSHIRE:				
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	333	333	-	-	MANCHESTER . . . . .	92	-	92	-
MAINE:					NASHUA . . . . .	120	-	120	-
PORTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	NEW JERSEY:				
MARYLAND:					BAYONNE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
BALTIMORE . . . . .	9 999	9 805	-	194	BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:					CAMDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-
BOSTON . . . . .	9 569	6 271	3 298	-	CLIFTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	EAST ORANGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	ELIZABETH . . . . .	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	IRVINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	365	-	13	352	JERSEY CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	NEWARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	PASSAIC . . . . .	-	-	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	PATERSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	TRENTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
	41	-	-	41	VINELAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	UNION CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	NEW MEXICO:				
	-	-	-	-	ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	1 659	1 428	231	-
	-	-	-	-	NEW YORK:				
	-	-	-	-	ALBANY . . . . .	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	BINGHAMTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	BUFFALO . . . . .	-	-	-	-
	98	-	98	-	MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
HTS . . . . .	138	111	27	-	NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
LLS . . . . .	202	44	158	-	NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	129 509	111 898	17 602	9
	5 932	5 932	-	-	NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	ROCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	ROME . . . . .	-	-	-	-

t end of table,

**Table 59. Detail of direct current expenditure for corrections activities of 395 large city governments, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous	City <sup>1</sup>	Total direct current expenditure	Institutions	Probation and parole	Miscellaneous
NEW YORK--CONTINUED					SOUTH DAKOTA:				
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	SIoux FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	TEXAS:				
TROY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	ABILENE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
UTICA . . . . .	10	-	10	-	AMARILLO . . . . .	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	ARLINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
YONKERS . . . . .	434	434	-	-	AUSTIN . . . . .	139	-	-	139
NORTH CAROLINA:					BEAUMONT . . . . .	82	82	-	-
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	154	-	-	154	CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	160	-	150	10
DURHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	DALLAS . . . . .	1 337	1 337	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	EL PASO . . . . .	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	57	-	-	57	FORt WORTH . . . . .	542	512	-	30
HIGH POINT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	GALVESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	GARLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	16	-	-	16	HOUSTON . . . . .	936	870	-	66
NORTH DAKOTA:					IRVING . . . . .	-	-	-	-
FARGO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	LAREDO . . . . .	-	-	-	-
OHIO:					LUBBOCK . . . . .	-	-	-	-
AKRON . . . . .	513	421	92	-	MESQUITE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
CANTON . . . . .	64	-	64	-	MIDLAND . . . . .	120	120	-	-
CINCINNATI . . . . .	4 161	3 360	609	192	ODESSA . . . . .	69	69	-	-
CLEVELAND . . . . .	2 391	1 591	540	220	PASADENA . . . . .	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	55	-	13	42	PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS . . . . .	1 899	1 619	280	-	RICHARDSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
DAYTON . . . . .	1 743	1 485	57	201	SAN ANGELO . . . . .	38	38	-	-
ELYRIA . . . . .	64	64	-	-	SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	-	-	-	-
EUCLID . . . . .	-	-	-	-	TYLER . . . . .	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	53	-	20	33	WACO . . . . .	78	78	-	-
KETTERING . . . . .	115	-	-	115	WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	132	132	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	9	-	9	-	UTAH:				
LIMA . . . . .	136	103	33	-	OGDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	82	82	-	-	PROVO . . . . .	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD . . . . .	125	23	102	-	SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-
PARMA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	VIRGINIA:				
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	56	-	56	-	ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	948	736	128	84
TOLEDO . . . . .	1 346	981	365	-	CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	1 570	1 546	24	-
WARREN . . . . .	89	80	-	9	HAMPTON . . . . .	985	505	480	-
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	406	313	40	53	LYNCHBURG . . . . .	685	578	107	-
OKLAHOMA:					NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	2 294	1 577	698	19
LAWTON . . . . .	93	84	9	-	NORFOLK . . . . .	2 311	2 174	19	118
MIDWEST . . . . .	55	55	-	-	PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	839	772	-	67
NORMAN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	RICHMOND . . . . .	2 878	2 812	66	-
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	688	457	114	117	ROANOKE . . . . .	1 125	627	498	-
TULSA . . . . .	255	255	-	-	VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	1 306	856	450	-
OREGON:					WASHINGTON:				
EUGENE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	BELLEVUE . . . . .	118	-	102	16
PORTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	EVERETT . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SALEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	SEATTLE . . . . .	737	334	403	-
PENNSYLVANIA:					SPOKANE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	YACOMA . . . . .	715	453	262	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	WEST VIRGINIA:				
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	CHARLESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	HUNTINGTON . . . . .	3	3	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	WISCONSIN:				
HARRISBURG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	APPLETON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	GREEN BAY . . . . .	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	39 336	20 800	11 961	6 575	KENOSHA . . . . .	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	LACROSSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
READING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	MADISON . . . . .	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	MILWAUKEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	OSHKOSH . . . . .	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	RACINE . . . . .	5	5	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:					WAUKATOSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-
CRANSTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	WEST ALLIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	-	-	-	-					
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-					
WARWICK . . . . .	-	-	-	-					
SOUTH CAROLINA:									
CHARLESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-					
COLUMBIA . . . . .	348	348	-	-					
GREENVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-					

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL . . . . .	18 565	18 197	18 298	24 884	13 671	13 543	13 599	19 131
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	58	57	58	68	50	49	50	59
GADSDEN . . . . .	6	6	6	5	6	6	6	5
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	15	15	15	12	15	15	15	12
MOBILE . . . . .	15	15	15	16	15	15	15	16
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	22	22	22	18	22	22	22	18
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDALE . . . . .	4	4	4	5	-	-	-	-
MESA . . . . .	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
PHOENIX . . . . .	20	19	20	21	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH . . . . .	14	14	14	9	12	12	12	7
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	22	20	21	17	4	4	4	3
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	10	10	10	9	8	8	8	7
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	8	8	8	5	8	8	8	5
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	9	9	9	13	9	9	9	13
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
CARSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE . . . . .	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	9
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	18	18	18	26	18	18	18	26
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	98	98	98	125	70	70	70	88
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	6
NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA . . . . .	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	5	2	4	5	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TOTAL . . . . .	4 275	4 156	4 189	5 015	619	498	604	738
ALABAMA:								
BIRMINGHAM . . . . .	8	8	8	9	-	-	-	-
GADSDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTSVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOBILE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTGOMERY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCALOOSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALASKA:								
ANCHORAGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARIZONA:								
GLENDALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	4	4	4	5
MESA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOENIX . . . . .	20	19	20	21	-	-	-	-
SCOTTSDALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEMPE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TUSCON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARKANSAS:								
FORT SMITH . . . . .	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	18	16	17	14	-	-	-	-
NORTH LITTLE ROCK . . . . .	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
PINE BLUFF . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CALIFORNIA:								
ALAMEDA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALHAMBRA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ANAHEIM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BAKERSFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BELLFLOWER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERKELEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUENA PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BURBANK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHULA VISTA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COMPTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONCORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COSTA MESA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALY CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWNNEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL CAJON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL MONTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FREMONT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FRESNO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FULLERTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARDEN GROVE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENDALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWTHORNE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAYWARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INGLEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG BEACH . . . . .	28	28	28	37	-	-	-	-
LOS ANGELES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MODESTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNTAIN VIEW . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAKLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ONTARIO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORANGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OXNARD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PALO ALTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PICO RIVERA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
POMONA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
REDONDO BEACH . . . . .	5	2	4	5	-	-	-	-
REDWOOD CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RIVERSIDE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	728	727	727	892	367	367	367	461
SAN JOSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
STOCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	9
VALLEJO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
ARVADA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER . . . . .	239	231	237	274	239	231	237	274
FORT COLLINS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
PUEBLO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD . . . . .	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY . . . . .	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON . . . . .	2 878	2 878	2 878	4 594	1 992	1 992	1 992	3 125
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER . . . . .	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	9
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	482	481	482	441	424	424	424	390
MIAMI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO . . . . .	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	-
PENSACOLA . . . . .	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	25	25	25	20	25	25	25	20
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	18	18	17	20	18	18	17

Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977 —Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
CALIFORNIA--CONTINUED								
SACRAMENTO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALINAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BERNARDINO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN BUENAVENTURA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN DIEGO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN FRANCISCO . . . . .	287	286	286	373	74	74	74	98
SAN JOSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN LEANDRO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN MATEO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ANA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA BARBARA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA CLARA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA MONICA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIMI VALLEY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH GATE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STOCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUNNYVALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TORRANCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VALLEJO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST COVINA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WESTMINSTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WHITTIER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO:								
ARVADA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BOULDER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLORADO SPRINGS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DENVER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT COLLINS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	2	2	2	2	6	6	6	6
PUEBLO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT:								
BRIDGEPORT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRISTOL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DANBURY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MERIDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
NEW BRITAIN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAVEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORWALK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
STAMFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERBURY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
WEST HAVEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELAWARE:								
WILMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA:								
WASHINGTON . . . . .	567	567	567	898	319	319	319	571
FLORIDA:								
CLEARWATER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAINESVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIALEAH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOLLYWOOD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSONVILLE . . . . .	35	34	35	30	23	23	23	21
MIAMI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIAMI BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ORLANDO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	5
PENSACOLA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TALLAHASSEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TAMPA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA . . . . .	160	160	160	130	160	160	160	130
AUGUSTA . . . . .	13	13	13	7	13	13	13	7
COLUMBUS . . . . .	63	62	63	51	51	50	51	41
MACON . . . . .	26	25	25	21	26	25	25	21
SAVANNAH . . . . .	11	10	10	8	11	10	10	8
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	9	9	9	5	9	9	9	5
WAUKEGAN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON . . . . .	1	-	1	(2)	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY . . . . .	7	7	7	6	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND . . . . .	4	2	3	2	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS . . . . .	486	384	390	328	268	268	268	237
MUNCIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES . . . . .	24	24	24	31	9	9	9	11
DUBUQUE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY . . . . .	12	9	10	9	12	9	10	9
WATERLOO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	36	36	36	26	36	36	36	26
OVERLAND PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPICKA . . . . .	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	1
TOPEKA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
.. . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
.. . . .	138	132	136	115	132	126	130	109
.. . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
.. . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City¹	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
GEORGIA:								
ALBANY. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ATLANTA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUGUSTA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS. . . . .	12	12	12	10	-	-	-	-
MACON. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAVANNAH. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAWAII:								
HONOLULU. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDAHO:								
BOISE CITY. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS:								
ARLINGTON HEIGHTS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AURORA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BERWYN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHAMPAIGN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICAGO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CICERO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DECATUR. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES PLAINES. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELGIN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EVANSTON. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JOLIET. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK LAWN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OAK PARK. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEORIA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCKFORD. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCK ISLAND. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SKOKIE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUKEGAN. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDIANA:								
ANDERSON. . . . .	1	-	1	(2)	-	-	-	-
EVANSVILLE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WAYNE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARY. . . . .	7	7	7	6	-	-	-	-
HAMMOND. . . . .	4	2	3	2	-	-	-	-
INDIANAPOLIS. . . . .	116	116	116	86	102	-	102	5
MUNCIE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH BEND. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRE HAUTE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IOWA:								
CEDAR RAPIDS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVENPORT. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DES MOINES. . . . .	15	15	15	20	-	-	-	-
DUBUQUE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SIOUX CITY. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WATERLOO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS:								
KANSAS CITY. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OVERLAND PARK. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOPEKA. . . . .	2	2	2	1	-	-	-	-
WICHITA. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENTUCKY:								
COVINGTON. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEXINGTON. . . . .	6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-
LOUISVILLE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OWENSBORO. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT

Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>2</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	136	136	136	99	96	96	96	68
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	7	1	7	4	7	1	7	4
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	607	607	607	650	536	536	536	585
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	23	21	22	11	23	21	22	11
MAINE:								
PORTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE . . . . .	526	526	526	527	526	526	526	527
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON . . . . .	467	467	467	552	276	276	276	331
BROCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	19	18	19	13	-	-	-	-
HOLYOKE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	12	6	7	9	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN . . . . .	7	7	7	10	5	5	5	8
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	20	5	10	11	3	3	3	3
DETROIT . . . . .	242	242	242	345	242	242	242	345
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT . . . . .	26	26	26	37	21	21	21	31
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	27	14	18	17	-	-	-	-
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	8	8	8	7	-	-	-	-
LANSING . . . . .	89	47	55	61	15	15	15	21
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	1
LIVONIA . . . . .	5	3	4	6	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC . . . . .	4	4	4	5	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	17	3	5	6	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	9	8	8	10	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	1	1	1	2	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND . . . . .	5	5	5	6	-	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	35	34	34	25	35	34	34	25

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
LOUISIANA:								
BATON ROUGE . . . . .	34	34	34	26	6	6	6	5
LAFAYETTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE CHARLES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONROE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ORLEANS . . . . .	71	71	71	65	-	-	-	-
SHREVEPORT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MAINE:								
PORTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MARYLAND:								
BALTIMORE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MASSACHUSETTS:								
BOSTON . . . . .	191	191	191	221	-	-	-	-
BROCKTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMBRIDGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHICOPEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FALL RIVER . . . . .	9	9	9	6	10	9	10	7
HOLYOKE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAWRENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LOWELL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LYNN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MALDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW BEDFORD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PITTSFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
QUINCY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOMERVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WALTHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WORCESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MICHIGAN:								
ANN ARBOR . . . . .	12	6	7	9	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN . . . . .	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
DEARBORN HEIGHTS . . . . .	17	2	6	8	-	-	-	-
DETROIT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FARMINGTON HILLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLINT . . . . .	5	5	5	6	-	-	-	-
GRAND RAPIDS . . . . .	24	14	17	15	3	-	-	2
KALAMAZOO . . . . .	8	8	8	7	-	-	-	-
LANSING . . . . .	56	25	32	33	18	7	9	7
LINCOLN PARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LIVONIA . . . . .	5	3	4	6	-	-	-	-
PONTIAC . . . . .	4	4	4	5	-	-	-	-
ROSEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROYAL OAK . . . . .	17	3	5	6	-	-	-	-
SAGINAW . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CLAIR SHORES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTHFIELD . . . . .	9	8	8	10	-	-	-	-
STERLING HEIGHTS . . . . .	1	1	1	2	-	-	-	-
TAYLOR . . . . .	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	5	5	5	3	-	-	-	-
WESTLAND . . . . .	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	4
WYOMING . . . . .	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MINNESOTA:								
BLOOMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULUTH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MINNEAPOLIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. PAUL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MISSISSIPPI:								
BILOXI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JACKSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of tables.

**Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued**  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>2</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	90	90	90	90	59	59	59	60
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	585	580	582	561	406	401	403	370
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	6	6	6	4	6	6	6	4
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN . . . . .	21	19	19	18	119	17	17	16
OMAHA . . . . .	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO . . . . .	20	19	19	24	20	19	19	24
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER . . . . .	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
NASHUA . . . . .	9	8	8	9	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	131	131	131	120	114	114	114	102
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	4 931	4 931	4 931	9 048	3 807	3 807	3 807	7 715
NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA . . . . .	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS . . . . .	15	15	15	30	15	15	15	30
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>2</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
MISSOURI:								
COLUMBIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FLORISSANT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
INDEPENDENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KANSAS CITY . . . . .	31	31	31	30	-	-	-	-
ST. JOSEPH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ST. LOUIS . . . . .	179	179	179	191	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MONTANA:								
BILLINGS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREAT FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEBRASKA:								
LINCOLN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	2
OMAHA . . . . .	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	-
NEVADA:								
LAS VEGAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RENO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE:								
MANCHESTER . . . . .	8	8	8	8	-	-	-	-
NASHUA . . . . .	9	8	8	9	-	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY:								
BAYONNE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BLOOMFIELD . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CLIFTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EAST ORANGE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELIZABETH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JERSEY CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEWARK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASSAIC . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PATERSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRENTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VINELAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UNION CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO:								
ALBUQUERQUE . . . . .	17	17	17	18	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK:								
ALBANY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BINGHAMTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BUFFALO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MOUNT VERNON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW ROCHELLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEW YORK CITY . . . . .	1 124	1 124	1 124	1 333	-	-	-	-
NIAGARA FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROCHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROME . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCHENECTADY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SYRACUSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TROY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTICA . . . . .	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
WHITE PLAINS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YONKERS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA:								
ASHEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHARLOTTE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DURHAM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENSBORO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH POINT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RALEIGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILMINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>3</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON . . . . .	31	31	31	46	26	26	26	39
CANTON . . . . .	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI . . . . .	182	175	178	227	132	125	128	165
CLEVELAND . . . . .	114	107	110	136	78	73	75	88
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	5	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
COLUMBUS . . . . .	86	86	86	98	67	67	67	75
DAYTON . . . . .	97	96	96	89	93	93	93	85
ELYRIA . . . . .	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
EUCLID . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
KETTERING . . . . .	7	7	7	8	-	-	-	-
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
LIMA . . . . .	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5
LORAIN . . . . .	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5
MANSFIELD . . . . .	5	5	5	5	2	2	2	2
PARMA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	5	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO . . . . .	63	62	63	81	46	45	46	58
WARREN . . . . .	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	26	26	26	24	23	23	23	21
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON . . . . .	10	7	8	6	7	7	7	5
MIDWEST . . . . .	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4
NORMAN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	38	38	38	37	33	33	33	32
TULSA . . . . .	13	13	13	14	13	13	13	14
OREGON:								
EUGENE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	1 910	1 910	1 910	2 552	1 114	1 114	1 114	1 585
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA . . . . .	30	30	30	25	30	30	30	25
GREENVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	18	18	18	14	18	18	18	14
MEMPHIS . . . . .	218	218	218	190	114	114	114	114
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	311	310	310	265	270	269	269	220

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NORTH DAKOTA:								
FARGO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OHIO:								
AKRON . . . . .	5	5	5	7	-	-	-	-
CANTON . . . . .	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
CINCINNATI . . . . .	36	36	36	47	14	14	14	15
CLEVELAND . . . . .	36	34	35	48	-	-	-	-
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS . . . . .	2	1	1	1	3	3	3	3
COLUMBUS . . . . .	19	19	19	23	-	-	-	-
DAYTON . . . . .	4	3	3	4	-	-	-	-
ELYRIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EUCLID . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMILTON . . . . .	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-
KETTERING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	8
LAKEWOOD . . . . .	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
LIMA . . . . .	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
LORAIN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MANSFIELD . . . . .	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
PARMA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SPRINGFIELD . . . . .	5	4	4	4	-	-	-	-
TOLEDO . . . . .	17	17	17	23	-	-	-	-
WARREN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
YOUNGSTOWN . . . . .	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA:								
LAWTON . . . . .	3	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
MIDWEST . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NORMAN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OKLAHOMA CITY . . . . .	5	5	5	5	-	-	-	-
TULSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OREGON:								
EUGENE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORTLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA:								
ALLENTOWN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ALTOONA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BETHLEHEM . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CHESTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ERIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARRISBURG . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LANCASTER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHILADELPHIA . . . . .	796	796	796	967	-	-	-	-
PITTSBURGH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
READING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SCRANTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WILKES-BARRE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
YORK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND:								
CRANSTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PAWTUCKET . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVIDENCE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WARWICK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA:								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COLUMBIA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREENVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SOUTH DAKOTA:								
SIOUX FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TENNESSEE:								
CHATTANOOGA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KNOXVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEMPHIS . . . . .	104	104	104	76	-	-	-	-
NASHVILLE-DAVIDSON . . . . .	41	41	41	45	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued**  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Total corrections				Institutions			
	Number of employees			October payroll <sup>2</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent <sup>2</sup>		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
<b>TEXAS:</b>								
ABILENE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT . . . . .	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS . . . . .	114	105	108	111	114	105	108	111
EL PASO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH . . . . .	38	38	38	40	38	38	38	40
GALVESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON . . . . .	78	78	78	62	78	78	78	62
IRVING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND . . . . .	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
ODESSA . . . . .	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
PASADENA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	5	4	4	3	5	4	4	3
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO . . . . .	9	9	9	5	9	9	9	5
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
<b>UTAH:</b>								
OGDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>VIRGINIA:</b>								
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	53	52	52	58	44	43	43	48
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	112	96	101	91	112	96	101	91
HAMPTON . . . . .	70	69	69	60	38	38	38	30
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	68	59	62	48	58	51	53	41
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	160	155	159	129	121	116	120	90
NORFOLK . . . . .	179	161	166	130	163	149	153	120
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	65	65	65	59	65	65	65	59
RICHMOND . . . . .	238	229	232	220	238	229	232	220
ROANOKE . . . . .	85	76	80	74	45	41	43	37
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	90	80	84	73	49	45	49	37
<b>WASHINGTON:</b>								
BELLEVUE . . . . .	5	4	5	6	-	-	-	-
EVERETT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE . . . . .	41	35	38	50	15	13	14	19
SPOKANE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA . . . . .	28	28	28	28	25	25	25	25
<b>WEST VIRGINIA:</b>								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>WISCONSIN:</b>								
APPLETON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACROSSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>2</sup> Less than half the unit of measurement shown.

<sup>1</sup> Data are based on a field compilation from records of each city government shown; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup> Because of rounding, the detail figures may not add precisely to totals shown.



Table 60. Detail of corrections employment and payrolls of 395 large city governments,  
October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

City <sup>1</sup>	Probation and parole				Miscellaneous			
	Number of employees			October payroll	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent		Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TEXAS:								
ABILENE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMARILLO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ARLINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AUSTIN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEAUMONT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BROWNSVILLE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CORPUS CHRISTI . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DALLAS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EL PASO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORT WORTH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GALVESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GARLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GRAND PRAIRIE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HOUSTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IRVING . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAREDO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LUBBOCK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESQUITE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIDLAND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ODESSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASADENA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PORT ARTHUR . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHARDSON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANGELO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SAN ANTONIO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TYLER . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WACO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WICHITA FALLS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
UTAH:								
OGDEN . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PROVO . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SALT LAKE CITY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA:								
ALEXANDRIA . . . . .	9	9	9	10	-	-	-	-
CHESAPEAKE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HAMPTON . . . . .	32	31	31	30	-	-	-	-
LYNCHBURG . . . . .	10	8	9	7	-	-	-	-
NEWPORT NEWS . . . . .	38	38	38	38	1	1	1	1
NORFOLK . . . . .	-	-	-	-	16	12	13	10
PORTSMOUTH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RICHMOND . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ROANOKE . . . . .	40	35	37	37	-	-	-	-
VIRGINIA BEACH . . . . .	41	35	35	36	-	-	-	-
WASHINGTON:								
BELLEVUE . . . . .	5	4	5	6	-	-	-	-
EVERETT . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SEATTLE . . . . .	26	22	24	31	-	-	-	-
SPOKANE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TACOMA . . . . .	3	3	3	3	-	-	-	-
WEST VIRGINIA:								
CHARLESTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUNTINGTON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN:								
APPLETON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GREEN BAY . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KENOSHA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LACROSSE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MADISON . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MILWAUKEE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OSHKOSH . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RACINE . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WAUWATOSA . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WEST ALLIS . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

## **Section VIII. OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE**

FIGURE 25.

Percent Distribution of Other Criminal Justice Direct Expenditure  
by State and Type of Government, Fiscal Year 1977





Table 61. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
STATES-LOCAL, TOTAL. . .	408 576	408 576	295 413	113 164	473 290	1 175	472 115
STATES. . . . .	609 915	139 926	132 690	7 236	469 989	(X)	469 989
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	269 825	268 650	162 723	105 928	3 301	1 175	2 126
COUNTIES. . . . .	176 619	174 230	77 832	96 398	2 389	966	1 423
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	95 332	94 421	84 891	9 530	912	210	703
ALABAMA. . . . .	1 412	1 412	1 237	175	7 846	-	7 846
STATE. . . . .	8 888	1 096	1 096	-	7 792	(X)	7 792
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	316	316	141	175	54	-	54
COUNTIES. . . . .	18	6	6	-	12	-	12
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	352	310	135	175	42	-	42
ALASKA. . . . .	2 716	2 716	2 711	5	681	-	681
STATE. . . . .	3 393	2 712	2 711	1	681	(X)	681
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	4	4	-	4	-	-	-
BOROUGHs. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	4	4	-	4	-	-	-
ARIZONA. . . . .	5 335	5 335	5 327	8	6 521	-	6 521
STATE. . . . .	7 678	1 157	1 151	6	6 521	(X)	6 521
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	4 178	4 178	4 176	2	-	-	-
COUNTIES. . . . .	156	156	156	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	4 022	4 022	4 020	2	-	-	-
ARKANSAS. . . . .	4 461	4 461	4 399	62	4 071	12	4 059
STATE. . . . .	7 889	3 830	3 768	62	4 059	(X)	4 059
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	643	631	631	-	12	12	-
COUNTIES. . . . .	140	140	140	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	502	491	491	-	12	12	-
CALIFORNIA. . . . .	44 314	44 314	42 707	1 607	52 185	-	52 185
STATE. . . . .	57 798	5 849	5 849	-	51 949	(X)	51 949
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	38 465	38 465	36 858	1 607	236	-	236
COUNTIES. . . . .	20 810	20 803	19 206	1 597	7	-	7
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	17 892	17 663	17 652	11	229	-	229
COLORADO. . . . .	5 930	5 930	3 888	2 042	7 692	-	7 692
STATE. . . . .	9 228	1 544	1 456	88	7 684	(X)	7 684
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	4 386	4 386	2 432	1 954	8	-	8
COUNTIES. . . . .	2 872	2 864	910	1 954	8	-	8
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	1 522	1 522	1 522	-	-	-	-
CONNECTICUT. . . . .	1 877	1 877	1 806	71	4 498	5	4 493
STATE. . . . .	5 270	783	735	48	4 487	(X)	4 487
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	1 099	1 094	1 071	23	11	5	6
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	1 105	1 094	1 071	23	11	5	6
DELAWARE. . . . .	910	910	907	3	1 149	-	1 149
STATE. . . . .	2 059	910	907	3	1 149	(X)	1 149
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. . . . .	766	766	722	44	-	-	-
STATE. . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	766	766	722	44	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	766	766	722	44	-	-	-
FLORIDA. . . . .	16 726	16 726	11 653	5 073	18 353	-	18 353
STATE. . . . .	20 101	1 793	1 791	2	18 308	(X)	18 308
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	14 933	14 933	9 862	5 071	45	-	45
COUNTIES. . . . .	13 127	13 127	8 090	5 037	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	1 851	1 806	1 772	34	45	-	45
GEORGIA. . . . .	5 926	5 926	3 024	2 902	7 585	-	7 585
STATE. . . . .	9 383	1 810	1 789	21	7 573	(X)	7 573
LOCAL, TOTAL. . . . .	4 116	4 116	1 235	2 881	12	-	12
COUNTIES. . . . .	988	988	579	409	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES. . . . .	3 140	3 128	656	2 472	12	-	12

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 61. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
HAWAII . . . . .	3 789	3 789	3 789	-	1 432	-	1 432
STATE . . . . .	3 386	1 954	1 954	-	1 432	(X)	1 432
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 835	1 835	1 835	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 748	1 748	1 748	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	87	87	87	-	-	-	-
IDAHO . . . . .	5 838	5 838	2 219	3 619	1 147	-	1 147
STATE . . . . .	3 493	2 346	2 202	144	1 147	(X)	1 147
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 492	3 492	17	3 475	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 492	3 492	17	3 475	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILLINOIS . . . . .	40 550	40 550	8 648	31 902	32 624	5	32 618
STATE . . . . .	38 354	6 839	6 816	23	31 515	(X)	31 515
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	33 716	33 711	1 832	31 879	1 109	5	1 103
COUNTIES . . . . .	33 587	32 478	1 477	31 001	1 109	5	1 103
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 233	1 233	355	878	-	-	-
INDIANA . . . . .	23 093	23 093	4 605	18 488	12 655	-	12 655
STATE . . . . .	15 103	2 456	2 394	62	12 647	(X)	12 647
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	20 637	20 637	2 211	18 426	8	-	8
COUNTIES . . . . .	19 397	19 397	1 004	18 393	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 248	1 240	1 207	33	8	-	8
IOWA . . . . .	1 441	1 441	1 431	10	6 556	5	6 551
STATE . . . . .	7 064	551	549	2	6 513	(X)	6 513
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	896	890	882	8	43	5	38
COUNTIES . . . . .	611	606	605	1	4	4	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	563	524	277	247	39	1	38
KANSAS . . . . .	10 165	10 165	3 872	6 293	6 083	-	6 083
STATE . . . . .	12 988	6 923	1 017	5 906	6 065	(X)	6 065
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	3 242	3 242	2 855	387	18	-	18
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 645	2 645	2 641	4	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	855	837	214	623	18	-	18
KENTUCKY . . . . .	6 218	6 218	5 351	867	3 553	-	3 553
STATE . . . . .	7 433	3 917	3 873	44	3 516	(X)	3 516
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 301	2 301	1 478	823	37	-	37
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 131	2 105	1 282	823	26	-	26
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	207	196	196	-	11	-	11
LOUISIANA . . . . .	3 328	3 328	2 791	537	12 916	-	12 916
STATE . . . . .	14 139	1 223	1 207	16	12 916	(X)	12 916
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 105	2 105	1 584	521	-	-	-
PARISHES . . . . .	414	414	414	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 691	1 691	1 170	521	-	-	-
MAINE . . . . .	827	827	821	6	2 450	1	2 449
STATE . . . . .	3 220	771	765	6	2 449	(X)	2 449
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	57	56	56	-	1	1	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	56	56	56	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	12	11	-	11	1	1	-
MARYLAND . . . . .	5 982	5 982	5 943	40	6 782	-	6 782
STATE . . . . .	9 817	3 037	3 030	7	6 780	(X)	6 780
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 945	2 945	2 913	33	2	-	2
COUNTIES . . . . .	982	982	982	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 274	2 272	1 931	341	2	-	2
MASSACHUSETTS . . . . .	13 210	13 210	11 396	1 814	13 273	-	13 273
STATE . . . . .	16 060	2 787	2 787	-	13 273	(X)	13 273
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	10 423	10 423	8 609	1 814	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 223	4 223	2 409	1 814	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	6 508	6 508	6 200	308	-	-	-
MICHIGAN . . . . .	14 913	14 913	12 622	2 291	18 336	237	18 099
STATE . . . . .	21 422	3 342	3 340	2	18 080	(X)	18 080
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	11 807	11 571	9 282	2 289	256	237	19
COUNTIES . . . . .	9 559	9 303	8 465	838	256	237	19
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 286	3 286	817	2 469	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 61. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
MINNESOTA . . . . .	4 475	4 475	4 430	45	14 776	22	14 754
STATE . . . . .	17 346	2 592	2 559	33	14 754	(X)	14 754
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 905	1 883	1 871	12	22	22	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	677	677	676	2	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 250	2 228	1 196	1 032	22	22	-
MISSISSIPPI . . . . .	1 926	1 926	1 871	54	1 590	-	1 590
STATE . . . . .	3 230	1 670	1 657	13	1 560	(X)	1 560
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	256	256	214	41	30	-	30
COUNTIES . . . . .	241	214	173	41	27	-	27
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	45	42	42	-	3	-	3
MISSOURI . . . . .	3 369	3 369	3 341	28	14 828	19	14 809
STATE . . . . .	16 664	1 855	1 852	3	14 809	(X)	14 809
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 534	1 514	1 489	25	19	19	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	401	381	356	25	19	19	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 523	1 523	1 133	390	-	-	-
MONTANA . . . . .	1 642	1 642	1 609	33	1 706	-	1 706
STATE . . . . .	3 218	1 512	1 479	33	1 706	(X)	1 706
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	130	130	130	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	130	130	130	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	390	390	-	390	-	-	-
NEBRASKA . . . . .	1 787	1 787	1 778	9	5 074	-	5 074
STATE . . . . .	6 706	1 632	1 623	9	5 074	(X)	5 074
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	155	155	155	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	409	409	154	255	-	-	-
NEVADA . . . . .	558	558	555	3	3 663	-	3 663
STATE . . . . .	4 155	492	489	3	3 663	(X)	3 663
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	66	66	66	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	53	53	53	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	267	267	12	255	-	-	-
NEW HAMPSHIRE . . . . .	830	830	819	11	1 629	-	1 629
STATE . . . . .	2 439	810	799	11	1 629	(X)	1 629
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	20	20	20	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	43	43	19	25	-	-	-
NEW JERSEY . . . . .	9 191	9 191	9 149	42	14 324	-	14 324
STATE . . . . .	17 824	3 800	3 492	8	14 324	(X)	14 324
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 691	5 691	5 657	34	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	2 129	2 129	2 129	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	3 583	3 583	3 528	55	-	-	-
NEW MEXICO . . . . .	3 285	3 285	3 271	13	3 117	-	3 117
STATE . . . . .	5 765	2 648	2 642	6	3 117	(X)	3 117
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	637	637	629	7	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	597	597	590	7	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	94	94	39	55	-	-	-
NEW YORK . . . . .	41 121	41 121	40 569	552	59 565	-	59 565
STATE . . . . .	77 032	17 467	16 957	510	59 565	(X)	59 565
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	23 654	23 654	23 612	42	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	3 160	3 159	3 137	22	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	20 948	20 948	20 475	474	-	-	-
NORTH CAROLINA . . . . .	8 226	8 226	5 004	3 222	14 395	-	14 395
STATE . . . . .	18 138	3 768	3 743	25	14 370	(X)	14 370
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	4 458	4 458	1 261	3 197	25	-	25
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 352	4 352	1 156	3 196	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	584	560	105	455	25	-	25
NORTH DAKOTA . . . . .	677	677	671	6	1 263	-	1 263
STATE . . . . .	1 914	651	645	6	1 263	(X)	1 263
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	26	26	26	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	17	17	17	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	10	10	10	-	-	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 61. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		total	Direct current	capital outlay	total	To state governments	To local governments
OHIO . . . . .	31 848	31 848	10 613	21 235	21 983	-	21 983
STATE . . . . .	26 795	4 830	4 830	-	21 965	(X)	21 965
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	27 018	27 018	5 783	21 235	18	-	18
COUNTIES . . . . .	22 674	22 674	4 492	18 182	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 381	4 363	1 291	3 072	18	-	18
OKLAHOMA . . . . .	6 365	6 365	6 268	97	4 060	-	4 060
STATE . . . . .	5 373	1 359	1 354	5	4 014	(X)	4 014
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 006	5 006	4 914	92	46	-	46
COUNTIES . . . . .	71	25	25	-	46	-	46
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 999	4 999	4 889	111	-	-	-
OREGON . . . . .	6 615	6 615	2 448	4 166	9 588	-	9 588
STATE . . . . .	10 615	1 027	1 027	-	9 588	(X)	9 588
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 588	5 588	1 421	4 166	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	4 789	4 789	623	4 166	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	799	799	799	-	-	-	-
PENNSYLVANIA . . . . .	8 248	8 248	8 200	48	72	5	67
STATE . . . . .	6 016	5 951	5 903	48	65	(X)	65
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 302	2 297	2 297	-	7	5	2
COUNTIES . . . . .	432	425	425	-	7	5	2
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	1 873	1 873	1 873	-	-	-	-
RHODE ISLAND . . . . .	553	553	553	-	1 243	-	1 243
STATE . . . . .	1 671	428	428	-	1 243	(X)	1 243
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	125	125	125	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	125	125	125	1	-	-	-
SOUTH CAROLINA . . . . .	2 944	2 944	2 931	13	1 202	1	1 201
STATE . . . . .	3 254	2 329	2 329	-	925	(X)	925
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	615	615	602	13	277	1	276
COUNTIES . . . . .	658	547	539	8	111	-	111
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	397	231	62	169	166	1	165
SOUTH DAKOTA . . . . .	385	385	385	-	2 706	-	2 706
STATE . . . . .	3 091	385	385	-	2 706	(X)	2 706
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	163	163	-	163	-	-	-
TENNESSEE . . . . .	3 120	3 120	3 051	69	4 862	-	4 862
STATE . . . . .	5 906	1 055	1 040	15	4 851	(X)	4 851
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	2 065	2 065	2 011	54	11	-	11
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 491	1 491	1 463	27	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	584	574	547	27	11	-	11
TEXAS . . . . .	29 201	29 201	23 947	5 255	35 875	851	35 025
STATE . . . . .	48 554	13 632	13 614	18	34 922	(X)	34 922
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	16 420	15 569	10 333	5 237	953	851	103
COUNTIES . . . . .	13 620	12 897	7 715	5 181	723	682	41
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	2 902	2 672	2 617	55	230	168	61
UTAH . . . . .	2 944	2 944	2 862	82	3 276	-	3 276
STATE . . . . .	4 221	954	938	16	3 267	(X)	3 267
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 990	1 990	1 924	66	9	-	9
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 553	1 543	1 481	63	9	-	9
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	447	447	444	3	-	-	-
VERMONT . . . . .	548	548	542	6	537	-	57
STATE . . . . .	1 085	548	542	6	537	(X)	57
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	655	655	-	655	-	-	-
VIRGINIA . . . . .	8 141	8 141	7 978	163	8 271	-	8
STATE . . . . .	10 790	2 521	2 514	7	8 269	(X)	8
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	5 620	5 620	5 464	156	2	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	1 377	1 376	1 360	16	1	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	4 900	4 899	4 104	795	1	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 61. Other criminal justice expenditure, by character and object, by State and type of government, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

State and type of government <sup>1</sup>	Total <sup>2</sup>	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure		
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	Total	To State governments	To local governments
WASHINGTON . . . . .	2 580	2 580	2 483	97	7 390	-	7 390
STATE . . . . .	8 298	926	919	7	7 372	(X)	7 372
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	1 654	1 654	1 564	90	18	-	16
COUNTIES . . . . .	881	871	786	84	10	-	10
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	791	783	778	5	7	-	7
WEST VIRGINIA . . . . .	961	961	925	35	2 625	-	2 625
STATE . . . . .	3 398	773	769	4	2 625	(X)	2 625
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	188	188	156	31	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	39	39	7	31	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	149	149	149	-	-	-	-
WISCONSIN . . . . .	6 718	6 718	6 699	20	3 468	13	3 455
STATE . . . . .	9 844	6 389	6 383	6	3 455	(X)	3 455
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	342	329	316	14	13	13	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	321	308	308	-	13	13	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	43	43	8	36	-	-	-
WYOMING . . . . .	592	592	591	1	1 815	-	1 815
STATE . . . . .	2 407	592	591	1	1 815	(X)	1 815
LOCAL, TOTAL . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COUNTIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MUNICIPALITIES . . . . .	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

X Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data for municipalities, and the local governments totals which include municipal data, are estimates subject to sampling variation; data for counties (boroughs, parishes) are based on a canvass of all county governments and therefore are not subject to sampling variation; see text for data limitations.

<sup>2</sup>For each State, and the United States summary, the expenditure figures shown on the "Local, total" line and the combined State-local total line (the data shown opposite the names of the individual States) exclude duplicative intergovernmental expenditure amounts. This was done to avoid the artificial inflation which would result if an intergovernmental expenditure amount for one government is tabulated and then counted again when the recipient government(s) ultimately expend(s) that amount.



# APPENDIX 1: EXHIBIT TABLES A, B, C, AND D

## Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Total.....	124,251	122,457	120,194	2,263	1,794
ARIZONA					
Independent school districts:					
Phoenix Union High School District.....	489	489	489	-	-
Tucson School District No. 1.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
CALIFORNIA					
Special districts:					
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Oakland.....	609	609	531	78	-
East Bay Regional Park District, Oakland.....	1,550	1,550	1,535	15	-
Los Angeles City Housing Authority.....	794	787	759	28	7
Oakland Housing Authority.....	259	259	255	4	-
Sacramento-Yolo Port District, West Sacramento.....	139	139	139	-	-
San Diego Unified Port District.....	1,315	1,315	1,293	22	-
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit, Oakland.....	2,775	2,775	2,775	-	-
San Francisco City-County Housing Authority.....	315	315	315	-	-
Stockton Port District.....	199	199	199	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Compton Community College.....	91	91	90	1	-
Compton Unified School District.....	786	786	779	7	-
El Camino College, Torrance.....	215	215	210	5	-
Foothill Community College District, Los Altos Hills.....	191	191	189	2	-
Grant Union High School District, Sacramento.....	212	212	212	-	-
Grossmont College, El Cajon.....	126	126	94	32	-
Kern Joint Union High School District, Bakersfield.....	75	75	75	-	-
Long Beach Unified School District.....	84	84	81	3	-
Los Angeles Community Colleges.....	1,614	1,614	1,614	-	-
Los Angeles Unified School District.....	5,654	5,654	5,654	-	-
Los Rios Junior College, Sacramento.....	287	287	287	-	-
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District, Norwalk.....	89	89	89	-	-
Oakland Unified School District.....	190	190	190	-	-
Pasadena Area Community College District.....	331	331	317	14	-
Peralta Community College District, Oakland.....	585	585	585	-	-
Pomona Unified School District.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Richmond Unified School District.....	319	319	319	-	-
Sacramento City Unified School District.....	205	205	196	9	-
San Bernardino Community College District.....	99	99	99	-	-
San Diego City Schools.....	505	505	504	1	-
San Francisco Community College District.....	160	160	150	10	-
San Mateo Junior College District.....	165	146	146	-	19
Santa Ana College.....	180	180	161	19	-
Santa Ana Unified School District.....	248	248	248	-	-
Center Community College District, Fresno.....	163	163	157	6	-
Ventura County Community College District.....	164	164	150	14	-
COLORADO					
Independent school districts:					
Denver Public School District No. 1.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Jefferson County School District, Lakewood.....	348	348	339	9	-
CONNECTICUT					
Special districts:					
Hartford Metro District.....	147	147	147	-	-
Housing Authority-City of Bridgeport.....	48	48	43	5	-
Housing Authority-City of New Haven.....	385	385	385	-	-
DELAWARE					
Special districts:					
Delaware River-Bay Authority, New Castle.....	351	351	351	-	-
Housing Authority of Wilmington.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
Special districts:					
Washington Metro Area Transit Authority.....	3,494	3,494	3,494	-	-
FLORIDA					
Independent school districts:					
Broward County School District, Fort Lauderdale.....	169	169	169	-	-
Dade County School District, Miami.....	1,630	1,622	1,622	-	8
Duval County School Board, Jacksonville.....	496	341	329	12	155
Florida Junior College, Jacksonville.....	273	273	273	-	-
Hillsborough County School District, Tampa.....	276	276	276	-	-
Miami-Dade Junior College, Miami.....	47	-	-	-	47
Pensacola Junior College.....	162	162	162	-	-
Pinellas County School District, Clearwater.....	254	254	254	-	-
Volusia County School District, Deland.....	98	98	98	-	-
GEORGIA					
Special districts:					
Fulton-De Kalb Hospital Authority, Atlanta.....	633	633	633	-	-
Macon-Bibb County Hospital Authority, Macon.....	139	139	139	-	-
Northeast Georgia Medical Center, Gainesville <sup>3</sup> .....	99	99	99	-	-
Richmond County Hospital Authority, Augusta.....	146	146	146	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Atlanta Independent School District.....	326	326	301	25	-
Bibb County School District, Macon.....	136	136	136	-	-
Cobb County School District, Marietta.....	196	109	109	-	87
Muscogee County School District, Columbus.....	172	172	172	-	-
Savannah-Chatham County School District, Savannah.....	135	133	133	-	2
ILLINOIS					
Special districts:					
Chicago Housing Authority.....	770	-	-	-	770
Chicago Transit Authority.....	2,391	2,391	2,391	-	-
East St. Louis Park District.....	48	48	48	-	-
Metro Fair Exposition Authority, Chicago.....	512	512	510	2	-
Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago.....	1,160	1,160	1,156	4	-
Springfield Airport Authority.....	1,009	1,009	107	902	-
Independent school districts:					
Chicago Board of Education.....	3,858	3,858	3,858	-	-
Chicago City College.....	787	787	787	-	-
East St. Louis School District 189.....	146	146	146	-	-
Peoria Public School District 150.....	239	239	234	5	-
INDIANA					
Special districts:					
Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority, Indianapolis...	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Independent school districts:					
Gary Community School Corporation.....	174	-	-	-	174
Indianapolis Public Schools.....	1,116	1,116	1,116	-	-
IOWA					
Independent school districts:					
Waterloo Community School District.....	87	-	-	-	87
KANSAS					
Independent school districts:					
Kansas City School District.....	179	179	179	-	-
Unified School District 501, Topeka.....	134	134	134	-	-
Wichita Unified School District 259.....	410	410	410	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
KENTUCKY					
Independent school districts:					
Fayette County School District, Lexington.....	411	411	411	-	-
Jefferson County School District, Louisville.....	764	764	764	-	-
LOUISIANA					
Special districts:					
Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District.....	220	220	220	-	-
Orleans Levee District, New Orleans.....	941	941	890	51	-
Independent school districts:					
East Baton Rouge Parish School, Baton Rouge.....	116	116	116	-	-
Orleans Parish School District, New Orleans.....	612	612	612	-	-
MARYLAND					
Special districts:					
Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Silver Spring.....	2,239	2,239	2,149	90	-
MASSACHUSETTS					
Special districts:					
Boston Housing Authority.....	896	896	896	-	-
Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority, Jamaica Plain.....	1,428	1,428	1,428	-	-
MICHIGAN					
Special districts:					
Huron-Clinton Metro Authority, Detroit.....	799	750	750	-	49
Tri-City Airport Commission, Freeland.....	113	-	-	-	113
Independent school districts:					
Charles Stewart Mott Community College, Flint.....	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)
Detroit City School District.....	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)
Flint City School District.....	480	395	365	30	85
Grand Rapids Public Schools.....	376	376	352	24	-
Lansing City School District.....	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)
Macomb County Community College, Warren.....	325	325	325	-	-
Oakland Community College, Bloomfield Hills.....	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)
Pontiac City School District.....	68	-	-	-	68
MINNESOTA					
Special districts:					
Hennepin County Park Reserve, Maple Plain.....	239	239	210	29	-
Minneapolis-St. Paul Metro Airports Commission, St. Paul.....	856	856	856	-	-
MISSOURI					
Special districts:					
Housing Authority of Kansas City.....	595	595	595	-	-
St. Louis Housing Authority.....	872	872	872	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Junior College District of St. Louis.....	649	649	649	-	-
Kansas City School District.....	290	290	290	-	-
St. Louis City School District.....	785	785	761	24	-
Springfield School District.....	95	95	93	2	-
NEBRASKA					
Independent school districts:					
Omaha City School District No. 1.....	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)	(2)

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
NEVADA					
Independent school districts:					
Clark County School District, Las Vegas.....	290	290	290	-	-
NEW JERSEY					
Special districts:					
Burlington County Bridge Commission, Palmyra.....	469	469	461	8	-
Delaware River Port Authority, Camden.....	2,004	2,004	2,004	-	-
Housing Authority of the City of Trenton.....	207	207	207	-	-
NEW MEXICO					
Independent school districts:					
Albuquerque School District.....	359	359	359	-	-
NEW YORK					
Special districts:					
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, New York City.....	29,832	29,832	29,832	-	-
Waterfront Commission of New York Harbor, New York City.....	1,384	1,384	1,375	9	-
Independent school districts:					
Farmingdale Public Schools.....	124	124	124	-	-
OHIO					
Special districts:					
Akron Metropolitan Park District.....	220	220	220	-	-
Cleveland Metro Housing Authority.....	535	535	528	7	-
Cleveland Metropolitan Park Authority.....	1,259	1,259	1,218	41	-
Hamilton County Park District, Cincinnati.....	338	338	338	-	-
Lorain County Metro Park District, Elyria.....	846	846	438	408	-
Metro Park District of the Toledo Area.....	391	388	388	-	3
Montgomery County Park District.....	89	89	88	1	-
Youngstown Township Park District.....	182	179	179	-	3
Independent school districts:					
Cincinnati City School District.....	321	321	321	-	-
Cuyahoga Community College, Cleveland.....	466	466	462	4	-
Dayton City School District.....	153	153	153	-	-
OKLAHOMA					
Independent school districts:					
Oklahoma City School District.....	330	330	330	-	-
OREGON					
Special districts:					
The Port of Portland District.....	1,103	1,103	1,103	-	-
Tri-Metro Transportation District, Portland.....	139	139	139	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Portland School District.....	328	328	297	31	-
PENNSYLVANIA					
Special districts:					
Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission, Morrisville.....	4,549	4,549	4,549	-	-
Philadelphia Housing Authority.....	2,554	2,554	2,554	-	-
Pittsburgh Housing Authority.....	621	621	621	-	-
Port Authority of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh.....	359	243	243	-	116
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, Philadelphia.....	645	645	645	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Pittsburgh City School District.....	1,050	1,050	1,050	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table A. Special police force expenditure of selected special districts and school districts by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued**

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Inter-governmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
SOUTH CAROLINA					
Special districts:					
Columbia Metro Airport, West Columbia.....	229	228	218	10	1
TENNESSEE					
Special districts:					
Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority.....	843	843	838	5	-
Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority.....	720	720	717	3	-
TEXAS					
Special districts:					
Bexar County Hospital District, San Antonio.....	263	263	256	7	-
Brownsville Navigation District.....	130	130	130	-	-
Dallas-Fort Worth Airport Authority.....	3,461	3,461	3,461	-	-
Housing Authority-City of Houston.....	173	173	173	-	-
Nueces County Navigation District, Corpus Christi.....	98	98	98	-	-
Port of Houston Authority.....	1,519	1,519	1,519	-	-
Independent school districts:					
Dallas County Community College District.....	364	364	364	-	-
El Paso Independent School District.....	222	222	222	-	-
Houston Independent School District.....	800	800	780	20	-
Irving Independent School District.....	66	66	66	-	-
San Antonio Independent School District.....	400	400	400	-	-
San Antonio-St. Phillips College District.....	430	430	325	105	-
San Jacinto College, Pasadena.....	171	171	171	-	-
Tarrant County Junior College, Fort Worth.....	377	377	369	8	-
Ysleta Independent School District, El Paso.....	420	420	420	-	-
UTAH					
Independent school districts:					
Granite School District, Salt Lake City.....	194	194	154	40	-
VIRGINIA					
Special districts:					
Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel District, Cape Charles.....	655	655	655	-	-
Elizabeth River Tunnel Commission, Norfolk.....	471	471	471	-	-
WASHINGTON					
Special districts:					
Port of Seattle District.....	1,809	1,809	1,743	66	-
Port of Tacoma District.....	85	85	79	6	-
Independent school districts:					
Seattle School District.....	473	473	473	-	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.

<sup>2</sup>No longer has power of arrest.

<sup>3</sup>Formerly Hall County Hospital/Authority.

**Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1977**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			Oct. " pay: 1.
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	7,972	6,796	7,169	
ARIZONA				
Independent school districts:				
Phoenix Union High School District.....	79	59	66	
Tucson School District No. 1.....	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	
CALIFORNIA				
Special districts:				
East Bay Municipal Utility District, Oakland.....	24	24	24	
East Bay Regional Park District, Oakland.....	42	42	42	
Los Angeles City Housing Authority.....	36	36	36	
Oakland Housing Authority.....	19	19	19	
Sacramento-Yolo Port District, West Sacramento.....	11	10	11	
San Diego Unified Port District.....	71	71	71	
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit, Oakland.....	92	92	92	
San Francisco City-County Housing Authority.....	28	28	28	
Stockton Port District.....	15	15	15	
Independent school districts:				
Compton Community College.....	8	5	7	
Compton Unified School District.....	85	80	82	
El Camino College, Torrance.....	27	11	13	
Foothill Community College District, Los Altos Hills.....	41	4	13	
Grant Union High School District, Sacramento.....	30	11	15	
Grossmont College, El Cajon.....	19	1	6	
Kern Joint Union High School District, Bakersfield.....	4	4	4	
Long Beach Unified School District.....	7	7	7	
Los Angeles Community Colleges.....	107	107	107	
Los Angeles Unified School District.....	413	313	355	
Los Rios Junior College, Sacramento.....	21	19	20	
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District, Norwalk.....	14	6	9	
Oakland Unified School District.....	14	11	14	
Pasadena Area Community College District.....	72	26	32	
Peralta Community College District, Oakland.....	36	25	27	
Richmond Unified School District.....	21	21	21	
Sacramento City Unified School District.....	18	12	14	
San Bernardino Community College District.....	10	8	8	
San Diego City Schools.....	44	44	44	
San Francisco Community College District.....	49	9	12	
San Mateo Junior College District.....	35	2	7	
Santa Ana College.....	16	9	12	
Santa Ana Unified School District.....	17	15	16	
State Center Community College District, Fresno.....	30	6	11	
Ventura County Community College District.....	15	9	14	
COLORADO				
Independent school districts:				
Jefferson County School District, Lakewood.....	22	10	18	
CONNECTICUT				
Special districts:				
Hartford Metro District.....	11	10	10	
Housing Authority-City of Bridgeport.....	3	3	3	
Housing Authority-City of New Haven.....	28	28	28	
DELAWARE				
Special districts:				
Delaware River-Bay Authority, New Castle.....	20	20	20	

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1977—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				
Special districts:				
Washington Metro Area Transit Authority.....	206	206	206	235
FLORIDA				
Independent school districts:				
Broward County School District, Fort Lauderdale.....	10	10	10	14
Dade County School District, Miami.....	74	74	74	93
Duval County School Board, Jacksonville.....	7	7	7	8
Florida Junior College, Jacksonville.....	43	35	38	26
Hillsborough County School District, Tampa.....	18	18	18	20
Miami-Dade Junior College, Miami.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Pensacola Junior College.....	17	17	17	14
Pinellas County School District, Clearwater.....	24	24	24	20
Volusia County School District, Deland.....	8	6	7	6
GEORGIA				
Special districts:				
Fulton-De Kalb Hospital Authority, Atlanta.....	71	70	70	52
Macon-Bibb County Hospital Authority, Macon.....	11	10	10	11
Northeast Georgia Medical Center, Gainesville <sup>4</sup> .....	7	7	7	6
Richmond County Hospital Authority, Augusta.....	17	17	17	11
Independent school districts:				
Atlanta Independent School District.....	26	26	26	24
Bibb County School District, Macon.....	16	14	15	10
Cobb County School District, Marietta.....	14	14	14	12
Muscogee County School District, Columbus.....	25	23	23	12
Savannah-Chatham County School District, Savannah.....	12	12	12	9
ILLINOIS				
Special districts:				
Chicago Housing Authority.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Chicago Transit Authority.....	122	122	122	185
East St. Louis Park District.....	8	6	7	4
Metro Fair Exposition Authority, Chicago.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater Chicago.....	68	68	68	91
Springfield Airport Authority.....	9	9	9	10
Independent school districts:				
Chicago Board of Education.....	297	112	195	158
Chicago City College.....	91	2	24	26
Peoria Public School District 150.....	15	15	15	12
East St. Louis School District.....	18	18	18	25
INDIANA				
Special districts:				
Indianapolis-Marion County Building Authority, Indianapolis.....	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
Independent school districts:				
Gary Community School Corporation.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Indianapolis Public Schools.....	94	75	81	81
IOWA				
Independent school districts:				
Waterloo Community School District.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
KANSAS				
Independent school districts:				
Kansas City School District.....	14	14	14	13
Unified School District 501, Topeka.....	12	12	12	13
Wichita Unified School District 259.....	53	53	53	39

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1977—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
KENTUCKY				
Independent school districts:				
Fayette County School District, Lexington.....	29	25	26	37
Jefferson County School District, Louisville.....	86	64	68	55
LOUISIANA				
Special districts:				
Lake Charles Harbor and Terminal District.....	19	19	19	15
Orleans Levee District, New Orleans.....	70	69	69	70
Independent school districts				
East Baton Rouge Parish School District, Baton Rouge.....	12	12	12	10
Orleans Parish School District, New Orleans.....	16	16	16	10
MARYLAND				
Special districts:				
Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Silver Spring.....	208	208	208	222
MASSACHUSETTS				
Special districts:				
Boston Housing Authority.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )
Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority, Jamaica Plain.....	65	65	65	93
MICHIGAN				
Special districts:				
Huron-Clinton Metro Authority, Detroit.....	49	22	32	44
Tri-City Airport Commission, Freeland.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Independent school districts:				
Detroit City School District.....	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )
Flint City School District.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )
Grand Rapids Public Schools.....	42	40	41	30
Macomb County Community College, Warren.....	36	10	18	21
Pontiac City School District.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
MINNESOTA				
Special districts:				
Hennepin County Park Reserve, Maple Plain.....	13	13	13	20
Minneapolis-St. Paul Metro Airports Commission, St. Paul.....	64	64	64	102
MISSOURI				
Special districts:				
Housing Authority of Kansas City.....	55	55	55	56
St. Louis Housing Authority.....	68	68	68	38
Independent school districts:				
Junior College District of St. Louis.....	53	47	50	52
Kansas City School District.....	40	40	40	28
St. Louis City School District.....	77	77	77	59
Springfield School District.....	7	7	7	7
NEVADA				
Independent school districts:				
Clark County School District, Las Vegas.....	27	25	25	24

See footnotes at end of table.



**Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1977—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
NEW JERSEY				
Special districts:				
Burlington County Bridge Commission, Palmyra.....	34	27	28	42
Delaware River Port Authority, Camden.....	142	142	142	179
Housing Authority of the City of Trenton.....	12	12	12	9
NEW MEXICO				
Independent school districts:				
Albuquerque School District.....	32	32	32	30
NEW YORK				
Special districts:				
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, New York City.....	1,209	1,209	1,209	2,039
Waterfront Commission of New York Harbor, New York City.....	69	68	68	106
Independent school districts:				
Farmingdale Public Schools.....	17	4	10	9
OHIO				
Special districts:				
Akron Metropolitan Park District.....	22	20	21	21
Cleveland Metro Housing Authority.....	52	52	52	55
Cleveland Metropolitan Park Authority.....	75	66	68	80
Hamilton County Park District, Cincinnati.....	34	20	25	24
Lorain County Metro Park District, Elyria.....	42	42	42	30
Metro Park District of the Toledo Area.....	29	29	29	30
Montgomery County Park District, Dayton.....	7	6	6	6
Youngstown Township Park District.....	23	11	12	14
Independent school districts:				
Cincinnati City School District.....	88	1	22	30
Cuyahoga Community College, Cleveland.....	43	27	33	39
Dayton City School District.....	15	15	15	13
OKLAHOMA				
Independent school districts:				
Oklahoma City School District.....	46	46	46	33
OREGON				
Special districts:				
The Port of Portland District.....	48	44	45	78
Tri-Metro Transportation District, Portland.....	8	8	8	12
Independent school districts:				
Portland School District.....	21	20	20	21
PENNSYLVANIA				
Special districts:				
Delaware River Joint Toll Bridge Commission, Morrisville.....	145	145	145	199
Philadelphia Housing Authority.....	197	197	197	352
Pittsburgh Housing Authority.....	33	33	33	31
Port Authority of Allegheny County, Pittsburgh.....	20	20	20	19
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority Philadelphia	26	26	26	35
Independent school districts:				
Pittsburgh City School District.....	127	102	110	83
SOUTH CAROLINA				
Special districts:				
Columbia Metro Airport, West Columbia.....	11	11	11	10

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table B. Special police force employment and payroll of selected special districts and school districts, October 1977—Continued**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
TENNESSEE				
Special districts:				
Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority.....	47	47	47	45
Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority.....	50	50	50	52
TEXAS				
Special districts:				
Bexar County Hospital District, San Antonio.....	31	31	31	27
Brownsville Navigation District.....	14	14	14	9
Dallas-Fort Worth Airport Authority.....	211	211	211	245
Housing Authority-City of Houston.....	55	-	42	23
Nueces County Navigation District, Corpus Christi.....	2	2	2	1
Port of Houston Authority.....	114	109	110	125
Independent school districts:				
Dallas County Community College District.....	36	36	36	32
El Paso Independent School District.....	113	43	46	38
Houston Independent School District.....	74	69	72	60
Irving Independent School District.....	10	10	10	5
San Antonio Independent School District.....	54	54	54	34
San Antonio-St. Phillips College District.....	51	41	41	23
San Jacinto College, Pasadena.....	18	12	15	11
Tarrant County Junior College, Fort Worth.....	38	37	37	30
Ysleta Independent School District, El Paso.....	67	65	66	36
UTAH				
Independent school districts:				
Granite School District, Salt Lake City.....	17	14	16	12
VIRGINIA				
Special districts:				
Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel District, Cape Charles.....	67	65	65	52
Elizabeth River Tunnel Commission, Norfolk.....	42	42	42	39
WASHINGTON				
Special districts:				
Port of Seattle District.....	97	97	97	169
Port of Tacoma District.....	6	4	5	6
Independent school districts:				
Seattle School District.....	34	34	34	28

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.

<sup>2</sup>Unit of government does not directly employ police personnel. Police services are provided by contract with another government or with a private security firm.

<sup>3</sup>No longer has power of arrest.

<sup>4</sup>Formerly Hall County Hospital Authority.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Total.....	133,670	132,988	130,685	2,303	682
Alabama					
Alabama Agricultural and Mechanical University, Normal.....	187	187	187	-	-
Alabama Institute for the Deaf and Blind, Talladega.....	10	10	9	1	-
Alabama State University, Montgomery.....	212	212	212	-	-
Alexander City State Junior College, Alexander.....	10	10	10	-	-
Auburn University, Auburn.....	408	408	390	18	-
Enterprise State Junior College, Enterprise.....	31	31	31	-	-
Faulkner State Junior College, Bay Minette.....	28	28	27	1	-
Gadsden State Junior College, Gadsden.....	41	41	41	-	-
George C. Wallace State Junior College, Dothan.....	40	40	40	-	-
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville.....	113	113	111	2	-
Jefferson State Junior College, Birmingham.....	144	144	144	-	-
John C. Calhoun State Community College, Decatur.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Livingston University, Livingston.....	108	108	108	-	-
Northeast Alabama State Junior College, Rainsville.....	12	12	12	-	-
Northwest Alabama Junior College, Phil Campbell.....	21	21	21	-	-
Troy State University, Troy.....	59	59	59	-	-
University of Alabama, Birmingham.....	898	898	898	-	-
University of Alabama, University.....	410	410	410	-	-
University of Montevallo, Montevallo.....	76	76	76	-	-
University of North Alabama, Florence.....	79	79	79	-	-
University of South Alabama, Mobile.....	119	119	119	-	-
Alaska:					
University of Alaska, Fairbanks.....	365	365	359	6	-
Arizona:					
Arizona State University, Tempe.....	714	714	686	28	-
Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff.....	321	321	321	-	-
University of Arizona, Tucson.....	1,019	1,019	986	33	-
Arkansas:					
Arkansas Tech University, Russellville.....	83	83	78	5	-
Arkansas State University, Beebe.....	9	9	8	1	-
Arkansas State University, State University.....	149	149	137	12	-
Henderson State University, Arkadelphia.....	54	54	49	5	-
Southern State College, Magnolia.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
University of Arkansas, Fayetteville.....	444	444	444	-	-
University of Arkansas, Little Rock.....	200	200	200	-	-
University of Arkansas, Monticello.....	53	53	53	-	-
University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff.....	134	134	124	10	-
University of Central Arkansas, Conway.....	162	162	155	7	-
California:					
California State College, Bakersfield.....	188	188	188	-	-
California State College, Dominguez Hills.....	337	337	336	1	-
California State College, San Bernardino.....	186	186	186	-	-
California State College, Sonoma.....	139	139	139	-	-
California State College, Stanislaus.....	171	171	171	-	-
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.....	308	308	300	8	-
California Polytechnic University, Pomona.....	324	324	324	-	-
California State University, Chico.....	241	241	241	-	-
California State University, Fresno.....	272	272	271	1	-
California State University, Fullerton.....	256	256	256	-	-
California State University, Hayward.....	281	281	281	-	-
California State University, Long Beach.....	586	586	586	-	-
California State University, Los Angeles.....	799	799	799	-	-
California State University, Northridge.....	625	625	614	11	-
California State University, Sacramento.....	457	457	412	45	-
Humboldt State University, Arcata.....	261	261	261	-	-
San Diego State University, San Diego.....	623	623	613	10	-
San Francisco State University, San Francisco.....	378	378	378	-	-
San Jose State University, San Jose.....	344	344	339	5	-
University of California, Berkeley (9 campuses).....	7,242	7,242	7,242	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
<b>Colorado:</b>					
Adams State College, Alamosa.....	112	109	108	1	3
Colorado School of Mines, Golden.....	66	66	66	-	-
Colorado State University, Fort Collins.....	413	413	413	-	-
El Paso Community College, Colorado Springs.....	43	43	39	4	-
Otero Junior College, La Junta.....	5	-	-	-	5
University of Colorado, Boulder.....	689	689	680	9	-
University of Northern Colorado, Greeley.....	182	182	180	2	-
University of Southern Colorado, Pueblo.....	153	153	153	-	-
<b>Connecticut:</b>					
Central Connecticut State College, New Britain.....	214	214	214	-	-
Eastern Connecticut State College, Willimantic.....	80	80	80	-	-
Manchester Community College, Manchester.....	77	77	77	-	-
Mattatuck Community College, Waterbury.....	5	-	-	-	5
Southern Connecticut State College, New Haven.....	217	217	217	-	-
University of Connecticut, Storrs.....	585	585	585	-	-
Western Connecticut State College, Danbury.....	119	119	119	-	-
<b>Delaware:</b>					
University of Delaware, Newark.....	941	941	917	24	-
<b>Florida:</b>					
Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, Tallahassee...	265	265	263	2	-
Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton.....	256	256	251	5	-
Florida International University, Miami.....	515	515	515	-	-
Florida State University, Tallahassee.....	654	654	654	-	-
Florida Technological University, Orlando.....	280	280	280	-	-
University of Florida, Gainesville.....	890	890	860	30	-
University of North Florida, Jacksonville.....	210	210	209	1	-
University of South Florida, Tampa.....	647	647	619	28	-
University of West Florida, Pensacola.....	194	194	192	2	-
<b>Georgia:</b>					
Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College, Tifton.....	53	53	52	1	-
Albany Junior College, Albany.....	30	30	30	-	-
Albany State College, Albany.....	100	100	96	4	-
Augusta College, Augusta.....	79	79	76	3	-
Columbus College, Columbus.....	77	77	77	-	-
Fort Valley State College, Fort Valley.....	127	127	127	-	-
Georgia College, Milledgeville.....	63	63	63	-	-
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.....	706	706	691	15	-
Georgia Southern College, Statesboro.....	119	119	114	5	-
Georgia Southwestern College, Americus.....	105	105	105	-	-
Georgia State University, Atlanta.....	732	732	728	4	-
Medical College of Georgia, Augusta.....	438	438	435	3	-
Middle Georgia College, Cochran.....	57	57	57	-	-
North Georgia College, Dahlonega.....	42	42	42	-	-
Savannah State College, Savannah.....	82	81	81	-	1
South Georgia College, Douglas.....	44	44	44	-	-
University of Georgia, Athens.....	534	534	534	-	-
Valdosta State College, Valdosta.....	137	137	137	-	-
West Georgia College, Carrollton.....	151	151	144	7	-
<b>Idaho:</b>					
Boise State University, Boise.....	46	-	-	-	46
Idaho State University, Pocatello.....	67	67	67	-	-
Lewis-Clark State College, Lewiston.....	12	12	12	-	-
University of Idaho, Moscow.....	232	143	135	8	89
<b>Illinois:</b>					
Chicago State University, Chicago.....	333	333	333	-	-
Eastern Illinois University, Charleston.....	207	207	207	-	-
Governors State University, Park Forest South.....	289	289	289	-	-
Illinois State University, Normal.....	462	462	459	3	-
Northeastern Illinois University, Chicago.....	252	252	250	2	-
Northern Illinois University, De Kalb.....	433	433	433	-	-
Sangamon State University, Springfield.....	137	137	137	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Illinois--Continued					
Southern Illinois University, Carbondale.....	887	887	885	2	-
Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville.....	535	535	535	-	-
University of Illinois, Chicago Circle Campus.....	766	766	757	9	-
University of Illinois Medical Center, Chicago.....	805	805	799	6	-
University of Illinois, Urbana.....	975	975	975	-	-
Western Illinois University, Macomb.....	342	342	342	-	-
Indiana:					
Ball State University, Muncie.....	602	602	589	13	-
Indiana State University, Terre Haute.....	334	334	323	11	-
Indiana University, Bloomington.....	1,089	1,089	1,065	24	-
Indiana University Regional Campuses, Bloomington.....	212	212	212	-	-
Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis.....	814	814	803	11	-
Purdue University, Lafayette.....	739	739	710	29	-
Iowa:					
Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames.....	356	356	356	-	-
University of Iowa, Iowa City.....	670	670	670	-	-
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls.....	303	303	303	-	-
Kansas:					
Emporia Kansas State College, Emporia.....	195	195	195	-	-
Fort Hays Kansas State College, Hays.....	107	107	106	1	-
Kansas State College, Pittsburg.....	150	150	147	3	-
Kansas State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, Manhattan.....	509	509	388	121	-
University of Kansas, Lawrence.....	802	802	652	150	-
University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City.....	348	348	348	-	-
Wichita State University, Wichita.....	327	327	318	9	-
Kentucky:					
Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond.....	332	332	332	-	-
Kentucky State University, Frankfort.....	139	139	120	19	-
Morehead State University, Morehead.....	132	120	120	-	12
Murray State University, Murray.....	167	167	167	-	-
University of Kentucky, Lexington.....	687	687	687	-	-
Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green.....	396	396	390	6	-
Louisiana:					
Delgado Junior College, New Orleans.....	150	150	150	-	-
Grambling State University, Grambling.....	164	164	148	16	-
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.....	432	432	395	37	-
Louisiana State University Medical Center, New Orleans.....	202	202	193	9	-
Louisiana Tech University, Ruston.....	167	167	163	4	-
McNeese State University, Lake Charles.....	98	98	98	-	-
Nicholls State University, Thibodaux.....	128	128	128	-	-
Northoast Louisiana University, Monroe.....	169	169	168	1	-
Northwestern State University of Louisiana, Natchitoches.....	159	159	159	-	-
Southoastorn Louisiana University, Hammond.....	199	199	198	1	-
Southern University Agriculture and Mechanical College, Baton Rouge.....	373	373	373	-	-
Southern University, New Orleans.....	96	95	92	3	1
Southern University, Shreveport.....	64	64	64	-	-
University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette.....	258	258	255	3	-
Maine:					
Northern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, Presque Isle.....	1	1	1	-	-
Southern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, South Portland.....	30	30	30	-	-
University of Maine, Bangor, Orono Campuses.....	312	312	302	10	-
University of Maine, Farmington.....	26	26	26	-	-
University of Maine, Machias.....	22	22	22	-	-
University of Maine, Portland-Gorham.....	100	100	100	-	-
University of Maine, Presque Isle.....	14	14	14	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Maryland:					
Bowie State College, Bowie.....	240	240	240	-	-
Coppin State College, Baltimore.....	196	196	196	-	-
Frostburg State College, Frostburg.....	197	197	197	-	-
Morgan State University, Baltimore.....	411	411	411	-	-
Saint Mary's College of Maryland.....	117	117	117	-	-
Salisbury State College, Salisbury.....	146	146	146	-	-
Towson State University, Baltimore.....	400	400	400	-	-
University of Maryland, College Park.....	1,461	1,461	1,461	-	-
Massachusetts:					
Boston State College, Boston.....	285	285	282	3	-
Bridgewater State College, Bridgewater.....	135	135	130	5	-
Bristol Community College, Fall River.....	63	63	63	-	-
Fitchburg State College, Fitchburg.....	76	76	76	-	-
Framingham State College, Framingham.....	137	137	137	-	-
Greenfield Community College, Greenfield.....	75	75	75	-	-
Holyoke Community College, Holyoke.....	129	129	129	-	-
Massachusetts Maritime Academy, Buzzards Bay.....	33	33	33	-	-
Massasoit Community College, Brockton.....	84	84	84	-	-
Mount Washuset Community College, Gardner.....	70	70	70	-	-
North Adams State College, North Adams.....	71	71	71	-	-
Quinsigamond Community College, Worcester.....	100	100	100	-	-
Salem State College, Salem.....	182	182	182	-	-
Southeastern Massachusetts University, North Dartmouth.....	187	187	187	-	-
Springfield Technical Community College, Springfield.....	156	156	156	-	-
University of Lowell, Lowell.....	318	318	318	-	-
University of Massachusetts, Amherst.....	833	833	814	19	-
Westfield State College, Westfield.....	146	146	146	-	-
Worcester State College, Worcester.....	72	72	72	-	-
Michigan:					
Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant.....	381	381	381	-	-
Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti.....	939	939	685	254	-
Ferris State College, Big Rapids.....	246	246	245	1	-
Grand Valley State College, Allendale.....	145	145	145	-	-
Michigan State University, East Lansing.....	1,044	1,044	1,018	26	-
Michigan Technological University, Houghton.....	135	135	135	-	-
Northern Michigan University, Marquette.....	198	198	198	-	-
Oakland University, Rochester.....	224	224	224	-	-
Saginaw Valley State College, University Center.....	72	72	72	-	-
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.....	548	309	309	-	239
Wayne State University, Detroit.....	1,170	1,170	1,112	58	-
Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo.....	815	791	785	6	24
Minnesota:					
Mankato State College, Mankato.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>1</sup> )
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.....	1,224	1,224	1,224	-	-
Willmar Community College, Willmar.....	4	-	-	-	4
Mississippi:					
Alcorn State University, Lorman.....	119	119	119	-	-
Delta State University, Cleveland.....	109	109	109	-	-
Jackson State University, Jackson.....	213	213	213	-	-
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State.....	208	208	204	4	-
Mississippi University for Women, Columbus.....	54	54	50	4	-
Mississippi Valley State University, Itta Bona.....	108	108	108	-	-
University of Mississippi, University.....	274	274	264	10	-
University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson.....	421	421	414	7	-
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg.....	311	311	305	6	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
<b>Missouri:</b>					
Central Missouri State University, Warrensburg.....	150	150	150	-	-
Missouri Southern State College, Joplin.....	40	40	40	-	-
Missouri Western State College, St. Joseph.....	57	57	57	-	-
Northeast Missouri State University, Kirksville.....	128	128	128	-	-
Northwest Missouri State University, Maryville.....	94	94	94	-	-
Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau.....	92	92	86	6	-
Southwest Missouri State University, Springfield.....	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
University of Missouri, Columbia.....	600	600	600	-	-
University of Missouri, Kansas City.....	418	418	418	-	-
University of Missouri, Rolla.....	257	257	257	-	-
University of Missouri, St. Louis.....	376	376	376	-	-
<b>Montana:</b>					
Eastern Montana University, Billings.....	98	98	98	-	-
Montana State University, Bozeman.....	132	132	132	-	-
University of Montana, Missoula.....	127	127	127	-	-
<b>Nebraska:</b>					
Kearney State College, Kearney.....	75	75	75	-	-
Peru State College, Peru.....	12	12	12	-	-
University of Nebraska, Lincoln.....	597	597	597	-	-
Wayne State College, Wayne.....	44	44	44	-	-
<b>Nevada:</b>					
University of Nevada, Las Vegas.....	137	137	137	-	-
University of Nevada, Reno.....	242	242	242	-	-
<b>New Hampshire:</b>					
New Hampshire Technical Institute, Concord.....	10	10	10	-	-
University of New Hampshire, Durham.....	532	532	527	5	-
<b>New Jersey:</b>					
College of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark.....	1,060	1,060	1,060	-	-
Glassboro State College, Glassboro.....	353	353	353	-	-
Kean College of New Jersey, Union.....	364	364	344	20	-
Montclair State College, Upper Montclair.....	442	442	442	-	-
New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark.....	353	353	353	-	-
Ramapo College of New Jersey, Mahwah.....	271	271	271	-	-
Richard Stockton State College, Pomona.....	222	222	217	5	-
Rutgers The State University, New Brunswick.....	1,965	1,965	1,965	-	-
Trouton State College, Trenton.....	447	447	447	-	-
William Paterson College of New Jersey, Wayne.....	604	604	599	5	-
<b>New Mexico:</b>					
Eastern New Mexico University, Portales.....	68	68	68	-	-
New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas.....	105	105	95	10	-
New Mexico Military Institute, Roswell.....	47	47	47	-	-
New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.....	383	380	338	42	3
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque.....	548	548	548	-	-
Western New Mexico University, Silver City.....	29	29	29	-	-
<b>New York:</b>					
State University of New York, Albany.....	736	736	736	-	-
State University of New York, Binghamton.....	427	427	420	7	-
State University of New York, Buffalo.....	1,218	1,218	1,218	-	-
State University of New York, Stony Brook.....	998	998	913	85	-
State University of New York, Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn.....	614	614	614	-	-
State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse.....	143	143	143	-	-
State University of New York College, Brookport.....	391	391	384	7	-
State University of New York College, Buffalo.....	372	372	371	1	-
State University of New York College, Cortland.....	231	231	231	-	-
State University of New York College, Fredonia.....	214	214	214	-	-
State University of New York College, Genesee.....	238	238	238	-	-
State University of New York College, New Paltz.....	375	375	375	-	-
State University of New York College, Old Westbury.....	428	428	428	-	-
State University of New York College, Oneonta.....	299	299	299	-	-
State University of New York College, Oswego.....	298	298	298	-	-
State University of New York College, Plattsburgh.....	269	269	269	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
New York--Continued					
State University of New York College, Potsdam.....	187	187	187	-	
State University of New York College, Purchase.....	279	279	279	-	
State University of New York College of Tech., Utica-Rome.....	33	33	32	1	
State University of New York Maritime College, Bronx.....	136	136	136	-	
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Alfred.....	236	236	236	-	
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Canton.....	181	181	180	1	
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Cobleskill.....	132	132	132	-	
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Delhi.....	149	149	142	7	
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Farmingdale.....	300	300	298	2	
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Morrisville.....	122	122	122	-	
North Carolina					
Appalachian State University, Boone.....	185	185	185	-	
East Carolina University, Greenville.....	279	279	251	28	
Elizabeth City State University, Elizabeth City.....	147	147	147	-	
Fayetteville State University, Fayetteville.....	101	101	101	-	
North Carolina Agriculture and Technical State University, Greensboro.....	249	249	249	-	
North Carolina Central University, Durham.....	188	188	187	1	
North Carolina State University, Raleigh.....	365	365	365	-	
Pembroke State University, Pembroke.....	79	79	79	-	
University of North Carolina, Asheville.....	77	77	77	-	
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.....	433	433	423	10	
University of North Carolina, Charlotte.....	227	227	227	-	
University of North Carolina, Greensboro.....	235	235	235	-	
University of North Carolina, Wilmington.....	113	113	113	-	
Western Carolina University, Cullowhee.....	165	165	165	-	
Winston-Salem State University, Winston-Salem.....	136	136	136	-	
North Dakota:					
North Dakota State School of Science, Wahpeton.....	34	34	34	-	
North Dakota State University, Fargo.....	168	159	159	-	
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.....	154	150	145	5	
Ohio:					
Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green.....	362	362	362	-	
Central State University, Wilberforce.....	162	162	162	-	
Cleveland State University, Cleveland.....	871	871	863	8	
Kent State University, Kent.....	663	663	663	-	
Medical College of Ohio, Toledo.....	218	218	207	11	
Miami University, Oxford.....	562	562	562	-	
Ohio State University, Columbus.....	1,225	1,225	1,158	67	
Ohio University, Athens.....	394	394	394	-	
University of Akron, Akron.....	363	363	363	-	
University of Toledo.....	410	410	410	-	
Wright State University, Dayton.....	292	292	289	3	
Youngstown State University, Youngstown.....	362	362	362	-	
Oklahoma:					
Cameron University, Lawton.....	50	50	50	-	
Central State University, Edmond.....	85	85	85	-	
Connors State Agriculture College, Warner.....	12	12	12	-	
Langston University, Langston.....	112	112	112	-	
Murray State College, Tishomingo.....	13	13	13	-	
Northeastern Oklahoma State University, Tahlequah.....	144	144	138	6	
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Alva.....	25	25	25	-	
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Goodwell.....	7	7	7	-	
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Stillwater.....	389	389	389	-	
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Durant.....	487	487	487	-	
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Lawton.....	125	125	125	-	
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Norman.....	456	456	456	-	
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Health Science Center, Oklahoma City.....	368	368	368	-	
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Chickasha.....	32	32	32	-	

table.



Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued  
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovern- mental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Oregon:					
Oregon State University, Corvallis.....	294	294	294	-	-
University of Oregon, Eugene.....	535	398	396	2	137
Pennsylvania:					
Bloomsburg State College, Bloomsburg.....	209	209	209	-	-
California State College, California.....	202	202	202	-	-
Cheyney State College, Cheyney.....	196	196	196	-	-
Clarion State College, Clarion.....	255	255	255	-	-
East Stroudsburg State College, East Stroudsburg.....	176	176	176	-	-
Edinboro State College, Edinboro.....	247	247	247	-	-
Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Indiana.....	265	265	259	6	-
Kutztown State College, Kutztown.....	216	216	216	-	-
Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven.....	116	116	115	1	-
Mansfield State College, Mansfield.....	163	163	163	-	-
Millersville State College, Millersville.....	284	284	284	-	-
Pennsylvania State University, University Park.....	1,562	1,562	1,532	30	-
Shippensburg State College, Shippensburg.....	203	203	202	1	-
Slippery Rock State College, Slippery Rock.....	300	300	300	-	-
West Chester State College, West Chester.....	304	304	297	7	-
Rhode Island:					
University of Rhode Island, Kingston.....	381	381	353	28	-
South Carolina:					
Citadel Military College of South Carolina, Charleston.....	141	141	141	-	-
Clemson University, Clemson.....	364	364	364	-	-
Frances Marion College, Florence.....	61	61	61	-	-
Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston.....	556	556	556	-	-
South Carolina State College, Orangeburg.....	152	152	152	-	-
University of South Carolina, Columbia.....	904	904	893	11	-
Winthrop College, Rock Hill.....	201	201	201	-	-
South Dakota:					
South Dakota State University, Brookings.....	97	97	88	9	-
Tennessee:					
Austin Peay State University, Clarksville.....	111	111	110	1	-
Cleveland State Community College, Cleveland.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
East Tennessee State University, Johnson City.....	149	149	140	9	-
Memphis State University, Memphis.....	528	528	509	19	-
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro.....	265	265	260	5	-
Motlow State Community College, Tullahoma.....	28	28	28	-	-
Tennessee State University, Nashville.....	207	207	207	-	-
Tennessee Technical University, Cookeville.....	137	137	137	-	-
University of Tennessee, Knoxville.....	1,676	1,676	1,676	-	-
Walter State Community College, Morristown.....	35	35	34	1	-
Texas:					
Angelo State University, San Angelo.....	106	106	92	14	-
East Texas State University, Commerce.....	361	361	261	100	-
Lamar University, Beaumont.....	260	260	252	8	-
Midwestern State University, Wichita.....	107	107	107	-	-
North Texas State University, Denton.....	377	377	347	30	-
Pan American University, Edinburg.....	144	144	142	2	-
Prairie View Agricultural and Mechanical University, Prairie View.....	192	192	192	-	-
Sam Houston State University, Huntsville.....	173	173	168	5	-
Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos.....	209	209	208	1	-
Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches.....	236	236	236	-	-
Sul Ross State University, Alpine.....	68	68	68	-	-
Tarleton State University, Stephenville.....	60	60	60	-	-
Texas Agricultural and Industrial University, Kingsville.....	235	232	232	-	3
Texas Agricultural and Mechanical University, College Station.....	661	661	661	-	-
Texas Southern University, Houston.....	425	425	305	120	-
Texas State Technical Institute--James Connolly Campus, Waco..	158	158	152	6	-
Texas Technical University, Lubbock.....	492	492	485	7	-
Texas Womens University, Denton.....	215	215	211	4	-

See footnotes at end of table.

**Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object,  
fiscal year 1977—Continued**  
(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
Texas--Continued					
University of Houston, Houston.....	950	950	950	-	-
University of Texas, Arlington.....	525	525	518	7	-
University of Texas, Austin.....	1,592	1,592	1,575	17	-
University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson.....	181	181	179	2	-
University of Texas, El Paso.....	355	355	355	-	-
University of Texas Cancer Center, Houston.....	486	486	480	6	-
University of Texas Dental School, San Antonio.....	277	277	277	-	-
University of Texas Health Science Center, Dallas.....	566	566	566	-	-
University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio.....	309	309	304	5	-
West Texas State University, Canyon.....	114	114	109	5	-
Utah:					
Dixie College, Saint George.....	18	18	18	-	-
Snow College, Ephraim.....	32	32	31	1	-
Southern Utah State College, Cedar City.....	32	32	32	-	-
University of Utah, Salt Lake City.....	798	798	798	-	-
Utah State University, Logan.....	35	-	-	-	35
Weber State College, Ogden.....	95	95	90	5	-
Vermont:					
Castleton State College, Castleton.....	48	48	48	-	-
Lyndon State College, Lyndonville.....	52	52	52	-	-
University of Vermont, Burlington.....	423	423	417	6	-
Virginia:					
Central Virginia Community College, Lynchburg.....	19	19	19	-	-
Clinch Valley College, Wise.....	30	30	30	-	-
College of William and Mary, Williamsburg.....	254	254	251	3	-
Dabney S. Lancaster Community College, Clifton Forge.....	10	10	10	-	-
Danville Community College, Danville.....	36	36	36	-	-
George Mason University, Fairfax.....	190	190	190	-	-
J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College, Richmond.....	81	81	81	-	-
James Madison University, Harrisonburg <sup>2</sup> .....	239	239	239	-	-
Mary Washington College, Fredericksburg.....	152	152	152	-	-
Norfolk State College, Norfolk.....	264	264	264	-	-
Northern Virginia Community College, Annandale.....	508	508	408	100	-
Old Dominion University, Norfolk.....	319	319	319	-	-
Paul D. Camp Community College, Franklin.....	27	27	27	-	-
Radford College, Radford.....	201	201	201	-	-
Southside Virginia Community College, Albemarle.....	55	55	55	-	-
Southwest Virginia Community College, Richlands.....	29	29	29	-	-
Thomas Nelson Community College, Richmond.....	66	66	66	-	-
University of Virginia, Charlottesville.....	697	697	697	-	-
Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond.....	948	948	948	-	-
Virginia Highlands Community College, Abingdon.....	12	12	12	-	-
Virginia Military Institute, Lexington.....	68	68	68	-	-
Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg.....	428	428	428	-	-
Virginia School at Hampton.....	14	14	14	-	-
Virginia State College, Petersburg.....	123	123	123	-	-
Virginia Western Community College, Roanoke.....	90	90	87	3	-
Wytheville Community College, Wytheville.....	21	21	21	-	-
Washington:					
Big Bend Community College, Moses Lake.....	29	29	29	-	-
Central Washington State College, Ellensburg.....	151	151	145	6	-
Eastern Washington State College, Cheney.....	231	207	206	1	24
Fort Steilacoom Community College, Tacoma.....	47	47	47	-	-
Green River Community College, Auburn.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Seattle Community College, Seattle.....	61	61	61	-	-
Tacoma Community College, Tacoma.....	90	90	90	-	-
The Evergreen State College, Olympia.....	100	100	100	-	-
University of Washington, Seattle.....	1,448	1,448	1,430	18	-
Washington State University, Pullman.....	302	302	295	7	-
Western Washington State College, Bellingham.....	286	286	286	-	-

See footnotes at end of table.

Table C. Campus police force expenditures of State governments, by character and object, fiscal year 1977—Continued

(Thousands of dollars)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Total	Direct expenditure			Intergovernmental expenditure
		Total	Direct current	Capital outlay	
West Virginia:					
Bluefield State College, Bluefield.....	29	29	29	-	-
Concord College, Athens.....	45	45	45	-	-
Fairmont State College, Fairmont.....	62	62	62	-	-
Glenville State College, Glenville.....	40	40	40	-	-
Marshall University, Huntington.....	193	193	187	6	-
Shepherd College, Shepherdstown.....	30	30	30	-	-
West Liberty State College, West Liberty.....	46	46	46	-	-
West Virginia Institute of Technology, Montgomery.....	60	60	60	-	-
West Virginia State College, Institute.....	45	45	45	-	-
West Virginia University, Morgantown.....	278	278	278	-	-
Wisconsin:					
University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire.....	193	193	160	33	-
University of Wisconsin, Green Bay.....	130	130	130	-	-
University of Wisconsin, La Crosse.....	103	103	103	-	-
University of Wisconsin, Madison.....	1,243	1,243	1,243	-	-
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee.....	457	457	457	-	-
University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh.....	180	180	177	3	-
University of Wisconsin-parkside, Kenosha.....	139	139	137	2	-
University of Wisconsin, Platteville.....	76	76	76	-	-
University of Wisconsin, River Falls.....	73	73	73	-	-
University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point.....	252	252	252	-	-
University of Wisconsin-Stout, Menomonie.....	131	93	85	8	38
University of Wisconsin, Superior.....	91	91	89	2	-
University of Wisconsin, Whitewater.....	147	147	144	3	-
Wyoming:					
University of Wyoming, Laramie.....	250	250	240	10	-

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.<sup>2</sup>No longer has power of arrest.<sup>3</sup>Formerly Madison College.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1977

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Total.....	12,935	9,752	10,323	10,463
Alabama:				
Alabama Agricultural and Mechanical University, Normal.....	17	17	17	12
Alabama Institute for the Deaf and Blind, Talladega.....	1	1	1	1
Alabama State University, Montgomery.....	21	17	18	14
Alexander City State Junior College, Alexander.....	1	1	1	1
Auburn University, Auburn.....	23	23	23	18
Enterprise State Junior College, Enterprise.....	3	3	3	2
Faulkner State Junior College, Bay Minette.....	4	4	4	3
Gadsden State Junior College, Gadsden.....	6	5	5	4
George C. Wallace State Community College, Dothan.....	6	5	5	3
Jacksonville State University, Jacksonville.....	13	13	13	7
Jefferson State Junior College, Birmingham.....	21	17	18	16
John C. Calhoun State Community College, Decatur.....	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )
Livingston University, Livingston.....	4	4	4	5
Northeast Alabama State Junior College, Rainsville.....	1	1	1	1
Northwest Alabama State Junior College, Phil Campbell.....	5	2	4	3
Troy State University, Troy.....	6	6	6	4
The University of Alabama, University.....	68	34	39	35
University of Alabama, Birmingham.....	63	63	63	56
University of Montevallo, Montevallo.....	6	5	5	5
University of North Alabama, Florence.....	10	8	8	9
University of South Alabama, Mobile.....	6	6	6	4
Alaska:				
University of Alaska, Fairbanks.....	35	9	15	26
Arizona:				
Arizona State University, Tempe.....	67	50	53	54
Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff.....	34	27	28	23
University of Arizona, Tucson.....	103	90	91	80
Arkansas:				
Arkansas Tech University, Russellville.....	8	8	8	7
Arkansas State University, Beebe.....	1	1	1	1
Arkansas State University, State University.....	17	15	16	11
Henderson State University, Arkadelphia.....	6	5	5	4
Southern State College, Magnolia.....	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )
University of Arkansas, Fayetteville.....	47	30	35	22
University of Arkansas, Little Rock.....	27	22	25	15
University of Arkansas, Monticello.....	6	6	6	4
University of Arkansas, Pine Bluff.....	19	14	15	8
University of Central Arkansas, Conway.....	23	14	16	11
California:				
California State College, Bakersfield.....	18	9	10	17
California State College, Dominguez Hills.....	35	17	19	27
California State College, San Bernardino.....	19	16	16	20
California State College, Sonoma.....	11	11	11	15
California State College, Stanislaus.....	10	10	10	14
California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo.....	27	14	16	25
California State Polytechnic University, Pomona.....	25	24	24	32
California State University, Chico.....	27	11	14	19
California State University, Fresno.....	14	14	14	19
California State University, Fullerton.....	17	15	15	22
California State University, Hayward.....	19	19	19	25
California State University, Long Beach.....	47	36	38	52
California State University, Los Angeles.....	61	50	52	68
California State University, Northridge.....	41	34	36	45
California State University, Sacramento.....	29	26	26	34
Humboldt State University, Arcata.....	17	16	16	21
San Diego State University, San Diego.....	27	26	26	35
San Francisco State University, San Francisco.....	18	18	18	25
San Jose State University, San Jose.....	21	16	17	26
University of California, Berkeley (9 campuses).....	370	370	370	655
Colorado:				
Adams State College, Alamosa.....	14	5	7	9
Colorado School of Mines, Golden.....	4	4	4	5
Colorado State University, Fort Collins.....	37	25	28	33
El Paso Community College, Colorado Springs.....	9	5	6	5
Otero Junior College, La Junta.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
University of Colorado, Boulder.....	69	41	48	59
University of Northern Colorado, Greeley.....	34	10	15	12
University of Southern Colorado, Pueblo.....	23	11	11	14

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
Connecticut:				
Central Connecticut State College, New Britain.....	19	19	19	18
Eastern Connecticut State College, Willimantic.....	8	8	.8	8
Manchester Community College, Manchester.....	6	5	5	5
Mattatuck Community College, Waterbury.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Southern Connecticut State College, New Haven.....	35	20	22	21
University of Connecticut, Storrs.....	79	40	45	44
Western Connecticut State College, Danbury.....	21	8	10	10
Delaware:				
University of Delaware, Newark.....	94	53	60	60
Florida:				
Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University, Tallahassee.....	19	19	19	21
Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton.....	21	19	19	20
Florida International University, Miami.....	36	36	36	50
Florida State University, Tallahassee.....	42	42	42	46
Florida Technological University, Orlando.....	28	24	25	25
University of Florida, Gainesville.....	81	65	67	81
University of North Florida, Jacksonville.....	17	17	17	18
University of South Florida, Tampa.....	54	48	49	47
University of West Florida, Pensacola.....	17	14	14	18
Georgia:				
Abraham Baldwin Agricultural College, Tifton.....	8	6	7	4
Albany Junior College, Albany.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Albany State College, Albany.....	15	15	15	10
Augusta College, Augusta.....	16	9	11	7
Columbus College, Columbus.....	17	11	12	7
Fort Valley State College, Fort Valley.....	18	18	18	11
Georgia College, Milledgeville.....	8	8	8	6
Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.....	107	46	52	49
Georgia Southern College, Statesboro.....	18	18	18	13
Georgia Southwestern College, Americus.....	11	10	10	7
Georgia State University, Atlanta.....	57	57	57	60
Medical College of Georgia, Augusta.....	44	44	44	28
Middle Georgia College, Cochran.....	9	7	8	3
North Georgia College, Dahlonega.....	6	6	6	5
Savannah State College, Savannah.....	10	10	10	7
South Georgia College, Douglas.....	7	6	6	4
University of Georgia, Athens.....	53	53	53	39
Valdosta State College, Valdosta.....	17	16	16	11
West Georgia College, Carrollton.....	19	18	19	13
Idaho:				
Boise State University, Boise.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Idaho State University, Pocatello.....	9	7	7	6
Lewis-Clark State College, Lewiston.....	5	1	2	2
University of Idaho, Moscow.....	13	5	7	7
Illinois:				
Chicago State University, Chicago.....	23	23	23	27
Eastern Illinois University, Charleston.....	18	18	18	19
Governors State University, Park Forest South.....	17	17	17	20
Illinois State University, Normal.....	31	31	31	34
Northeastern Illinois University, Chicago.....	23	13	19	18
Northern Illinois University, De Kalb.....	47	35	36	39
Sangamon State University, Springfield.....	18	9	11	11
Southern Illinois University, Carbondale.....	78	55	58	91
Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville.....	31	22	23	33
University of Illinois, Chicago Circle Campus.....	39	39	39	62
University of Illinois Medical Center, Chicago.....	43	43	43	64
University of Illinois, Urbana.....	63	62	62	83
Western Illinois University, Macomb.....	23	23	23	30

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
<b>Indiana:</b>				
Ball State University, Muncie.....	103	43	46	56
Indiana State University, Terre Haute.....	40	25	28	28
Indiana University, Bloomington.....	125	77	78	78
Indiana University, Regional Campuses, Bloomington.....	27	19	21	19
Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis.....	82	67	73	64
Purdue University, Lafayette.....	51	48	48	59
<b>Iowa:</b>				
Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames.....	90	28	35	34
University of Iowa, Iowa City.....	71	48	52	65
University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls.....	25	23	24	25
<b>Kansas:</b>				
Emporia Kansas State College, Emporia.....	44	13	19	18
Fort Hays Kansas State College, Hays.....	11	10	10	9
Kansas State College, Pittsburg.....	18	13	14	13
Kansas State University, Manhattan.....	40	40	40	30
University of Kansas, Lawrence.....	105	49	58	65
University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City.....	35	31	32	27
Wichita State University, Wichita.....	43	21	24	20
<b>Kentucky:</b>				
Eastern Kentucky University, Richmond.....	54	39	44	29
Kentucky State University, Frankfort.....	20	20	20	16
Morehead State University, Morehead.....	12	11	12	11
Murray State University, Murray.....	49	10	24	19
University of Kentucky, Lexington.....	89	81	83	57
Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green.....	48	32	34	29
<b>Louisiana:</b>				
Delgado Junior College, New Orleans.....	16	15	15	12
Grambling State University, Grambling.....	15	15	15	12
Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.....	53	31	32	48
Louisiana State University Medical Center, New Orleans.....	27	27	27	22
Louisiana Tech University, Ruston.....	16	16	16	15
McNeese State University, Lake Charles.....	8	8	8	8
Nicholls State University, Thibodaux.....	11	9	12	9
Northeast Louisiana University, Monroe.....	46	15	17	14
Northwestern State University of Louisiana, Natchitoches.....	23	10	12	14
Southeastern Louisiana University, Hammond.....	24	18	20	18
Southern University Agricultural and Mechanical College, Baton Rouge....	41	36	36	41
Southern University, New Orleans.....	10	10	10	8
Southern University, Shreveport.....	6	6	6	10
University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette.....	29	19	21	23
<b>Maine:</b>				
Northern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, Presque Isle.....	1	-	-	-
Southern Maine Vocational and Technical Institute, South Portland.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
University of Maine, Bangor, Orono campuses...	60	46	49	39
University of Maine, Farmington.....	4	4	4	4
University of Maine, Machias.....	3	3	3	2
University of Maine, Portland-Gorham.....	15	13	14	11
University of Maine, Presque Isle.....	2	2	2	1
<b>Maryland:</b>				
Bowie State College, Bowie.....	18	18	18	18
Coppin State College, Baltimore.....	19	19	19	18
Frostburg State College, Frostburg.....	15	15	15	16
Morgan State College, Baltimore.....	32	32	32	31
Saint Mary's College of Maryland, Saint Mary's.....	11	11	11	10
Salisbury State College, Salisbury.....	20	14	15	13
Towson State University, Baltimore.....	38	35	35	34
University of Maryland, College Park.....	83	83	83	105

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
<b>Massachusetts:</b>				
Boston State College, Boston.....	2	2	2	3
Bridgewater State College, Bridgewater.....	10	10	10	11
Bristol Community College, Fall River.....	7	4	6	5
Fitchburg State College, Fitchburg.....	7	7	7	6
Framingham State College, Framingham.....	12	12	12	10
Greenfield Community College, Greenfield.....	3	3	3	2
Holyoke Community College, Holyoke.....	13	13	13	12
Massachusetts Maritime Academy, Buzzards Bay.....	3	3	3	3
Massasoit Community College, Brockton.....	2	2	2	2
Mount Wachusett Community College, Gardner.....	8	8	8	6
North Adams State College, North Adams.....	13	8	9	9
Quinsigamond Community College, Worcester.....	8	8	8	7
Salem State College, Salem.....	18	18	18	19
Southeastern Massachusetts University, North Dartmouth.....	28	28	28	25
Springfield Technical Community College, Springfield.....	14	14	14	15
University of Lowell, Lowell.....	30	25	26	23
University of Massachusetts, Amherst.....	82	81	81	59
Westfield State College, Westfield.....	15	15	15	14
Worcester State College, Worcester.....	4	4	4	4
<b>Michigan:</b>				
Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant.....	42	28	30	34
Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti.....	85	24	32	40
Ferris State College, Big Rapids.....	21	16	18	18
Grand Valley State College, Allendale.....	19	9	10	14
Michigan State University, East Lansing.....	188	46	52	77
Michigan Technological University, Houghton.....	9	9	9	9
Northern Michigan University, Marquette.....	31	13	17	18
Oakland University, Rochester.....	18	18	18	17
Saginaw Valley College, University Center.....	6	6	6	6
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.....	27	23	26	29
Wayne State University, Detroit.....	64	55	56	101
Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo.....	64	49	52	66
<b>Minnesota:</b>				
Mankato State College, Mankato.....	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.....	89	66	69	100
Willmar Community College, Willmar.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Mississippi:</b>				
Alcorn State University, Lorman.....	15	15	15	10
Delta State University, Cleveland.....	9	9	9	7
Jackson State University, Jackson.....	26	26	26	20
Mississippi State University, Mississippi State.....	28	21	22	16
Mississippi University for Women, Columbus.....	8	7	7	5
Mississippi Valley State University, Itta Bena.....	15	15	15	10
University of Mississippi, University.....	30	25	26	23
University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson.....	52	52	52	35
University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg.....	46	40	45	25
<b>Missouri:</b>				
Central Missouri State University, Warrensburg.....	21	14	16	12
Missouri Southern State College, Joplin.....	5	5	5	3
Missouri Western State College, St. Joseph.....	8	8	8	5
Northeast Missouri State University, Kirksville.....	22	12	16	11
Northwest Missouri State University, Maryville.....	9	8	8	8
Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau.....	11	9	9	6
Southwest Missouri State University, Springfield.....	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )
University of Missouri, Columbia.....	72	51	54	49
University of Missouri, Kansas City.....	51	45	46	43
University of Missouri, Rolla.....	22	22	22	16
University of Missouri, St. Louis.....	29	27	28	24
<b>Montana:</b>				
Eastern Montana University, Billings.....	10	9	9	8
Montana State University, Bozeman.....	11	9	10	9
University of Montana, Missoula.....	11	11	11	9

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
<b>Nebraska:</b>				
Kearney State College, Kearney.....	9	9	9	6
Peru State College, Peru.....	2	1	1	1
University of Nebraska, Lincoln.....	62	51	60	48
Wayne State College, Wayne.....	4	4	4	3
<b>Nevada:</b>				
University of Nevada, Las Vegas.....	8	7	7	9
University of Nevada, Reno.....	28	13	16	15
<b>New Hampshire:</b>				
New Hampshire Technical Institute, Concord.....	1	1	1	1
University of New Hampshire, Durham.....	61	44	51	41
<b>New Jersey</b>				
College of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark.....	124	116	121	99
Glassboro State College, Glassboro.....	44	32	35	30
Kean College of New Jersey, Union.....	49	28	33	29
Montclair State College, Upper Montclair.....	30	29	29	29
New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark.....	31	11	13	14
Ramapo College of New Jersey, Mahwah.....	27	21	21	18
Richard Stockton State College, Pomona.....	24	16	18	16
Rutgers the State University, New Brunswick.....	175	150	153	142
Trenton State College, Trenton.....	29	27	27	26
William Paterson College of New Jersey, Wayne.....	46	46	46	40
<b>New Mexico:</b>				
Eastern New Mexico University, Portales.....	17	4	6	5
New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas.....	12	12	12	7
New Mexico Military Institute, Roswell.....	4	4	4	4
New Mexico State University, Las Cruces.....	47	26	31	24
University of New Mexico, Albuquerque.....	70	49	53	66
Western New Mexico University, Silver City.....	4	2	3	2
<b>New York:</b>				
State University of New York, Albany.....	53	45	46	59
State University of New York, Binghamton.....	27	27	27	27
State University of New York, Buffalo.....	79	79	79	81
State University of New York, Stony Brook.....	81	70	71	82
State University of New York Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn.....	53	53	53	58
State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse.....	13	13	13	14
State University of New York College, Brockport.....	27	27	27	31
State University of New York College, Buffalo.....	30	30	30	29
State University of New York College, Cortland.....	19	19	19	20
State University of New York College, Fredonia.....	16	16	16	19
State University of New York College, Geneseo.....	19	19	19	21
State University of New York College, New Paltz.....	111	23	32	35
State University of New York College, Old Westbury.....	27	23	23	33
State University of New York College, Oneonta.....	21	21	21	24
State University of New York College, Oswego.....	22	22	22	25
State University of New York College, Plattsburgh.....	21	21	21	24
State University of New York College, Potsdam.....	14	14	14	13
State University of New York College, Purchase.....	26	20	22	24
State University of New York College of Technology, Utica-Rome.....	4	3	3	3
State University of New York Maritime College, Bronx.....	10	10	10	11
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Alfred.....	17	17	17	19
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Canton.....	12	12	12	14
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Cobleskill.....	10	10	10	12
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Delhi.....	28	12	15	13
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Farmingdale.....	23	20	20	25
State University of New York Agricultural and Technical College, Morrisville.....	20	10	11	12

See footnotes at end of table.



**Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1977—Continued**  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
North Carolina:				
Appalachian State University, Boone.....	15	15	15	11
East Carolina University, Greenville.....	24	24	24	22
Elizabeth City State University, Elizabeth City.....	22	22	22	19
Fayetteville State University, Fayetteville.....	9	9	9	8
North Carolina Agriculture and Technical State University, Greensboro...	23	23	23	19
North Carolina Central University, Durham.....	17	17	17	14
North Carolina State University, Raleigh.....	27	27	27	33
Pembroke State University, Pembroke.....	8	8	8	7
University of North Carolina, Asheville.....	9	8	9	7
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.....	83	36	41	40
University of North Carolina, Charlotte.....	23	20	22	19
University of North Carolina, Greensboro.....	30	21	24	18
University of North Carolina, Wilmington.....	15	10	11	9
Western Carolina University, Cullowhee.....	16	12	12	11
Winston-Salem State University, Winston-Salem.....	12	12	12	10
North Dakota:				
North Dakota State School of Science, Wahpeton.....	4	3	3	4
North Dakota State University, Fargo.....	17	10	12	11
University of North Dakota, Grand Forks.....	29	9	12	11
Ohio:				
Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green.....	21	21	21	28
Central State University, Wilberforce.....	9	9	9	10
Cleveland State University, Cleveland.....	64	62	62	64
Kent State University, Kent.....	38	32	35	35
Medical College of Ohio, Toledo.....	20	14	16	18
Miami University, Oxford.....	51	42	43	45
Ohio State University, Columbus.....	65	61	62	75
Ohio University, Athens.....	27	27	27	25
University of Akron, Akron.....	23	23	23	25
University of Toledo, Toledo.....	48	30	33	34
Wright State University, Dayton.....	25	20	23	23
Youngstown State University, Youngstown.....	39	19	23	27
Oklahoma:				
Cameron University, Lawton.....	7	7	7	6
Central State University, Edmond.....	10	10	10	7
Connors State Agriculture College, Warner.....	2	1	2	1
Langston University, Langston.....	9	6	7	4
Murray State College, Tishomingo.....	3	2	3	2
Northeastern Oklahoma State University, Tahlequah.....	22	10	13	10
Northwestern Oklahoma State University, Alva.....	12	2	3	2
Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Goodwell.....	2	-	1	-
Oklahoma State University, Stillwater.....	41	30	33	26
Southeastern Oklahoma State University, Durant.....	16	5	6	5
Tulsa Junior College, Tulsa.....	27	2	4	5
University of Oklahoma, Norman.....	57	36	43	37
University of Oklahoma Health Science Center, Oklahoma City.....	33	31	32	24
University of Science and Arts of Oklahoma, Chickasha.....	5	4	4	2
Oregon:				
Oregon State University, Corvallis.....	21	19	21	19
University of Oregon, Eugene.....	40	20	24	22
Pennsylvania:				
Bloomsburg State College, Bloomsburg.....	16	16	16	17
California State College, California.....	15	15	15	15
Cheyney State College, Cheyney.....	16	16	16	15
Clarion State College, Clarion.....	15	15	15	16
East Stroudsburg State College, East Stroudsburg.....	13	13	13	13
Edinboro State College, Edinboro.....	20	20	20	21
Indiana University of Pennsylvania, Indiana.....	19	19	19	20
Kutztown State College, Kutztown.....	6	6	6	5
Lock Haven State College, Lock Haven.....	8	8	8	8
Mansfield State College, Mansfield.....	14	14	14	13
Millersville State College, Millersville.....	99	16	23	23
Pennsylvania State University, University Park.....	237	134	150	158
Shippensburg State College, Shippensburg.....	19	15	15	17
Slippery Rock State College, Slippery Rock.....	15	15	15	17
West Chester State College, West Chester.....	23	23	23	23
Rhode Island:				
University of Rhode Island, Kingston.....	42	28	29	37

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1977—Continued  
(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
<b>South Carolina:</b>				
Citadel Military College of South Carolina, Charleston.....	16	11	12	13
Clemson University, Clemson.....	27	27	27	24
Frances Marion College, Florence.....	9	6	6	7
Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston.....	43	43	43	30
South Carolina State College, Orangeburg.....	16	15	15	14
University of South Carolina, Columbia.....	126	72	75	69
Winthrop College, Rock Hill.....	25	25	25	19
<b>South Dakota:</b>				
South Dakota State University, Brookings.....	31	9	12	9
<b>Tennessee:</b>				
Austin Peay State University, Clarksville.....	14	12	13	8
Cleveland State Community College, Cleveland.....	(3)	(3)	(3)	(1)
East Tennessee State University, Johnson City.....	21	14	15	28
Memphis State University, Memphis.....	55	55	55	58
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro.....	54	20	34	23
Motlow State Community College, Tullahoma.....	4	4	4	2
Tennessee State University, Nashville.....	25	25	25	20
Tennessee Technical University, Cookeville.....	19	19	19	12
University of Tennessee, Knoxville.....	143	104	111	125
Walter State Community College, Morristown.....	6	5	5	3
<b>Texas:</b>				
Angelo State University, San Angelo.....	13	11	11	3
East Texas State University, Commerce.....	22	22	22	18
Lamar University, Beaumont.....	29	25	26	18
Midwestern State University, Wichita.....	12	8	9	8
North Texas State University, Denton.....	48	26	37	35
Pan American University, Edinburg.....	15	10	11	8
Prairie View Agricultural and Mechanical University, Praire View.....	15	14	15	10
Sam Houston State University, Huntsville.....	22	13	15	12
Southwest Texas State University, San Marcos.....	37	17	19	15
Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches.....	21	19	20	13
Sul Ross State University, Alpine.....	6	6	6	5
Tarleton State University, Stephenville.....	8	6	7	4
Texas Agricultural and Industrial University, Kingsville.....	24	24	24	16
Texas Agricultural and Mechanical University, College Station.....	58	50	58	41
Texas Southern University, Houston.....	27	27	27	19
Texas State Technical Institute--James Connolly Campus, Waco.....	10	10	10	10
Texas Technical University, Lubbock.....	53	43	46	36
Texas Womens University, Denton.....	27	27	27	22
University of Houston, Houston.....	66	64	65	58
University of Texas, Arlington.....	39	33	36	30
University of Texas, Austin.....	163	144	147	129
University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson.....	18	18	18	15
University of Texas, El Paso.....	31	31	31	26
University of Texas Cancer Center, Houston.....	42	40	41	39
University of Texas Dental School, San Antonio.....	27	27	27	27
University of Texas Health Science Center, Dallas.....	44	44	44	39
University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio.....	28	28	28	25
West Texas State University, Canyon.....	12	9	10	8
<b>Utah:</b>				
Dixie College, Saint George.....	8	2	3	2
Snow College, Ephraim.....	5	2	3	2
Southern Utah State College, Cedar City.....	12	2	3	3
University of Utah, Salt Lake City.....	100	79	84	66
Utah State University, Logan.....	(2)	(2)	(2)	(1)
Weber State College, Ogden.....	57	7	11	11
<b>Vermont:</b>				
Castleton State College, Castleton.....	34	2	6	5
Lyndon State College, Lyndonville.....	32	1	4	4
University of Vermont, Burlington.....	33	33	33	32

See footnotes at end of table.

Table D. Campus police force employment and payroll, October 1977—Continued

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

Unit of government <sup>1</sup>	Number of employees			October payroll
	Total	Full-time only	Full-time equivalent	
<b>Virginia:</b>				
Central Virginia Community College, Lynchburg.....	2	2	2	2
Clinch Valley College, Wise.....	3	3	3	2
College of William and Mary, Williamsburg.....	35	27	28	21
Dabney S. Lancaster Community College, Clifton Forge.....	1	1	1	1
Danville Community College, Danville.....	7	1	4	3
George Mason University, Fairfax.....	23	17	20	20
J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College, Richmond.....	11	6	9	7
James Madison College, Harrisonburg <sup>4</sup> .....	20	20	20	17
Mary Washington College, Fredericksburg.....	14	11	12	10
Norfolk State College, Norfolk.....	25	25	25	20
Northern Virginia Community College, Annandale.....	38	27	32	22
Old Dominion University, Norfolk.....	32	30	30	25
Paul D. Camp Community College, Franklin.....	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )	( <sup>2</sup> )
Radford College, Radford.....	18	18	18	15
Southside Virginia Community College, Albemarle.....	8	6	6	5
Southwest Virginia Community College, Richlands.....	4	4	4	3
Thomas Nelson Community College, Richmond.....	9	8	8	6
University of Virginia, Charlottesville.....	70	58	60	56
Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond.....	108	72	92	68
Virginia Highlands Community College, Abingdon.....	2	2	2	2
Virginia Military Institute, Lexington.....	6	6	6	5
Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg.....	102	36	39	32
Virginia School at Hampton.....	3	1	2	1
Virginia State College, Petersburg.....	12	10	11	8
Virginia Western Community College, Roanoke.....	8	7	8	7
Wytheville Community College, Wytheville.....	2	2	2	2
<b>Washington:</b>				
Big Bend Community College, Moses Lake.....	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )
Central Washington State College, Ellensburg.....	16	10	10	13
Eastern Washington State College, Cheney.....	29	8	11	13
Fort Steilacoom Community College, Tacoma.....	9	3	4	3
Green River Community College, Auburn.....	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )
Seattle Community College, Seattle.....	8	5	5	6
Tacoma Community College, Tacoma.....	7	6	6	6
The Evergreen State College, Olympia.....	11	7	8	11
University of Washington, Seattle.....	97	89	90	130
Washington State University, Pullman.....	31	20	21	25
Western Washington State College, Bellingham.....	33	13	19	21
<b>West Virginia:</b>				
Bluefield State College, Bluefield.....	2	2	2	1
Concord College, Athens.....	5	5	5	4
Fairmont State College, Fairmont.....	6	6	6	5
Glenville State College, Glenville.....	4	4	4	3
Marshall University, Huntington.....	31	28	30	23
Shepherd College, Shepherdstown.....	5	3	4	3
West Liberty State College, West Liberty.....	5	5	5	4
West Virginia Institute of Technology, Montgomery.....	19	6	8	5
West Virginia State College, Institute.....	8	8	8	5
West Virginia University, Morgantown.....	32	32	32	27
<b>Wisconsin:</b>				
University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire.....	30	10	12	12
University of Wisconsin, Green Bay.....	12	12	12	10
University of Wisconsin, La Crosse.....	10	10	10	9
University of Wisconsin, Madison.....	106	91	94	106
University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee.....	34	32	32	42
University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh.....	16	13	14	14
University of Wisconsin-Parkside, Kenosha.....	19	12	14	13
University of Wisconsin, Platteville.....	6	6	6	6
University of Wisconsin, River Falls.....	6	6	6	7
University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point.....	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )	( <sup>3</sup> )
University of Wisconsin-Stout, Menomonie.....	12	8	8	9
University of Wisconsin, Superior.....	7	6	7	7
University of Wisconsin, Whitewater.....	12	12	12	12
<b>Wyoming:</b>				
University of Wyoming, Laramie.....	24	19	19	18

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.

<sup>1</sup>Where the name of the unit of government does not reflect the city where the unit's administrative headquarters are located, this information appears after the unit name.<sup>2</sup>Unit of government does not directly employ police personnel. Police services are provided by contract with another government or with a private security firm.<sup>3</sup>No longer has power of arrest.<sup>4</sup>Formerly Madison College.



## APPENDIX 2: DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

Following is a glossary of terms, concepts, and categories used in this report and comments concerning their limitations.

The definitions are those applied in the field compilation of data for the 50 States, 333 largest counties, and 395 largest cities. These definitions were necessarily summarized for inclusion in the survey questionnaires (see appendix 3) sent to governments in the mail portion of the survey.

### Government expenditure

Expenditure is all amounts of money paid out (net of any correcting transactions) other than for retirement of debt (including interest), investment in securities, extensions of loans, or agency transactions. It includes only external cash payments and excludes any intragovernmental transfers and noncash transactions, such as the provision of meals or housing of employees. It also includes any payments financed from borrowing, fund balances, intergovernmental revenue, and other current revenue. In several instances, two or more governments share the expense of maintaining a court or other criminal justice agency. In these cases, the allocable direct expenditure amount is reported for each government in the appropriate category. When a government pays pensions directly to retired employees from appropriated funds, such payments are included as expenditure of the government concerned. However, State and local government contributions to retirement systems and various other employee benefits are not included in expenditure data, since the majority of governments make lump-sum contributions to plans covering all government employees and cannot report for criminal justice employees separately. Neither in government's basic accounting records (from which criminal justice expenditure figures are drawn) nor in the records of their general-coverage employee benefit systems is there usually any breakdown of amounts contributed in terms of the various agencies or functions involved. Nor has an adequate procedure for calculating the proportion of such contributions allocable to criminal justice employees been developed because of the wide variation in the coverage of various plans, employee status requirements, benefit rates, etc.

For those governments whose records reflect contributions of criminal justice employees separately, separate data were obtained. However, these data are subject to the same variation referred to above, and neither the amount nor the quality of the data reported would permit any attempt to estimate total contributions for all similar governments in a given State. Given the problems involved, no estimation procedure seems feasible. Such data as were reported separately were therefore excluded from total criminal justice expenditures to provide a consistent data base for administering the "variable pass-through" requirement.

Expenditure is divided into two major categories by character:

1. **Direct expenditure** is all expenditure except that classed as intergovernmental and is further divided into two principal object categories:

a. **Direct current**, which includes salaries, wages, fees, and commissions, purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services.

b. **Capital outlay**, which includes expenditure for the three subcategories below:

**Construction:** Production of fixed works and structures, and additions, replacements and major alterations thereto undertaken either on a contract basis by private contractors or through force account construction by the employees of the government. Included are the planning and designing of specific projects, the grading, landscaping, and other site improvement, and the provision of equipment and facilities that are integral parts of the structure.

**Equipment:** Purchase and installation of apparatus, furnishings, office equipment, motor vehicles and the like having an expected life of more than 5 years. This includes both additional equipment and replacements. Rentals for equipment, including rental payments that may be credited on the purchase price if purchase options are exercised, are classified as direct current expenditure. Equipment and facilities that are integral parts of constructed or purchased structures are classified respectively under construction or purchase of land and existing structures.

**Purchase of land and existing structures:** Purchase of these assets as such, purchase of rights-of-way, and title search and similar activities associated with purchase transactions.

The other object categories—interest on general debt, assistance and subsidies, and insurance benefits—are not applied to specific functions because they are not ordinarily available on a functional basis from government financial reports. In the few instances where bonded or mortgaged general indebtedness is identified for specific purposes, the interest payments are aggregated with other interest expenditures which make it virtually impossible to arrive at reliable and consistent breakouts of such data over a long period of time.

2. **Intergovernmental expenditure** is payments from one general purpose government to another, including grants-in-aid, shared revenues, payments in lieu of taxes, and

amounts for services performed by one government for another on a reimbursable or cost-sharing basis (for example, payments by one government to another for boarding prisoners).

**Total expenditure** is direct and intergovernmental expenditure of a government or level of government for criminal justice activities.

**Total general expenditure** is all expenditure of a government or level of government for all government functions, including criminal justice activities, but excluding utility system expenditure, liquor store expenditure, and insurance trust expenditure. Total general expenditure shown for the local level of government includes expenditure only of general purpose governments, and excludes expenditure of special districts and school districts.

### Government employment

**Employees** includes all persons paid for personal services performed, including all paid officials and persons in paid leave status, and excludes unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners and contractors. Under this definition are two classes:

1. **Full-time employees**, who are all persons employed during the pay period including October 15, 1977, on a full-time basis, including all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period, as well as persons having permanent status.

2. **Part-time employees**, who are persons employed during the pay period including October 15, 1977, on a basis other than full-time, and persons paid by more than one government.

Derived from these two classes is:

**Full-time equivalent employees**, which means the total number of employees, discounted by applying average full-time earning rates. This is calculated by dividing the total payroll (full-time plus part-time) by the full-time payroll and multiplying the results by the number of full-time employees, where both full-time and part-time employees and payroll are reported. Where only part-time employees and payroll are reported, full-time equivalent employees are calculated by dividing the total part-time payroll by the average full-time salary for the particular criminal justice sector and type of government. Average full-time salary is calculated by dividing the full-time payroll by the number of full-time employees for the particular criminal justice sector and type of government.

**Payroll** is the gross payroll before deductions and includes salaries, wages, fees and commissions paid to employees as defined above for the month of October 1977.

### Governmental functions

**Police protection** is the function of enforcing the law, preserving order, and apprehending those who violate the law, whether these activities are performed by a police department, a

sheriff's department, or a special police force maintained by an agency whose prime responsibility is outside the criminal justice system, but which has a police force to perform these activities in its specialized area (geographic or functional).

Included in this activity are regular police services, the maintenance of buildings used for police purposes, and such specialized police forces (including public and private contract forces) as airport police, free and toll highway police, free and toll bridge and tunnel police, housing police, maritime police, park police, transit and other utility system police, college and university campus police, and alcoholic beverage control agents. Coroners and medical examiners are also included. Excluded are vehicular inspection and licensing, traffic safety and engineering, fish and game wardens, fire marshals, and the like.

The special police forces included in the data are only those which are part of general purpose governments. Security forces, building guards, school crossing guards, and meter maids without general arrest power were excluded. Those special police forces that are part of independent school districts or special districts are not included in the data, inasmuch as these districts are not general purpose governments. However, data for selected larger special police forces of these districts are displayed in appendix 1, tables A and B. In addition, data for State and local government dependent colleges and universities are displayed in tables C and D.

In most States, sheriffs' departments are multifunctional agencies providing police protection, judicial, and/or correctional services. In order to allocate expenditure and employment data to the proper activity, the data for sheriffs' departments are prorated using factors developed from a special survey of sheriffs' departments conducted in 1973, or more current estimates of manpower distribution if available.

Short-term custody and detention have traditionally been considered part of the police protection function; and in editions prior to the 1969-70 report, were treated as such. However, beginning with the 1969-70 report, the concept was modified on the basis of information obtained from the 1970 National Jail Census. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in the "corrections" sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in the "police protection" sector.

**Judicial activities** encompass all civil and criminal courts and activities associated with courts such as law libraries, grand juries, petit juries, and the like. Since the names of courts with similar functions and legal jurisdiction vary from State to State and even within States, data have been categorized by types of court rather than by court name.

1. **Appellate courts** include courts of last resort and intermediate appellate courts. These are courts having jurisdiction of appeal and review, with original jurisdiction conferred only in special cases.

- a. **Court of last resort** is the final appeal within the judicial structure of each State. It is called the "Court of Appeals" in the District of Columbia, Maryland, and

New York; the "Supreme Court of Appeals" in West Virginia; the "Supreme Judicial Court" in Maine and Massachusetts. In Texas and Oklahoma two courts of last resort are authorized—the "Court of Criminal Appeals" for criminal cases and a "Supreme Court" for civil cases. In every other State the court of last resort is titled the "Supreme Court."

b. **Intermediate appellate courts** are those that are limited in their appellate jurisdiction by State law or at the discretion of the court of last resort. In 18 of the 27 States with a court of this type operating in fiscal year 1977 the name "court of appeals" is used. These States are:

Arizona	Louisiana
California	Michigan
Colorado	Missouri
Florida	New Mexico
Georgia	North Carolina
Indiana	Ohio
Iowa	Oklahoma
Kansas	Oregon
Kentucky	Washington

In Illinois the title is "Appellate Court"; in Maryland, "Court of Special Appeals"; in Massachusetts, "Appeals Court"; in New Jersey, "Appellate Division of the Superior Court"; in New York<sup>1</sup>, "Appellate Division of the Supreme Court"; and in Texas, "Court of Civil Appeals."

In Alabama the civil and criminal cases are heard on appeal by separate courts—a "Court of Civil Appeals" and a "Court of Criminal Appeals." In Tennessee the "Court of Appeals" hears only civil appeals; a separate "Court of Criminal Appeals" reviews criminal cases before review by the court of last resort. In Pennsylvania the "Commonwealth Court" reviews all cases brought by or against the State government or its agencies; the "Superior Court" reviews all other appeals except those within the exclusive jurisdiction of the court of last resort.

2. **Courts of general jurisdiction** are trial courts having unlimited original jurisdiction in civil and/or criminal cases, the names of which vary considerably. The list below shows the title of the courts of general jurisdiction in each State. Several States are listed more than once because local situations led to the development of separate courts, either to hear cases involving different types of pleadings or to hear cases in particular local jurisdictions.

#### Circuit courts

Alabama	Mississippi
Arkansas	Missouri
Florida	Oregon
Hawaii	South Carolina

Illinois	South Dakota
Indiana	Utah
Kentucky	Tennessee <sup>2</sup>
Maryland	Virginia
Michigan <sup>2</sup>	West Virginia
	Wisconsin

#### District courts

Colorado	Nevada
Idaho	New Mexico
Iowa	North Dakota
Kansas	Oklahoma
Louisiana	Texas
Minnesota	Utah
Montana	Wyoming
Nebraska	

#### Superior courts

Alaska	Indiana <sup>2</sup>
Arizona	Maine
California	Massachusetts
Connecticut	New Hampshire
Delaware	New Jersey
District of Columbia	North Carolina
Georgia	Rhode Island
	Washington

#### Chancery courts

Arkansas	Mississippi
Delaware	Tennessee

#### County courts

New Jersey	Vermont
New York	Wisconsin

#### Common pleas courts

Missouri
Ohio
Pennsylvania

#### Supreme court

New York

In many States, statutes either require or permit local governments to supplement the salary of State-paid judges of general jurisdiction courts. These judges were counted as part-time employees at both the State and local levels when actually receiving a check from both governments.

3. **Courts of limited jurisdiction** are courts whose legal jurisdiction covers only a particular class of cases or cases where the amount in controversy is below a prescribed sum or is subject to specific exceptions. Included under this category are probate courts, juvenile courts and other courts of limited jurisdiction.

<sup>1</sup>There are also appellate terms of the Supreme Court that have jurisdiction in specific cases that would otherwise be heard by the appellate division.

<sup>2</sup>In these States, the above-named courts are supplemented in some counties and cities by general jurisdiction courts with varying names.

Probate courts are also called orphans courts, surrogate's courts, or courts of ordinary. The subject jurisdiction varies from place to place, but generally includes estate settlement; probate and contest of wills, adoption; commitment of the insane; administration of the affairs of orphans, mental defectives and incompetents; guardianship of minors; apprenticeship; receivership; change of name proceedings; and the administration of trusts.

Juvenile courts are those that deal primarily with delinquent and neglected children regardless of the name of the court. In various places such courts are called juvenile courts, family courts, juvenile and domestic relations courts, domestic relations courts, or other similar names. The jurisdiction of these courts can include crimes committed by persons under legal age; juvenile status offenses; offenses against children; probation of minor delinquents; adoption, custody, or disposition of minor and mentally incompetent children; child neglect or abandonment; child and wife support; and paternity.

Other courts includes various other State and local courts with limited jurisdiction such as justices of the peace, district magistrates, justice courts, county courts of limited jurisdiction, municipal courts, city courts, etc. Also included are specialized courts such as tax courts, courts of claims, and courts having jurisdiction over more than one type of case (e.g., a court that handles both juvenile and probate cases).

4. **Miscellaneous judicial** includes data on judicial activities that could not be reported under any of the above court categories, such as judicial councils and conferences, court administration offices (where identifiable), law libraries, jury commissions, and grand juries.

**Legal services and prosecution** includes the civil and criminal justice activities of the attorneys general, district attorneys, State's attorneys, and their variously named equivalents; corporation counsels, solicitors, and legal departments with various names. It includes providing legal advice to the chief executives and subordinate departmental officers, representation of the government in lawsuits, and the prosecution of accused violators of criminal law. These activities are included whether performed by one office or several, because in some jurisdictions a single officer provides all legal services, whereas in others a prosecutor's office handles only criminal matters, and a separate attorney's office performs all civil legal services. The operations of various investigative agencies having full arrest powers and attached to offices of attorneys general, district attorneys, or their variously named equivalents are also included.

**Public defense** includes legal counsel and representation in either criminal or civil proceedings as provided by public defenders, and other government programs that pay the fees of court-appointed counsel. These include court-paid fees to individually retained counsel, fees paid by the court to court-appointed counsel, government contributions to private legal aid societies and bar association-sponsored programs, and the activities of an established public defender office or program. Employment data are included only for public defenders' offices, because fee-paid counselors are not con-

sidered government employees, nor are counselors working for bar associations or legal aid societies.

**Corrections** is that function of government involving the confinement and rehabilitation of adults and juveniles convicted of offenses against the law and the confinement of persons suspected of a crime and awaiting adjudication. Data for institutions with authority to hold prisoners 48 hours or more are included in this sector. Data for lockups or "tanks" holding prisoners less than 48 hours are included in "Police Protection." Correction includes the operation of prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, and other institutions. It also includes institutions, facilities and programs exclusively for the confinement of the criminally insane or for the examination, evaluation, classification, and assignment of inmates and institutions and programs for the confinement, treatment, and rehabilitation of drug addicts and alcoholics if the institution or program is administered by a correction agency of the criminal justice system. Pardon boards and parole and probation agencies, including resettlement or halfway houses for those not in need of institutionalization, are included in the correction sector as a separate subcategory.

1. **Correctional institutions** are prisons, reformatories, jails, houses of correction, penitentiaries, correctional farms, workhouses, reception centers, diagnostic centers, industrial schools, training schools, detention centers, and a variety of other types of institutions for the confinement and correction of convicted adults or juveniles adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision, and for the detention of those adults and juveniles accused of a crime and awaiting trial or hearing. When an institution maintains a prison industry or agricultural program, data on the cost of production or the value of prison labor used by agencies of the same government, if identifiable, are excluded (and classed as expenditure for the function using the products or services). Expenditure for the manufacture, production, sale, and distribution of goods produced for sale or use outside the government are included under this heading.

a. **Institutions for men** includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult male offenders.

b. **Institutions for women** includes identifiable expenditure and employment data for institutions exclusively for adult female offenders. Where there is no separate women's prison, women offenders are either maintained in a prison complex that also houses other offenders or are boarded in private facilities or institutions in another State. No attempt was made to prorate data on institutions housing more than one type of inmate, but where females are boarded in private institutions or in another State, available expenditure data was tabulated. Employment data were not tabulated because the personnel were employees of another government.

c. **Institutions for juveniles** are those institutions identified by the 1975 Juvenile Detention and Correction Facility Census as housing primarily juvenile:



and in some States, "youthful offenders." These institutions include those under the control of a juvenile court, a probation department, or a youth authority or other similarly designated administrative body, as well as independently administered institutions. Also included are government payments to private agencies for the detention or treatment of delinquent juveniles.

There is considerable variation from State to State in the legal definition of a juvenile, particularly in regard to the age at which a person is no longer considered a juvenile. Institutions for juveniles have been classified individually in accordance with the laws and age designations of their respective States.

d. **Other and combined institutions** are those institutions holding a combination of inmates. Where expenditure or employment data for physically separate institutions for juveniles, adult females, and adult males were not separable by institution or type of institution, the entire amounts were included under this category.

2. **Correctional administration** consists of data for the overall administration of the correctional system, including data on the central administrative office (for example, the department of corrections or a youth authority). Data on the administration of individual correctional institutions or

probation/parole/pardon agencies are included in the appropriate subcategory if it was possible to deduct them.

3. **Probation, parole, and pardon** includes data on probation agencies, boards of parole, boards of pardon, and their variously named equivalents. Although probation agencies frequently function under the administration of the general jurisdiction court, the data are presented here after having been deducted from the judicial data, because of the correctional nature of the probation function. If the probation, parole, and pardon activities, or any or them individually, were part of the correctional administrative office, data were deducted where possible and shown separately here, and the balance was shown under the correctional administration category. The overlapping character of the probation, parole, and pardon activities prevented the separate presentation of these data.

4. **Miscellaneous correction** includes expenditure and employment data that could not be classified under one of the other subcategories.

Other criminal justice activities, shown in some tables, includes expenditure or employment data that are not elsewhere classified, that cut across more than one category, or that are not allocable to separate categories; e.g., expenditure on a general curriculum in educational institutions, the operation of State criminal justice agencies, crime commissions, etc. Such data are included in the totals, where they are not shown separately.



## **APPENDIX 3: SURVEY FORMS**

### **Form CJ-6 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of Local Governments**

This form was mailed to the chief financial officer of the counties and municipalities surveyed.

### **Form CJ-6D Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of Local Governments (Fee-Supported Offices)**

This form was mailed to the fee-supported offices of Sheriff and/or Clerk of Court in the States of Kentucky and Louisiana. Data for these fee-supported offices were incorporated in the appropriate criminal justice function data for the county where located.

### **Form CJ-23 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Selected Special Police Forces**

This form was mailed to special police forces serving colleges and universities, special districts, and independent school districts. Data for college and university forces were incorporated in the police protection data for the parent government and are displayed separately in appendix 1, tables C and D. Data for special police forces serving independent school districts or special districts were not included in the regular police protection data, inasmuch as these districts are not general purpose governments. However, data for selected large police forces serving such districts are displayed in appendix 1, tables A and B.

### **Form CJ-25 Survey of Expenditure and Employment for Civil and Criminal Justice Activities of the Federal Government**

This form was mailed to the chief financial or administrative officer of individual Federal agencies, bureaus, and offices identified as part of the criminal justice system. A list of the units canvassed in the survey for this year is presented in tables 4 and 5

Form Approved: O.M.B. No. 41-R2630

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS**IMPORTANT — PLEASE READ**Instructions for reporting Expenditure data  
in part II on page 2.

- If your government's fiscal year ends on December 31, enter annual expenditure data for calendar year 1976 (even though calendar year 1977 data may be available).
- If your government's fiscal year ends on June 30, enter annual expenditure data for the period July 1, 1976 — June 30, 1977.
- If your government's fiscal year ends on a date other than December 31 or June 30, enter annual expenditure data for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1976 and June 30, 1977.

FORM CJ-6  
(11-9-77)**SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND  
CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS**In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer  
to the identification number above your address**RETURN TO**Bureau of the Census  
1201 East Tenth Street  
Jeffersonville, Indiana 47132

(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)

Data  
supplied  
by

Name

Position

Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)

Telephone

Area code

Number

Extension

**FROM THE DIRECTOR  
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS**

On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for six criminal justice functions: police protection, judicial, legal services and prosecution, public defense, corrections, and other criminal justice. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire will be appreciated.

The data collected in this survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a report entitled **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System**.

If there are any items on this questionnaire for which the answer cannot be obtained from available records, reasonable estimates are requested. Please indicate such estimates with an asterisk (\*). The duplicate copy of this questionnaire is for your files. The addressed copy should be returned, preferably within 3 weeks, in the enclosed preaddressed envelope. If you have any problems in completing this questionnaire, please call collect for assistance on (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.

This report is authorized by the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended (42 USC 3701). While you are not legally required to respond, your participation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely.

Sincerely,



MANUEL D. PLOTKIN

Receive a free copy of the current  
Criminal Justice System







**Part IV — INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE****Item A — Revenue direct from the Federal Government expended for the above activities**

Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. For example: that portion of General Revenue sharing used for criminal justice purposes, not the entire entitlement(s). Also include payments for CETA, boarding and feeding of Federal prisoners, Alcohol Safety Action Programs, etc. Do not enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.

Total .....	\$
1. General revenue sharing .....	\$
2. LEAA discretionary grants (not passed through a State agency) ....	\$
3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA) .....	\$
4. Public Works Employment Act of 1976 (Title II) .....	\$
5. Other — Specify: e.g., Alcohol Safety Act Program (ASAP) ↓	\$
	\$

**Item B — Revenue from the State Government expended for the above activities**

Enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies and amounts received from State-funded programs; also include amounts received from the State as shared fines, fees, and taxes; and reimbursement by the State for criminal justice services or activities provided to the State or to other local governments by law or under contract.

Total .....	\$
1. LEAA block action and planning grants passed through the State Government .....	\$
2. Other Federal criminal justice revenue passed through the State Government .....	\$
3. State-funded criminal justice program grants .....	\$
4. Reimbursements received from the State government for criminal justice services rendered by your government .....	\$
5. Other — Specify ↓	\$
	\$

**Item C — Revenue from other local governments expended for the above activities**

Enter amounts from the Federal or State Governments received through other local governments; and amounts received from other local governments as shared fines, fees or taxes, or as reimbursement for criminal justice services or activities provided to other local governments by law or under contract.

Total .....	\$
1. Reimbursements received from other local governments for criminal justice services rendered by your government .....	\$
2. Other — Specify ↓	\$
	\$

EXAMPLE: Payments received from another county, city, or town for police services rendered (such as patrol).

**OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES (List activities included on line 6 above)**

1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	

Part IV — INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE			
<b>Item A — Revenue direct from the Federal Government expended for the above activities</b> Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. For example: that portion of General Revenue sharing used for criminal justice purposes, not the entire entitlement(s). Also include payments for CETA, boarding and feeding of Federal prisoners, Alcohol Safety Action Programs, etc. Do not enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.	<b>Item B — Revenue from the State Government expended for the above activities</b> Enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies and amounts received from State-funded programs; also include amounts received from the State as shared fines, fees, and taxes; and reimbursement by the State for criminal justice services or activities provided to the State or to other local governments by law or under contract.	<b>Item C — Revenue from other local governments expended for the above activities</b> Enter amounts from the Federal or State Governments received through other local governments; and amounts received from other local governments as shared fines, fees or taxes, or as reimbursement for criminal justice services or activities provided to other local governments by law or under contract.  <b>EXAMPLE:</b> Payments received from another county, city, or town for police services rendered (such as patrol).	
Total ..... \$  1. General revenue sharing ..... \$ 2. LEAA discretionary grants (not passed through a State agency) ..... \$ 3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA) ..... \$ 4. Public Works Employment Act of 1976 (Title II) ..... \$ 5. Other — Specify: e.g., Alcohol Safety Act Program (ASAP) ✓ ..... \$	Total ..... \$ 1. LEAA block action and planning grants passed through the State Government ..... \$ 2. Other Federal criminal justice revenue passed through the State Government ..... \$ 3. State-funded criminal justice program grants ..... \$ 4. Reimbursements received from the State government for criminal justice services rendered by your government ..... \$ 5. Other — Specify ✓ ..... \$	Total ..... \$ 1. Reimbursements received from other local governments for criminal justice services rendered by your government ..... \$ 2. Other — Specify ✓ ..... \$	
<b>OTHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES (List activities included on line 6 above)</b>			
1. _____			
2. _____			
3. _____			
4. _____			
5. _____			
6. _____			
7. _____			
8. _____			
9. _____			
10. _____			



Form Approved: O.M.B. No. 41-R2630

<p><b>IMPORTANT - PLEASE READ</b></p> <p>Instructions for reporting Expenditure data in part II on page 2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If your government's fiscal year ends on December 31, enter annual expenditure data for calendar year 1976 (even though calendar year 1977 data may be available).</li> <li>• If your government's fiscal year ends on June 30, enter annual expenditure data for the period July 1, 1976 June 30, 1977.</li> <li>• If your government's fiscal year ends on a date other than December 31 or June 30, enter annual expenditure data for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1976 and June 30, 1977.</li> </ul>	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <p>FORM CJ-6D (11-16-77)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: right;"> <p>U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS* (FEE-SUPPORTED OFFICES)</b></p> <p>In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the identification number above your address</p>																
<p><b>RETURN TO</b></p> <p>Bureau of the Census 1201 East Tenth Street Jeffersonville, Indiana 47132</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)</p>																
<p><b>Data supplied by</b></p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">Name</td> <td colspan="3">Position</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" style="text-align: center;">Telephone</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">Area code</td> <td style="width: 20%;">Number</td> <td colspan="2" style="width: 60%;">Extension</td> </tr> </table>	Name	Position			Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)				Telephone				Area code	Number	Extension	
Name	Position																
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)																	
Telephone																	
Area code	Number	Extension															

**FROM THE DIRECTOR  
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS**

On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for various criminal justice activities. Since the fee-supported offices of Sheriff and Clerk of Court are included as part of the civil and criminal justice system, we will appreciate your cooperation in again providing information for three of these activities as they apply to your office: police protection, judicial, and corrections.


The data collected in this survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a report entitled **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System**.

If your office has converted from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office, please follow the instructions at the top of page 2 of this questionnaire.

If there are any items on this questionnaire for which the answer cannot be obtained from available records, reasonable estimates are requested. Please indicate such estimates with an asterisk (\*). The duplicate copy of this questionnaire is for your files. The addressed copy should be returned preferably within 3 weeks, in the enclosed preaddressed envelope. If you have any problems in completing this questionnaire, please call collect for assistance on (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.

This report is authorized by the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended (42 USC 3701). While you are not legally required to respond, your participation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely.

Sincerely,



**MANUEL D. PLOTKIN**

Please mark (X) the box if you would like to receive a free copy of the current report  
Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System ☐

# DEFINITIONS FOR COMPLETING PARTS I and II, COLUMNS (1) THROUGH (9)

## ► Part I — EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

• **EMPLOYEES**—All persons paid by your government for personal services performed including all paid officials, salaried workers, and other persons in paid leave status. Exclude unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees, and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your government.

**Full-time employees, Column (1)** — Persons employed on a full-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1977. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.

**Part-time employees, Column (3)** — Persons employed on a part-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1977. Include here all persons working for your government who are paid by more than one government (e.g., with supplemental check).

• **PAYROLL, columns (2) and (4)** — Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the one pay period which included October 15, 1977, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on a basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.

## ► Part II — EXPENDITURE

• **CURRENT OPERATIONS** — column (5) — Annual expenditure for salaries and wages of your government's officers and employees, including overtime, termination, and retroactive pay; and for the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services from individuals and firms in the private sector, e.g., attorney retainers

or fees to court-appointed counsel. Exclude capital outlay and report in column (6). Also exclude expenditures for debt retirement, securities investment, loan extensions, and within-government transactions. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and report in column (4).

• **CAPITAL OUTLAY, Column (6)** — Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other fixed improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.

• **INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE** — All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., purchase of police services or care and boarding of prisoners in another government's jail). Exclude money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property or utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance.

**Payments to other general purpose local governments, Column (7)** — Payments of your government to other counties, cities, or towns. Exclude payments to special purpose governments such as special districts or independent school districts.

**Payments to the State government, Column (8)** — Payments of your government to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.

• **GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, column (9)** — Any employer contributions, separable by activity, to the Federal Social Security program, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds; and premiums paid by your government for health, hospital, disability, and other insurance programs. Exclude payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.

## *Important*

Before completing parts I through IV below, please complete the following question.  
Regardless of your answer to this question please complete the rest of the questionnaire.

Is your office a fee-supported office now? That is, is it financed and staffed in whole or in part by fees collected and retained?

☐ Yes — Answer a  
☐ No — Answer b  
☐ Never was a fee office

a. Will your office convert from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office on or before June 30, 1978?

☐ Yes  
☐ No

Enter date

b. When did your office convert from a fee-supported to a county or State-supported office?


Enter date

Part I—EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL				Part II—EXPENDITURE				Your fiscal year was —			
Enter employment and payroll data for your government's one pay period which included October 15, 1977. Do NOT report ANNUAL payroll data. Omit cents from payroll figures.				Enter annual expenditure for the activities listed below for your government's fiscal year which ended between July 1, 1976 and June 30, 1977. Omit cents				<input type="checkbox"/> July 1, 1976—June 30, 1977 <input type="checkbox"/> Jan. 1—Dec. 31, 1976 <input type="checkbox"/> Other — Specify <u>✓</u>			
Count each employee only once — in the activity where that person works the largest part of the time.				See instructions on cover of questionnaire.							
CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES				Please refer to the definitions above in completing columns (1) through (9).							
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES		CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE		GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS			
Number of employees	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1977) (Omit cents)	Number of employees	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1977) (Omit cents)	Annual salaries and all operating expenses. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and enter in column (9).	Construction, equipment, and land	Payments to other general purpose local governments	Payments to the State government	FICA, PERS, Insurance, workmen's comp., etc. Exclude employee contributions.	(9)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(9)		
1. POLICE PROTECTION (Sheriffs only) — In addition to all regular police activities, include also coroners and medical examiners if paid by your office. Exclude jails holding adults or juveniles more than 48 hours and report under "Corrections." Exclude also school crossing guards.											
a. Total personnel (lines b plus c)											
b. Sworn police personnel only (i.e., having general power to arrest)											
c. Non-sworn police personnel only (i.e., support personnel who do not have general arrest power)											
2. JUDICIAL (Sheriffs and Clerks of Court) — All civil and criminal courts and court-related activities (e.g., jury fees and law libraries). Exclude probation and parole and report under "Corrections." Exclude also fees to court-appointed counsel and prosecution.											
3. CORRECTIONS (Sheriffs only)											
a. Total (lines b, c, and d)											
b. Jails, prisons, reformatories, detention homes, halfway houses, and the like, holding adults or juveniles for more than 48 hours. Exclude institutions solely for dependent or neglected children.											
c. Probation and parole agencies and programs. Include judicially administered probation programs, also.											
d. Other corrections including non-residential treatment programs for adults and juveniles. Include alcohol and narcotic addiction programs only if administered by the correctional authority.											

<b>Part III — PAY PERIOD INTERVAL</b> Mark (X) one box to indicate the pay period interval for which all or most of the employees are paid. Report for both full- and part-time employees.		<input type="checkbox"/> Monthly <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly <input type="checkbox"/> Other — Specify <u>✓</u>		<input type="checkbox"/> Monthly <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly <input type="checkbox"/> Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	
<b>Part IV — INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE</b>					
<b>Item A — Revenue direct from the FEDERAL Government expended for the above activities</b>  Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. For example: that portion of General Revenue Sharing used for criminal justice purposes, not the entire entitlement(s); Also include payments for CETA, boarding and feeding of Federal prisoners, Alcohol Safety Action Programs, etc. Do not enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.		<b>Item B — Revenue from the STATE government expended for the above activities</b>  Enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies; also include amounts received from State-funded programs; also include amounts received from the State as shared fines, fees, and taxes, and reimbursement by the State for criminal justice services or activities provided to the State or to other local governments by law or under contract.		<b>Item C — Revenue from OTHER LOCAL governments expended for the above activities</b>  Enter amounts from the Federal or State Governments received through other local governments; and amounts received from other local governments as shared fines, fees or taxes, or as reimbursement for criminal justice services or activities provided to other local governments by law or under contract.  <b>EXAMPLE:</b> Payments received from another county, city or town for police services rendered (Such as patrol).	
Total ..... \$	Total ..... \$	Total ..... \$	Total ..... \$	Total ..... \$	Total ..... \$
1. General revenue sharing ..... \$	1. LEAA block action and planning grants passed through the State government ..... \$	1. Reimbursements received from other local governments for criminal justice services rendered by your government ..... \$	2. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	2. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	2. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>
2. LEAA discretionary grants (not passed through a State agency) ..... \$	2. Other Federal criminal justice revenue passed through the State government ..... \$	3. State-funded criminal justice program grants ..... \$	4. Reimbursements received from the State government for criminal justice services rendered by your government ..... \$	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>
3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA) ..... \$	3. State-funded criminal justice program grants ..... \$	4. Reimbursements received from the State government for criminal justice services rendered by your government ..... \$	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>
4. Public Works Employment Act of 1976 (Title II) ..... \$	4. Reimbursements received from the State government for criminal justice services rendered by your government ..... \$	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>
5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>	5. Other — Specify <u>✓</u>

USE PAGE 3 FOR REMARKS SPACE

Form Approved: O.M.B. No. 41-R2630

Data supplied by			FORM CJ-23 (11-29-77)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS	
Name			<b>SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR SELECTED SPECIAL POLICE FORCES</b>  In correspondence pertaining to this report, please refer to the identification number above your address			
Position						
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)						
Telephone			(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)			
Area code	Number	Extension	<b>RETURN TO</b> 		Bureau of the Census 1201 East Tenth Street Jeffersonville, Indiana 47132	

FROM THE DIRECTOR  
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects expenditure and employment data for selected special police forces serving colleges, universities, independent school districts, and special districts. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire will be appreciated.

The data collected in this survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the planning and action grant programs of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. The data are published annually in a report entitled **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System**.

If there are any items on this questionnaire for which the answer cannot be obtained from available records, reasonable estimates are requested. Please indicate such estimates with an asterisk (\*). The duplicate copy of this questionnaire is for your files. The addressed copy should be returned preferably within 3 weeks, in the enclosed preaddressed envelope. If you have any problems in completing this questionnaire, please call collect for assistance on Area Code (301) 763-7825 or (301) 763-2843.


This report is authorized by the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended (42USC3701). While you are not required to respond, your participation is needed to make the results of this survey comprehensive, accurate, and timely.

Sincerely,



MANUEL D. PLOTKIN

Enclosures

Please mark (X) the box if you would like to receive a free copy of the current report  
**Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System**  ☐

## POLICE FORCE

scribed in the address box on the front of the questionnaire) employ either directly or by force? A special police force is defined as a group of law enforcement officers with st, regardless of geographical jurisdiction, other than regular police agencies. Such forces cial area or jurisdiction; e.g., campus police, park police, transit police, harbor police, gh a special police force may cooperate with the regular local police force, it is admin- IL

Please complete the questions below

able, enter here the name of the firm or police  
ith whom you contract for police services

ase disregard the remainder of this questionnaire and return in preaddressed envelope

If you contract exclusively for police services and have no officers employed directly by your unit, fill out only parts III and V below. If you employ sworn police officers directly, fill out parts II, III, IV, and V below.

## DEFINITIONS FOR COMPLETING PARTS II AND III, COLUMNS (1) THROUGH (9)

### ► Part II — EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL

- **EMPLOYEES** — All persons in your special police force unit (officers and other employees) paid for personal services performed, including all paid officials, salaried workers, and other persons in paid leave status. Exclude unpaid officials, persons on unpaid leave, pensioners, contractors and their employees, and persons paid entirely by another government for services performed for your unit.

**Full-time employees, column (1)** — Persons employed on a full-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1977. Include all full-time temporary or seasonal workers employed during this pay period.

**Part-time employees, column (3)** — Persons employed on a part-time basis during the one pay period which included October 15, 1977.

- **PAYROLL, columns (2) and (4)** — Gross payroll before deductions including salaries, wages, fees, or commissions earned during the one pay period which included October 15, 1977, by employees as defined above. If some employees are paid on a basis different from the predominant pay period, please include amounts for them on an adjusted basis.

### ► Part III — EXPENDITURE

- **CURRENT OPERATIONS, column (5)** — Annual expenditures for salaries and wages of your unit's officers and employees including overtime, termination, and retroactive pay, and for the purchase of supplies, materials, and contractual services from individuals and firms in the private sector. Exclude all capital

### ► Part III — EXPENDITURE — Continued


outlay and report in column (6). Also exclude expenditures for debt retirement, securities investment, loan extensions and within-government transactions. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and report in column (9).

- **CAPITAL OUTLAY, column (6)** — Direct expenditure for contract or force account construction of buildings and other fixed improvements, and for the purchase of equipment, land, and existing structures.

- **INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE** — All money paid to other governments as fiscal aid, or payment for services rendered, or for contracts or compacts with another government (e.g., purchase of police services). Exclude money paid to another government for the purchase of commodities, property or utility services, any taxes imposed and paid as such, and contributions for social insurance. Payments to local governments, column (7) — Payments to a county, city, town, or any of their departments or agencies. Payments to State government, column (8) — Payments to the State government or any of its departments or agencies.

- **CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, column (9)** — Any employer contributions, separable for your unit, to the Federal Social Security program, State and local retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, workmen's compensation funds; and premiums paid for health, hospital, disability, and other insurance programs. Exclude payments made directly to individuals and contributions made by employees to any of the above programs.

Part II - EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL			Part III - EXPENDITURE			Your fiscal year was -		
For special police force unit, enter employment and payroll for the one pay period which included October 15, 1977. Include sworn police officers, security patrols, guards, clerical, communications, and other support personnel who are employees of the special police force. Do NOT report ANNUAL payroll data. Omit cents from payroll figures.			Enter the annual expenditure for your special police force unit for your fiscal year ending between July 1, 1976 and June 30, 1977.			<input type="checkbox"/> July 1, 1976 - June 30, 1977 <input type="checkbox"/> Jan. 1 - Dec. 31, 1976 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify <i>✓</i>		
CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES			Omit cents					
Please refer to the definitions above in completing columns (1) through (9).								
FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES		PART-TIME EMPLOYEES	CURRENT OPERATION	CAPITAL OUTLAY	INTERGOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURE	GOVERNMENT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS		
Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1977) (Omit cents)	Number of employees	Payroll amount (for the one pay period which included October 15, 1977) (Omit cents)	Annual salaries and all operating expenses. Exclude employer contributions for employee benefits and enter in column (9)	Construction, equipment, and land	Payments to other local governments	Payments to the State government	FICA, PERS, insurance, workmen's comp., etc. Exclude employee contributions.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
	\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
a. Total (Sum of b and c)								
b. Sworn police personnel only (i.e., having general power to arrest)								
c. Non-sworn police personnel only (i.e., SUPPORT PERSONNEL who do NOT have general arrest power)								
Part IV - PAY PERIOD INTERVAL								
Mark one box to indicate the pay period interval for which all or most of the employees are paid. Report for both full- and part-time employees.			1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify <i>✓</i>					
			1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other - Specify <i>✓</i>					
Part V - INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVENUE DIRECT FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EXPENDED BY YOUR SPECIAL POLICE FORCE UNIT								
Enter only revenue received directly from the Federal Government. For example: that portion of General Revenue Sharing used for criminal justice purposes, not the entire entitlements; also include payments for CETA, boarding and feeding of Federal prisoners, Alcohol Safety Action Programs, etc. Do not enter amounts from the Federal Government received through the State or any of its departments or agencies.			Total .....			\$		
			1. General revenue sharing .....			\$		
			2. LEAA discretionary grants (not passed through a State agency) .....			\$		
			3. Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA) .....			\$		
			4. Public Works Employment Act of 1976 (title II) .....			\$		
			5. Other - Specify <i>✓</i> .....			\$		

This report has been cleared in accordance with FPMR 101-11.11 and assigned Interagency report control number 1078-DOC-AN.			FORM <b>CJ-25</b> (11-8-77)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
<b>Data supplied by</b>			<b>SURVEY OF EXPENDITURE AND EMPLOYMENT FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACTIVITIES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT</b>	
Name				
Position				
Official address (Number and street, city, State, ZIP code)				
			(Please correct any error in name and address including ZIP code)	
<b>Telephone</b>			<b>RETURN TO</b> 	
Area code	Number	Extension		
			Bureau of the Census ATTN: Governments Division Washington, D.C. 20233	



FROM THE DIRECTOR  
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

On behalf of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, U.S. Department of Justice, the Bureau of the Census annually collects public expenditure and employment data for civil and criminal justice activities from agencies of the Federal Government and from State and local governments. Your cooperation in completing this year's questionnaire on the reverse side of this form will be appreciated. The information you enter should be only for the bureau, agency, or office in the address block above.

The data collected in this voluntary survey are used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration in implementing and administering the provisions of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 as amended. Federal data are used particularly for intergovernmental comparisons and to meet the needs of criminal justice planners at all levels of government. The data are published annually in a report entitled **Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System**.

It would be most helpful if we could receive your reply within 3 weeks. A preaddressed envelope is enclosed for your convenience. If you have any problems in filling out the questionnaire on the reverse side of this form please call for assistance on 763-7825 or 763-2843.

Sincerely,



MANUEL D. PLOTKIN

Enclosure

**PLEASE COMPLETE QUESTIONNAIRE ON REVERSE SIDE**

## ►Part I — EXPENDITURES ◄

(FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977: OCTOBER 1, 1976 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 1977)

**NOTE** — Please enter information only for the bureau, agency, or office shown in the address box on the front of this form. If unable to show actual cash disbursement, please show total obligations incurred minus the sum of unpaid accounts payable and undelivered orders.

1. What was your total CASH disbursement for CURRENT OPERATIONS; i.e., direct expenditure for annual salaries, wages, and expenses of officers and employees; purchase of supplies and materials; and for contractual services from other Federal agencies or private organizations? (Do not include government contributions for employees benefits — Report these amounts in Item 5 below.)	\$
2. What was your total CASH disbursement for CAPITAL OUTLAY; i.e., direct expenditure FROM YOUR OWN BUDGET for acquisition of land, construction, renovation and repairs of buildings and facilities, and purchase equipment?	\$
3. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH disbursement to all STATE GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g., grants, including amounts which were "passed through" State governments to local governments) or for services rendered?	\$
4. What was your total INTERGOVERNMENTAL CASH disbursement to all LOCAL GOVERNMENTS for fiscal aid (e.g., grants) or for services rendered, made DIRECTLY to local governments and NOT "passed through" State governments or their departments or agencies?	\$
5. What was your total CONTRIBUTION FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFITS; i.e., payments made to the Federal Social Security program, Federal retirement systems, commercial or mutual life insurance plans, or premiums paid for accident and health, hospital, and other insurance programs? (Do not include payments made directly to individuals or contributions made by employees for any of the above programs.)	\$

**► Part II – EMPLOYMENT AND PAYROLL ◄**

(FOR THE ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1977 – NOT ANNUAL DATA)

**NOTE** – Please report all employees; executives, managers, operatives, and support personnel. Also include temporary employees.

1. How many FULL-TIME employees, including sworn personnel, did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1977?	Full-time employees
2. What was the total gross payroll amount for all FULL-TIME employees reported in item 1 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1977?	\$
3. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 2? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly      3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks      5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other – <i>Specify</i> <u>                    </u> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month      4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly	<b>CENSUS USE ONLY</b>
4. How many FULL-TIME SWORN * employees did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1977? (Should be equal to or less than the number reported in item 1.)	Full-time sworn employees
5. What was the total gross payroll amount for all FULL-TIME SWORN* employees reported in item 4 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1977?	\$
6. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 5? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly      3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks      5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other – <i>Specify</i> <u>                    </u> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month      4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly	<b>CENSUS USE ONLY</b>
7. How many PART-TIME employees, including sworn personnel, did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1977?	Part-time employees
8. What was the total gross payroll amount for all PART-TIME EMPLOYEES reported in item 7 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1977?	\$
9. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 8? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly      3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks      5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other – <i>Specify</i> <u>                    </u> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month      4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly	<b>CENSUS USE ONLY</b>
10. How many PART-TIME SWORN* employees did you have on your payroll during the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1977? (Should be equal to or less than the number reported in item 7.)	Part-time sworn employees
11. What was the total gross payroll amount for all PART-TIME SWORN* employees reported in item 10 for the ONE PAY PERIOD WHICH INCLUDED OCTOBER 15, 1977?	\$
12. What is the pay period interval which applies to the payroll reported in item 11? 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Monthly      3 <input type="checkbox"/> Every 2 weeks      5 <input type="checkbox"/> Other – <i>Specify</i> <u>                    </u> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Twice a month      4 <input type="checkbox"/> Weekly	<b>CENSUS USE ONLY</b>

\* Sworn employees defined: Those persons having statutory authority to arrest suspected violators of the law. Examples: Criminal Investigators positions series GS-1811, police position series GS-083, customs enforcement officer position series GS-1891, and other positions having equivalent arrest authority whether inside or outside the competitive civil service.

COMMENTS



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION

**USER EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE**  
**Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1977**

Dear Reader:

We have provided an evaluation form below for whatever opinions you wish to express about this report. Please cut out both pages, staple them together on one corner, and fold so that the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration address appears on the outside. After folding, use tape to seal closed. No postage stamp is necessary.

Thank you for your help.

1. For what purpose did you use this report on Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1977?

2. For that purpose, the report --

☐ Met most of my needs

☐ Met some of my needs

☐ Met none of my needs

3. What particular types of data in the report did you use?

4. If the report did not meet your needs, what changes would increase its usefulness to you?

CUT ALONG THIS LINE

5. What additional types of data, if any, would you like to see collected regarding public employment and expenditure for criminal justice purposes?

6. Which parts of the report, if any, were difficult to understand or use?  
How could they be improved?

7. Would a different format have been more useful to you?  
If yes, please suggest a more useful organization of the material.

8. Can you point out specific parts of the text or table notes that are not clear or additional terms that need to be defined?

9. In what capacity did you use this report?

☐ Researcher

☐ Educator

☐ Student

☐ Criminal justice agency employee

☐ Government employee other than criminal justice - *Specify* \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Other - *Specify* \_\_\_\_\_

10. If you used this report as a governmental employee, please indicate the level of government.

- ☐ Federal  
☐ State  
☐ County

- ☐ City  
☐ Other - *Specify*

11. If you used this report as a criminal justice agency employee, please indicate the sector in which you work.

- ☐ Law enforcement (police)  
☐ Legal services and prosecution  
☐ Public or private defense services  
☐ Courts or court administration  
☐ Probation

- ☐ Corrections  
☐ Parole  
☐ Criminal justice planning agency  
☐ Other criminal justice agency - *Specify type*

12. If you used this report as a criminal justice employee, please indicate the type of position you hold.

*Mark all that apply*

- ☐ Agency or institution administrator  
☐ General program planner/evaluator/analyst  
☐ Operations or management planner/evaluator/analyst  
☐ Budget planner/evaluator/analyst

- ☐ Program or project manager  
☐ Statistician  
☐ Other - *Specify*

13. Additional comments

**If your comments  
 require an answer,  
 please enter  
 your name and  
 mailing address.**

Name

Number and street

City

State

ZIP code

**OPTIONAL**

Name		Telephone
		(     )
Number and street		
City	State	ZIP Code

(Fold here)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration  
Washington, D.C. 20531

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

JUS-436



Director, Statistics Division  
National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service  
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Washington, D.C. 20531

(Fold here)



## NCJRS REGISTRATION

The National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) abstracts documents published in the criminal justice field. Persons who are registered with the Reference Service receive announcements of documents in their stated fields of interest and order forms for free copies of LEAA and NCJISS publications. If you are not registered with the Reference Service, and wish to be, please provide your name and mailing address below and check the appropriate box.

Name		Telephone (     )	<input type="checkbox"/> Please send me a NCJRS registration form.  <input type="checkbox"/> Please send me the reports listed below.
Number and street			
City	State	ZIP Code	

(Fold here)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration  
Washington, D.C. 20531

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

JUS-436



User Services Department 2  
National Criminal Justice Reference Service  
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Box 6000  
Rockville, Maryland 20850

(Fold here)

If you wish to receive copies of any of the National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service reports listed on the reverse side, please check them.

---

---

---

---

---

CUT ALONG THIS LINE

## National Criminal Justice Information and Statistics Service Reports

Single copies are available at no charge from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, Md. 20850. Multiple copies are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

**Trends in Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System, 1971-76** (annual) NCJ-45685  
**Expenditure and Employment Data for the Criminal Justice System: 1976** (annual) NCJ-44588

### National Prisoner Statistics:

**Capital Punishment** (annual)  
 1977 Advance Report, NCJ-46855  
 1976 (final report) NCJ-43311  
**Prisoners in State and Federal Institutions** (annual)  
 December 31 1977, Advance Report NCJ-46321  
 December 31 1976 (final report), NCJ-43310  
**Census of State Correctional Facilities, 1974:** Advance Report NCJ-25642  
**Survey of Inmates of State Correctional Facilities, 1974:** Advance Report NCJ-34267  
**Census of Prisoners in State Correctional Facilities, 1973,** NCJ-34729

**The Nation's Jails:** A report on the census of jails from the 1972 Survey of Inmates of Local Jails NCJ-19067  
**Survey of Inmates of Local Jails 1972:** Advance Report, NCJ-13313

### Victimization Surveys:

**Criminal Victimization in the United States** (annual)  
 A Comparison of 1975 and 1976 Findings, NCJ-44132  
 A Comparison of 1974 and 1975 Findings, NCJ-39548  
 A Comparison of 1973 and 1974 Findings, NCJ-34391  
 1975 (final report), NCJ-44593  
 1974 NCJ-39467  
 1973 NCJ-34732

### Criminal Victimization Surveys in

Boston NCJ-34818	New Orleans NCJ-34825
Buffalo, NCJ-34820	Oakland, NCJ-34826
Cincinnati, NCJ-34819	Pittsburgh, NCJ-34827
Houston, NCJ-34821	San Diego, NCJ-34828
Miami NCJ-34822	San Francisco NCJ-34829
Milwaukee NCJ-34823	Washington, D.C. NCJ-34830
Minneapolis, NCJ-34824	(final report, 13 vols)

**Criminal Victimization Surveys in 13 American Cities**  
 (summary report, 1 vol.), NCJ-18471

### Public Attitudes About Crime:

Boston NCJ-46235	New Orleans, NCJ-46242
Buffalo, NCJ-46236	Oakland NCJ-46243
Cincinnati, NCJ-46237	Pittsburgh NCJ-46244
Houston, NCJ-46238	San Diego NCJ-46245
Miami NCJ-46239	San Francisco NCJ-46246
Milwaukee NCJ-46240	Washington, D.C. NCJ-46247
Minneapolis NCJ-46241	(final report, 13 vols)

**Criminal Victimization Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia:** A Comparison of 1972 and 1974 Findings, NCJ-36360

**Criminal Victimization Surveys in the Nation's Five Largest Cities:** National Crime Panel Surveys in Chicago, Detroit, Los Angeles, New York, and Philadelphia, 1972, NCJ-16909

**Criminal Victimization Surveys in Eight American Cities:** A Comparison of 1971/72 and 1974/75 Findings—National Crime Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland and St. Louis, NCJ-36361

**Crime in Eight American Cities:** National Crime Panel Surveys in Atlanta, Baltimore, Cleveland, Dallas, Denver, Newark, Portland, and St. Louis—Advance Report, 1971/72, NCJ-13899

**Crimes and Victims:** A Report on the Dayton-San Jose Pilot Survey of Victimization, NCJ-013314

### Applications of the National Crime Survey Victimization and Attitude Data:

**Public Opinion About Crime:** The Attitudes of Victims and Nonvictims in Selected Cities, NCJ-41336  
**Local Victim Surveys:** A Review of the Issues NCJ-39973  
**The Police and Public Opinion:** An Analysis of Victimization and Attitude Data from 13 American Cities 42018  
**An Introduction to the National Crime Survey,** NCJ-43732

**Compensating Victims of Violent Crime—Potential Costs and Coverage of a National Program** NCJ-43387

### Children in Custody:

**Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facility Census**  
 Advance Report, 1975 census, NCJ-43528  
 Advance Report 1974 census, NCJ-38820  
 Final Report, 1973 census NCJ-44777  
 Final Report, 1971 census, NCJ-13403

**Myths and Realities About Crime:** A Nontechnical Presentation of Selected Information from the National Prisoner Statistics Program and the National Crime Survey NCJ-46249

### National Survey of Court Organization:

1977 Supplement to State Judicial Systems, NCJ-40022  
 1975 Supplement to State Judicial Systems NCJ-29433  
 1971 (full report), NCJ-11427

### Criminal Justice Agencies in Region

- 1 Conn., Maine, Mass., N.H., R.I., Vt. NCJ-17930
- 2 N.J., N.Y., NCJ-17931
- 3 Del., D.C., Md., Pa., Va., W. Va. NCJ-17932
- 4 Ala., Ga., Fla., Ky., Miss., N.C., S.C., Tenn. NCJ-17933
- 5 Ill., Ind., Mich., Minn., Ohio, Wis. NCJ-17934
- 6 Ark., La., N. Mex., Okla., Tex. NCJ-17935
- 7 Iowa, Kans., Mo., Nebr. NCJ-17936
- 8 Colo., Mont., N. Dak., S. Dak., Utah, Wyo. NCJ-17937
- 9 Ariz., Calif., Hawaii, Nev., NCJ-15151
- 10 Alaska, Idaho, Oreg., Wash. NCJ-17938

### State Court Caseload Statistics:

The State of the Art, NCJ-46934  
 Advance Annual Report 1975, NCJ-

**State and Local Probation and Parole Systems,** NCJ-41335

**State and Local Prosecution and Civil Attorney Systems,** NCJ-41334

**Dictionary of Criminal Justice Data Terminology:** Terms and Definitions Proposed for Interstate and National Data Collection and Exchange NCJ-36747

**Program Plan for Statistics, 1977-81** NCJ-37811

### Utilization of Criminal Justice Statistics Project:

**Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics 1977** (annual), NCJ-38821  
**Public Opinion Regarding Crime, Criminal Justice and Related Topics** NCJ-17419

**New Directions in Processing of Juvenile Offenders:** The Denver Model NCJ-17420

**Who Gets Detained?** An Empirical Analysis of the Pre-Adjudicatory Detention of Juveniles in Denver, NCJ-17417

**Juvenile Dispositions:** Social and Legal Factors Related to the Processing of Denver Delinquency Cases, NCJ-17418

**Offender-Based Transaction Statistics:** New Directions in Data Collection and Reporting NCJ-28645

**Sentencing of California Felony Offenders,** NCJ-29646

**The Judicial Processing of Assault and Burglary Offenders in Selected California Counties,** NCJ-29644

**Pre-Adjudicatory Detention in Three Juvenile Courts** NCJ-34730  
**Delinquency Dispositions:** An Empirical Analysis of Processing Decisions in Three Juvenile Courts NCJ-34734

**The Patterns and Distribution of Assault Incident Characteristics Among Social Areas** NCJ-40025

**Patterns of Robbery Characteristics and Their Occurrence Among Social Areas** NCJ-40026

### Crime-Specific Analysis:

**The Characteristics of Burglary Incidents** NCJ-42093  
**An Empirical Examination of Burglary Offender Characteristics** NCJ-43131  
**An Empirical Examination of Burglary Offenders and Offense Characteristics,** NCJ-42476

**Sources of National Criminal Justice Statistics:** An Annotated Bibliography NCJ-45006

**Federal Criminal Sentencing:** Perspectives of Analysis and a Design for Research, NCJ-33683

**Variations in Federal Criminal Sentences:** A Statistical Assessment at the National Level, NCJ-33684

**Federal Sentencing Patterns:** A Study of Geographical Variations, NCJ-33685

**Predicting Sentences in Federal Courts:** The Feasibility of a National Sentencing Policy, NCJ-33686

